

This is a digital copy of a book that was preserved for generations on library shelves before it was carefully scanned by Google as part of a project to make the world's books discoverable online.

It has survived long enough for the copyright to expire and the book to enter the public domain. A public domain book is one that was never subject to copyright or whose legal copyright term has expired. Whether a book is in the public domain may vary country to country. Public domain books are our gateways to the past, representing a wealth of history, culture and knowledge that's often difficult to discover.

Marks, notations and other marginalia present in the original volume will appear in this file - a reminder of this book's long journey from the publisher to a library and finally to you.

Usage guidelines

Google is proud to partner with libraries to digitize public domain materials and make them widely accessible. Public domain books belong to the public and we are merely their custodians. Nevertheless, this work is expensive, so in order to keep providing this resource, we have taken steps to prevent abuse by commercial parties, including placing technical restrictions on automated querying.

We also ask that you:

- + *Make non-commercial use of the files* We designed Google Book Search for use by individuals, and we request that you use these files for personal, non-commercial purposes.
- + Refrain from automated querying Do not send automated queries of any sort to Google's system: If you are conducting research on machine translation, optical character recognition or other areas where access to a large amount of text is helpful, please contact us. We encourage the use of public domain materials for these purposes and may be able to help.
- + *Maintain attribution* The Google "watermark" you see on each file is essential for informing people about this project and helping them find additional materials through Google Book Search. Please do not remove it.
- + *Keep it legal* Whatever your use, remember that you are responsible for ensuring that what you are doing is legal. Do not assume that just because we believe a book is in the public domain for users in the United States, that the work is also in the public domain for users in other countries. Whether a book is still in copyright varies from country to country, and we can't offer guidance on whether any specific use of any specific book is allowed. Please do not assume that a book's appearance in Google Book Search means it can be used in any manner anywhere in the world. Copyright infringement liability can be quite severe.

About Google Book Search

Google's mission is to organize the world's information and to make it universally accessible and useful. Google Book Search helps readers discover the world's books while helping authors and publishers reach new audiences. You can search through the full text of this book on the web at http://books.google.com/

Mus. Bill III.

2277 e 82

Digitized by Google

Parliamentary Register;

O R

HISTORY

OF THE

PROCEEDINGS AND DEBATES

HOUSE OF COMMONS;

CONTAINING AN ACCOUNT OF

The most interesting Speeches and Motions; accurate Copies of the most remarkable Letters and Papers; of the most material EVIDENCE, PETITIONS, &c. laid before and offered to the House,

DVRING THE

FIRST Session of the SIXTEENTH PARLIAMENT

O F

GREAT BRITAIN.

VOL. XV.

LONDON:

Printed for J. DEBRETT, opposite Burlington House,
Piccadilly.

M.DCC.LXXXIV.

Digitized by Google

C O N T E N T S

T O

VOLUME THE FIFTEENTH.

HOUSE OF COMMONS.

PT'
HE Marquis of Graham's Mo-
tion for re-calling Mr. Cornwall
to the Chair, 1
Return of the High Bailiff for the
City of Westminster, 2
King's Speech at the opening of the
Seffion, 3
Debates on the Return made by the
High Bailiff of Westminster, - 5
Debates on a Motion for addressing
the King on his Speech, 25
Mr. Fox's Petition relative to the
Westminster Election, 40
The King's Answer to the Address
of the Commons, 49
The House in a Committee of Sup-
ply, 52
Debates on the American-Trade
Bill, 56
Mr. Fox presents a Petition from
certain Electors of Westminster, 58
Debates on the Report of the East-
India Company, 59
Debates on Mr. Sawbridge's Motion
for a Reform in the Representa-
tion, 69
Farther Debates on the Westminster
Election, 69
Debates relative to Mr. Sawbridge's
<u> </u>

Representation, 14	I
The House in a Committee of Sup-	
ply, 14	5
Debates on the Report of the faid	
Committee, 14	•
Mr. Burke's Motion on the King's	
Speech, 15	I
Debates on a Motion made by Mr.	
Francis for Papers relative to the	•
Peace in India, 27	5
Debates on the Exportation of	
Rabbit's Wool, 18	0
Farther Debates on the Subject of	
parliamentary Reform, x8	2
The House in a Committee of	
Supply, 21	4
Debates on Mr. Alderman Newn-	
ham's Motion for a Repeal of the	
Reccipt Tax, 21	0
Substance of the Speeches on Mr. Chancellor Pitt's Plan for lower-	•
ing the Duties on Teas, - 2: The House in a Committee on the	+
Report from the Committee on	
_ ·	L 9
Debates on Mr. Chancellor Pitt's	·y
Motion to allow the East-India	
Company to make a Dividend, 2.	. 8
Substan	

CONTENT S.

Subfrance of the Speeches in the
Committee of Supply, - - 258
The House in a Committee on a
Bill to enable Soldiers and Sailors to exercise Trades in Corporate Towns, - - 266
Debates on the opening of the
Budget, - 272
Subfrance of the Speeches on Sir
T. Dundas's Motion to inquire
into the Distress of the Inhabitants of Shetland, - 309

Debates on reading the Bill for regulating the Post between Great Britain and Ireland, - 312
Substance of the Speeches on the Report of the Committee of Ways and Means, - 312
The House in a Committee on the Report of the Court of Directors of the East-India Company, - 322
Debates on the Second Reading of the Smuggling Bill, - 384

S

PROCEEDINGS AND DEBATES

HÓUSE of COMMONS,

In the FIRST SESSION of the

Sixteenth Parliament of GREAT BRITAIN.

Appointed to be holden at WESTMINSTER

On Tuesday the 18th of May, 1784.

May 18.

HE Commons affembled agreeable to the return of the writs, and a number of members being sworn by the Lord. Steward, they attended His Majesty at the bar of the House of Peers, where the Lord Chancellor addressed the Clerk in the usual terms *- That His Majesty deferred declaring the causes of calling this Parliament until there should be a Speaker of the House of Commons—and therefore directing them to chuse a fit person to be their Speaker, and present fuch person the next day.

The members being returned to their own House, and the

pleasure of His Majesty being signified by Mr. Hatsell,

The Marquis of Graham moved "That the right ho-Marquis of nourable Charles-Wolfran Cornwall be called to the chair." Graham. This was feconded by Sir George Howard.

Mr. Fox highly approved of the motion for re-feating the Mr. Fox. late Speaker. He rose only for the purpose of taking notice

* For the detail of the business of electing a Speaker, vide this work, vol. I. for the year 1780.

Vol. XV.

of



of the criminal and unwarrantable conduct of the High Bailiff of Westminster, who had not obeyed the precept, and had made no return whatever, or what was at least equal to no return*. Mr. Fox spoke of the conduct of the High Bailiff in terms of great indignation, and gave notice to the House

* The election for the city of Westminster continued till the 17th, the day before the return of the writ. The High Bailiss made the following return:

"Thomas Corbett, Bailiff of the liberty of the Dean and Chapter of the collegiate church of St. Peter, at Westminster, in the county of Middlesex, doth hereby certify unto the Sheriff of the said county of Middlesex, that by virtue of a certain precept, dated the 16th day of March last, and on the same day delivered to him the said Bailiff. by the said Sheriff, for the election of two Citizens to serve in the ensuing Parliament for the city of Westminster, and by virtue of the writ therein recited (proclamation of the premises in the said precept first mentioned, of the day and place, as in the said precept is directed, first being made), he the said Bailiff did proceed to the election of two Citizens to serve in the ensuing Parliament for the said city of Westminster, on the 1st day of April now last past, on which day appeared and were put in nomination the three candidates herein after mentioned, and a poll being demanded, he the faid Bailiff did forthwith proceed to take the faid poll, and continued to take the fame day by day, during fix hours each day, viz. from nine in the forenoon to three in the afternoon, until the day of the date of these presents. inclusive, on which day the faid poll was finally closed, when the numbers on the faid poll for the faid candidates stood as follow, viz.

For the Right Hon. Sir Samuel Hood, Baronet,
Baron Hood of the kingdom of Ireland,
For the Right Hon. Charles-James Fox,
For Sir Cecil Wray, Baronet,

6694
6233
6098

The faid Bailiff farther fets forth, That on the faid final close of the poll, a scrutiny was duly demanded in behalf of Sir Cecil Wray: which scrutiny the said Bailiff has granted for the purpose of investigating the legality of the votes more accurately than could be done on the said poll: and the said scrutiny, so granted, is now pending and undetermined, and by reason of the premises, the said Bailiff humbly conceives he cannot make any other return to the said Precept than as herein before is contained, until the said scrutiny shall be determined, which he sully intends to proceed upon with all practicable dispatch.—In witness whereof, he, the said Thomas Corbett, Bailiff of the said liberty, hath hereunto set his hand and seal, the 17th day of May, in the year of our Lord, 1784.

THÓ. CORBETT, Bailiff."

that

3

that this return must be inquired into before they could, with decency, proceed to any other business. It was the most attentive concern of the House to take care that the representation of the people was compleat; and this breach of privilege struck at the root of representation. If the Bailist of Rye had acted like the Bailist of Westminster, they would not have had the most proper person that day to call to the chair. He stated the point in a variety of strong lights, and gave notice that he should call the attention of the House to it, as soon as it was possible for them, by their rules, to proceed to business.

The Chancellor of the Exchequer said, that when the proper The Chantime came for the discussion of the point, he had no doubt eclor of the but gentlemen would treat it with the attention which it deserved—in the mean time he trusted that the harsh epithets of the right honourable gentleman would not have any influence on the House, nor prejudice them against the object

of them.

The question was carried unanimously, and Mr. Cornwall was placed in the chair.

May 19.

The Commons attended His Majesty at the bar of the House of Peers; and the Speaker being approved of, he humbly claimed for the House their ancient privileges; and this ceremony over, His Majesty opened the session with the following most gracious speech from the throne:

" My Lords and Gentlemen,

"I have the greatest satisfaction in meeting you in Parsiliament at this time, after recurring, in so important a
moment, to the sense of my people. I have a just and consident reliance, that you are animated with the same sensite timents of loyalty, and the same attachment to our excellent constitution, which I have had the happiness to
see so fully manifested in every part of the kingdom.
The happy effects of such a disposition will, I doubt not,
appear in the temper and wisdom of your deliberations,
and in the dispatch of the important objects of public
business which demand your attention. It will afford
me peculiar pleasure to find, that the exercise of the
power entrusted to me by the Constitution, has
been productive of consequences so beneficial to my

B 2

fubjects, whose interests and welfare are always nearest, my heart.

" Gentlemen of the House of Commons,

"I have ordered the estimates for the current year to be laid before you; and I trust to your zeal and affection to make such provisions for their farther supply, and for the application of the sums granted in the last

Parliament, as may appear to be necessary.

"I fincerely lament every addition to the burthens of my people; but they will, I am persuaded, feel the necessity, after a long and expensive war, of effectually providing for the maintenance of the national faith and our public credit, so effential to the power and prosperity of the State."

"My Lords and Gentlemen,

"The alarming progress of frauds in the revenue, accompanied in so many instances with violence, will not fail on every account to excite your attention. I must, es at the same time, recommend to your most serious confideration, to frame fuch commercial regulations as 66 may appear immediately necessary in the present moment. The affairs of the East-India Company form an " object of deliberation deeply connected with the gene-" ral interests of the country. While you feel a just 66 anxiety to provide for the good government of our pos-" fessions in that part of the world, you will, I trust, newer lose fight of the effect which any measure to be ' " adopted for that purpose may have on our own Consti-"tution, and our dearest interests at home. You will " find me always defirous to concur with you in such mea-" fures as may be of lasting benefit to my people: I have " no with but to confult their prosperity, by a constant " attention to every object of national concern, by a uni-" form adherence to the true principles of our free Con-66 stitution, and by supporting and maintaining, in their " just balance, the rights and privileges of every branch " of the Legislature."

The Commons then returned to their own House, and the Speaker from the chair addressed them in a short speech of thanks for the honour they had done him. After which they proceeded to the swearing in of members, which was their only employment for several days.

Digitized by Google

May

May 24.

The swearing in of members, and the revival of the standing orders of the House, took up till near five o'clock.

Mr. Lee then rose to bring under the consideration of the Mr. Lee. House, the return that had been made by the High Bailiff of Westminster to the pracipe of the Sheriff of Middlesex, . for electing two citizens to ferve in Parliament. The conduct of that officer appeared to him to be such, that he held himself warranted, as a lawyer, in saying that it was illegal, contrary to his known duty, and to the express and positive law of the land. He hoped that if he was right in all these positions, or in any one of them, the House would readily agree to the motion which he intended to make before he should sit down. But as the regular way to bring the business before the House, he moved that the return, which was to be the subject of his motion, might be read. The Clerk accordingly read it. As foon as the return was read, Mr. Lee proceeded. He said that if there was one principle in parliamentary law more clear, or more indisputable than another, it was this - that a writ ought to be returned on the day on which in the writ itself it is made returnable. This position appeared so evident from the words of the 10th and 11th of King William III. that when he first heard of the return that had been just read, he firmly believed the measure must have been advised by persons who either did not know that such an act was in existence, or who had suffered it entirely to escape their memory. To convince the House that he was well founded in this, he needed only to read the particular part of the act to which he alluded, chap. 7. The words are, "For preventing abuses in the returns of writs of summons for the calling and affembling of any Parliament for the future, or writs for the choice of any new member to ferve in Parliament; and to the end such writs may, by the proper officer or his deputy, be duly returned and delivered to the Clerk of the Crown, to be by him filed, according to the ancient and legal-course: Be it enacted by the King's most excellent Majesty, &c. that the Sheriff, or other officer, having the execution or return of any fuch writ, which shall be issued for the future, shall, on or before the day that any future Parliament shall be called to meet, (and with all convenient expedition; not exceeding fourteen days, after any election made by virtue of any new writ) either . in

in person, or by his deputy, make return of the same to the Clerk of the Crown in the High Court of Chancery, to be by him filed," &c. After he had read the words of the statute, he asked the House whether any candid and impartial man could lay his hand upon his breast and say, that the High Bailiff had complied with the politive injunction of this act? Had he returned the writ or præcipe? Had he made any return? He contended, and on this he was ready to stake his character as a lawyer, that the return which had been just read was no return at all within the statute that he had quoted; and on this head the statute Anould speak for itself, and prove, that within the purvieu of the act, the return enjoined by it was a return of members; for immediately after the passage quoted by him from the statute, follow these words - " and the Sheriff or other " person making such return, shall pay to the said Clerk of "the Crown, the ancient and lawful fees of four shillings, " and no more, for every knight of the shire; and two shil-"lings, and no more, for every citizen, burgefs, or baron " of the Cinque Ports, returned into the faid Court, to be by "him filed." From this act then it appeared most evident, that the writs for calling a new Parliament ought, by law, to be returned on or before the day on which the Parliament is to meet: that on that day the members for election, of whom the writs are iffued, ought to be returned; and that the returning officer could not, without a manifest violation of law, refuse to make a return of such members. As to the fourteen days mentioned in the act, they were allowed only after elections, for filling up vacancies during a Parliament; and therefore did not apply to the present case, which related to a new Parliament. This, then, being the case, he was founded in saying, that the High Bailiff of Westminster had acted contrary to law; and consequently contrary to his duty. That he knew it was his duty to make a return on or before the day of the meeting of the Parliament, was pretty obvious from this circumstance, that of his own authority he closed the poll, on the 17th inst. the day before the present Parliament met. Now what was the justification of his conduct that the High Bailiff had fet up? It was fimply this—that a scrutiny had been demanded, which he had thought proper to grant. This, furely, at best, would have been but a lame excuse; but it had not even the shadow of an excuse in the present case, because the scrutiny was granted at a time when by law it ought to have been refused; because the law had rendered the business of a scrutiny impossible, as it could not, in the present case, take place at all, the period for carrying on a poll, of which a ferutiny is only a continuation, elapsing on the very day when the scrutiny was demanded. For his part, he would very freely confess, that he was an enemy to scrutinies, and so had the House of Commons ever been, and for this plain reason; that the returning officers who carry them on, have not the necesfary powers to carry them on with any effect :- They cannot iffue any fammons to compel the attendance of witneffes, and they have not the power of tendering an oath to fuch as may voluntarily attend the tribunal. He did not mean, however, to fay, that it was illegal to grant a scrutiny; he was, on the contrary, ready to admit the legality of a scrutiny, provided it was concluded before the day on which the writs are returnable; but beyond that day he would not admit it to be legal. Enemy as he was in general to a ferutiny, he would not fay, that, within the verge of possibility a case might not arise, in which it might be proper to grant one; but this much he would say, that in the whole course of his experience (which, by the bye, lay very much in questions of elections) he never met a case in which it would not have been infinitely more proper to refuse than grant a scrutiny; and he called upon any professional gentlemen on the other side of the House, who were conversant in those matters, whether the general fense of Parliament and of Select Committees did not go with him in this affertion? By the expressed sense of Parliament, and the opinions of the ablest men, he was ready to try the merits of the species of return which had been made by Thomas Corbett. It was the opinion of that great lawyer, the late Mr. Chancellor Yorke, that the numbers on the poll were absolutely binding upon the returning officer; and the reason of this opinion was obvious; it was, that the returning officer ought not to fuffer the name of a person not duly qualified to vote, to be upon the poll; but its being once admitted, it was conclusive against the returning officer, who could not afterwards object to it, without impliedly admitting that he had been remiss in his duty in suffering any one to vote who was not legally qualified. The poll then was to be the returning officer's guide, and as he ought not to admit improper persons to vote, so he ought not himself to arraign his own poll, and

and suppose that persons not duly qualified had been by him permitted to vote. He did not mean in the present. stage of the business to move for the punishment of the High Bailiff; his only object for this day, was, to propose to the House to resolve, what he ought to have done; and yet if he were to go the full length this day, of moving that he should be taken into custody, the precedents of the House would bear him out in making such a motion, for on a fimilar occasion the Sheriffs and other returning officers, as had been the case in 1702, in the Cumberland election, had been attached without any previous step, and fimply on the ground, that the King having commanded them to return members to serve in Parliament, and an express statute enjoining them to return them on or or before a particular day, the omission of a return of members on that day, was in itself a palpable violation of an act of Parliament. Nay, so jealous had former Houses of Commons been of their privileges, that when all their members were not returned, they not only imprisoned those who omitted to make the returns, but they frequently refused to proceed to business till all the returns were made. and the number of members completed: -And indeed he was not furprifed that they should be so jealous on this head, for if scrutinies were to be permitted after the day on which the writs are made returnable, not only the . House and Select Committees under Mr. Grenville's act would be robbed of their jurisdiction, which by law belongs to them, but the returning officers would have it in their power to keep whom they pleased out of Parlia-This was the case at present with Lord Hood. against whose majority nothing had been alledged by any one, and yet the noble Lord was to be deprived of his feat, and the electors of Westminster of their representative, for the Lord knew how long, because it had pleased Mr. Corbett to transgress an act of Parliament. This was no question of party; he declared upon his honour and upon his conscience, that if Lord Hood alone were interested in the event of this question, he would make the motion that he was now going to submit to the House; because he thought that the rights and privileges of the Commons had been invaded; and that it was the duty of every honest member to maintain those privileges, upon which depends the very existence of the Constitution. then moved, "That Thomas Corbett, Bailiff of the liberty

of the Dean and Chapter of the collegiate church of St. Peter, at Westminster, whose duty it was to execute the precept directed to him by the Sheriss of Middlesex, for the election of two citizens to serve in the present Parliament for the city of Westminster, and to return the same to the Sheriss on or before the 18th day of May inst. being the day on which the present Parliament was appointed to be holden, having proceeded to take, and having similarly closed the post before the said 18th day of May, cught to have returned two citizens to serve in Parliament for the said city."

Mr. Sheridan seconded the motion pro forma.

The Master of the Rolls (Sir L. Kenyon) role next. He The Master faid that nothing had ever furprized him more than the of the Rolls conduct of his learned friend, who ought to be so strict an observer of those principles laid down by law and reason, without which justice could not be administered between man and man: the great principle to which he principally alluded and which his learned friend feemed to have totally forgotten, or overlooked on the present occasion, was that which bound a judge not to condemn on partial evidence; and not to punish any man without having first given him a hearing; audi alteram partem was an eternal and immutable law of justice, by which every tribunal was bound. But it was not a little fingular, that while his learned friend was condemning a returning officer for what he called a breach of law, he himself was endeavouring to perfuade the House to violate the most obvious rule of law, justice, and equity; for he was calling for censure and for punishment on the High Bailiff, without giving that gentleman an op-portunity to defend himself. If upon hearing, that returning officer should be found to have transgressed the law, it would be proper that he should be punished; but as guilt must ever precede punishment, so enquiry should precede conviction. Was the House in possession of the reasons that induced the High Bailiff to grant a scrutiny, and to make that kind of return which had been read at the table? If those reasons were as yet unknown to the House, would it not be premature, would it not be a perversion of the laws of justice, to condemn the man unheard, untried? The learned gentleman knew very well, that the right of demanding a ferutiny was a common law right; and the act for regulating the elections in the city of London, recognized this right, when it faid that " if a foruting Vol. XV.

10

"is legally demanded," &c. If, then, it was legal to demand it, it must be legal to grant it. The learned gentleman infifted that the writ being returnable at a particular day, the power of the officer who acted under it, expired on that day; and that he could not legally act under it after that day, and confequently that he ought not to have granted a forutiny, because, said the learned gentleman, after the coturn day he could not legally carry it on. The learned gentleman ought to know that there were other courts out of which the writs issued, as well as out of Chancery; and that when a Sheriff gave a fufficient reason for not having executed a writ, the courts would excuse him, and enlarge the term. For inflance, when a fieri facias was issued to a Sheriff, and in consequence of it he selzed the defendant's goods; if he had not had time to fell them before the day on which the writ was returnable, he stated the special matter in his return, and the Court then gave him a longer term. Or fupposing he should make this return, which swould be more appointe to the present case; that having been directed to fell the goods of A, and having taken polsession of goods which he supposed to belong to A, they shad been claimed by B, as his property; that doubting therefore to whom they really belonged, he had not fold whem, but had inflituted an enquiry, and was going to shold a court de proprietate probands, and that he would cause the goods to be fold, if he should find that they were the property of A. In this case, he said, the court would of courfe renew the writ, and order him to proceed. To this case was pensectly analogous that of the late election for Westminster; and this House would, he hoped, do what the courts below would certainly order on a similar occafion. As to Mr. Corbett, he was totally unacquainted with frim: but he heard he was a gentleman far advanced in years, of very good character, and large fortune, and that he was an impartial officer, unconnected with any party; he hoped, therefore, that the House would, with refrect to him, rigidly adhere to that principle of law and justice, which forbid the condemnation of any man unheard. Trufting, therefore, that the House would not thut their cars to the good old maxim, audi alteram partem, he intended to move the previous question, in order that a motion might afterwards be made for ordering the attendance of the High Balliff at the bar of the House, to answer for his conduct. He accordingly moved the previous question.

Lord Mahon then role to second the motion for the pre- Lt. Mahon vious question. He began by observing that the learned gentleman (Mr. Lee) had, in a very laboured speech, laid down a great deal of law on the subject of elections, but that the learned gentleman, for reasons best known to himfelf, had neglected to give to the House any information that was applicable to the case of the late Westminster election. The learned gentleman has, fays the noble Lord, informed the House, that, on a scrutiny, the High Bailist of Westminster had no authority by law to administer an eath to any person to be examined as an evidence, and therefore the scrutiny had been lawfully demanded and granted -he objects to its being permitted to go on; but it would have been kind in the learned gentleman, if he had imparted rather more of his legal knowledge to the House, by informing this affembly, of what is equally unquestionably true, namely, that it is not in the power of the High Bailiff to administer such an oath even during the poll. Yet, the learned gentleman must acknowledge, that the law makes the High Bailiff a judicial officer during the poll. He has, then, no reason to object to his acting in the same capacity with the same powers during the polk

The learned gentleman has faid much about oaths, with which he appears to be pretty conversant; it would have been as well, if he had had the goodness to inform the House, that the returning officer by law is obliged to take an oath, previous to his acting in that capacity; that oath is to be found in the statute of the 2d Geo. II chap, 24,

fec. 3, and it contains these words, viz.

"I will return such person or persons, as shall, to the best of my judgement, appear to me to have the majority

" of legal votes."

It is evident, from the nature of this eath, that the returning officer is by law not only a ministerial, but a judicial officer. He is ordered to form a judgement before he makes his return. The law which enacts, that any man is to judge, does, of course, enact, that he is first to hear the cause on which he is to decide. It he is to hear the eause, he must evidently take such time as shall be absolutely necessary for him to hear it.

Between 12 and 13,000 persons have polled at the late Westminster election. The returning officer had it not in his power to examine the validity of all these votes during the poll; therefore he takes a longer time to hear the cause; that is to say, he grants a scrutiny. Upon what, in his judicial capacity, is he to form a judgement? Upon the majority of legal votes. He is now going upon that enquiry, agreeable to the folemn oath which he has taken— After he has heard the cause and sormed a judgement, what is he to do next? Read the oath, and it will appear, that he is then, and not before, to make his return of the person or persons who shall appear to him to have the majority of legal votes. Nothing, therefore, can be more clear, than that the returning officer, having taken that oath, did right to grant a scrutiny, if legally and duly demanded, provided that he had it not in his power to have fcrutinized all the votes during the poll; and provided he had good reason to doubt (after the poll was concluded) whether both or either of the persons who had the apparent majority on the poll, had the real majority of legal votes.

The learned gentleman has not chosen to put his law in jeopardy in this House, by maintaining the very singular argument, which the newspapers inform us was made use of out of this House; not by a learned, but by an ingenious and right honourable gentleman (Mr. Fox) who was pleased to say, that the High Bailiff could not proceed in this scrutiny; because, from the moment that the writ became returnable, the High Bailiff was functus officio, and was as little capable, in law, of going on with the scrutiny, or of making a return for Westminster, as if he were merely the High Bailiff of any other city, or as if he were the

Sheriff of Cumberland.

On the day the writ became returnable, the returning officer for Westminster could form no judgement who had the majority of legal votes. He then determined to take the necessary time to hear the evidence, on which he was to form his judgement. He has the power, (nay, it is his duty as returning officer) after having formed his judgement, to make, agreeably to his oath, a return of members. is, therefore, clearly not functus officio, but is bound to make a return, which return must evidently follow, and not precede his forming a judgement upon the question of the majority of legal votes. If he be not functus officio, when he makes the return, it is evident that he cannot be fundus officio at any anterior period; that is, he is not functus officio, as it has been afferted, during the scrutiny. This idle idea of the returning officer being functus officio, pending the scratiny, is too absurd almost to deserve an an-

The learned gentleman has quoted to the House, as an unanswerable proof of the delinquency of the High Bailiff, the statute of the 19th and 11th of William III. chap. 7- fec. 1. It is not a little singular, that any man, calling himself a lawyer, should quote that statute for that purpose.

Lord Mahon then moved, "That the Clerk do read this statute;" which being done, his Lordship said, he had no doubt in his own mind what was the proper construction of

that statute.

In the first place, he would be bold to maintain, that that law related to writs, and to writs only, and had nothing whatsoever to do with precepts; and gentlemen well knew, that a writ had been issued from the Clerk of the Crown, to the Sheriss of the county of Middlesex, and that the Sheriss of Middlesex did then deliver, not a writ, but a precept, to the High Bailiss of the city of West-minster.

It is evident from a variety of circumstances, that that

statute does not relate to precepts.

The 2st never mentions the word precept. The penalty of 500l, that it inflicts, is relative to the return of writs; and as it is a penal flatute, it must be construed literally, and not otherwise.

The reason why it alludes to writs only, will appear evident, from an attentive examination of the principle of the saw of elections, as contained in the statutes of the 5th of Richard II. chap. 4. of the 7th of Henry IV. chap. 15. of the 18th of Henry IV. chap. 1. of the 23d of Henry VI. chap. 14. and of the 7th and 8th of William III.

chap. 7.

That this statute of the 10th and 11th of William III. does not allude to precepts, but to writs only, is farther evident, from this consideration; that it is enacted therein, that "the Sheriff (or other officer) making such return, "shall pay to the Clerk of the Crown, the ancient and lawful fees of four shillings," &c. Now it is evident, that the High Bailiss of Westminster has no fees to pay to the Clerk of the Crown; and therefore this act of Parliament does not relate to his precept, but solely to writs. The other words of the act make it equally clear.

Some

14

Some other learned gentlemen may perhaps think fit to argue, that the faid statute is not confined to writs, because it speaks of "the Sheriff, or other officer, having the execution and return of any such writ." But the second section of the statute clearly explains who is meant by that other officer, namely, the proper officer of the Cinque Ports, to whom an additional time is allowed to iffue the precepts therein mentioned, and who has the return of a writ, as well as the Sheriff of a county.

But let it be supposed for a moment, solely for the sake of argument, that that act of Parliament does extend to the return of precepts as well as to the return of writs. Then it will be answered by the High Bailiff, that a return has been made in due time, viz. a special return: therefore the High Bailiff has complied with the direction of the law.

Lord Mahon then said, he would demonstrate (even admitting that the statute of the 10th and 11th of William III. did fully extend to the case of the High Bailiss of West-minster) that the House ought not to censure him, but ought to order him to proceed in his scrutiny, provided the High Bailiss could shew that he had granted it on good grounds.

The statute enacts, in the first place, that, in the case of a general election, a return shall be made to the Clerk of the Crown, "on or before the day that the Parliament

" shall be called to meet."

It enacts, in the next place, that, in the case of "any "new writ," issued in the middle of a Parliament, a return shall be made to the Clerk of the Crown, "with all convenient expedition, not exceeding fourteen days after the election."

Lord Mahon then moved that the Clerk do read the proceedings of the House in the samous case of the Westminster election, when Lord Trentham and Sir George Vandeput were the candidates, and which election was in confequence of a new writ in the middle of a Parliament. (See the Journals of the House of Commons of the 22d and 23d days of February, 1749.)

On the 22d of February, "Notice is taken that no return had been made to the writ for the electing a citizen to ferve in Parliament for the city of Westminster." Upon this the House ordered the High Bailiff to attend.

The next day the High Bailiff did attend, and was

examined.

Dig

Did the House commit him? No.—Did the House order him to make a return before he had finished the scrutiny which he had granted? No.—Did the House censure him for having disobeyed the statute of the 10th and 11th of William III. by not having made a return within the first sourteen days after the election? No.—" The Speaker by the direction of the House) recommended to him forme particulars of his duty; assured him that the House would support him in the discharge thereof, and recommended to him all possible dispatch."

The House ought, therefore, in the present instance, not to prejudge the question. They ought to do, in this instance, what the House wisely did in the year 1749, viz. to order the High Bailiff to attend, and to hear him, before he is condemned. If the House (after having examined the High Bailiff) should be of opinion, that he did right to grant a ferutiny, they ought strictly to follow the excellent precedent in the case of Trentham, by ordering the High Bailiff of to take care to expedite the election as much as " possible." [Mr. Fox cried out, Hear ! bear !] "I now " find," fays Lord Mahon, " the manner in which the " right honourable gentleman, who cries hear, means to " argue this question. He means to admit, that the case " of the former Westminster election, would, in substance, " be similar to the present, if the statute of the 10th and * 11th of William III. had enacted, in the case of a new # writ in the middle of a Parliament, that the return shall " be made (not within fourteen days after the end of the " election, but) within fourteen days after the end of the " poll. The right honourable gentleman means to ground is his right to be returned, upon the distinction between " the poll and the election. He means to argue, that the fe return in the former Westminster election was right, * because (though it were made months after the poll) it " was made previous to the 14th day after the election -* Why? Because the right honourable gentleman means to contend, that the ferutiny was part of the election. That the ferutiny not being concluded, the election was " not concluded; and that therefore no return could be s made till the election was over."-

[Mr. Fox cried out again, Hear! hear!] Lord Mahon faid, he was happy that Mr. Fox agreed to his way of stating the argument. He could not, however, sufficiently admire the contradictions between Mr. Fox, and Mr. Fox's learned

friend (Mr. Lee) whose words he had just taken down in writing. Mr. Lee had laid it down as a principle, " that the end of the pall was the end of the election." That was the law of the learned gentleman - But the law of the right honourable gentleman was, "that the end of the poll' was not the end of the election." The right honourable gentleman, having undertaken to defend a bad cause, had got into the most complete dilemma possible - For, if the fratute of the 10th and 11th of William III. was not applicable to precepts, that statute had nothing to do with the Westminster election. If that statute was applicable to precepts, and that (according to the learned gentleman, Mr. Lee) the end of the poll was the end of the election; the, precedent laid down by the House in the former Westminster election clearly proved, that Mr. Fox ought not to be returned, but that the scrutiny ought to be suffered to continue - If, on the contrary, the law of the right honodrable gentleman (Mr. Fox) was right, namely, that when a fertiny was granted, the end of the fertiny, and not the end of the poll, was the end of the election; there, as the present scrutiny for Westminster is not over, the Westminster election is not yet over, and Mr. Fox cannot be returned as duly elected, until the election shall be over.

The right honourable gentleman has, however, the decease, the moderation, and the modesty, to demand of this House, that he, (Mr. Fox) should be seated for Westminster, though he himself contends, that, according to law, the election is not concluded, inasmuch as the scruting is not over.

Lord Mahon then entered into a variety of other arguments; by which he faid he had completely refuted the principles laid down by the late Attorney General.

Ld Mosth.

Lord North rose to oppose the motion for the previous question, which the noble Lord by mistake had called the order of the day, which was rather an unfortunate mistake on the first day of a session, when there could be no order of the day. He said, he must begin with disclaiming every idea of punishing any man untried or unheard: he would never violate the first principle of law and equity, that trial should precede conviction; and yet he would vote for the motion made by the learned gentleman, because he conceived it to be perfectly consistent with that principle: for what was the object of the motion? It was to ascertain and establish

blish a point of law, which, in his opinion, the House could with the greatest propriety agree to, without any other information than that which was already on the table. By law, writs for the election of members to serve in a new Parliament, were returnable on a particular day, specified in the writ: the High Bailiff had not made a return on that day, and affigned his reasons for not having obeyed the King's command and the law of the land. It was for the House to determine, whether these reasons were such as justified his conduct or not; and furely it would be no violation of the rule, audi alteram partem, for the House to take into their confideration those reasons which were before them; and to declare, whether they were, or were not, satisfactory. — This was no motion of censure; it was no motion for punishing, though he was ready to-acknowledge, it might lead to that in the end. But if the House should hereafter go the length of ordering the High Bailiff into sustody, then indeed, however strong appearances might be against him, he would not for one proceed one step far-ther, till the Bailiff should have been heard in his defence; then punishment would be the object of a motion; and consequently it ought to be preceded by trial: but, in the prefent stage of the business, there was no question of punishment; as far as was necessary for all the purposes of justice in this stage, the High Bailiss was virtually before the House; his reasons were on the table; and gentlemen were called upon fimply to declare, whether these reasons were satisfactory or not. Now, with respect to the law of the question, the noble Lord had said, that the returning officer had acted legally, because the act of Parliament allowed for the return of writs fourteen days after the election. But the noble Lord had not rightly stated the case, for he had confounded two points, that differed toto cale in their nature. The fourteen days mentioned by the noble Lord, were allowed after an election for filling up a vacancy that might happen during a Parliament; but writs for fummoning a new Parliament, were always returnable on a particular day; and the reason of the difference was, that in one case a day was fixed for the fitting of the Parliament, which could not meet, if the elections were not all over; but in the other case, an infinite term was lest for the election. He remembered the case of the great Oxfordshire contest, when a fcrutiny was demanded, and granted by the Sheriff; but when the day for returning the writ arrived, he closed the Vol. XV. fcru-

scrutiny, thinking himself bound, as in fact he was, to return his writ on the particular day specified init. At the same time that he might not appear to act partially, he returned all three candidates. He admitted, that the returning officer taking an oath to make his return according to his judgement, time must be allowed him to form that judgement; but he himself ought not to expect that the House would let him take his own time: a conscientious returning officer might possibly, through delicacy of conscience, not be able to make up his mind, and form his judgement for fix months or a year; and if half of the returning officers in Great Britain should happen to be as delicate in their conscience. possibly one half of the kingdom might remain unreprefented for a fession, perhaps for a whole Parliament. Were gentlemen aware of the consequences that might ensue, if returning officers were to be allowed fuch a latitude of difcretion, as might lay the Parliament and liberties of this country at their mercy? He did not deny the legality of a scrutiny, provided it terminated on or before the day on which the writ was returnable; but he confessed he was no friend to scrutinies, for those reasons which had been so ably stated by the learned gentleman who made the motion; and if he had no other objection to them, than that which was furnished by the late scruting for the city of London, which had been already mentioned; he had learnt enough from that, never to countenance a scrutiny again; for after the Sheriffs had fat eight or nine days, they had not been able to decide on many more votes than they had fat days; and therefore he wished to see the merits of the late election for Westminster, as well as of the elections for all other places, submitted to a Select Confinitee, a judicature trying upon oath, fitting and determining upon oath. This furely would be more just and more equitable, than to refort to a man, for whom the House had no right, from his late conduct, to have any very particular respect: for his part, though it was faid that the Bailiff was an impartial man, and unconnected with party, he would never wish to refer a cause to his decision, when he could find a much more upright and impartial tribunal. He never would willingly refer to a man who had violated an act of Parliament, and acted in defiance of his duty; and therefore he would support the motion made by the learned gentleman.

Mr. Chanecllor Pitt. Mr. Chancellor Pitt wished, that the debate on this bufiness might not be run out to any great length; and he,

for one, did not chuse to be instrumental in protracting it. There was fomething, however, so very curious in the argument used by the noble Lord who had last spoken, that he would just trouble the House with a very few words. The noble Lord affected to ridicule the reasoning and principles of his learned friend, (Sir L. Kenyon) which afferted the injustice of condemning any man unheard; and what was the noble Lord's argument? The motion before the House only went to oblige the returning officer to a different conduct. It did not directly criminate him for what he had done. It only afferted he should have done otherwise. It superseded his own judgement, where he had the power of judging, and without putting any opinion in the exertion of his own will, destroyed it by another. All this was surely implying no censure on the High Bailiff. He would beg leave, however, to put in his protest against this mode of arguing. The censure, in his opinion, was the more pointed, that it was conveyed with so much art. And he was the more willing to make this remark on the noble Lord's speech, as it would teach the House what they had to expect from the fort of reasoning which they would often hear from the same quar-He was anxious to avoid the legality of the question before them. This had been pretty fully investigated by those who had already spoken on the subject. He would only observe, that nothing which he had yet heard brought any thing like conviction to his mind, that the High Bailiff had not on the present occasion done his duty. At all events, he thought it became the House to judge of the matter with leifure and deliberation. And this they certainly could not do till the returning officer was heard in his own defence. The House would then be in a capacity to pronounce on what he had done; but till then, their opinion, if not extremely partial, would at least appear

Lord North role in explanation, and afferted, that his ar- La. Worth, gument had been at once misunderstood and misrepre-

ana a

Mr. Fox said, that in the whole course of his life he Mr. Fox. never was witness to so gross a perversion of the meaning of the expression audi alteram partem, as the learned gentleman (the Master of the Rolls) had furnished him with this day. Who, in sact, was the party before the House? Who the party absent and unheard? The High Bailiss was, in sact and in truth, so far from being absent, the only

party that was before the House; his conduct was avowed. and the reasons for that conduct were upon the table. He himself (Mr. Fox) was another party: but though he was actually present as member for another place, still he was virtually absent; and therefore if any one was unheard, it was himself. He little expected that a question of this kind would have been made a party question. He drew a good omen a few days ago from what a right honourable gentleman faid, when he observed, that motions ought to be considered on their own intrinsic merit, and totally distinct from any consideration of the persons by whom made, or by whom supported: on this ground he expected this motion would have been debated. But good as was the omen he drew on Tuesday last, that which presented itself to him now, from the manner in which the motion made by his learned friend was received, was as bad. The case of the Sheriffs of Coventry, he contended, had nothing in common with the present; in the kind of return that they made to the House, they stated that they had been prevented by tumults and riots, from obeying the King's writ. The excuse turned upon a matter of fact: the allegation might be true; it might be false; and therefore enquiry was abfolutely necessary: but in the present case, the point for consideration was a point of law, not of fact; and therefore the House was competent to determine it at this moment, as well as after a month's enquiry: the question was, Whether a returning officer was, or was not, bound to return the member on the day on which the writ was returnable? and therefore there would be no injustice to the High Bailiff, if the House should proceed without any farther inquiry to determine that question. Nay, though it should determine it in the affirmative, it did not follow that fuch a determination would even imply a censure on him; nothing was more common than for Committees to order returns to be amended; and yet no one ever imagined that the returning officer was thereby censured by implication. It was the case in the great Oxfordshire contest, when the Sheriff returned the three Candidates. The House amended the return, but no one thought the Sheriff censured; on the contrary, his conduct was pronounced to be fair and impartial. It had been hinted, he faid, that he would have demanded a scrutiny, if he had had the minority at the close of the poll; nay, that he had pledged himself to support a scrutiny. The truth was, he never pledged himself to support a

fcrutiny; but unquestionably he had pledged himself to institute an inquiry before that tribunal, which, from its nature, was least liable to partiality, and which was vested by law with powers to procure evidence: before a Committee, under Mr. Grenville's act, he certainly meant to bring it, and there it should be prosecuted, if he was permitted to appeal to it. - He was ready to admit, that if the poll had been closed earlier in the month than it was, he would have demanded a scrutiny, but without the most distant idea of bringing it to a conclusion before the High Bailiff; and that officer could tell from private conversation with him, that he had faid the same thing to him: but what would have begun in a scrutiny, should have ended in an enquiry before a Select Committee of the House of Commons. A scrutiny, under the present circumstances, could answer no other end than that of trying the strength of purses: a very unequal contest indeed, when it was considered by whom his adverfary was supported. - It had been hinted also that he had fpun out the poll; the fact was the reverse, for he could declare upon his honour, that until Thursday or Friday last. no proposal had been made to him from any authority, close the poll: that, it lasted from that time till the Monday following, was certainly his (Mr. Fox's) act; and his reason for keeping the poll open to the last moment, was, that he was resolved to make the High Bailiff acknowledge, that the poll ought to be closed on or before the day on which the writ was returnable; or that it might be kept open after. Now the High Bailiff had closed the poll by his own authority, faying, that he could not carry it on after the day that his writ was returnable:-it was not closed, because there were no more electors to poll, for that was not the case: nor was it closed by the mutual consent of the candidates; for he had not confented to it; fo that it was the High. Bailiff that closed it by his own authority; and the reason affigned by him was, that he must return the writ, and therefore he must close the books. Now he would ask those who contended that the High Bailiff was not functus officio on the 18th instant, because a scrutiny was in fact nothing but a poll, why he should hold himself obliged to close the poll on the 17th, and yet carry it on afterwards under the name of a scrutiny; to such a man he would always object as a returning officer; for as there was nothing fo like a poll as a scrutiny, so there was nothing so like Thomas Corbett on the hustings in Covent Garden, as Thomas

Corbett in the Vestry-room of St. Paul's, St. Ann's, &c. &c. But those who had advised the curious return he made, were resolved, that as far as in them lay, he should not have even the possibility of appealing to any other tribunal, if he should decline a scrutiny before the High Bailiff; for they had drawn up the return in fuch a form, that he was afraid he should not be able to complain of it in such a manner as to have it referred to a Committee of the House. Had Sir Cecil Wray been returned, then he might complain of that return, and so bring it to a determination. However, he pledged himself to those independent electors, who had so nobly supported him, to have the election brought before a Committee in some way or other; he owed it to them; he owed it to the country, he owed it to himself. The principle of Mr. Grenville's bill was to prevent party, or power, or faction, let gentlemen call it by what name they pleafed, from availing itself of its numbers, to determine an election. and so keep out of the House a character disliked by Ministers, or force upon the constituents a representative whom they had not elected. This was certainly a good principle; but, if the doctrine should prevail, that elections might be kept open after the return-day of the writ, might not Ministers entirely defeat this principle: With the returning officers under their influence, they might cause a scrutiny to be demanded, and granted, and spun out to such a length, that places might actually be kept for a whole Parliament without representatives. In the present case, however, the law gave him a popular action against the High Bailiff, which he was determined to pursue; for the statute of the 10th and 11th of William III faid, "That every "Sheriff, or other officer or officers aforesaid, who shall not 66 make the returns according to the true intent and mean-" ing of this act, shall forfeit for every such offence the " fum of 500l, one moiety whereof shall go to his Majesty, and the other to him or them that shall fue for the same," If the House should order him to proceed with the scrutiny, he must obey; but he must protest against a meafure, which could tend only to drain the pockets of publicspirited men; and which, in its very nature must be inefficacious, as the ferutiny for London would clearly demonstrate; for, as during eight or nine days, only as many votes were disqualified, he would have the rule of three adopted, to ascertain in what length of time the scrutineers could get through fix thousand votes. The noble Lord wished

wished to have the business brought before the High Bailiff, who, he said, would get through it in five months; but who told him that? The scrutiny in the case of Trentham and Vandeput lasted five months, though it was cut off in the middle. The noble Lord admitted for argument's sake, that precepts and writs were in their nature synonymous; he might have admitted it for the sake of truth; but the noble Lord used the former, because he was much more attached to argument than to truth.

As to the numbers on the poll, which to the returning officer, as it had been very properly faid, ought to have been conclusive, he had not a doubt but there was of them a legal majority in his favour. From the time that his adversary's majority began to fall off, the parish books were produced, and the electors were scrutinized as they offered themselves; and upon this fort of scrutiny he had almost every day gained upon his adversary; and as for the last fourteen days, not more than thirty or forty polled on a day, the High Bailiff might very easily have been able to make up his mind upon the legality of the votes, which would not have been so easy a talk if the electors had polled by hundreds as at the beginning of the election; and therefore he could now see no ground for a scrutiny. He de-clared, he wished Sir Cecil Wray was returned, that there might be fuch a return before the House as he should be able to bring before a Select Committee. He concluded by observing, that the arguments drawn from writs of fieri facias, did not apply in this case; for in the former, the court out of which they issued, might enlarge them, whereas the King issued the writs for calling Parliaments; but the House of Commons enjoyed the exclusive privilege of judging of the returns.

The Attorney General (Mr. P. Arden) stated the question. The Attorney General (Mr. P. Arden) stated the question. The Attorney General It was not whether there was a good or a bad return, but whether the returning officer was obliged, or compelled, under every circumstance, to make a return at all? He thought various cases could be imagined, and were even supposeable, in which the returning officer, notwithstanding the act of the 10th of King William, was incapable of making any return at the immediate expiration of the poll; and that a subsequent scrutiny was absolutely necessary, to afford him sufficient time and ground for forming

•

his judgement on a point of fo much magnitude and importance. It had been alledged, that there was no precedent for fuch a licence in official conduct. But he would put the question, whether there was ever any preceding instance which gave the same authority for adopting such a measure as the poll of Westminster? Did the history of election afford an example of fuch a poll? It was a poll which hadcommenced at the earliest legal period, and had been protracted to the last legal hour. It had been taken in confidence of a scrutiny by all parties. On this idea votes had been admitted. In proof of what he faid, he could safely appeal to the sentiments of the candidates, and to the different papers which had been issued during the period of the election. There was one production of this kind which was, no doubt, false, forged, and fabricated, but which evidently proved this, and which he would read to the House. Here he produced the Gazetteer, a paper which he read daily, containing an advertisement, affuring the electors of Westminster, that a scrutiny would be demanded, and signed by a gentleman of the name of Mouler, who he did not doubt was intimately connected with the defigns and intentions of the party. This advertisement evidently shewed, that a fcrutiny was an original idea belonging to one party in the Westminster election, but which seemed now to be by the same party abandoned. He could suppose many cases, in which the concourse of voters might be so great, and other circumstances of embarrassment might intervene, so as to render a scrutiny of votes during the poll absolutely impossible. He did not mean to apply any of his supposition on this subject to the Westminster election; but the number of voters might at an election be fo numerous - Multitudes might be dragged in from all quarters - Persons of the most questionable description might appear as voters, tag-rag and bob-tail, so as to render an immediate fcrutiny during the progress of election impossible, and a subsequent one absolutely necessary. In all fuch cases, the conduct of a High Bailiff, acting as the High Bailiff of Westminster had done, was defensible, and could not be blamed. Cavillers might talk of law and of statutes, but there was neither law nor statute, that could bind or compel a man to do what in his conscience he could not do. This was a species of arbitrary compulsion which was wholly unjustifiable.

Sir

Sir Thomas Davenport supported Mr. Lee's motion; he sir Thomas combated Sir L. Kenyon's argument drawn from the ana-Davenport. logy to writs from the Courts below; and he said, that if a Sheriff, having orders to sell the property of A, which he seized, but doubting afterwards whether it were really his property or not, returned this special matter, such a return would be bad; this he maintained as a lawyer; for the Sheriff ought to get an indemnity from the plaintiff, and sell the goods at all events. He then made some jocular observations relative to a scrutiny, which had really attended the poll for the last source days, as the votes of the Master of the Rolls, and of a certain noble Lord (Mountmorres) had been very strictly scrutinized.

At eight o'clock the House divided, when there ap-

peared,

For the previous question - - - - - 283 Against it - - - - - - - - 136

This point being fettled, Mr. Lee moved, "That Thomas Corbett, Efq. Bailiff to the Dean and Chapter of the collegiate church of St. Peter's at Westminster, be ordered to appear at the bar of the House of Commons the next day;" which was ordered accordingly.

This question being disposed of, the Speaker called the attention of the House to His Majesty's most gracious

fpeech. - The speech being read,

Mr. Hamilton rose, and in a speech of some length ex- Mr. Hamilpatiated on the various topics contained in the speech. He ton. dwelt on His Majesty's paternal attention to the sentiments of his People on the late diffolution of Parliament. The principles and conduct of the House of Commons were different from those of the nation at large. The sentiments of the People had been carried to the Throne, and His Majesty, with that condescension which distinguishes his character, had been graciously pleased to listen to them. Measures had been adopted by a late Ministry, unfriendly to the constitution of the country, and the prerogatives of the Crown — Attempts had been made to touch the charters of an extensive trading Company. These attempts had given a general alarm, and it had become absolutely necesfary to dissolve a House of Commons, who, in opposition to the fentiments of the nation, and the principles of the Constitution, patronised the views, and countenanced the measures of men who had become obnoxious to the kingdom. He trusted that the present House of Commons Vol. XV.

would justify, by their conduct, the decision of a gracious Sovereign in so important a point, and at so momentous a criss. He dwelt on the character of the present Minister; in him the fondest hopes of the People were reposed; he was a person whose character merited public patronage -He had consecrated that period of early life, which by others was commonly squandered away in idle frolic, in youthful diffipation, to profitable study, and to the fervice of his country - He was not one of those characters, who having diffipated their fortune, ruined their constitution, and prostituted their powers, had entered those walls for the purpose of political traffic, for the purpose of repairing their finances, or from the motives of ambition and agrandisement -- He had not come to offer the dregs of his being to the fervice of his country; he had confecrated to it the first fruits of existence. There was therefore every thing in his character to conciliate the confidence of the People; notwithstanding the calumnies which had been raised and propagated against him, this he had fortunately obtained. He congratulated the House on the event — It was a prefage auspicious to the interests of the country, as it tended to ensure the preservation and continuance of their privileges,' which had been attempted to be violated. His right honourable friend had been accused of being the champion of one branch of the Legislature, in opposition to the other; of the aristocratical influence of the kingdom, in opposition to the interests of the House of Commons; but he knew, and the nation knew, that these affertions were unfounded. His right honourable friend was not the champion of the House of Peers; he was not the champion of the prerogative; he was not the champion of the House of Commons; but the champion of the Constitu-He then moved,

"That an humble address be presented to His Majesty, to return His Majesty the thanks of this House for his

" most gracious speech from the throne:

"To assure His Majesty, that we are animated with those sentiments of loyalty, and that inviolable attachment to our excellent Constitution, which are, we trust,

"inseparably united in the hearts of his faithful subjects:
"That we acknowledge with the warmest gratitude and fatisfaction, His Majesty's wisdom and gratitude in recurring, at so important a moment, to the sense of his

People; and that we trust, so seasonable an exercise of

" the power entrusted to His Majesty by the Constitution " will not fail to be attended by the most beneficial and

" happy effects:

"To affure His Majesty, that his faithful Commons will " be ready to take proper measures for the application of " the fums voted in the last Parliament, and to grant such is farther supplies as may appear to be necessary; having " the fullest confidence that all His Majesty's subjects will, " from loyalty to His Majesty, and zeal for the interests of "the country, be ready to support those heavy burdens, " which, in consequence of a long and expensive war, are " now unavoidable, and will be sensible of the necessity of " effectually providing for the maintenance of the national " faith and the public credit, so essential to the power and " prosperity of the State:

"To affure His Majesty; that we shall apply our utmost " attention to the means of preventing the increasing frauds " in the revenue; that we shall also take into our most se-" rious confideration fuch commercial regulations as the

" present situation may immediately require:

"That, in our deliberations on the affairs of the East-" India Company, fo deeply connected with the general " interests of the country, we shall be truly anxious to pro-" vide for the good government of our possessions in that " part of the world: That we shall be careful never to lose " fight of the effects which any measure to be adopted for "that purpose may have on our excellent Constitution, and " our dearest interests at home:

"That we are deeply penetrated with the gracious and " parental expressions of His Majesty's affection and good-" ness to his People, and have the most dutiful reliance on " His Majesty's royal attention to every object of national " concern, and to the true principles of our free Constitu-"tion, which can only be secured by maintaining, in their " just balance, the rights and privileges of every branch of the Legislature."

Sir William Molesworth said, his honourable friend had Sir William fo ably argued the address he had moved, and so fully justi-Molesworth fied all its parts, that although he begged to have the honour of feconding the motion, there was little occasion for him to take up the time of the House at that late hour with any arguments upon the subject. Sir William, for this reas son, contented himself with declaring that the address had his entire concurrence; that he highly approved the fenti-

Digitized by GOOGLE

ments of loyalty and gratitude that it expressed to His Majesty for the parental care manifested by him in his late appeal to the sense of his People, and therefore gave it his hearty support.

The address having been read from the Chair.

The Earl of Surrey.

The Earl of Surrey faid, he had hoped that Ministers would have come forward on that day with fuch an address, as should have passed the House unanimously, and not have afforded the least grounds for disapprobation and diffent. That it was far from his intention or defire, to find any fault with the panegyric which the honourable mover of the address had thought proper to pronounce on the present Minister; no man admired the talents of that right honourable gentleman more than he did, nor was there a person within those walls who more sincerely wished the right honourable gentleman a fair opportunity of displaying his great and distinguished abilities with advantage to his country and honour to himself; of his integrity he was fully perfuaded, and of his determination to preferve his high character free from reproach and impeachment of every kind, he had not the smallest doubt; but as he had been bred up under the notion of constantly entertaining a degree of jealoufy of the conduct of Ministers, he hoped he might take the liberty, without being thought invidious, to declare, that he did not concur in that part of the address which commended and thanked His Majesty for the late dissolution of Parliament. It had been his determination very foon to have come forward with a motion for purifying the state of the representation of the People, and of reforming that House, had the late Parliament been suffered to continue but a short time longer. Had that motion been made, and been made with the success which he might, with the affiftance of the right honourable gentleman at the head of the Treasury, have been able to procure, he should have then been warranted to agree with the argument of the honourable gentleman who had moved the address, that His Majesty, by the late dissolution of Parliament, had appealed to the sense of the People. As the state of representation stood at present, he could by no means accord with this position, nor for a moment even admit that the real and genuine sense of the People could be collected by any fuch means as a diffolution of Parliament. So far from it, the People had only been nominally concerned in the businefs.

ness, while in fact they had been sent down for a re-election to a number of small and desolated towns, the private property of individuals of a particular description, under whose interest, and not by the People's free choice, they had been returned to that house. His Lordship enlarged on this idea very ably; and after using several arguments to prove the necessity of a parliamentary reform, expressed a hope that the gentlemen on the other fide of the house would confent, for the purpose of obtaining unanimity, to adopt an amendment he should do himself the honour to propose, for omitting so much of the address as returned His Majesty thanks for the late dissolution. As those who moved and seconded an address were generally conceived to act, on such occasions, in concert with the Minister, he declared, he could not give a filent vote on the occasion. but felt it to be a duty incumbent upon him to declare his wishes, that the right honourable gentleman had spared the House the disagreeable circumstance of being called upon to vote, what could not but be disagreeable to a great many gentlemen who had fat in the last Parliament, and who thought that Parliament had distinguished itself as eminently as any House of Commons ever summoned to meet in Great Britain. He hoped, therefore, for the fake of unanimity, the gentlemen on the other fide of the house would confent to leave out so much of the first paragraph of the address as went to the expressing an approbation of the late diffolution; and, with a view to obtain that confent, he should move the omission by way of amendment.

Colonel North rose to second the amendment, and began colonel with expressing his surprise that the honour of seconding North, the noble Earl's motion should be left to him, and indeed that there had not been a competitorship, set up by the other side of the House with the noble Earl for bringing sorward such a proposition. The Colonel reminded the House of the declaration made by the right honourable gentleman in the last Parliament, that he would not advise His Majesty to dissolve the Parliament, and said, that in his short acquaintance with that House, he never recollected a measure of that importance brought forward of a sudden, on the very first day of the session, for the decision of the House, before the House had been afforded an opportunity for discussion and examination. He declared he was as little inclined to cavil at the panegyric bestowed on the Minister by the honourable mover of the address as the noble

Digitized by Google

Earl; but there were suggestions that presented themselves to his mind, which made it a little extraordinary for the honourable gentleman to call upon those members of the House, who sat in the last Parliament, and approved its conduct, to lend their fanction to its eulogy. What was it they were to applaud him for? Was it for the peace that he had taken a part in procuring? The Colonel asked several other questions, and at length concluded with seconding the amendment.

The Solicitor General (Mr. Macdonald) faid, that during tor General the late elections, they had all heard the fense of the People, and that their constituents had clearly decided against the conduct of the late Ministry; it would be a most extraordinary thing, therefore, just after they had heard what the sense of the People was, to come there, and in the first a& of their parliamentary proceeding to fly in the face of their constituents, and vote against their known opinion and wishes. Mr. Macdonald recapitulated some of the measures that he conceived had given the People so much offence, and particularly pointed out the India bill, which he said every one of them had heard rung in their ears wherever they had been candidates. He justified the dissolution, as a necessary exercise of the constitutional prerogative of the Crown, and declared, that the fituation in which that House stood, previous to its diffolution, with two contending parties in it, struggling for power, and one of them possessing the smallest majority possible to exist, was exactly and precisely that case : in which the Royal prerogative was designed to be exercised. He dwelt for some time on their being bound indispensably to speak the sense of their constituents, and charged the last Parliament with having paid no regard to that necessary part of their duty. He arraigned the late India bill, and was pointedly severe on Mr. Fox, as the author of it. At length, after remarking, that moving an amendment, and opposing an address, were one and the same thing, he concluded, with giving his affent to the address, as originally moved and seconded.

Capt, James Luttreli.

Captain James Luttrell declared, the People had decided the question of the dissolution already, and had not left it to that House to decide upon. He argued very strenuously in favour of the address, and charged the last Parliament with having acted contrary to the tenfe of their constituents, by afferting in addresses to the Throne, that certain fentiments were the opinions of their constituents, although

the very next day they presented petitions upon their knees to His Majesty from their constituents, directly contradicting such affertions, and maintaining their direct opposites. Mr. Luttrell made several other observations, and particularly reminded gentlemen who had voted, as it were, in trammels in the late Parliament, that they were now free and independent.

Mr. Powys faid, had the noble Earl moved an amend- Mr. Powys. mene, stating the direct reverse to the part of the address which he proposed to have left out, he could not have voted with him on such a question, but to thank his Majesty for the diffolution of the late Parliament, on the very first day of a fession, was premature, and appeared to him to be preclusive of all future discussion of the subject That the dissolution was necessary, as it had been declared to be by the learned gentleman who had lately spoken, was a position he was ready to admit, provided the learned gentleman could prove, that the existence of the present Administration was indispensably necessary to the salvation of the country. Before he could think of voting for fuch an address, as possibly the Minister might come to Parliament for a bill of indemnity, Mr. Powys faid, he must be informed how far it was deemed preclusive by the Minister himself, and to what degree; since, should the address pass, the House would be considered as pledged when the subject should hereafter come under discussion.

Lord Delaval, after a few arguments on the subject of Lord Delathe diffolution, defended himself for having voted for the val-India bill, and with Mr. Fox on every question that was moved towards the close of the last Parliament, by declaring, that he then thought the notions he entertained and acted upon, were the notions of the People, and that the declarations of the Ministers to the contrary were fallacious and illusory. He reminded the House of a speech he had at that time made, in which he had declared as much, and after complimenting the right honourable gentleman at the head of the Treasury on his talents, had advised him to go down the stairs again by which he had got into place, to trace back his indirect path to power, and to enter at the door of that House, openly, manfully, and constitutionally, as the Minister of the people and the House of Commons, in which case he had promised to open his arms to him, to embrace him cordially, and to give him every support in his power. This promife he held himself pledged to

Digitized by Google

32

make good; the People had convinced him that he had been mistaken as to their sense, and that his conduct had consequently been sounded on error. The right honourable gentleman had been borne into that House through the portal, in the sace of open day, on the shoulders of the People. His constituents at Berwick, and the constituents of the whole House, had declared their considence in the right honourable gentleman's character; and therefore, as an honest and independent man, he was determined to give him his vote that night, and on every occasion to lend him his support.

Mr. Rolle.

Mr. Rolle said, he was empowered and commanded by his constituents, to take the earliest opportunity of declaring to the House, that they approved of every part of his (Mr. Rolle's) conduct, in the support of the Minister in the last Parliament; and that they reposed the most implicit confidence in the right honourable gentleman at the head of the Treasury. Mr. Rolle complained to the House of the conduct of one of the Judges at the last assizes for Devon, declaring, that through his means, by refusing to let his constituents have the use of the county hall, they were deprived of the opportunity of affembling, to inftruct him and his late colleague, as they wished to have done. He stated the particulars on which he grounded this complaint, and faid, his conduct hitherto had not been like that of the noble Lord who spoke last. He had voted against the India bill, and against the questions brought forward fince Christmas, because he thought it his duty so. to do, and for the same reason he should that night give his vote for the address.

Sir Sampion Bideon.

Sir Sampson Gideon said, he should act most ungratefully by his constituents, and ill requite their partiality to him, so lately exemplified, if he did not, on the present occasion, vote for the address. Sir Sampson declared, that its being known, that he was a friend to the Minister, had been the chief ground upon which he had succeeded at Coventry; and that such was the determined sense of the people in general, in favour of the right honourable gentleman at the head of the Treasury, that wherever he had lately been in the country, he had been given to understand, that the single circumstance of any candidate's avowing himself steady in the cause of Government, and determined to support the measures of Administration, so long as their measures appeared calculated to promote the

real interest of the country, was sufficient to ensure an

election against a rival of the other party.

Mr. Milnes faid, he should vote for the address, because Mr. Milnes it would not only be agreeable to his constituents, but perfectly accord with his own opinion. Mr. Milnes declared, that the fense of the large county, in which the city ftood that he had the honour to represent, (York) was clearly and decidedly in favour of the present Minister. and that the diffolution of the late Parliament afforded his constituents, and the whole county of York, the most heartfelt satisfaction. The conduct of the late Ministers was, he faid, held in execration - The India bill was regarded as a desperate attempt to secure to the author of the measure, and his colleagues in office, an inordinate and unconstitutional degree of power, to the diminution of the legal prerogative of the Crown, and to the great danger of

the liberties of the people.

Mr. Adam stood up the advocate of his own consistency Mr. Adam. in contradiffinction to the conduct of Lord Delaval. Mr. Adam faid, he had never changed his opinion, nor did he fee the least reason to alter it. He had voted for the India bill, because he was persuaded it was a wise and necessary measure, such as the occasion called for, and not a whit more harsh than the exigency of the case required. regard to the clamour that had prevailed against it, far as it had spread, and much as it had preyed on popular credulity, he was persuaded it owed its rise and progress to artful misrepresentation, gross delusion, and direct and palpable falsehood. A day would come, and its arrival he trusted was not far off, when the truth would appear in spite of fallacy, when men would judge with candour, and decide without prejudice. That Ministers should have made the most of the popular delusion, he wondered not in the least, but their career had received a check, and that a glorious one, in the election for Westminster, where, as the scene of action was near at hand, it was difficult to conceal the real state of the case, and men judged for themselves, by the best guides, authentic information and facts. Though his right honourable friend's election was not unanimous, it might be faid to have been almost an unanimous one, and considering, that he had to contend against all the weight of public office, all the interest of the East-India Company, all the opposition of Government, and the popular phrenzy of the times, it was Vol. XV.

a wonderful and most flattering proof of the high degree of estimation in which his right honourable friend stood with the intelligent citizens of one of the first cities in the kingdom. Mr. Adam stated, as an argument against agreeing to that clause of the address, which approved of the dissolution of Parliament, and thanked His Majesty for it, that as that measure was taken without any public necessity, and against the most positive declarations of His Majesty's Minister, it was not to be approved of without a regular and proper enquiry into the grounds upon which the measure was taken. He stated to the House, the promise that the Minister had made, and the time at which he made it.

That the House of Commons had met on Saturday the 24th of January; that the meeting on that day was remarkable; but the solemnity which attended it was still more remarkable, and such as had never taken place on any for-

mer occasion.

On that day Mr. Powys asked the Minister, with the strongest impressions of the importance of the occasion, Whether he meant to dissolve the Parliament? and conjured him in the most emphatic manner to permit the House to meet once more. — Mr Pitt replied in the phrase which he constantly used at that time, "That he would not comprosi mise the King's prerogative by making any bargain relative to the dissolution, but that he could assure the hose nourable gentleman (Mr. Powys) that it was meant the

" House should meet again.

The House meet again.

The House met on the Monday following, the 26th of January, when Mr. Pitt declared, in words which Mr. Adam said he had taken down at the time, and shewn to several persons, who agreed they were the words spoken by the Minister, "That he never would advise His Majesty, and were he, His Majesty would not take the advice, to dissolve the Parliament; when, considering all circumstances, it would be attended with great disadvantage to the public, and that he considered the present time to be such."

Mr. Adam added, that he desired the Minister, or any of his adherents, to point out any material difference between the period of the dissolution and the time at which the Minister made the declaration — That he was aware there was one subject on which they might endeavour to state a difference; the Mutiny bill; upon that he observed, there was no real ground for argument; because, if Parliament had

been dissolved, at the period the declaration was made, a new one might have been returned, before the Mutiny bill would have expired. He observed, upon this statement of uncontrovertible sacts, that it would be highly improper to come to an immediate approbation of a dissolution, which was evidently done, in violation of the pledged word of the Minister, and the preferred opinion of his Sovereign. A dissolution, which had suspended all public business, by an unusual exertion of prerogative, while a very short and temporary suspension of public business to maintain the undoubted privileges of the House of Commons, had been loudly complained of.

Lord North faid, he had been in hopes that Ministers would Ld. North. have proposed such an Address, as should have met with no opposition, nor been liable to any objection. On the contrary, they had so managed it, as to set off hostilely, and lay the foundation for many future bickerings, much heart-burning, and much discontent. He stated the different grounds on which the late diffolution might be argued, with a view to its justification, and said, that regarded as a matter of convenience to Ministers, they certainly were not to be blamed for it; but then it was a bad principle to lay down, that Ministers were justified in proceeding to the adoption of any measure of that magnitude and importance merely for their own convenience. Having contended that Ministers had acted rashly in dissolving Parliament in the midst of a session, and declared that they could not urge as a plea for it any conduct on the part of opposition, that impeded the progress of the public business; his Lordship stated that the measure had been of itfelf a very confiderable means of procrastination and delay, both of which must necessarily be considered as extremely disadvantageous to the Public, under the present circumstances of the country. If any man had a right to be angry with the last Parliament, his Lordship said, it was himself; for at the commencement of that Parliament, he had been seated high in power, and apparently poffessed the confidence of a considerable majority of the House of Commons. His division on the Address had been 212. The division of opposition was nearly what it had been that day, viz. 130, and yet flat-tering as these facts appeared to be at the time, in two or three years after he was obliged to quit his high situation. He advised the right honourable gentleman, therefore, not to rely too confidently on his own fecurity, nor to exult too triumphantly on his present majority.

Mr. Fox spoke in support of the amendment. He consi-Mr. Foxed dered the calling upon those of the late Parliament—a Parlia—

F'2 ment

Digitized by Google

ment deferving of as much praise as any Parliament that had ever fat, to subscribe to their own condemnation, by acceding to an Address containing a paragraph applauding and thanking His Majesty for his dissolution of it, to be adding a degree of infult to victory, and exercising an arrogant and indecent triumph at the expence of the conquered. Mr. Fox entered into a general defence of the India bill, declaring that he shrunk not from the responsibility of that measure. He was the author of it; and if there was guilt in the having proposed it, he was chargeable with that guilt. Personally responsible for having brought forward the bill, he could not be, fo as to be made subject to personal punishment, but responsible in the only manner in which responsibility for such conduct could attach, he certainly was; responsible with his character, responsible at the tribunal of the Public. He was glad that he had rifqued his fituation for that bill, even though it was loft; and though he never, perhaps, might again be a Minister, he would ever persist in maintaining, that the man who was afraid of risquing every thing personal on a measure of importance, on a measure that he was himself persuaded was a good measure for the country, was unfit to be a Minis-The India bill, he was well convinced, was that fort of measure; and he was persuaded, when it should hereaster be coolly confidered, when the necessity for it on the one hand, and the defign, scope, and extent of it on the other, were fairly viewed, and equally balanced, he had no doubt but it would be admitted to be a good, a falutary, and a proper bill for the purpose. That he meant either now, or at any other time, to shrink from it, was untrue; he never had, he never would shrink from it; he avowed it originally, he avowed it then. In like manner he avowed the Resolution on which the Address to His Majesty was founded, that defired that no diffolution might take place; a prayer which the House had been given to understand should be complied with. On the present occasion, therefore, he charged Ministers with having broken the Royal word, and faid one thing to the House, while they meant to do another. After dwelling upon this for some time, Mr. Fox said, the conduct of the Minister in his endeavour that day to trample upon opposition, and treat it contemptuously, betrayed something, as it were, like the passion of insolence. He cautioned the right honourable gentleman, therefore, not to exult so exceedingly in his change of fituation, nor to triumph too inordinately, because the diffolution had answered his purpose. Majorities were not always fecure, nor always fleady. Let the right honourable gentleman look to the year 1709, and let him recollect that there

there was such a person as Dr. Sacheverel. Not that he meant to degrade the right honourable gentleman's talents by putting them on a footing with those of Sacheverel, but merely to remind him, that in the year 1709 the times were exceedingly fimilar to the times at present, and the new Parliament, that had then been just called, were to the full as partial to Dr. Sacheverel, as the present new Parliament was obviously partial to the right honourable gentleman. who had got possession of the Government then (during the four last years of Queen Anne) would, in all probability, have destroyed the Constitution, had not the hands of Providence happily interposed and prevented it. Mr. Fox recommended it to Mr. Pitt to bring forward the necessary measures to prevent the illicit practices upon the revenue, commending the very excellent Reports that had been made upon that subject by the Committee of the last Parliament; he faid, whenever the right honourable gentleman did this, or brought forward any proposition to support the credit of the nation, he might depend on his support, as he wished the public business to go on without farther interruption. He took notice of what Mr. Milnes had faid about York, and declared, that re-fpectable as that gentleman might be, he did not believe that either the House or the city of York would be benefited by the exchange.

Mr. Milnes rose to explain. He said, he verily believed, Mr. Milnes the politics of Lord John Cavendish, and the measures he

had supported, lost him the city of York.

Mr. Chancellor Pitt apologized for rifing at fo late an hour, Mr. Chandeclaring, that what had fallen in the course of the debate, cellor Pitt. made it necessary that he should say a few words. He then faid, he was fully convinced of the importance of unanimity. and was extremely defirous of procuring it, if unanimity could be obtained; but he was not for purchasing a hollow unanimity, by blinking a great constitutional question, and passing over the dissolution of the last Parliament when it was fo clearly established, that it had given the most solid satisfaction throughout the kingdom. As on the one hand, he was not willing to blink that great question, for the sake of the unanimity of an hour, so on the other, he was not afraid to state fairly how far he did conceive the present Address would preclude and pledge the House, when they came hereafter to discuss the circumstance of the dissolution, should appear, that in the conduct of the dissolution, there had been any trifling irregularity or error, he should in that case think the present Address precluded any censure for such irregularity; but God forbid, that the Address, or any thing

elle, should prevent any capital criminality, if criminality of that fort there should be discovered in the dissolution, from being fairly brought out, fully investigated, and if proved, punished with due severity. Having said this, the Chancellor, mentioned among the fortunate events that had happened, the final conclusion of the Definitive Treaty with the States General. He next took notice of the various arguments that had been used in the debate, and by collecting them severally from Lord North, Mr. Adam, and Mr. Fox, and comparing the violences of one with the other, threw an air of ridicule upon the whole. He took notice of Mr. Fox's having had the firmness to avow the India bill, and still to glory in it, although he lost what he risqued-his power and situation by He faid this firmness was not to be sufficiently admired; but that Mr. Fox's declaring himself willing to bear the refponfibility was a little ridiculous, because in the very next fentence he declared the responsibility to amount to nothing, as he could not be made perfonally responsible to any punishment for having merely proposed a bill to Parliament. only responsibility he was liable to, was the responsibility of character, and responsibility at the tribunal of the Public. This fort of responsibility, Mr. Pitt observed, had already been brought to the test. Mr. Fox had been tried before that tribunal of the Public, who had nearly unanimously found him guilty. He also adverted to what Lord North had said of the last Parliament, and owned, that if any thing could be a justification of that Parliament, the noble Lord had stated the fingle merit, that would indeed ferve to cover a multitude of fins, viz. he had stated that the last Parliament had the virtue to put an end to his Administration, and to the ruinous and calamitous war which the noble Lord had brought upon the country. He would not, he faid, at that late hour, attempt to argue the case; but leaving it under the broad shield which the noble Lord had thrown over it, he would proceed to take notice of two or three observations that had fallen from the right honourable gentleman opposite to him, and from the right honourable gentleman's learned friend behind him, relative to that "glorious check to the career of Ministers," as it was called, the Westminster election. The ingenuity of the learned gentleman had found out, that the right honourable gentleman's election was almost unanimous, although they had that day been enquiring why no return had been made, and had learnt, that many thousands voted for two other candidates. But, said the learned gentleman,

the fuccess was wonderful, confidering that the right honourable gentleman had to contend with the powers of public office, with the powers of the India Company, and with, what the learned gentleman was pleased to term, popular phrenzy. The right honourable gentleman, said Mr. Pitt, has to lament, that he had to contend with the powers of public office, because he endeavoured to subvert Government - He has to lament, that he had to contend with the East India Company, because he endeavoured to seize upon their property, and to seize upon their most sacred rights, fanctioned by charters, and fecured to them by statutes; and he has to lament, that he had to contend with what is termed the popular phrenzy, because the People at large have seen and condemned his conduct - But what allies the right honourable gentleman had to fight for him are not noticed - The degree of influence used in his favour has not been observed upon, nor any respect paid to those charms, which alone can supersede every other consideration among us all, and command unanimity, when nothing else could occasion it. Having pursued this vein of mixed farcasin and ridicule, Mr. Pitt took notice of Mr. Fox's glories not being merely confined to Westminster, but extended to the extremest corner of the island, to which the right honourable gentleman's partialities had not formerly gone. — His fuccess at Ross and Kirkwall ought not, he thought, to be denied its share of praise - It was well intitled to

" Pursue the triumph and partake the gale."

Having entertained the House considerably with this part of his speech, Mr. Pitt brought his harangue to a conclusion, by declaring that he could not consent, either at the price of unanimity, or for any other consideration, to alter the ad-

dress in any part of it.

Lord Fielding rose next; but the cry of "Question, quest Lord Field"tion," was so generally vociferated, that it was some time ingbefore his Lordship could go on, and then only for a short
time. He moved "That the address of the House in Janu"ary last should be read." It was read accordingly; and
Mr. Fox moved "That the original resolution might be read"likewise," which was complied with.

At length the question was put, and the House divided,

Ayes, (for the amendment) - 114 Noes, - - 282

Digitized by Google

The main question was then put, and carried; and a

Committee was appointed to prepare the address.

Mr. Lee afterwards moved "That Mr. Grojan, the De-"puty Bailiff of Westminster, attend at the Bar this day." Ordered.

May 25.

The House proceeded to establish the orders for the regulation of petitions complaining of undue elections and returns; and after a good deal of debate they agreed to the following order: — That the petitions should be classed — 1st, Petitions in cases of double returns; 2dly, Petitions against members returned for two or more places; 3dly, Petitions complaining of returns only — This was a new distinction, moved by Mr. Gascoyne—and 4thly, Petitions in all other cases. — After this was settled, a number of petitions were presented, and days fixed for taking them into consideration.

A debate occurred on a petition from the right honourable Charles-James Fox, complaining of there not having been any return made to the writ for Westminster, and stat-

ing all the circumstances of the election.

As foon as this petition was read, Lord Mulgrave defired to know upon what ground this petition was delivered, under a claim to be heard before a Committee, appointed under the authority of Mr. Grenville's bill? As the best means of coming at this, his Lordship moved, "That an act made in the 10th of His present Majesty, entitled, An act to regulate the trials of controverted elections, or refreturns of members to serve in Parliament, might be read."

Mr. Fox.

Mr. Fox said, he considered himself as entitled to petition the House, and to have that petition referred to a Committee, to be chosen according to the regulations of Mr. Grenville's bill, for which reason he had delivered the petition which had been just read; and he meant to move, if there was no objection stated to it, that the said petition go to a Committee to be balloted for on Friday se'nnight.

Lord Mulgrave. Lord Mulgrave said, he had moved to have the clause of the act read, which he took to be persectly regular, and therefore desired it might be read, as he did not conceive the petition came within the act: and in that case he should make a motion, somewhat different from that proposed to be made by the right honourable gentleman.

The

The clause of the act was read.

Lord Mulgrave then entered into a discussion of the true intent and meaning of Mr. Grenville, when he originally proposed the bill, declaring, that he was in Parliament, and took a confiderable part in carrying the bill through against the powerful enemies it had to contend with at the time. He declared, the fole purvieu of the bill went to the feats of parties, that it ordered notice to be sent of the Committee, &c. to the petitioners and litting members, and could not be construed as having any relation to elections pending. In explanation, and by way of illustrating this affertion, his Lordship quoted Mr. Grenville's expressions at the time the bill was under confideration; from whence he declared, that all election matters and merits not specifically and obviously included within the purvieu of Mr. Grenville's bill, remained subject to the old common law, as it stood before the passing of the statute, and that consequently the petition upon the table could only be received and confidered by the House as any other petition that was without the meaning of Mr. Grenville's bill. The petitioner might be heard by his Counsel at the bar, in support of it, and to such a motion he should have no objection; but at present he should move, "That the faid petition does not come within the " description of a petition complaining of an undue election " or return of a member or members to serve in Parliament, " the proceedings upon which are regulated by two acts, " made in the 10th and 11th years of his present Majesty's " reign, for regulating the trials of controverted elections, " or returns of members to serve in Parliament,"

The Master of the Rolls (Sir Lloyd Kenyon) seconded the The Master motion, and affigned his reasons for being clearly of opinion of the Rolls with the noble Lord. He faid, the act of Mr. Grenville, of which he thought highly, clearly regarded fitting members only, and provided for the trial of an election cause between parties. In the present case there were no parties, there had been no election, there was no return. It was impossible to say who was chosen, and there was but one petitioner. If he was to give his opinion upon the paper that had been delivered to the Sheriff by the High Bailiff, he should certainly not pronounce it a return of members, for it flated not who were, according to the judgement of the returning officer, duly elected: it was merely a return of another kind, a history of the proceedings at the Westminfler poll; an account of the res gesta there, by way of apo-Vol. XV.

:42

logy made by the High Bailiff to the Sheriff, as a justification of himfelf for not being able to make a complete return. The statute of the 12th of King William had been 2 good deal mentioned the preceding day; it was, he faid, to him no new business; and he had his doubts, whether that statute had any reference whatever to the High Bailiff. He was inclined to think it had not, because no such person as the High Bailiff, or any other returning officer, other than the Sheriff, was recognised in it. That act ordered the Sheriff to make his return to the writs, for the election of members to serve in Parliament, to the Clerk of the Crown. and directed, that the Sheriff should pay to the said Clerk of the Crown the ancient and lawful fees of four shillings. and no more, for every knight of the shire, and two shillings, and no more, for every citizen, burgefs, or baron of the Cinque Ports, and should charge the same in his accounts. Upon this, the Master of the Rolls reasoned, to prove, that the Sheriff alone was amenable to the flatute. asking what account the High Bailiff ever kept at the Crown office, and what fees he ever paid there? He concluded with declaring, that he was clear in his opinion, that the petition of the right honourable gentleman came not within the meaning of Mr. Grenville's bill.

Mr. Fox.

Mr. Fox declared, that he would be free to confess, that in one point he was rather inclined to the opinion of the learned gentleman, and that was merely with respect to the return; but that although his petition was not within the letter of the bill of Mr. Grenville, he was persuaded it came within the spirit of it, and he hoped the House would have suffered it to go to a Committee, though he saw pretty plainly that it was not their intention. He took notice of the Master of the Rolls having declared that it was no new bufiness: he faid, he verily believed it was not a new business to any of the learned gentlemen he saw opposite to him, for he was perfunded they had all been confulted in the contrivance and fabrication of the curious device that had been hit upon. which, though it was not to be deemed to far a return as to permit him to petition the House upon it, so as to obtain a hearing before a Committee upon their oaths, it was nevertheless to be decined a return sufficient to exculpate and save an atrocious delinquent from punishment. From this remark. Mr. Fox proceeded to state the peculiar difficulties that had been thrown in the way of his being returned for Westminster. He said every other candidate in the kingdom had been allowed

allowed to try his chance fairly; but it appeared to have been determined by Administration, that let Mr. Fox have ever fo great a majority, let him even have had all the votes of all the electors, that unfortunate candidate was to have been prevented from taking his feat for Westminster. had been the stratagems put in practice to defeat his election. At first the defign seemed to have been, to poll any votes for his rival, no matter how bad; but that method was foon abandoned as too glaringly partial to be persisted in; then probably the idea of making a double return was paused upon; but as in that case a petition would have carried the matter before a Committee of the House, under Mr. Grenville's bill, the right to the feat would have been decided sooner than it was wished to be, and therefore that plan was likewise abandoned; all along Administration had used their whole weight and influence against him, and aggravated the expence to the utmost, by way of harraffing the enemy as much as possible: last of all, the ingenious thought of drawing up for the High Bailiff, such a paper as would preserve him from danger, though the unfortunate candidate could neither proceed upon it one way nor the other, fo as to obtain that feat which he was fairly entitled to, was adopted and carried into practice. , Mr. Fox added other arguments to prove how far the persecution was carried; if he attempted to avail himself of one statute, to which, from the complection of his case, it appeared to be applicable, he was immediately told, it had no reference to that act of Parliament: if he looked to another with a hope that it would protect him, and obtain that for him which in reason and justice he had a right to claim, he was told, he was as wide of the mark as ever, and so on: he had no doubt he should find it ad infinitum. With regard to a scrutiny, should the House be of opinion that it ought to proceed, what hope could_he entertain of getting his feat for years? In London the scrutiny had continued for ten days, and about twenty votes only had been gone through; in what time then was it likely that the poll for Westminster should be brought to a conclusion? He took notice that a learned friend of his, speaking of the partiality of the electors towards him, had carried the paradox rather too far, and declared, that the votes for him had been almost universal; he would not venture to say this; but though he had not a majority of votes, yet it might fairly be faid, that when a candidate like him, a known object of the enmity and persecution of Government, ventured to stand for Westminfter. to obtain an equality of votes, he must have a majority of wishes. He observed, that the Latin word votum admitted of two translations, both of which applied to his case: for he might be faid to have enjoyed the majority of the voices of his constituents, or he could not have been honoured with an equality of their votes. If, however, he had been chosen by the unanimous votes of all the Westminster electors, and Lord Hood and Sir Cecil Wray had chosen to demand a scrutiny, he was perfuaded they might have kept him out of the House the whole session, perhaps the whole Parliament. As the case stood, he was fincerely of opinion, that his Petition ought, from its coming within the spirit of Mr. Grenville's bill, to go to a Committee; there were parties, complete parties to try the cause between; Lord Hood and he were one party; Sir Cegil Wray and his electors another; Sir Cecil Wray ought to petition, and then they would be properly before the House. As to there being no sitting members-What sitting members were there in the case of a double return? Mr. Fox argued upon the necessity for a new law to be made, in order to bring cases like his within the iurisdiction of Mr. Grenville's bill; at present, he said, those who affected to be most fond of that statute, took most pains to narrow its scope and confine its effects. This had that day been fully exemplified in the case of the Bedfordshire Petition. He saw the utility of that bill more and more. and he wished to extend its jurisdiction to all possible cases of election. He remarked, that he had somewhere heard something of the kindness shewn him by the electors of Ross and Kirkwall, when his election for Westminster was, as it had been termed, in its most forlorn state. Upon that head, he could only fay, that he was as much furprifed, when he learnt that he was to be returned for Kirkwall, as any one gentleman in the House could be. The honour was altogether unexpected by him, nor did he hear of it, till two days before he was chosen; but though he had not looked there for a resource, yet it would have been the height of imprudence for him, if he meant to be in Parliament, to have trusted folely to Westminster. Of that he was aware all along, and his opinion was now fully confirmed. Mr. Fox, before he fat down, faid, it was his duty to present the Petition, and to get it referred to a Committee under Mr. Grenville's bill. if he could; he owed it to his constituents; and he wished either to have a declaration from that House, that the High Bailiff's paper was a return, or an order for him to make one. · He

He was fure he was legally chosen, and that by a considerable majority, althought it might be thought he was not. Poffibly some hundreds who had voted for him would say, they voted for Sir Cecil Wray, and so he was persuaded would others who voted for Sir Cecil Wray fay, they voted for him; but he knew the fact was not fo; and that as to any real mistake. of that kind, if there were any, there could be but very few. The feat he claimed as his right; he was contending for the possession by virtue of that right, and he wished to have it fairly tried; if Sir Cecil Wray should appear to be legally chosen, let him take his seat; at any rate, Lord Hood ought to have his feat, and the electors for Westminster be represented in some fort in Parliament. - Mr. Fox, in the course of his speech, asked the Master of the Rolls, if, when he, the preceding day, called out audi alteram partem, he translated the word alteram, as alluding only to one of the two parties? He also mentioned the learned gentleman's having given the Public to understand by his vote for Westminster. that he fived in his stables. Before he concluded, Mr. Fox faid, he should not take the sense of the House by a division, but if the question were carried against him, (as he supposed, from the present temper and disposition of the House, it would be), he should move afterwards, that leave be given to bring up the Petition, and that he might be heard by this Counsel upon it at the bar of the House.

The Master of the Rolls (Sir L. Kenyon) rose to explain, and The Master first he took notice of what Mr. Fox had thrown out with of the Rolls. respect to the business not being new to him. The expression which he had used, and which Mr. Fox had twisted and tortured to ingeniously, was, he declared, that the eleventh of King William was not new to him; and when he faid so, he alluded to his being conversant with that statute, the fact being, that having heard Mr. Fox the preceding day pledge himself to bring a popular action against the High Bailiff on that act of Parliament; when he went home from the House. he had read the statute, and formed the opinion, which he had rather hinted than delivered that evening. With regard to the paper of the High Bailiff, he declared, upon his honour, that he had never seen, or even heard of it, till he heard it read in the House. He would, as he was upon his legs, add one thing more; the honourable gentleman had suggested, and he had seen it stated in almost all the newspapers, that he had voted for his stables. The fact was, a part of his house, which was a pretty large one, stood in St.

Clement's

Clement's parish. When he voted, Mr. Baldwin, a very refpectable Counfel, and a voluntary agent for Mr. Fox, was present, and told him at the time, that his vote was as good a one as any vote that had been taken.

Mr. Chancellor Pitt;

Mr. Chancellor Pitt contended, that inflead of fairly and dispassionately arguing the petition upon the table on either of its true grounds, viz. on the construction of the statute. or on the orders and practice of parliament, the right honourable gentleman had chosen, as usual, to throw out a great deal of inflammatory affertion, to scatter his invectives at random. and to mix much extraneous matter with his arguments, of a fort that could have been introduced with no other motive. than for the purpose of confounding truth and faisehood, and by that means milleading the judgements, and alarming the prejudices of the House, and of all who heard him. Had the right honourable gentleman fairly argued his petition, the whole merits of the case would have been found to lie in a narrow compass, and it would have been a very easy matter to follow his facts, and to put the question in its true point The question, Mr. Pitt said, was not, what had happened during the election for Westminster, nor what the difficulties were, which the enmity of Administration had provided for the unfortunate candidate, who had taken up for much of the time of the House, in stating and describing the lamentable circumstances that had befallen him. The question merely was, did the petition upon the table come within the purvieu of Mr. Grenville's bill? If it did, it undoubtedly ought to go to a Committee; but if the House should be of opinion that it did not, undoubtedly it was neither confonant with the orders of the House, that it should remain on the table, nor right that it should interrupt the regular business the House was at that time engaged in, viz. the receiving petitions complaining of undue elections. - The unfortunate candidate, among many other of the melancholy grievances he complained of, and which he had taken fuch pains to impress upon the minds of the House, with a view to hold himself out to the Public as an object of the most unexampled ministerial perfecution, had faid, that let him refort to what statute he would, still he was to be told he could derive no benefit from it. To what was this to be afcribed, but to the right honourable gentleman (or rather, as he had chosen to describe himself, to the unfortunate candidate's) choosing to resort to such acts of Parliament as could not, by any fair construction of any of their clauses. be admitted to be at all applicable to his case? Statutes, however beneficial in their operation, however defervedly popufar, must ever be taken as they were, and construed according to their clear import. Every act of Parliament had its particular object, and could not be made applicable to such cases, as by their express wording, their provisions did not extend to. This was the case with Mr. Grenville's bill; and the petition upon the table, to which the clause of the act that the House had heard read, had no reference whatever. Nor was there any thing in the argument of the unfortunate candidate, that in the case of a double return, there were no fitting members. The fact was, to some purposes, on every double return, there were four fitting members instead of two. With regard to the statute of King William's not applying, he knew not whether the unfortunate candidate had most cause to lament, or the fortunate High Bailiff, (against whom the right honourable gentleman had so vehemently denounced his vengeance, threatening him with his indignation, and declaring his determination to make him, if possible, a facrifice to his disappointment), had most reason to rejoice at the circumstance. Happy it undoubtedly was for the High Bailiff, that it turned out that he had duly discharged his duty. and fully complied with his oath, and with all that the statute required at his hands, in stating his reasons for not having made the return which the unfortunate candidate had expected. With respect to the pointed charge which the unfortunate candidate had made against his learned friend, the charge of not coming new to the business, every man who was acquainted with the respectable character of the learned gentleman, and his uncommon there of professional knowledge and professional learning, would not only wonder if the eleventh of King William were new to him, but even if almost any of the laws in our Statute Book came under that description: indeed the right honourable gentleman had forgot that he himself had taken care that the business should not be new to any part of the House, fince on the first day of the session, before a Speaker was chosen, and before any business whatever could be brought on, confistently with the forms and practice of the House, he had risen and urged it most indecently upon their notice. The right honourable gentleman, not contented with most foully and illiberally abusing Administration, and imputing to them defigns which they had not dreamt of, and devices which they had never practifed; not contented with infulting the understandings of that House, contemning its decisions, and arraigning its justice, the right honourable gentleman had ex-

conded even his usual scope, and carried his invective beyond those walls, comprehending all his constituents in one general censure: a censure that involved in it the charge of perjury. IA cry from the other fide of the house of No! No! 1 So loon had the right honourable gentleman forgot his obligations to the electors of Westminster, so soon had he lost fight of the arts of ingratiating himself in their favour, which he had been studiously practifing for the last two months with unexampled zeal, and, according to his own account, with fuch unexampled fucceis, that he had not only obtained a" majority of their votes, but, according to his own elegant translation of the Latin word votum, a majority of their voices, and even what was still more, a majority of their wishes. With regard to the time that a scrutiny would take. the bare mention that in the election of Vandeput and Trentham, 1500 votes were fet aside in the course of one fession only, when sessions were much shorter than they had been of late years, was sufficient to prove, that the unfortunate candidate had very greatly aggravated the length of time a scruting was likely to take up before it could be compleated: and as to the imputed wish of Ministers to keep the city of Westminster without its representatives, he would leave it to the good sense of gentlemen to determine, whether it was likely that Administration should entertain so absurd a wish. as that of preventing Lord Hood and Sir Cecil Wray (who probably might be the members returned at the end of the scrutiny) from taking their seats, since it was pretty well known that both those gentlemen were actuated by sentiments, and that they entertained political principles friendly to the present Government. He said, what impressed his mind, from an impartial confideration of the whole of the case, and which he presumed made the same impression on the minds of all candid men, was, the necessity for a new law to regulate the elections for Westminster in future; a law that might direct the duration, and limit the continuance of those elections, so that the Bailiff of Westminster should be able to take the poll, and even conclude a scrutiny, (should a scrutiny in his judgement appear to be necessary) in sufficient time to enable him to make a complete return to the Sheriff. by the period appointed in the writ for such return to be made to the Clerk of the Crown. An act of Parliament like that he had described, Mr. Pitt said, appeared to him to be highly necessary; and he trusted he should have the affistance and support of the right honourable gentleman, and of the whole House, when a bill for the purpose should be submitted to their confideration; by that means endeavouring to convince the Public at large of the wisdom and practicability of a measure, which ever had been, and still was, nearest his heart, viz. a sober, temperate, and useful reform of the state of parliamentary representation. He wished the case of the Westminster election to be fully heard, and impartially discussed; but he was sirmly of opinion that the petition came not within the purvieu of Mr. Grenville's bill; and that being of that opinion, his regard for the rules and orders of the House obliged him not only to vote against the petition's going to a Committee, but against its remaining on the table, till it came there in a manner more consonant to the orders of the House, and the practice of Parliament.

The motion was agreed to; after which the petition was

withdrawn.

May 27.

The House went up to St. James's with their address; to which His Majesty was pleased to return the following most gracious answer:

" Gentlemen,

" I return you my most cordial thanks for this very loyal " and dutiful address.

"The affectionate expressions of attachment from my faithful Commons, and their zealous regard to the princisuples of our invaluable Constitution, must ever afford me the most fincere satisfaction."

May 31.

Mr. Burke rose, and said, he wished to say a few words Mr. Burke, upon a subject well worthy the attention of the House. The Parliament had been assembled under circumstances so new and extraordinary, that if there ever was a time when it behoved men to look about them, and consider themselves and their characters, this was the time. It was highly ne cessary that they should know precisely the nature of the ground they trod, and the fort of law that was to govern their future conduct within these walls. His Majesty's speech from the throne at the opening of the session, and the address voted upon it, although couched in pretty general terms, and holding a language far from objectionable in the abstract, involved a variety of weighty and important matters, that called for as serious and deliberate a discussion as any subjects that ever had been submitted to the consideration Vol. XV.

of any Parliament. Meaning therefore, at fome future day, to bring them before the House, in such a manner as should challenge their maturest attention, he rose then in fairness and candour to declare, that such was his design, in order that gentlemen might have sufficient time to consider the topics touched upon in His Majesty's speech, and to weigh their import and tendency with that degree of examination and reflection that their magnitude merited. The points which the speech, and the address of the House, principally held out, were three: the first, the dissolution of the late Parliament, for which the House had thanked His Majesty. Undoubtedly, to dissolve a Parliament was a legal exercise of the prerogative, and prima facie a right thing. It was, therefore, proper to thank His Majesty for doing what appeared prima facie to be right; but there might be circumstances (he was not then saying that there were, because that was not the fit moment for the discussion) which might prove, that what was prima facie right, was altogether unjustifiable, and a most violent and unwarrantable exercise of power as ever was put in practice. The second point the speech and address went to, was the mention of what had been done in relation to the East-India Company; and this commemoration carried along with it a strong infinuation, that something unconstitutional had been attempted on that head in the last Par-· liament. This commemoration, therefore, was commemoratio quasi exprobatio, and called for consideration and enquiry, fince it regarded the conduct of Parliaments in general, and it being highly necessary that the House should know how far they might safely proceed in suture respecting the fort of bills, that might or might not be introduced without subjecting a House of Commons to the reprehension of having acted unconstitutionally. proceedings might, he observed, be instituted and held in progress, which as bills and proceedings merely, might be liable to the imputation of being unconstitutional, because it was the completion they received, and the fanction and fiat of the whole Legislature, that changed them from bills and proceedings to acts of Parliament, and made them constitutional; it was therefore a very nice question, how they could proceed fafely, to avoid the reprehension of having acted unconstitutionally in respect to their bills; because, as the matter stood, unexplained and obscure, every bill they passed that did receive the fanction and support of either.

either of the other branches of the Legislature, might fubject that House to the imputation of having acted unconstitutionally. The third great point in the speech was to be found at the conclusion, where it was recommended to the House, to take especial care to guard and preserve the constitutional balance of King, Lords, and Commons. This part of the speech, Mr. Burke observed, was likewise commemorative, and feemed to convey an idea, that the last Parliament had not preserved the constitutional balance alluded to; it became, therefore, highly necessary, that the charge should be examined, in order, if there was guilt in the last Parliament, that the degree of it should be ascertained. Upon these considerations it was, that he meant to bring forward some proposition on the subject, and he had taken that opportunity of making the few observations he had offered to the House, from a principle of candour and fairness; with the double view of apprising gentlemen fully of his, intention, and of affording them time to revolve the subject in their minds previous to his bringing it under discussion. Mr. Burke concluded with giving notice, that he should make a proposition upon the King's speech and address next Wednesday (to-morrow) fe'nnight.

Mr. Chancellor Pitt said, it was certainly extremely fair Mr. Chanin the honourable gentleman, to give notice of his intention celler Pitt. to make some proposition upon the speech and the address next week, but perhaps as the honourable gentleman had declared, he did it with a view to enable the House to make themselves equal to the task of discussing the subject properly, when it should be brought before them; his purpose would have been better answered, had he signified what fort of a proposition he had in contemplation. Mr. Pitt declared, he had listened to the honourable gentleman, with all possible attention, and he was wholly at a loss to know to what his notice pointed. All that he had been able to collect was, that His Majesty's speech at the opening of the fession, and the address of the House in answer to it, turned on three important circumstances; namely, the dissolution of the late Parliament—the situation of the East-India Company's affairs—and the necessity for strictly and religiously preserving that balance which the Constitution designed should be preserved, with regard to the three distinct branches of the Legislature. Perhaps it might be the opinion of most gentlemen, as he owned it was his opinion,

Digitized by Google'

opinion, that the proper time for making any remarks on the King's speech was past, because the sittest moment for fuch remarks being offered, appeared to him to have been that moment, when an address was moved upon the speech, and was under the discussion of the House: at any rate, he shought the honourable gentleman ought to carry his candour and his fairness at least one step farther, and to let the House know what it was he really intended to propose, and on which he had declared himself anxious that gentlemen should make up their minds.

Mr. Burke.

Mr. Burke, in reply, complained of what Mr. Pitt had faid as a fneer at his infignificance. He remarked, that whenever men were more than ordinary candid, they were fure to subject themselves to inconvenience. This was his case at present. He begged leave therefore, to observe, that however the right honourable gentleman might enjoy the advantage of the opportunity for a personal reprehenfion, which he had afforded him, that the importance of the confiderations he meant to bring forward, would fufficiently apologife for the want of importance in the individual who prefumed to introduce them to the notice of the House. When they were under discussion, the right honourable gentleman might be assured, that the mover of them would wholly disappear, and be lost in their superior consideration. With regard to explaining his meaning more fully, so far from its appearing to him to be neceffary, he was persuaded that he had been induced, from a principle of candour, to go farther into the subject, and to open it much more than he need to have done, or than usually was done on fimilar occasions. What he had faid already was, he was perfuaded, fufficient to give the House every necessary intimation of his defign.

'The House then was moved to resolve itself into a Committee of supply, when Mr. Chancellor Pitt moved, "That

" Mr. Gilbert take the Chair."

This occasioned a short debate, as the opposition side of the House contended for Mr. Ord, the old Chairman; but the Chancellor's motion was carried; and Mr. Gilbert took the chair in the Committee.

Mr. Brett.

Mr. Brett moved, that 26,000 feamen, including 4400 marines, be the number employed for the service of the current year.

Admiral



Admiral Sir Thomas Frankland rose, not to object to the number of seamen. He said, victory depended not absolutely on numbers and mere courage; conduct and discipline only would enfure conquest: the courage of the failor was heightened by the knowledge his Captain had of his profession, who ought to keep up so strict a discipline as to leave his officers and crew no room to harbour any other thoughts but submission and obedience. He complained loudly, that all subordination and discipline were lost in the navy: and faid, this being the time of profound peace, if an effectual reform, proper and falutary regulations and instructions relating to His Majesty's service at sea, were not immediately adopted and established, we were a ruined, undone nation. And he much lamented the confuming state of thehavy; declared the evil arose from the unwarrantable appointment of children to commands: boys who had no capacity to execute, or judgement to diffinguish; who had feen no fervice so as to acquire experience, yet were set over officers of known abilities; over men who could do honour to the service, but were disgusted with it, since merit was no longer the road to advancement. Under fuch discouragements, it was no wonder that they were sick of an unconnected, disjointed service; of obeying commanders of opposite principles and political sentiments, and indignant at receiving orders from young lads of the age of their own children, who understood not the orders which they gave them to execute, This grievance must breed contempt, and destroy all subordination and discipline, not only to the injury, but to the annihilation of the fervice. Decay of discipline, was at all times the loss of sailors by desertion, and the cause of shame to the officers. mas flated many cases in point; one Captain having two children appointed his Lieutenants, refused to keep them, but faid, if it was infifted upon that he should, they must fend another Captain to his ship, for he would not command her. Another Captain refused receiving two other boy Lieutenants, and for so doing, was brought to a Court Martial, but was acquitted with honour. Another Captain had one appointed to his ship, the child was not permitted to do any duty all the passage home from Jamaica, but his Captain told him to go into the gun-room among his boys, and improve himself in navigation. In several thips the old officers would not be relieved by children, their brother officers, as they could not trust them with

the charge of the watch. - A Lieutenant was appointed to a ship, who had only been one year at sea. And to fuch a state was our navy now reduced, that young officers frequently had fent their Captains to Coventry, which furely was quarrelling with their superior officer, which by the articles of war was punishable with death. How was our navy degenerated! for Captains to bear fuch infults, and fuffer themselves to be treated not even with common civility and respect by their inferior officers; even the swabbers affected the fame manners, and would exhibit figns of contempt while the Captain walked the deck; for difrespect spreaded like wildfire. Sir Thomas expatiated on the ill confequence of fuch appointments, and observed, it was contrary to all the established rules, articles, and orders of the service; it being ordered, which he begged to read, "That no person " shall be preferred to a Lieutenancy but such as have " ferved fix years at fea, and have been rated two of the fix e years as Midshipman or Mate, in some of His Majesty's " ships. They must produce good certificates from the " commanders they have ferved with, and be in all respects " qualified for a Lieutenant, and not under twenty years of "age: they may then be preferred, and not otherwise." --After reading this fecond article of the Navy Instructions, "in regard to the appointment of officers in foreign parts," he wondered how any officer could be made so young, and of fuch fhort standing in the service, but mostly, how three principal Captains or commanders could certify to their passing regular examinations, when they must be sensible that age, service, and qualifications were all three deficient. By their figning such certificates of examination, the nation was put to great expence, as most of the masts and yards sprung and carried away, lives loft and drowned, fails split and blown away from the yards, ships crippled, perhaps lost! were caused by the ignorance of these officers. He could prove instances of Captains of the forecastle and Boatswains' Mates going to the Master (an officer formerly, as well as his mates, of consequence to the service, but now almost lost to it) to beg he would get up and come upon the deck, for it looked very black and stormy to windward, and no orders from their macaroni officers were given either to reef or take in their top-fails, and prepare the ship for a gale of wind. Even Quarter-masters had run into their Captain's cabins on fimilar errands. Could gentlemen be surprised that the old feamen complained of not being able to fleep in their hammocks, and deferted the very first opportunity they, had;

and that the old veteran Lieutenants avoided the service. be-

ing diffatisfied and difgusted with the same? Sir Thomas hoped these young Captains were never permitted to fit on Court Martials, as the Judge Advocate ought not to administer a corporal oath to a Captain not being of a lawful age. He concluded with faying many of our guardships were now not half manned, nor ever would be with volunteers, if the fervice was not put on a more respectable sooting, and the pay of the able feamen increased to 30s. a month, and some scheme planned for providing for their wives and families: For defertion was now as great as it was even in the last unhappy, difgraceful, and expensive war; when people were pressed into the service to fight against their friends and relations, the North Americans; a war as unnatural, as ruinous in the event, to this country. That fomething should be thought of, and foon; peace was the time for putting the navy on the footing it was formerly. If it was not, he should move the House to appoint a Committee, as there were now sea officers to form one; men of abilities every way competent to the subject; who, by summoning to their affishance fome Admirals and Captains, might frame, and then recommend a well-regulated and complete system of instructions and laws to the then Lords Commissioners for executing the office of Lord High Admiral of Great Britain and Ireland: in order to be laid before His Majesty in Council, that officers might know how and what to obey.

Sir John Jervis complained of the state of those King's Sie John ships, frigates, sloops, and cutters sent against smuggling Jervis. vestels, and said, some regulations were actually necessary in that service. At present he believed the officers of most of His Majesty's ships and vessels employed against the smugglers. were in a state of war with all the officers of the revenue and of the customs. While this bad understanding prevailed, it was impossible to expect any effectual check could be given to smuggling. He stated the difficulties that arose from it, which, added to the difficulties otherwise incidental to the fervice, rendered the whole application of military and maritime force inadequate to the purpose for which it was destined. Sir John faid, he hoped that fome immediate regulations would be established, and among others, that His Majesty's naval officers employed against smugglers, would be allowed a larger share of the seizures in future, so that the improvement of their reward might operate as an inducement to them

to be more alert and zealous in the service.

Lord

Lord Mulgrave.

Lord Mulgrave faid, he could not help differing somewhat from the honourable gentleman in the red ribband. The fyftem of employing His Majesty's ships and vessels against fmuggling was a fallacious fystem. He knew the popular idea was, that by employing His Majesty's frigates, sloops, and cutters on this service during a peace, a nursery was provided for our feamen, and the revenue confiderably affished. It was also imagined that the service was carried 'on at no expence; for that the seizures paid, and more than paid, all the cost of it. Nothing, his Lordship declared, could be more delusive and untrue than the whole of this opinion. The fact was, and experience during the continuance of the last peace proved it, that not one year in ten did the seizures produce one half of the amount of the expence; and as to the fervice being a nursery for our seamen and officers, it ruined both. The honourable gentleman who spoke last, he doubted not, would, like himself, much rather receive an officer from any service than the smuggling service. scarcely ever knew one who had been employed upon it, that was worth having upon any other. His Lordship said, he trusted that the means in contemplation to check smuggling would, when brought forward, prove to be extremely different, and, like all his right honourable friend's measures. would turn out to be manly, vigorous, and effective.

Sir Thee, Frankland.

Sir Thomas Frankland faid, he had before forgot to state another circumstance, which called for reformation, and that was, the incompetent manning of the guard-ships. One ship was stated upon the books to have 1000 men for her complement, whereas in fact she had not 450 on board.

The resolution passed; and afterwards the customary second resolution for the men's pay of 41, per man per month.

The American Trade bill was committed, and the Solicitor

General took the chair.

Pr. Demp-

Mr. Dempster defired to know whether the bill differed materially from the former bills on the same subject; and expressed an apprehension that repeated delays of a proper system of trade might be attended with great and permanent inconveniences to commerce. He also intimated an opinion of the expediency of giving access to the Americans, in small vessels of a limited size, to the West Indies.

Mr Chancellor Pitt. Mr. Chancellor Pitt said, he was glad to be called upon to state the nature of the present bill, which would pursue verbatim the former bills on the same subject, and to which it was proposed to give the duration of a month only. As to the system of trade to be adopted, he hoped soon to bring that

that confideration before the House, and should be very glad if proper measures could be decided before the expiration of the act now proposed. As, however, that act was necessary for the regulation of very distant places, gentlemen would see the propriety of renewing it, whilst the farther conside-

ration was going forward.

Mr. Eden observed, that the proceeding of the day, and Mr. Eden. the expressions of the right honourable gentleman respecting it, called for some farther explanation. Surely, when the present bill was proposed on the second day of the session, the right honourable gentleman had signified to the House his intention to lay before the House immediately the Report of the Committee of the Privy Council, who were faid (and he believed with justice) to have bestowed a very laudable and efficient attention on the several great questions involved in the North-American trade, and in the degree of intercourse to be opened to the West Indies. Mr. Eden hoped, that from the filence now observed on that subject, he was not to infer that the proffered information was to be kept from the House, and yet that the House was to be called upon for an opinion respecting the great points to which that information related.

Mr. Dundas said, he earnestly hoped that the right ho-Mr. Dundas nourable gentleman would not persist in pressing his right ho-das. nourable friend for the Report of the Privy Council: that Report had only been compleated that day, and contained many matters of opinion, and other particulars, improper to be made public. Undoubtedly the House ought to have sufficient and satisfactory information; but in giving it, due regard must be had to caution and sound discretion.

Mr. Chancellor Pitt faid, it was certainly true that he had Mr. Chancellor Pitt faid, it was certainly true that he had Mr. Chancellor Pitt, copy of the proceedings upon the subject before the Committee of Council: he had not yet had time to peruse the sinal statement of the Report; if it was blended with any particulars improper to be made public, his promise could not be construed to imply a disclosure; but he should think it certainly right to give all satisfactory information to the House.

Mr. Eden replied, that he never meant to confider the Mr. Eden. Chancellor of the Exchequer as having committed and pledged himself to give information on the subject in any peculiar form, or to any certain extent; perhaps the Committee of the Privy Council might have obviated all diffreulty, by collecting the mere materials of information, and Vol. XV.

their statement of evidence, in one Report, and by referving their own opinions and advice for a separate Report. certainly the House would not be required either to see with the eyes, or to hear with the ears, or to judge through the intellects, of the Committee. It was a great and important question: the Ministers properly felt it their duty to propose a measure upon that question, and they would furely feel it a point both of duty and of candour to give the information by which they were led to form a judgement; and it was a matter of indifference to him, and he supposed to others, whether they gave an extract or a detail, provided that the , extract was sufficiently faithful and full. He admitted, however, that it would be right, as he foresaw that the investigation would require time, to extend the present bill to the first of August.

Mr. Chan-

Mr. Chancellor Pitt faid, that he thanked the right hocellor Pitt. nourable gentleman for his candid construction, and certainly meant to give all fatisfactory information. He added, that he had no objection to extending the bill to the day proposed. The blank was filled up accordingly with the " first of Au-"gust, 1784," and the Solicitor General quitted the chair.

Mr. Fox.

Mr. Fox presented a petition from certain electors of the city of Westminster, complaining that no return was made, and that they were liable to be taxed, though unrepresented in Parliament; the petitioners therefore prayed the House to take the facts alledged into their immediate confideration. Mr. Fox moved, as foon as it was read, " That the petition " do lie on the table."

Mr. Dun-

Mr. Dundas urged the impropriety of any fuch motion, as it would put the House into this absurd predicament:when they had heard and decided upon Mr. Fox's petition. the hearing of which was in progress, they would have the fame cause to try over again, as the petition just read was for the most part precisely the same with that of Mr. Fox; Mr. Dundas therefore moved, by way of amendment, to leave out the words "do lie on the table," and infert, "be taken " into confideration with that of the right honourable " Charles-James Fox."

Mr. Rolle.

Mr. Rolle rose to second this amendment, declaring that the business of that House ought not to be retarded; that however Mr. Fox and the Westminster electors might wish to lead the House, and take up their time, he had an estate and property which he was defirous of looking after, and having no views to hereafter, and no object within those walls but ferving his country, he wished to go on with the public bufines without delay. The right honourable gentleman might think he could still lead that House; but he trusted he would find himself mistaken; and if he thought he could lead the People, let him only go into the country and hear their sentiments, and he would be convinced of his error.

Mr. Fox replied, and faid, Mr. Rolle might boast as much Mr. Fox as he pleased of his estate and his property, but he trusted the cause of the electors of Westminster was of more consequence than the private interest and private concerns of any individual. It was a cause by which the very vitals of liberty would be affected. It was not the cause of this or that man, but the cause of the country. It referred to no less a question than the relation that representation had to taxation. Let the House remember that question had already shaken this empire to its foundation, and let them beware how they ftirred it again rashly and ill-advisedly. The electors of Westminster were undoubtedly not represented; they had a right then to complain, and especially when they were about to be taxed, and to have their money taken out of their pockets. He trusted they had yet friends enow in that House to fland up in their defence and however the honourable gentleman might despise the electors of Westminster, that the House would not despise a body who paid so large a share of the public taxes. Mr. Fox faid, the House might dispose of the petition as they pleased, he had done his duty in prefenting it, and would not divide the House upon it.

A good deal of debate ensued, till Mr. Welbore Ellis re- Mr. Welcommended, as the petitioners might wish to be heard by bore Ellist counsel, that the farther hearing of Mr. Fox's petition might be adjourned till next day, to give the petitioners time to prepare themselves, that the hearing of the two petitions might

go on pari passu.

Mr. Chancellor Pitt accepted the proposal; but pointed out Mr. Chanthe indulgence shewn by the House on the occasion, by thus cellor Pitts. suffering Mr. Fox to be heard twice as an accuser against the

High Bailiff.

At length it was moved and carried, "That the petition of the electors of Westminster be heard with Mr., Fox's petition." It was then moved and carried, "That the farther hearing of Mr. Fox's petition be adjourned to Wednesday."

June I.

Mr. Morton, from the East-India House, presented a paper, entitled, "Report of the Court of Directors of the Last-

East-India Company, containing such farther information as has been received since making up their Report, present-

" ed to the House on the 16th of February last."

Mr. Chancellor Pitt.

Mr. Chancellor Pitt observed to the House, that the Report presented in the last session had, in his opinion, been properly referred to the consideration of a Select Committee, but that the period put to the session had prevented any Report being made to the House. It would now therefore, he presumed, be the sense of the House, that both Reports should be referred to a Select Committee, and he made a motion accordingly.

Mr. Eden.

Mr. Eden said, that he seconded the motion, but must be permitted, from the circumstances in which he found himself upon the subject, to make a few remarks respecting it: he was glad, therefore, that the House was not ill attended, though much thinner than it had hitherto been, probably because the business of the day was understood to relate only to the commerce and possessions of the East Indies, and not to the Westminster election. He would begin by acknowledging the right honourable gentleman's candour in having made to him a previous communication of the present question, and having expressed a wish to see his name in the new Committee. He would own, that on the first intimation he had meant to decline that honour, and would flate his reason. The inquiry had been purfued to a confiderable length by the late Committee, who had collected ample materials for the information of Parliament, but had postponed their Report folely from a fense of delicacy towards the Directors, whom it was wished to examine upon several seeming contradictions between their flatements and the accounts of their own accountants: In this stage of the business, though by the fate of political war the Committee had lost the respectable affistance of Sir Grey Cooper, Sir G. Elliot, and Sir A. Fergufon, whose absence from the present session he sincerely regretted, it appeared to him somewhat hard to be called upon to refume the investigation de novo, and under symptoms, in the opinions of many, though certainly not in his opinion. that more was meant by the new additions to the Committee than a mere completion of a prescribed number, or a reinforcement of impartial abilities. Notwithstanding this, and. upon due consideration, he inclined to think that his first impression of the subject was wrong, and that instead of feeling chagrined at the proposal of new names, he ought to be pleased with it. He would not introduce the remark with the ordinary affectation of feldom reading newspapers, but would

61

fairly fay, that he had repeatedly feen himself accused in the public prints, of an eagerness to depreciate the credit of the East-India Company. Being conscious that, through life, he had neglected no opportunity of promoting the just interests of trade and commerce, he was not touched by a calumny of this kind, which, like the various calumnies of the times, would in due scason recoil on those who used it. Such a calumny, instead of creating in him the hostile disposition which was falsely attributed to him, tended only to give him a jealoufy of himself, which he had not before felt, and to add to the caution which he had always thought right in the present inquiry. With these sentiments he was become defirous to see the Committee supplied with gentlemen particularly attached to the present Ministers. and (if there were any fuch) of a description sanguinely disposed to exalt the resources and credit of the Company-He even hoped that his learned friend (Mr. Dundas) who fat next to the right honourable gentleman, would lend his presence to the Committee; he would intreat him to take the chair, which he himself had hitherto unworthily filled: he would intreat him farther, upon due examination, to take the charge of penning the Report, and would gladly bear his humble testimony to the learned gentleman's impartiality and fairness in conducting the inquiry. Mr. Eden next stated, that he conceived it to be unnecessary to give the House the trouble of balloting, on which nearly a day would be wasted to no purpose, as there was no wish among those with whom he acted, to offer any list differing from that which might be acceptable to the other side of the House. He defired, in concluding, to state a circumflance of much importance, which he thought was mis-stated in the petition of the Company - That petition afferted. that the acceptance of a great number of bills was postponed. " because the bills were drawn contrary to law:" the fact was not true; a great proportion of those individual bills had been drawn pursuant to an authority obtained by the Directors from the Treasury, in August, 1781, under the powers of the act of Parliament, to the amount of 500,000l. and transmitted by the directors to Calcutta. He thought it fair to give early notice of this circumstance, that those might be apprifed of it, whose duty it was to act upon it; in his own private opinion, it made little difference, as he thought, that the credit of the Company effentially required some adequate provision being made for all the unaccepted

bills; and the postponement ought never to be attempted, without the full confent of the bill holders.

Mr. Chan-

Mr. Chancellor Pitt replied, that he would willingly cellor Pitt. adopt the mode of nomination proposed, as it tended to fave time, which certainly was a confideration of much importance to Parliament and the Public; and that he would propose four gentlemen, in the room of the three worthy Baronets, and of Mr. R. Smith who had declined ferving. He hoped, that fuch friends as he should name. were, in one sense, disposed to exalt the Company; not, however, by partial representations, but by promoting its commercial advantages and good government.

Mr. Baring suggested, that in the mean time it might be right to take some step towards giving a dividend to the Proprietors, as the day was to come in little more than four weeks.

Mr. Eden.

Mr. Eden submitted that this would be improper and premature whilst an enquiry was depending, the result of which might, in a fortnight, be laid before the House.

To this Mr. Chancellor Pitt affented; and then moved all the names separately, after the Speaker had shewn a precedent for that mode of proceeding *.

June 2.

The House having resolved itself into a Committee of the whole House (Mr. Gilbert in the chair) the Committee proceeded to take into their confideration the feveral Reports made by the Committee of the last House of Commons, on the subject of the illicit practices carried on to the detriment of the revenue.

The names of the Committee were,

Right hon. William Eden George Dempster, Efq. Henry Beaufoy, Efq. Henry Strachey, Efq. William Huffey, Efq, Henry Banks, Efq. Right hon. Lord Beauchamp.

Sir George Shuckburgh Philip Yorke, Esq. Henry Thornton, Efq. Brook Watson, Esq. Right hon. H. Dundas * Charles Brett, Efq. * John Call, Efq. * John Anstruther, Esq. *

Those marked thus * were the new members,

Mr.

Mr. Chancellor Pitt observed, that after the great pains Mr. Chanthat had been taken by the Committee to investigate the cellor Pick different modes in which smuggling was carried on, as well as the extent of the loss the revenue suffered in consequence; and after the very able, explicit, and intelligent Reports the Committee had made to the House, proving in the clearest manner, that smuggling already prevailed to so considerable a degree, as to injure the public revenue to a very alarming extent, and to threaten its total ruin, if some measures were not almost immediately taken to check its progress: the House would naturally see, that it was his duty, as early as possible, to propose some means for this purpose - The Committee, the House must have obferved, had very wifely made their investigation as broad as their time and opportunities for enquiry would admit; and they had, with great good fense, submitted to the consideration of Parliament, a variety of opinions, founded upon authentic information, and upon fuch fuggestions as appeared to them to be the most practicable for the better prevention of this evil for the future. What had impressed his mind, therefore, as the first step to be taken on this occasion, was, to select out of this mass of opinions. some few, that were not so much calculated for application to particular and minute branches of smuggling, as for the general prevention of that practice. Many regulations, referring specifically to the different species of smuggling would undoubtedly be necessary; but circumstanced. as the country was at present, he thought it more prudent and more likely to answer the end, for Government to come forward immediately with some vigorous measures, and such as were likely to prove efficacious, and to leave the lesser channels of smuggling to be stopped and dammed up at a future opportunity; under these considerations he should move a resolution, " That it was the opinion of the "Committee, that leave be given to bring in a general " bill for the better prevention of smuggling." This bill he meant to direct to a few of the grand causes of the prevalence and the extent of the practice, aiming it at fuch as prefented themselves as objects that called for immediate provision and immediate remedy. The principal regulations which his bill was defigned to contain, would therefore go to these several points: First, to an extension of the flovering laws, so as to give them operation with respect to vessels met at a limited distance from the coasts

in the four seas - Secondly, as' it was well known, that vessels of a certain dimension and burthen, were built for the fole purpose of being employed in carrying on smuggling practices, to prevent the building of any such veffels for the future, without a special licence from the Admiralty - Thirdly, to enact, that when veffels were once lawfully feized, fuch veffels should on no account be returned again to the imugglers, fince, in consequence of this rule not having been strictly observed, the practice of fininggling was kept alive and cherished, and, as it were, perpetuated - Fourthly, not to suffer any vessels to go armed beyond a certain extent without a licence from the Admiralty - Fifthly, to provide some regulations for the better ordering of the clearances of veffels of every description. many ill consequences having been found to have arisen from their being suffered to fail in ballast, &c. without any clearance - And Sixthly, to enlarge the powers of feizure, by authoriting officers of the revenue to feize every veffel having on board contraband commodities in packages of a smaller proportion than allowed by law: this, he faid, would, he conceived, have a confiderable effect upon those grand staples, as illegal commodities, tea and foirits. These altogether, added to many other subordinate regulations which gentlemen would find in the bill. and which would be more fit subjects of discussion in a subsequent stage of the business, when the bill should be properly before the House, would, he trusted, go a considerable way towards checking and preventing an evil but too notoriously prevalent. Mr. Chancellor Pitt concluded with moving, "To declare it to be the opinion of the Com-" mittee, that leave be given to bring in a bill for the " more effectual prevention of smuggling." The resolution was agreed to, and ordered to be reported to the House this day.

Mr. Fox presented a petition from certain electors of Westminster, desiring to be heard by their counsel in support of the allegations of their petition, delivered on Monday last. The petition was read, and, upon motion, the

prayer of it ordered to be complied with.

Lord Mahon presented a petition from Thomas Corbett, Esq. the High Bailiss, praying to be permitted to be heard by his counsel against the said petition of the electors; the prayer of the petition was granted.

The

Gj

The noble Lord then presented a petition, praying that the High Bailiss might be directed to go on with the scrutiny— He moved that this petition be heard with the others.

After this, the order of the day was read for hearing of counsel on the petition of Mr. Fox, and counsel were called to the bar; they spoke, and afterwards examined witnesses.

June 3.

Mr. Sawbridge, who had given notice that he should on Mr. Sawy this day move the House, on the subject of a reform in the representation of the people, said, that he understood it was their wish, that he should put it off for a few days, in order to finish the important business before them; in deference to the general wish of the House, he would readily postpone his motion: he offered the undertaking to Mr. Chancestor Pitt; not, he said, from compliment, for any infidious purpose, but from a conviction, that it would be in better hands, and that in such hands it would have the best chance of success.

Lord North said, that he willingly consented to postponeing the worthy magistrate's motion; not that he wished the motion to be brought on upon Monday next, for he would neither deny his real objections to the motion, nor affect a zeal for it which he did not entertain; he would be very glad to see it postponed ad Calendas Gracas. If that, however, could not be done, he hoped it would be deferred till Tuesday, as it was a general wish previously to sinish the discussion of the Westminster election.

Mr. Chancellor Pitt faid, with a smile, that he was Mr. Change happy to support a proposition of the noble Lord, and resilier Pitta pressed for Tuesday in preserence to Monday, for fear they should not be able to finish the business of the West-minster election that evening, though he hoped they should. He could not however agree with the noble Lord in wishing the motion postponed ad Calendas Gracas. Let it be brought on whenever it might, he certainly must wish it success, which he did most sincerely. With regard to the offer of putting the business into his hands, which the honourable gentleman had made in so handsome a manner, and in a manner so very flattering to him, he returned him his thanks, and gave him full credit for his sincerity, in Vol. XV.

Digitized by Google

respect to the having brought the subject forward thus early, from an idea that the circumstance would conduce to its fuccess; but he must beg leave to suspend accepting the offer, having great doubts whether this was the moment most proper for bringing the subject before Parliament with any hope of fuccess, and still greater doubts, whether the precise motion, the honourable gentleman declared he meant to propose, was that most likely to serve the cause, to which he looked with fincerity and zeal, in common with the honourable gentleman.

Mr. Ed:n.

Mr. Eden took notice of the new coalition between the noble Lord and the right honourable gentleman, who were at length feen close to each other upon the same bench, and supporting jointly the same point. [Lord North sat by accident on the Treasury Bench 1 It was, however, a coalition to which he could not accede; he did not think the choice of the two days very material, but wishing earnestly for the failure of the worthy magistrate's motion, and wishing also to have that failure take place in a full House, he could not help expressing a fear that the attendance of gentlemen would be thinner after the decision of the Westminster election. His own attendance was always the same; but he feared that this would be the cafe.

Mr. Chancellor Pitt earnestly deprecated such a suspicion cellor Pitt. of Parliament; it seemed to imply that gentlemen attended from a party view, which was not the case on his side of the House: and he hoped, exclusive of party spirit, there was public spirit enough in the House to prompt them to do their duty, and that gentlemen would equally attend on the great and important national questions to be afterwards brought forwards in Parliament.

Cd. North.

Lord North replied, it was impossible that there could be any party view blended in the Westminster business; it involved questions of the highest effential importance to the nation, and he did not know that the retaining an individual in his feat, or depriving him of it, could answer any possible party view.

Mr. Burke rose to say a few words; upon which,

Ld. Mahon. Lord Mahon called to order, and defired to know-what

question was before the House?

Mr. Burke rose immediately, and ridiculed the noble Lord's impartiality, which had induced him to listen patiently to four or five speeches, without any question being before the House, but would not suffer him to hear one of his.

his. The old proverb, he observed, held that "the last feather always broke the horse's back;" the noble Lord, like a stately camel, in like manner, had borne heavy burdens, but could not now bear the weight of his bunch on his back. With regard to being out of order, he begged leave to remark, that a discourse relative to the settlement of anomic ther day for the confideration of business that had been appointed for the day on which the discourse was held, was by no means diforderly, but, on the contrary, might fave much time, and prevent discourse hereafter, that might be exceedingly disorderly. Having said thus much, he would fay a word or two on the subject of parliamentary reform, a subject which ought undoubtedly either to be fairly met, and if a reform be really necessary and practicable, that reform ought to be made at once, or the question set at rest for ever. The keeping it as a political play-thing, to be taken up, or laid down just as best might answer the purposes of ambition or convenience, was what could never be right, and what ought not by any means to be endured. This reform of Parliament was, he faid, a pretty subject for men out of office to handle; but he observed, that when they got into place, they did not choose to meddle with it, or rather they thought it wifer to extol it to the skies, to talk of its expediency, but always to find an excuse in regard to the time, declaring that the proper opportunity was not come. From all this he conjectured, that the fituation of a Minister was unpropitious to a parliamentary reform, and that to serve the Prerogative was a fitter and more convenient thing, under fuch circumstances, than to serve the People. Hence the attempts, and, he was forry to fay, the successful attempts, to obtain a servile Parliament, to pour upon the Throne an inundation of addresses, all exalting the Prerogative, and by the vilest and most miserable arts contriving to delude and deceive the People. Mr. Wilberforce called Mr. Burke to order, and faid, he Mr. Wilber-

was forry to interrupt the honourable gentleman, but he could not fit still and see so ill an use made of the House's indulgence, without taking notice of it. There was no ouestion before the House; and the honourable gentleman must know, if he went on in that manner, replies, and those equally strong, would be made from that side of the House, in which case, in all probability, the Speaker would interpose, and put a stop to so disorderly a debate; he thought it therefore much wifer, that it should be stopped, where it

K 2

was, and before it proceeded into greater disorder.

The

The Speaker faid a few words; and Mr. Burke contented himself with observing, that for aught the House knew, he intended to move a question before he sat down.

Mr.Huffey.

Mr. Huffey said, he had never before opened his lips in that House on the subject of parliamentary reform. professed himself a sincere well-wisher to such a reform as should give the People a more complete representation within those walls; but then he was not for precipitating any motion upon the subject, merely with a view to embarrass the Minister, and to throw him into a dilemma. He would not only defer it for a week or fo, but for the whole feffion. At the same time, he wished not to have it postponed ad Calendas Gracas; he wanted to know the opinion of the People upon it; he had not, as he remembered, heard any thing from them respecting a reform lately. When they asked for it, it would be sufficiently early to set about; and whenever a reform was attempted, he should be of opinion that it ought to be made in a manner most agreeable to the wiffits of the People.

Mr. Siw. bridge Mr. Sawbridge said, he had no wish either to embarrass the inimister, or to precipitate the subject of a parliamentary reform, by bringing it forward as early as he proposed. His intended motion was not an ultimate measure; it was merely to institute a Committee, that an enquiry into the presentate of parliamentary representation might be begun. He did not mean to push the matter farther the present session; let it be set on foot in earness, and he should be contented under the expectation of its being carried into effect. He declared he was sincerely of opinion, that brings ing a motion for the institution and appointment of such a Committee, forward thus early in the session, was seizing the opportunity most likely to prove propitious to the cause; he should, therefore, make his motion on Tuesday.

The House then proceeded to the farther examination of witnesses on the question of the Westminster election; and a division took place on a motion, "That the High Bailist should be suffered to bring evidence to the bar, that there

were many bad votes obtruded on the poll."

Ayes — 180 Noes — 81

The farther hearing was adjourned.

June 7.

A confiderable time was frent in receiving and discussing various election petitions. One from Hereford, against the Earl of Surrey, who was returned for three places, was, upon that account, ordered to be heard next after the double returns.

The House proceeded again to the Westminster business. After witnesses were examined, the High Bailiss made his defence, and Mr. Fox's counsel replied; and the House having thus concluded the evidence and hearing of counsel, adjourned the farther consideration of the subject to the next day.

June 8,

As soon as the order of the day was read for the farther con-

fideration of the Westminster election,

Mr. Welbore Ellis rose: he observed that the counsel on all Mg. Welsides having closed their evidence and their arguments, it re- bore Ellie. mained now for the House to apply that evidence, and those arguments, and finally to determine what farther steps it might be necessary to take, in order to bring the business of the Westminster election to a conclusion. The question before the House was a plain question of law; and though gentlemen might endeavour to perplex it, yet it might be decided by any man who was convertant in the law of Parliaments. He then laid down this proposition as an undeniable maxim. that in the exercise of the ancient prerogatives of the Crown. derived from or coeval with the common law, the King (when he exercised them with found discretion) was absolute; for if in those points he was not absolute, the very end for which these prerogatives had been entrusted to him by the constitution, would be defeated, to the great detriment of the People at large. Now, of all the prerogatives of the Crown, the highest and noblest was that of calling Parliaments; the neceffity that Parliaments should meet, was so obvious, that the wife framers of the constitution had vested in the King the absolute prerogative of calling them; and ordering them to meet when and where it should appear to him most conducive to the public good. But if his commands relative to election, and meeting of Parliament, were not absolute, then might Sheriffs and other returning officers, by disobeying the commands of the Sovereign, frustrate all his designs for the benefit of the State, and totally prevent the meeting of Parlia-

Digitized by Google

70

ments, however necessary they might be to the nation. This rule then being laid down, he faid he would apply it to the case now under the consideration of the House. having, by the advice of his Council, thought proper to call a Parliament, and having judged it expedient that it should meet on the 18th of May, iffued his writs to the different Sheriffs, and laid his commands upon them, that they cause members to be chosen to fit in the House of Commons; and that they should return their writs with the names of the members, on or before the 18th of May. Now the question was, whether these commands were peremutory, and absolutely hinding, or not. In his opinion, they were peremptory; for more mandatory, positive and express, no man could devise, than those which were contained in the writ; and indeed the most dangerous consequences might ensue, they were not so; for if the returning officers should once be able to establish this point, that they have a discretion whether they will return the members on the day specified in the writs, or not, then it must be admitted that the meeting of Parliaments would depend, not on the will of the King, whom the conflitution had made the fole judge of the time when they ought to meet, but on the whim, the caprice, the corjuption, or the partiality of returning officers; and then perhaps fomething worse might happen than the not having any Parliaments at all; for then there might be packed Parliaments, which being the there creatures of ministerial influence, might vote away the liberties and property of the people. He held himself therefore justifiable, in insisting that the returning officers were bound at common law, as well as by statute, to return the names of the members with their writs; and he was fortified in his opinion by the filence of the journals, which filence was pregnant with conviction, that our forefathers thought the order in the King's writ peremptory, mandatory, and absolute, to return the members on the day specified in the writs; for in all the Tournals there was not one fingle instance to be found, in which a returning officer had ventured to return members. after a general election, on any day after the writ was made returnable. The High Bailiff's condust then was, in his opinion, contrary to the ancient and known law of the land. He heard one argument or plea urged as a justification of this conduct, and this was the oath of the High Bailiff, by which he was bound to make a return of such candidates as Thould in his judgement appear to have a majority of legal with free age improve him of the target with Motode

votes: it was by this oath, that the gentlemen on the other fide of the house had endeavoured, through the course of the examination, to justify the disobedience of the King's orders conveyed in the writ to the Sheriff of Middlesex, and by the Sheriff's precept to the Bailiff of Westminster, to return, on or before the 18th of May, two members to serve that city. But the justification built on this oath would not, he believed, be found satisfactory; for, in the first place, those who had recourse to the oath as an exculpation of the Bailiff, must prove that there was a difference between the eath taken by a Sheriff and that which was taken by an inferior returning officer; for otherwise this absurdity would arise, that the King's command to the Sheriff would be peremptory, for the reasons he had already given, while, in contradiction to those reasons, they would leave a discretionary power to the Bailiff (who by the bye derived his whole authority from the Sheriff's precept) to return the members, whenever he should think proper; so that the inferior officer would be left at liberty to act according to his own judgement, or as his caprice should lead him, while his superior would be under the necessity of paying implicit obedience to the King's commands. This was the talk which those gentlemen must undertake, who should attempt to justify, by the oath, the Bailiff's disobedience to the common law, which enjoined obedience to the orders of the Sovereign, contained in his writs. When he infifted that the oath was not a justification of the Bailiss's conduct in not making a return of members on or before the 18th of May last, he did not mean to detract, in the smallest degree, from the facred obligation of an oath; he contended only, that the inferior returning officers should make up their minds in the same time which was allowed to their principals; and as Sheriffs were bound to form their judgement, so as to make the return of members on or before the day appointed for the meeting of a new Parliament, so he would have Bailiffs and other inferior returning officers bound in the same manner, and not left at liberty to sport with the Public, by withholding their returns under the specious plea of not having sufficiently satisfied their consciences with respect to the legality of the votes appearing on their feveral polls. He begged the House would recollect, that returning officers were not the only persons who took oaths, to pronounce a judgement according to their conscience: all Judges took such oaths; but did it follow, that because they were bound

by oath to decide according to the dictates of conscience, that they were to take what time they pleased to satisfy their conscience? Certainly not: a reasonable time was certainly necessary; but in some cases, and those too of the utmost magnitude, as affecting the very lives of men, the law did not leave the Judges at liberty to take what time they pleased for fatisfying their consciences. In the case of Juries, gentlemen knew very well that whenever they left the Court to deliberate on a verdict in case of life and death, the law, in order to take from them the discretion of employing as much time as they pleased in forming their judgement, shut them up in a room, without victuals or fire, until they were agreed in their verdict; so that the law allowed no longer time to Juries for making up their minds, in all cases of life and death, than a man might live without food or fuel. He trusted the House would agree with him, that a returning officer would do much less mischief in returning a candidate not duly elected, than a Jury could do by capitally convicting a prisoner not legally found guilty: in the latter case the judgement would be final, and without appeal; whereas in the former, the error might be fet right by a Committee of the House of Commons; and yet the Bailiss called for ten times a longer term for making up his mind respecting a return, than the law allowed a Jury for acquitting or condemning to death their fellow creatures. Now, with respect to the claim put in by the Bailiff for time to fatisfy his conscience, it appeared to him to be very ill founded; because, in the whole course of a long parliamentary life, he had been ever taught to think, that the poll was evidence to and against a returning officer, and that consequently the numbers on the poll ought to be his guide in making the return: to overturn this long-established rule, might be attended with the most serious and alarming consequences, which it was unnecessary for him to point out, as the imagination of gentlemen would readily suggest them. From the opinion he entertained relative to the poll's being conclusive evidence to and against the returning officers, he could not but call the paper given in by the High Bailiff a return, as it fairly stated the numbers polled for all the candidates, and wanted only the formality of the indenture to be a complete return. It was therefore for these reasons that he intended to submitto the House the following motion: "That, it appearing to this House, that Thomas Corbett, Esquire, Bailiff of " the liberty of the city of Westminster, having received a precept

a precept from the Sheriff of Middlesex, for electing two citizens to serve in Parliament for the said city, and haveing taken and finally closed the poll on the 17th day of "May last, being the day next before the day of the re-" turn of the said writ, he be now directed forthwith to "make return of his precept, and of members chosen in pursuance thereof." Before he sat down he observed, that as far as the evidence went relative to what the Bailiff had been told about disqualified persons who had voted, it did not in the smallest degree justify the returning officer in his mind; because it was so very loose, that it did not deserve attention; and if such loose evidence of hearlays should once be admitted as an excuse for deferring the return, it would be at all times in the power of the unsuccessful candidates to prevent the return of their more successful adversaries, by suggesting to the returning officer, that great numbers of disqualified persons had been admitted to poll for them. He concluded with making his motion.

Mr. Anstruther seconded the motion. He said, that after Mr. Anstruthe learned arguments that had been urged by the counsel at there the bar, it would be presumption in him to tread over the fame grounds: he would therefore endeavour to touch briefly upon the other topics, from which he hoped he should be able to draw some arguments that would prove the propriety of the motion made by the right honourable gentleman; and first he would examine how the common law stood respecting the question of returns; and next, whether any, or what alteration had been made in it-by statute. He first laid down this position, that where no statute interfered, the exigencies of writs, which were founded on the common law, must be complied with in the manner, and within the time specified in the writ: this was a maxim he supposed no man in the House, of any profession, would or could controvert, Now the writs always specified the day fixed for the meeting of the Parliament, and commanded the returning officers to return the writs and the members on or before that day. Now, if the exigency of the writs must be complied with, it would follow of course, that the names of the members must be returned according to the express command contained in the writs; and in corroboration of this commonlaw doctrine, he quoted the 23d of Henry VI. chap. 15, which faid, in the most plain terms, "The King, consider-"ing the premises, hath ordained, by authority aforesaid, " that every Sheriff, after the delivery of any such writ to Vol. XV.

Digitized by Google

"him made, shall make and deliver, without fraud, a suf-"ficient precept, under his feal, to every Mayor and Bai-" liff, &c. of the cities and boroughs within his county, " reciting the faid writ; commanding them by the faid of precept, if it be a city, to chuse by citizens of the same city, citizens; and in the same manner and form, if it " be a borough, by the burgesses of the same, to come to " Parliament: and that the same Mayor and Bailiss shall " return lawfully the precept to the fame Sheriffs, by indentures between the same Sheriffs and them to be made, " of the faid elections, and of the names of the faid citice zens and burgesses by them so chosen; and thereupon " every Sheriff shall make a good and rightful return of 66 every fuch writ, and of every return by the Mayor and "Bailiffs," &c. Then followed a penalty to be inflicted on every returning officer refusing to return the persons elected. Here, he said, was plain proof that no discretion was left with the returning officer respecting the time in which the return was to be made. The words of the statute were mandatory; and every kind of return short of the members, was an infraction of this law. But this point would appear infinitely more clear from another part of the same statute, which shewed clearly that the return of the members must be made on or before the day fixed for the meeting of a new Parliament. The words were these -" Provided always, that every knight, citizen, and bure gefs, to come into any Parliament hereafter to be holden, " in due form chosen, and not returned as afore is faid, " shall begin his action of debt aforesaid within three " months after the faid Parliament commenced." Here it was evident the person injured by a non-return could bring his action any time within three months - after what? after the period when cause of action accrued; when the offence or injury on which fuch action was grounded, was given and completed - and when was that? after the fame Parliament commenced; that is to fay, that the injury was completed on the day of the meeting of the Parliament. It was clear that the injury must have been sustained before the meeting; it was equally clear that the injury was a nonreturn; and it as clearly followed, that if the returning officers wished to avoid the penalty of this statute, they must, as in duty bound, make a return of the members on or before the meeting of the Parliament; beyond which period the law would not allow them any power, under colour of discretion,

discretion, to withhold their returns. This statute was farther corroborated by the 10th and 11th of William III. which had been so often quoted at the bar and in the house. Here then was his doctrine founded in common law, and confirmed by statutes. It was now necessary to inquire whether any alteration of the one or the other had been made by subsequent acts of Parliament. It had been urged, that, by a subsequent statute, an oath had been imposed upon returning officers, by which they were bound to determine according to their judgement. But he would ask whether the same oath was not imposed upon Sheriffs; and whether any lawyer would venture to fay that it was less binding upon them than upon Mayors or Bailiffs? It was not urged by any one that a Sheriff could, without committing a breach of his duty and of the law, refuse to return his writ on or before the day specified in it: the law was the fame for the latter as for the former; and consequently the inferior officers were bound to return their precepts in due time for the Sheriffs to annex them to their writs; fo that the whole should be completely returned before the meeting of Parliament. But he would ask gentlemen when this oath was introduced? It was well known, that from the reign of Henry VI. down to the year 1698, when the 10th and 11th of William III. passed, and from that period, down to the year 1730, this oath was never included in any act of Parliament: in 1730, indeed, it was introduced, for the first time, in a bill brought in for a particular purpose, and merely as an additional obligation on returning officers to act with impartiality. But would any lawyer, or would any man attempt to fay, that the common law of the land, and the most positive statutes, were to be swept away and overturned by a side wind - by a particular clause inserted in a private act of Parliament? -Surely no man would venture to fay, that laws as old as the Constitution, and founded on the most essential principles of that Constitution, could be done away by any thing short of a plain and positive law; or that an obscure clause in an obscure, because private, act of Parliament, could possibly be construed to operate a repeal of laws founded on principles, without which the Constitution of this country might be annihilated. But it was faid, that though the poll could not possibly be continued after the day specified in the writ, yet a scrutiny might. That the poll could not be continued after that day, was fully admitted by the High

Bailiff himself, who, as it appeared in evidence, had closed the poll of his own authority, and not because there were wanting electors to keep it open, under the idea that he could not receive any votes after the day fixed for the return of the precept. The Bailiff having admitted this, it re-mained for him (Mr. Anstruther) to prove, the scrutiny could not be carried on any more than the poll after the return day; and here he found no difficulty, for it was univerfally admitted that a scrutiny was in fact a continuation of the poll; for a poll ought to be a scrutiny, and a scrutiny a poll; and therefore as they meant one and the same thing, those who admitted that a poll could not be continued after the day of the return, must also admit that the ferutiny could not be carried on after that day. But not to build his argument merely on the admission of the premises by the other side of the House, he would prove it to a demonstration. It was known to be the law of the land, that if a court of election should be suffered, by chance, to break up without an adjournment, it could never be revived under the authority of the same writ or precept; and this was the cafe in a scrutiny; for if, before a scrutiny commenced, the court should by accident have broke up, without adjourning to some other day, there would be an end of its power-it could not fit again: and if, after the fcrutiny had commenced, the court should separate, without having previously adjourned to some other day, the scrutiny must necessarily break off there, as the court could not fit again; and therefore, as from the beginning of the poll to the end of the scrutiny, the power of the court must be kept alive by fuccessive adjournments, and would expire if, on breaking up of the court, no question of adjournment had been put or carried, he was well grounded in faying, that poll and feruting were fynonymous terms; and that as one of them must avowedly be closed on or before the return day specified in the writ, the other of course could not be carried on after that day. For these reasons, he held the Bailiff's conduct, in granting a ferutiny, to commence after the return day, to be illegal and unconstitutional; and that it was the business of the House to compel him now to do that which it was his duty to have done on or before the 18th of last month, namely, to make a return of the members elected for Westminster: and for these reasons he intended to give his vote for, and hearty support to, the motion made by the right honourable gentleman.

Lord

Lord Mulgrave opposed the motion. He observed, that if Lord Multhere was one thing more happily calculated than another in grave. this government for the good of the Public, it was the inflexibility of the laws, which refisted the whims, underminings, or open attacks of those who felt them inconvenient. was any inconvenience, any abfurdity, or any injustice in the election laws, he wished some gentleman would bring in bills to amend them; and he would readily concur in any regulation that might be thought prudent, expedient, or necessary: but as the laws now flood, the present question must be determined by them, and not by laws, which might be hereafter enacted. — He had no objection to a law that should prevent the protraction of a poll, by any candidate, to such a length, that no time should be left for an inquiry into the legality of the votes; by which law, fuch a candidate would be prevented from getting such a majority upon a poll, of disqualified perfons, as would fecure to him for one, two, or three years, a feat in Parliament, which legally belonged to another. proposition then before the House was in itself a complete contradiction to, or refutation of the doctrine attempted to be established by the gentlemen over against him, for though they had argued for many days past, as the counsel at the bar had, that the High Bailiff was functus officio, yet the motion now before the House called upon that gentleman to do a ministerial act, after his authority, according to their opinion, had actually expired. The learned gentleman who had just sat down, had mentioned some acts of Parliament, to prove that returning officers were bound, without any discretion on their parts, to return the members on or before the day fixed for the meeting of Parliament. As to one of those statutes, he did not believe it was still to be found in our statute books; and as to the other, the 10th and 11th of William III. he would prove from the history of that act, that it did not, and never was intended to, make a provision for the case now under consideration: for, from the Journals of the House, it appeared that this act was passed to check a practice that had grown too much in vogue among the returning officers, to keep their writs a long time after the election was over; and that this was frequently done from corrupt motives, or to answer party purposes: It was for this reason that a clause was inserted in it, to compel returning officers to return their writs within fourteen days after the election: And from an entry in the Journals of the 10th of January and 8th of February, 1608, it appeared that a motion was made, "That it be an instruction to the Committee on the bill,

"afterwards passed into a law (the 10th and 11th William III.) "that the write he returned without delay;" and it was remarkable that no fuch words were now to be found in the act: from which, it might be very fairly inferred, that the inftruction was afterwards over-ruled in the Committee. His Lordship infifted that the High Bailiff was bound by his oath to return the candidates who should appear to him to have a majority of legal votes; and furely, in order to comply with the duty imposed upon him by this oath, he must make inquiries, and not make a return merely from the apparent majority: more particularly as he had, from good authority, fufficient reason to believe that a great number of disqualified persons had polled during the hurry of the election. In the first place, he knew that 4000 had voted on this occasion, more than had ever voted in any former election in Westminster. He knew that the poll had been crammed with thousands during the first ten days of the election; and that afterwards it was meagerly and flenderly fed with individuals. He knew that men were kept in readiness to feed the poll one by one, as occasion should require, that the books might not be closed; and that, all this was done for the purpose of protracting the election to fuch a time, that no inquiry could take place into the illegality of the number of spurious votes that had been given. In justice therefore to the candidates who had the majority of legal votes; in justice to the electors, who ought not to have forced upon them a member who was not the object of their choice, the scrutiny ought to be carried on. It had been urged by one of the counsel, that Westminster would have cause to complain, if it should remain unrepresented: but the electors were too wife not to recognize that conflitutional doctrine, that members, though elected locally, reprefent generally; and they would have the fatisfaction to know. that if taxes should be laid upon them, they would have them to pay in common with all the constituents of the members who should vote them. They were too wife to take any offence at a fcrutiny, undertaken for the purpose of doing them justice, by feating in the House those candidates, whom a majority of legal voters had supported. They loved confistency too well to be offended at not having their members immediately seated in the House; for they had of late years seemed to referve the honour of their representation for great naval characters, as a reward for their gallant achievements, and fervices to their country; but in electing them under certain circumstances, it was evident that they did not expect them to take their feats immediately in Parliament': they had chosen

chosen Lord Rodney, when he was in the midst of the glorious career, that had recommended him to their favour; and they knew that he could not, without injury to the public fervice. be called home to fit in that House: they were fatisfied with his services against the enemies of their country; and did not wish him to quit that line of duty in his profession, for another in that House. They had also elected another noble Lord (Hood) for his gallant conduct in the line of his profefsion; and they were aware, that when his country should stand in need of his abilities as a naval officer, he would be liable to be called away from the senate, to serve his country in another way. They would not be offended if the High Bailiff, through regard for his oath, and respect for them. should take time to enquire into the legality of many hundred votes, which he had been compelled to admit upon the poll, by menaces, and threats of actions and profecutions. him the High Bailiff's conduct appeared manly, firm, and inflexible; and perfectly confistent with law and the constitu-Whether the House should think proper to order him tion. to proceed in the fcrutiny, he knew not; they were the best judges on that head; for his part, he could fee no harm in it. and much good; and therefore he intended to give a direct negative to the motion made by the right honourable gentleman.

The Lord Advocate of Scotland (Mr. Campbell) supported The Lord Lord Mulgrave. He built his argument upon the oath taken Advocate of Scotland. by the High Bailiff, which had made it his indispensable duty to grant a scrutiny, and which would have subjected him to a conviction for perjury if he had made his return contrary to his oath. He faid if the election laws of England were like those of Scotland, the case then before the House could never have occurred; for in Scotland no adjournment of the court of election could take place. The election was begun and concluded the same day, and the poll, even during that time. was a scrutiny. He observed, that there were two kinds of impossibilities, physical and moral; and he defied the most ingenious man to discover a difference between their effects: for if it was morally impossible for a man to do a particular thing, it was in effect the same thing to him if it was physically impossible: now all the circumstances attending the poll considered, when from the numbers who had been admitted in the first ten days, at least five persons must have voted every minute; he asked if it was not merely impossible for the High Bailiff to scrutinize the voters as they came to the book; and whether he could possibly make a conscientious return, without an after-scrutiny. One of the counsel at the bar had

Digitized by Google

faid, that a succession of generations might take place before a scrutiny should be closed; to this he would answer, that a succession of candidates might take place, if polls were to be protracted, as had been the last poll for Westminster.

Sir James Erkine.

Sir James Erskine gave the Lord Advocate the highest credit for being the first lawyer in the part of the country he belonged to, but he could not admit that the elections relative to Scotch counties (much as he respected that country) were applicable to English cities. That there the whole proceeding must be heard in the same day, at the same place, and without power of adjournment. That here it was a long proceeding. day after day. That to prove the Lord Advocate was not acquainted with the mode of election for Westminster, it was only necessary to state his computation, which proceeded upon the idea of there being but one polling place, whereas there were eleven; therefore each vote had ten or twenty minutes to poll in, even on those days when eighteen hundred voterspolled.—Sir James took notice of Lord Mulgrave's having described the representation of the city of Westminster, as a reward for naval merit. He faid, he made no doubt but Lord Mulgrave himself might, when he should obtain the command of the fleets of his country, and should fight with bravery and fuccess, wish to be rewarded with the naval honour of representing the city of Westminster. He desired him therefore to take care how he established a precedent by his conduct now, that might prove fatal to his ambition hereafter; that some person, with less merit and less celebrity might be prevailed upon by that Court faction, which could bind the High Bailiff of Westminster to their will, to oppose his pretentions; and that person, though far inferior on the poll, might demand a scrutiny. That when this candidate with few votes, and no pretentions, should be told by his Lordship that he had no right to prevent his return, he would tell him with truth that the noble Lord himself had established the precedent, so that the High Bailiff alone was the judge of whether he should make the return or not. James argued upon the act of King William, and concluded with observing, that this determination might lead to the greatest absurdity. That as there was no scruting in Scotland, that part of the country might, for a confiderable time. form the whole Parliament; and recollecting, in the moment of their power, the abuse they had suffered in the days of their impotence, might be inclined upon a principle inherent in the human mind, to pay back all they had fuffered, and put in execution the spirit of their motto, Nemo me impune lacellit. Mr.

Mr. Powys declared he rose to ask for information; he beg- Mr. Powys. ged to know from gentlemen of the learned profession, who fat opposite to him, what the law was, and whether the High Bailiff of Westminster could, or could not, legally commence, and carry on a scrutiny, after the expiration of the writ issued to the Sheriff of Middlesex? He was of the same opinion with the noble Lord, and agreed that the inflexibility of the law ought to be manifested, let its effects be what it would. The question was, how did the law stand? With regard to the different acts of Parliament, he was convinced that the 10th and 11th of William III. did not apply to the case; but there was another statute which did, he meant the 23d of Henry V. While that act remained on the statute books, he conceived no argument would hold that went to establish the doctrine that the High Bailiff was not bound to comply with the exigency of the writ, and to make a return of the two members who appeared to have the majority of votes at the final close of the poll. He faid, he had been fometimes thought to follow a whimfical line of conduct, and perhaps the vote that he had given for Westminster might be deemed a whimsical one, when he declared, he voted for Lord Hood and Mr. Fox. As Lord Hood had so great a majority, why did not that noble Lord petition for his feat, that the city of Westminster might have some representative? He was forry to see so respectable a. character condescend to act at the will of others, and to suffer himself to be moved, like a mere puppet, by the Minister. He expressed his entire disapprobation of the conduct of the House, should they rashly attempt to negative the question then before them; for they would thereby establish a precedent, which neither the flatute nor the common law ever intended should be laid down. - The learned gentlemen on the other side of the House said much about oaths; it would have been as well if they had the goodness to tell the House, that the returning officer was by law obliged to take an oath, previous to his acting in that capacity, the nature of which, in his opinion, was, that he, the returning officer, should return fuch persons as should appear to have a majority of legal votes tendered to, and accepted by him on the poll. It was a position clearly evident, that the returning officer was by law, and by the tenour of the writ to him directed, obliged to return those two who had a majority of votes on the poll; he should therefore wish that the House would not rashly pass such a vote this night, (which they most undoubtedly would, if they negatived the present motion) as perhaps they should hereafter wish to counteract. The people at large most undoubt-Vol. XV.

edly would be roufed at fuch a conduct; for neither usage nor law, statute or common, afforded a precedent of such a nature.

Mr. Hardinge. Mr. Hardinge disclaimed all intention of dealing in technicals, arguing professionally, or in any shape speaking like a lawyer; he faid, the case, to his imagination, had no mystery in it; it was plain, simple, and perspicuous, obvious to the most common understanding, and difficult only to those who chose to make a puzzle of it. An election confisted of different parts; of a thing called a poll; of a ferutiny, where occasion arose for a scrutiny; and of a returneso the writ, or ground or fanction for the whole proceeding. Scrutinies had gone on repeatedly pending the fitting of Parliament; and he had heard no lawyer yet take upon him to affert, that a fcrutiny was not legal. That being admitted, then it followed of course, that the Westminster election was incomplete and unfinshed, because a fcrutiny had been thought necessary, and there had not been time to go through with it before the time that a return to the writ was directed to be made to the court from whence it issued. What did the motion ask? It defired the House to forget, that with regard to elections, it was an appellant jurisdiction, and to act in the first instance; and that in so absurd a manner, as to direct an appeal to be made before there were materials prepared and completed to form that appeal upon. The High Bailiff, according to the motion, was to make a return upon an election, which election he had himself informed the House was incomplete and unfinished. The arguments advanced and pressed upon the House in support of this motion, appeared to him to be as extraordinary and ridiculous as the motion itself. It had been faid, that the High Bailiff could not commence and go on with a scrutiny after the 18th of May, because on that day his authority, quoad the election, ceased, and he was functus officio; and the very gentlemen who had made this affertion had contended, that the High Bailiff, who was functus officio on the 18th of May, should be ordered by the House on the 9th of June, to make a return to the precept issued to him by the Sheriss of Middlesex: they wanted, therefore, to have a return figned by a dead man's hand -A great deal had been suggested about the old question of the connection between taxation and representation, and tremendous consequences had been predicted from the revival of a subject that had been said to have shaken Great Britain to

to its center - It has been forgotten that this alarming argument applied to every double return, for upon double returns, the boroughs for which such returns were made, were liable to be taxed although they were unrepresented. But this question, applying, as it did, to a variety of other possible cases, had been suffered to remain quiet and at rest till now-It was evident it had been referved for the compact case of the Westminster election, and now it was brought forward with all the folemnity and pomp that art and ingenuity could cloath it with. With regard to Mr. Grenville's bill, which Mr. Hardinge faid no man admired or loved more than he did, he could not but suspect a snake in the grass, from the loud alarms that had been sounded of the danger it was in; the noise made upon this subject led him to doubt the fincerity of those who raised it, and to suspect, that it came from the enemies and not the friends of the bill. After arguing, that the bill could rea ceive no injury, because a case that did not fall within its reach was not forced unnaturally under its jurisdiction; he faid, all the magic which he faw about the bufiness, lay in what had been termed the exigency of the writ; but that charm was easily broken - A great deal had been said upon this head, but those who had faid it, forgot, that in cases of vacancies, scratinies had repeatedly gone on while Parliament was fitting; that being indisputably the fact, and it being admitted that scrutinies were legal, (for if they were not, all he had advanced was to no purpose) it was obvious to common sense, that the High Bailiss had not acted contumaciously, but the only way in which, from the circumstances of the case, he could act confistently with his oath and with his conscience. With regard to what step the House ought to take, Mr. Hardinge said, to that he had not entirely made up his mind -Two modes of proceeding struck him: either to iffue a new writ, and to confider the whole past proceeding as a nullity, or to direct that the scrutiny should be gone on with - The first of these he rather thought the most proper; but as the second was the most lenient to the right honourable gentleman, and would be attended with the least expence and trouble, he should rather be inclined to vote for that.

Lord North said, he could not pretend to cope with the Lt. North, learned gentleman who spoke last, either in learning or in abilities, but he would venture to make a few observations on his arguments. He had faid, all the magic of the M 2

business

business lay in the exigency of the writ, and by way of difenchanting the case, and dispelling that magic, had obferved, that scrutinies had repeatedly gone on pending the' fitting of Parliament, and had asked where then was the exigency of the writ? It was easy to answer this question; and the answer was this: in the case of an election on a vacancy, there was no exigency to the writ; but in case of a general election there was; what was the rule in the one case, therefore, did not apply in the other. The learned gentleman, his Lordship said farther, had declared there was a thing called a poll, and a thing called a scrutiny, and he argued that the election was unfinished - It certainly was, and for that very reason the present motion ought to go, because it ordered the High Bailiff to make a return and finish the election. Whose fault was it that the election was not finished? The fault of the High Bailiff, and of no other person. With regard to the two modes of proceeding recommended to the House by the honourable and learned gentleman, it put the House exactly in the situation of Fair Rosamond in the play, when by order of Queen Eleanor, it was faid to her, "Here is a dagger, and here is " a bowl of poison, chuse which you please, but one you " must take," So the learned gentleman offered two propositions to the House, each of them fraught with death. In another part of his fpeech, the learned gentleman had faid, he suspected a snake in the grass, when he heard so much of Mr. Grenville's bill, and that he thought the noife came from the enemies of that bill - He knew not who might be the enemies of that bill, but his Lordship declared he was not of that number. He had opposed the bill originally; but it was well known, that after the House thought proper to adopt it, he had uniformly done every thing in his power to carry it into full execution; and after the experience that had been made of it, he was not only ready to acknowledge it to be a wife, just, and useful statute, but a statute not less convenient and serviceable to a Minister than to individuals. His Lordship very largely discussed the unprecedented nature of the High Bailiff's proceeding; he faid, he had heard frequently of a due return, an undue return, a special return, and a false return; but he had never heard before of an historical return.

The Master The Master of the Rolls compared the case to the case of the Rolls a Sheriff's acting under a capies ad satisfaciendum, and went into

into the law argument with respect to the purpose of that writ, and the analogy it bore to the writ of election.

Mr. Martin defired to know, if a return was made by the Mr. Martin High Bailiff, and a petition delivered upon the ground of that return, whether such a petition was likely to be heard before a Committee under Mr. Grenville's bill in the course of the present session. If he could be assured that it was, Mr. Martin faid, he would certainly vote for the motion, ordering

the Bailiff to make a return.

Lord Maitland said, he should conceive it must be referred Lord Maitto a Committee on a very early day, because it would neces-land. farily be governed by the fame principles that applied to the case of Lord Surrey, Mr. Fox having a petition presented against him for Kirkwall, and therefore, he would stand as a member representing two places, against his election for

each of which there was a petition.

Mr. Harrison thought the conduct of the High Bailiff Mr. Harris highly reprehensible - The Sheriff was directed perempto- fonrily by His Majesty's writ to make a return to that writ on the 18th — How was it possible for the Sheriff to obey, if the subordinate officer refused or neglected to make a return to the precept directed to him to chuse two burgesses for Westminster? The High Bailiff was sworn to make a return; why then did he not comply with his oath? Nothing could be clearer, in Mr. Harrison's opinion, than that the House had no option; they could only order the Bailiff to do what he ought to have done before, viz. return the two candidates who at the final close of the poll appeared to have the majority of votes.

Mr. Macnamara grounded his argument upon the words Mr. Macof the oath taken by the High Bailiff; that officer was namara. fworn to make a return to the best of his judgement; surely the most arbitrary despots would not compel a man to declare what he had not made up his mind to. was not a court of inquisition, and could not arrogate to itself a dominion over the High Bailiff's judgement; he ought to have time allowed him to exercise the means of forming his opinion, with regard to who had the majority of legal votes upon the poll; and what means, Mr. Macnamara asked, were so proper for the purpose as a scrutiny? For this reason Mr. Macnamara faid, he should vote against the present motion, and be for directing the High Bailiff to proceed with

the scrutiny.

Mr.

Mr. D. Pul. Mr. D. Pulteney spoke to the following effect: - Sir, I should not have presumed to trouble the House at this late hour, if I thought the question before us had really deserved all the eloquence and ingenuity which has been employed upon it both within and without the bar. However intricate it may have been rendered here, I think it really a very fimple proposition, and may be contained in a nut shell — The right honourable complainant has threatened the High Bailiff with an action in the courts below; I foretel him, that this affair will be decided there in four hours, though it has engaged the attention of Parliament for four days. I confider, Sir. three modes known to the law for ascertaining a majority of legal votes - the shew of hands, the poll, and the fcrutiny - Nobody denies the legality of the last under particular circumstances - The scrutiny is to the poll what the poll is to the shew of hands, i. e. a more accurate investigation of that which the returning officer is not already enabled to declare confistently with his oath - The shew of hands at first would be a final and conclusive election, but that one of the candidates suggests a doubt of improper votes, if it may be so called, being given in so tumultous an affembly - The poll immediately enfues, and ninety-nine times in a hundred the poll is conclusive, and deserves to be so, because the poll is a sort of something, where the electors are few in number, or where the qualification is so widely different as it is in counties, the returning officer may almost always make a return from the mere poll confistent with the What is the present case? The poll is at first so tumultuously conducted, by 1800 polling in fix hours, that the High Bailiff declares he admitted numbers to poll from the urgency of the case, whom he intended afterwards to scrutinize. The poll, however, is so artfully protracted, as to preclude the demand of a scrutiny in the usual stage, i. a. before a return — At this period, suggestions and even evidence are given him of what he declares he has fo many reafons to suspect, i. e. that there were great numbers of improper names on the poll - The fuggestion comes from one party only; it is the same when there is an appeal from the shew of hands to the poll — This is a special return without a precedent, and so was the election itself, from the poll being fo crouded at the beginning, and fo protracted at the There may be no positive law to warrant this return; but the common sense and substantial justice of the case, which prevented the High Bailiff from making any other return confistent with his oath, is with me a justification of

his conduct. This House, I think, can give him no order to amend the special return he has already made - for the law which directs a poll, from the uncertainty of the shew of hands, undoubtedly admits a ferutiny in certain cases, must, in common sense, intend to admit a scrutiny where the poll so nearly resembles a shew of hands as to prevent the High Bailiff being able to return upon his oath the two members duly elected.

Mr. Fox rose, and spoke in substance as follows:

Mr. Speaker, Before I enter upon the confideration of this question, I Mr. Fox.

cannot help expressing my surprise, that those who sit over against me (the Ministry) should have been hitherto silent in this debate. Common candour might have taught them to have urged whatever objections they have to urge against the motion of my honourable friend before this time; because in that case I should have had an opportunity of replying to their arguments; and fure it would have been fair to allow me the flight favour of being the last speaker upon such a subject. But, Sir, I have no reason to expect indulgence. nor do I know that I shall meet with bare justice in this House. Sir, I say, "That I have no reason to expect in-"dulgence, nor do I know that I shall meet with bare jus-" tice in this House."

In consequence of a murmur from the other side, Mr. Fox paused, and said, Mr. Speaker, there is a regular mode of checking any member in this House for using improper words in a debate, and it is to move, to have the improper words taken down by the Clerk, for the purpose of censuring the person who had spoken them. If I have said any thing unfit for this House to hear, or for me to utter - If any gentleman is offended by any thing that fell from me, and has sense enough to point out, and spirit to correct that offence, he will adopt that parliamentary and gentleman-like mode of conduct; and that he may have an opportunity of doing so, I again repeat, "That I have no reason to expect "indulgence, nor do I know that I shall meet with bare " justice in this House."

Sir, I am warranted in the use of these words, by events and authorities that leave little to be doubted, and little to be questioned. The treatment this business has received within these walls, the extraordinary proceedings which have sprung from it, the dispositions which have been manifested in particular classes of men, all concur to justify the terms I have adopted, and to establish the truth of what I have afferted.

If the declaration I have made, had happened not to have heen supported by the occurrences I allude to, the very confideration of Mr. Grenville's bill is of itself sufficient to vindicate what I have faid. That bill, Sir, originated in a belief that this House, in the aggregate, was an unfit tribunal to decide upon contested elections. It viewed this House, as every popular affembly should be viewed, as a mass of mera capable of political diflike and personal aversion; capable of too much attachment and too much animofity; capable of being biassed by weak and by wicked motives; liable to be governed by ministerial influence, by caprice, and by corrup-Mr. Grenville's bill viewed this House as endowed. with these capacities, and judging it therefore incapable of determining upon controverted elections with impartiality. with justice, and with equity, it deprived it of the means of mischief, and formed a judicature as complete and ample, perhaps, as human skill can constitute*. That I am debarred the benefits of that celebrated bill, is clear beyond all doubt, and thrown entirely upon the mercy, or, if you please, upon the wisdom of this House. Unless, then, men are to suppose that human nature is totally altered within a few months - unless we can be so groffly credulous as to imagine that the present is purged of all the frailties of former Parliaments - unless I am to surrender my understanding, and blind myfelf to the extraordinary conduct of this House, in this extrordinary business; for the last fortnight -I may fay, and fay with truth, "that I expect no indul-" gence, nor do I know that I shall meet with bare justice ". in this House."

There are in this House, Sir, many persons to whom I might, upon every principle of equity, fairness, and reason, object, as judges, to decide upon my cause, not merely from their acknowledged enmity to me, to my friends, and to my politics, but from their particular conduct upon this particular occasion. To a noble Lord, (Lord Mulgrave) who spoke early in this debate, I might rightly object as a judge to try me; who, from the fulness of his prejudice to me, and predilection for my opponents, afferts things in direct

defiance

^{*} Mr. Grenville's bill enacted, that the persons to try disputed elections shall be drawn out of a glass, to the number of forty-nine; that the parties in the dispute shall strike from these names alternately without ascribing any reason, until they reduce the number to thirteen; and these thirteen shall be governed by positive law, and sworn upon oath to administer strict justice.

defiance of the evidence which has been given at your bar. The noble Lord repeats again, that "tricks" were used at my side in the election, although he very properly omits the epithet which preceded that term when he used it in a former debate; but does it appear in evidence that any tricks were practised on my part? Not a word. Against him, therefore, who, in the teeth of the depositions on your table, is prompted, by his enmity towards me, to maintain what the evidence (the ground this House is supposed to go upon) absolutely denies, I might object with infinite propriety as a

judge in this cause.

There is another judge, Sir, to whom I might object with greater reason, if possible, than to the last. A person evidently interested in increasing the numbers of my adversaries upon the poll, but who has relinquished his right as an elector of Westminster, that his voting may not disqualify him from being a judge upon the Committee to decide this contest: a person too, Sir, who, in the late election, scrupled not to act as an agent, an avowed, and, indeed, an active agent to my opponents. [Lord Mahon took this to himself; but Mr. Fox went on thus: Is there any interruption, Sir? I hope not. I am but stating a known fact; that a person who is to pronounce a judgement this night in this cause, avoided to exercise one of the most valuable franchises of a British citizen, only that he might be a nominee for my adverfaries, concluding that his industry upon the Committee would be of more advantage to their cause, than a solitary vote at the election. This, Sir, I conceive would be a sufficient objection to him as a judge to try me.

A third person there is, whom I might in reason challenge upon this occasion. A person of a sober demeanor, who, with great'diligence and exertion in a very respectable and learned profession, has raised himself to considerable eminence; (the Master of the Rolls) a person who fills one of the first feats of justice in this kingdom, and who has long discharged the functions of a judge in an inferior, but very honourable fituation. This person, Sir, has, upon this day, professed and paraded much upon the impartiality with which he should discharge his conscience in his judicial capacity as a member of Parliament in my cause. Yet this very person, insensible to the rank he maintains, or should maintain, in this country, abandoning the gravity of his character as a member of the Senate, and losing fight of the fanctity of his station both in this House and out of it, even in the very act of delivering a judicial fentence, descends to minute and Vol. XV.

mean allusions to former politics—comes here stored with the intrigues of past times, and instead of the venerable language of a good judge and a great lawyer, attempts to entertain the House by quoting, or by misquoting, words supposed to have been spoken by me in the heat of former debates, and in the violence of contending parties, when my noble friend and I opposed each other. This demure gentleman, Sir, this great lawyer, this judge of law and equity. and constitution, enlightens this subject, instructs and delights his hearers, by reviving this necessary intelligence, that when I had the honour of first sitting in this House for Midhurst, I was not full twenty-one years of age; and all this he does for the honourable purpose of fanctifying the High Bailiff of Westminster in defrauding the electors of their representation in this House, and robbing me of the honour of afferting and confirming their right by fitting as their representative. Against him, therefore, Sir, and against men like him, I might justly object as a judge, or as judges to try my cause; and it is with perfect truth I once more repeat, "That I have no reason to expect indulgence, nor do " I know that I shall meet with bare justice in this House."

Sir, I understand that the learned gentleman I have just alluded to (I was not in the house during the first part of his speech) has infinuated that I have no right to be present during this discussion, and that hearing me is an indulgence. Against the principle of that assertion, Sir, and against every fyllable of it, I beg leave, in the most express terms, directly to protest. I maintain that I not only have a right to speak, but a positive and clear right to vote upon this occafion; and I affure the House, that nothing but the declaration I have made in the first stage of this business should prevent me from doing fo. As to myfelf, if I were the only person to be aggrieved by this proceeding, if the mischief of it extended not beyond me, I should rest thoroughly and completely fatisfied with the great and brilliant display of knowledge and abilities which have been exhibited by the learned gentleman, who appeared for me and for my constituents at your bar. If I alone were interested in the decision of this matter, their exertions, combined with the acute and ingenious treatment this question has received from many gentlemen on this fide of the House, whose arguments are as learned as they are evidently unanswerable, would have contented me. But a fense of duty, superior to all personal advantage, calls on me to exert myself at this time. ever can best encourage and animate to diligence and to energy, whatever is most powerful and influencing upon a mind

mind not callous to every fentiment of gratitude and honour, demand at this moment the exercise of every function and faculty that I am mafter of. This, Sir, is not my cause alone; it is the cause of the English Constitution, the cause of the electors of this kingdom, and it is in particular the especial cause of the most independent, the most spirited, the most kind and generous body of men that ever concurred upon a fubject of public policy: it is the cause of the electors of Westminster: the cause of those who, upon many trials, have supported me against hosts of enemies; of those who, upon a recent occasion, when every art of malice, of calumny, and corruption - every engine of an illiberal and shameless system of Government — when the most gross and monstrous fallacy that ever duped and deceived a credulous country, have been propagated and worked with all imaginable fubtilty and diligence, for the purpose of rendering me unpopular throughout the empire - have with a steadiness, with a fagacity, with a judgement, becoming men of fense and spirit, defeated all the miserable malice of my enemies, vindicated themselves from the charge of caprice, changeableness, and fluctuation, and, with a generosity that binds me to them in every tie of affection, supported me through the late contest, and accomplished a victory against all the arts and powers of the basest system of oppression that ever destined the overthrow of any individual.

If, by speaking in this House, (where many perhaps may think I speak too much) I have acquired any reputation; if I have any talents, and that attention to public business has matured or improved those talents into any capability of solid service, the present subject and the present moment, beyond any other period of my life, challenge and call them into action; when added to the importance of this question upon the English Constitution, combined with the immediate interest I seel personally in the fate of it, I am impelled by the nobler and more forcible incitement of being engaged in the cause of those to whom the devotion of all I have of diligence or ability would be but a stight recompence for their zeal, constancy, firm attachment, and unshaken friendship to

me upon all occasions, and under all circumstances.

There are two leading points of view in which this question should be considered: the first is, Whether the High Bailiff of Westminster has had sufficient evidence to warrant his granting a scrutiny, supposing that he possessed a legal discretion to grant it: the second, Whether any returning officer can by law grant a scrutiny, even upon the completest N 2.

evidence of its necessity; which scrutiny cannot commence till after the day on which the writ is returnable.

It is of little consequence in which order the question is

taken up; but first I shall proceed upon evidence.

The great defence of the High Bailiff is built upon the circumstance of Sir Cecil Wray and his agents having furnished him with regular lists of bad votes on my part; and to prove that these lists were delivered, they have brought a witness who knows not a syllable of the truth of the contents of the lifts. The witness who drew the affidavits, which affirm those bad votes to have polled for me, upon cross examination appears equally ignorant of the truth of the affidavits, and therefore the burden of the proof rested upon the evidence of Affleck, whose testimony nevertheless, after four hours examination, is expunged from your books as inadmissible. Expunged however though it is, I wish the House to recollect the answers he gave concerning the descriptions of the bad voters which are imputed to me, and to the stated. number of them.' The number is faid to be 143, and the House will recollect, that although I repeatedly pressed the witness to name some of them, he could not even name I questioned Affleck particularly, whether the 143 were persons who did not exist where they pretended to refide: his answer was, that some did reside in the streets as mentioned in the poll books, and that others could not be Those who could not be found at all, if any fuch there were, might fairly be deemed bad votes; but the other class of voters involved a question of law; and I submit to the House, whether, if the evidence of this man, instead of being rejected as incompetent, had actually been admitted, the whole tenour of it, instead of exculpating, would not, in the strongest sense, tend to criminate the High Bais liff. Had he known his duty, or was disposed to discharge it, this he would have faid to fuch a reporter: - "You may be, " and most likely are, interested in deceiving me; after much "argument and discussion, I, as the sole judge in this court, " have admitted these to be legal votes, which you (of whom "I know nothing) affirm to be only lodgers or non-residents; "my fituation is too folemn to be affected by fuch informa-"tion, and therefore I dismiss it as unfit for me to proceed " upon."

This should have been the High Bailist's conduct; but his conduct is the exact reverse of it. He receives this species of information, and from these sorts of men; and not only this, but accepts affidavits imputing bribery to

fome

fome persons who canvassed for me, acknowledging at the same moment that he had no cognizance of bribery, and never once inquires into the truth of the charge, nor whether any credit is due to the deposer, nor even who the deposer is. All this the High Bailiss does in concert with my adversaries, secretly, collusively, without even once giving me, or any one of my agents, the very slightest idea that any such intercourse had subsisted between him (the judge of this court) and one of the parties, litigating that upon

which he was to exercise his judicial function.

To have received such information with the least attention, was in itself criminal enough, but studiously, cautiously, and deliberately to have concealed it from me, was base and wicked in the extreme. Had I been apprised of these machinations, I might have established the falsehood of every accusation; and surely, if justice had been the object of the High Bailiff, he would not rest one moment until he communicated to me the burden of these informations and affidavits, especially if he meant to overturn the whole tide of precedents, and to innovate upon the practice of all the returning officers that ever lived in this kingdom, in granting a scrutiny to commence after the return of the writ. If truth was his aim, the obvious mode of ascertaining it was to have given the other party an opportunity of knowing the charges brought against them, to let them have the chance of contradicting their accusers; and if we failed in falfifying these informations, the High Bailiff would have had this presumption in his favour, that it was only because we could not. But, Sir, not this, nor any thing like it, did the High Bailiff of Westminster. So far from acting like an impartial judge, he appears to have been the agent, or rather, the mere tool of my opponents: and every fyllable of these informations upon which he acted, might have been, for aught he knew, the vilest mass of falsehood and perjury that ever thwarted the course of justice. I say then, Sir, if the High Bailiss absolutely posleffed a legal discretion in granting a scrutiny, to have granted it upon this fort of evidence, and under these circumstances, was, to say no worse of it, an act that cannot be justified upon any obvious principle of law, reason, common fense, or common equity.

But what will the candid part of the House think of this High Bailiff, when they consider that the grounds of his vindication at your bar differ as much as light and darkness

from

from his vindication in the Vestry in Covent Garden, upon granting the scrutiny? And here, Sir, I have to lament that the paper which he read to this House as his defence, which the gentlemen opposite to me, (the Ministry) for reasons as honourable perhaps to themselves as to the High Bailiff, so firenuously opposed being laid on the table, is now impossible to be produced: that paper, Sir, would have enabled me, from his own words, to have proved to you that the principle he avowed at your bar, as the rule that governed him in this business, is exactly and directly the very reverse of the principle he pretended to act upon at the time of granting the scrutiny. Fortunately, however, this fact is established in clear, unquestioned evidence before you. Mr. O'Bryen's testimony is complete and decisive as to that point - his words were, "That the High Bailiff, in the Vestry, upon " granting the scrutiny, disclaimed the informations deliver-" ed to him by Sir Cecil Wray and his agents; that he re-" plied with peevishness and some displeasure to Sir Cecil for " having mentioned them; that he declared he believed he " had never read them; certainly never, with any attention; " that he threw them aside unnoticed; that they had not the " least operation upon his judgement; and that they did not, f' in the very flightest sense, influence his determination in " granting the scrutiny." These were his words. - Atkinfon, upon crofs examination, was obliged to acknowledge this; and Grojan's want of memory upon it goes, of itself, a great way to establish the truth, if it required farther corroboration.

Now let the House and the world judge of this High Bailiff, who, upon granting the scrutiny, affects to be insulted at the supposition of his acting upon this ex parte information, and yet rests all his defence at the bar of this House, upon that very ex parte information which, but a fortnight

before, he disclaimed and despised.

Without adverting to his shameful and scandalous conduct (which, if he had one spark of feeling, would make him blush to shew his face, much less to avow the act) in holding this fraudulent intercourse with my enemies; cautiously concealing that any such intercourse subsisted between them; treacherously betraying the cause of justice, which his situation bound him to support inviolate; and basely lending himself to one party, for the ruin of another. Can any thing better shew his iniquity, than varying the grounds of his defence according to the variation of scene, and the pressure of exigency? This continual shifting demonstrates that he

has no honest defence to make; - put the most favourable construction possible upon his conduct, and the best of the alternatives marks him a hypocrite at the least. If he has spoken truth in the Vestry, he is an arrant liar before this House: or, if he vindicates himself before you upon pure principles, he has groffly and wickedly deceived me and all who heard the contempt he expressed in the Vestry for that information, upon which he has expatiated at the bar of this House with such extraordinary reverence.

So much for the confishency of the High Bailiff respecting

his alledged motives in granting a scrutiny.

It is faid on the other fide of the House, that the poll was not a scrutiny, and said in express contradiction to the evidence produced at your bar. Never was a poll a scrutiny. unless the poll in question was such. It is established by respectable testimony at your bar, that the poll was an absolute It is proved that the parish books were constantly at the hustings, and each voter's name, profession, and description, collated with the books. It is proved, that when the names of voters could not always be found in the parish books, (which was often the case, and yet the votes perfectly: legal) a gentleman in the interest of each side frequently went to the very street in which the voter said he lived; that the yote was suspended until that inquiry was made, and that the decision was always governed by the report of the inquirers in such case. Was this, or was it not, a scrutiny? — But it is faid, that the poll was crammed at one time, and hence an inference is drawn, that the poll was not a ferutiny. This is strange reasoning, surely; to support this inference, it should be proved that votes were excepted to, and yet admitted in the hurry without examination or inquiry. Does this appear to be the case? Nothing like it. - With all Mr. Grojan's disposition to shelter the High Bailiff, with all his power of memory at one time, and his want of it another, does he affert any fuch thing? No, Sir, he could not with truth; and even he could not venture upon this without truth. Did you ever hear, or did fuch a thing ever happen, as that a returning officer, of his own accord, should reject any votes not excepted to hy the contending parties? Certainly not. -Those votes therefore, in whose legality the candidates themselves agreed, must be justly presumed by the High Bailiss to be unexceptionable; and from hence to suppose that the poll was no ferutiny, is weak in the extreme. In the early part of the election it was the natural wish of each candidate to get upon the head of the poll. Each brought up as many friends

friends as possible, and this accounts for what they call cramming the poll. Respecting the High Bailiss's difficulty in forming an opinion as to which of the two had the greater number of legal votes, had I been lowest upon the poll at the close of the election, there might have been some little colour for his affectation of scrutiny. Why? Because upon the days when the poll was most crammed, when the greatest numbers polled, and when there was least inquiry and least examination into their legality, Sir Cecil Wray had a very great majority over me. I began to gain upon my adversary, not when thousands polled of a day, but when only a few hundreds, and less than a hundred polled on each day—at a time when there was sufficient leisure to scrutinise the votes, and when the most acute, the most jealous and sharp inquiry took place, as to the qualification of each voter, that was,

perhaps, ever practifed in any court of hustings.

With a view to exculpate this High Bailiff, his deputy. Mr. Grojan, related an incident which I shall notice, and the exultation of the opposite side of the House, at the time of that relation, renders that notice the more necessary. this - he asked a man which way the street lay in which he lived, and the man faid it was that way, pointing his hand. towards Drury Lane. "I immediately suspected him, and " afterwards rejected him," fays Mr. Grojan. Now, Sir, this story happens to be strictly true, and true to the confufion of those who relate it for the vindication of the High Bailiff. Were my election to depend upon the merits of a fingle vote, I do not know that I should prefer any other inhabitant of this great city before that very man then rejected by Mr. Grojan; for in all Westminster there is not a better qualified, a more undoubted legal voter, than that identical person. And what is the fact, Sir? That this honest. ignorant man came to poll with liquor in his head, and (embarraffed by the scene, by the shouting, and by the manner perhaps of the question) made that absurd reply. These events, Sir, were not unfrequent at that hustings; and when one considers the facility of puzzling such men in all places, when one considers that Mr. Grojan is not, of all men living, the most embarrassed in the exercise of his duty, nor exactly the most anxious for the comments of by-standers upon his conduct, there is little wonder that honest, uninformed men, furrounded by thousands, with half a dozen inspectors plaguing them with different questions at the same moment, in the midft of noise and huzzaing, in that state of hilarity,

hilarity, perhaps, which is too frequent at general elections, should sometimes give a foolish, unconnected answer to such interrogatories as generally come from Mr. Grojan.

I understand that a learned gentleman has said, that he would have closed the poll long before the High Bailiff proclaimed his intention of doing fo. I do not mean to argue the legality of that position with the learned gentleman; that the fact was exactly otherwise, is all that is necessary for me to maintain. It is in evidence before you, that he did not close it until the 17th of May, and then closed it not from deficiency of voters, but for the express purpose of enabling himself to make his return by the 18th, the day on The first, and the only nowhich the writ was returnable. tice I had of his intention to close the poll, was on the Thursday preceding; and I do confoss, and have always declared, that my object was to continue the poll during the three intermediate days, that the High Bailiff may be obliged to affign this as his reason, since the act of closing the poll was his own act. In this I hold myself perfectly justifiable: - during these three days I confess it was my wish to protract the poll; but I folemnly deny that it was ever prolonged by me a fingle hour more; and also deny, that up to the 13th of May, I had any proposal or any offer that I could notice, for closing it.

Attempts have been made to prove, and that is the last head of evidence I shall touch upon, that infinuations came from us at a certain period of the poll, of demanding a scrutiny. That some of my friends might have expressed that intention, is very probable; but give me leave to say, Sir, that if I had myself formally demanded it, there is no rule of law that warrants a conclusion against me, on account of my own conduct as a party. A thousand motives there may be to justify me in demanding of the High Bailiss, that which it would be perfectly right in him to refuse. If in any case of litigation a Judge should grant to one of the parties whatever he wished, how could he ever come to a just decision? or who would ever be deseated, whatever may

be the badness of his cause?

But, Sir, has it been offered to you in proof, or is there a man that can fay, I ever did for one moment entertain the idea, much less express it, that a scrutiny could go on after the day on which the writ was returnable. Sir, I do affure you, so absurd, so preposterous, so pernicious a thought, never once possessed me. I had occasion very maturely to Vol. XV.

O consider

98

consider this subject at the first Westminster election. Lord Lincoln demanded a scrutiny, which the High Bailiss granted, and which the noble Lord afterwards relinquished. I remember to have investigated the matter then. I consulted the greatest dead and living authorities, the best books, and the most learned men in my circle; and the result was, that the granting a scrutiny before the return of the writ was legal, but no book, no lawyer, no man, before this time, ever, to my knowledge, maintained that a scrutiny could be continued, much less begun, after the day on which the writ was returnable.

Then, fay my enemies, why did you expect the High Bailiff to grant you a scrutiny, which you must know could not be finished before the 18th of May? And at that I see the gentlemen on the opposite bench (the Ministry) exult a little. But, Sir, it is a weak and childish exultation. they think, or, if they deceive themselves, can they believe the Public will think, that I could have been to gross an ideot as to suppose a scrutiny of this election could be over before the 18th, with the inftance of Vandeput and Trentham staring me in the face, where an unfinished scrutiny lasted above five months. Can they imagine I could hope a forutiny in this case, where upwards of three thousand voters polled more than at the contested election of Vandeput and Trentham, could by any possible means be over before the 18th! Surely not. A tolerable knowledge of Mr. Thomas Corbett, the High Bailiff of Westminster, gave me no extravagant hopes of success in any scrutiny where he was to be the sole judge; and therefore all I ever meant was, that an inquiry might take place previous to the 18th; which inquiry might enable us to form the train and order of the necesfary evidence, that we might the better know how to discover the different species of bad votes, and class, under their various heads, those which were doubtful - those which were suspected - and those which were positively illegal; and so far to methodife, arrange, and fimplify the bufiness, before the return, that we might go on in the Committee, under Grenville's bill, with the greater facility and expedition, and with less expence; and this would have been a material point of preparation for us.

This, Sir, was all I ever meant by a scrutiny before Mr. Corbett, and all that any man of common fairness and libe-

rality can suppose I meant,

A noble

A noble Lord over against me, (Lord Mulgrave) in his zeal to exculpate the High Bailiff, charges me with having intimidated him, and charges it upon the evidence of Mr. That noble Lord, disdaining all regard to confistency, whenever he thinks he can impute a fault to us, at the same moment that he afferts the High Bailiff was intimidated, pronounces a flashy panegyric upon the firmness and intrepidity of the very man he affirms to be thus terrified. But, Sir, the High Bailiff was threatened — and how? Was it by threats of affaulting him? No -- Was it by holding up the fear of danger to him, by mobs or riots? No.-Was it by a menace of taking away his books, breaking the peace of the hustings, and interrupting him in the discharge of his duty? No, no; but it was by warning him of the consequences of unjust partialities, false or corrupt decisions, It was by threatening him with legal punishment, if he did not make the law of the land the rule of his conduct. Grojan tells you, that he believes these threats sometimes induced the High Bailiff to make decisions in my favour, contrary to his judgement. Yet this is the man, whose firmness and intrepidity the noble Lord commends so much, and whom the Government of this country is straining every nerve to bear harmless through this unprecedented business. officer, whose deputy, as a palliation of greater guilt, defends, by faying that he committed a palpable breach of his duty, and only because he is threatened with legal punishment, if he acts against law! Sir, for my own part, I believe, there is as much fincerity in the noble Lord's panegyric, as there is veracity in the Deputy Bailiff's inference from these threats: all I wish, however, is, that you would properly notice this species of intimidation. It is an intimidation, Sir, the influence of which, I hope will reach every man, every magistrate in this country, however splendid his station, however lifted up above his fellow-creatures in office or dignity. — To keep before his eyes the danger of a vicious, or a wanton breach of the law of the land. Would to God this House were in a capacity to become an object of those consequences, which the verdict of a Jury would determine to follow a violation of the laws! With what content, with what confidence, should I submit my cause to such a tribunal!

Having now, Mr. Speaker, gone through the various depositions that have been made before you. Having from the evidence shewn, that the alledged grounds of the High Railiff's

Bailiff's first granting this scrutiny, were the direct reverse of those he declares to this House to have been his motives.-Having shewn that he was in habits of clandestine intercourse with my opponents - having shewn that he was in the constant course of receiving ax parte information in an illicit and shameful secrecy-having shewn that he positively and solemnly denied this series of iniquitous proceeding in the vestry, which he boldly avows at your bar - having shewn that the poll was as much a ferntiny as any poll can possibly be-having explained my views in the event of my demanding a scrutiny-having described the species of intimidation used to this man, and confirmed, that so far from exculpating, it tends deeply to criminate him-having shewn this, Sir, and shewn it by the evidence which you have heard at your bar, I shall conclude this part of my subject, with submitting to every man of honour and candour who hears me, whether he really thinks that the High Bailiff of Westminster exercised a sound and honest discretion in granting a scrutiny, supposing, for argument sake, that he actually possessed a legal power to grant it.

The remainder of what I have to fay, shall be directed to prove that he had no such power, and to lay before you the fatal effects of such a precedent, as the loss of this question

will afford.

I am not a professional man, and cannot be supposed to foeak with the information of professional gentlemen upon a legal subject; there are, however, general and fixed principles of common sense, which serve to guide an unlearned man upon a subject of this kind. Four different ways occur to me, by which, in a case of doubt, the law may be discovered and ascertained; first of all I should look into the statute book upon the table; if upon fearching there I find an act of Parliament upon the point in dispute, doubt and conjecture cease at once, and all is clear and certain. But if there should be found no act to regulate the case in question, I should then in the second place have recourse to practice and precedent, and inquire what has been done in fimilar cases on fimilar occafions; in other words, I should try what is the common law. If I find practice and precedent direct me, then every thing is plain and easy; but if no statute and no precedent should be found, by which I could fleer in this ambiguity, my next obvious refort would be to legal analogies, to cases, which, though not precisely the same in all points, are yet perfectly similar in principle. If in this department of research I find any

any thing to direct me, there too, all will be smooth, intelligible, and certain—but if I find no positive statute, nor precedent, nor practice at common law, and no legal analogy, whereby I might discover the fact, there is then much difficulty indeed, but not an insurmountable one. Still I should make an effort, and my last and fourth resort should be to the experience and understanding of mankind—to those arguments which common sense suggests—to fair conclusions deducible from fair reasoning, founded upon the immutable principles

of policy and expediency.

Now, Sir, if some of these various modes of defining the law should happen to favour me upon the present subject, and that others should unfortunately militate against me, still I may be right in my position, but not with that fullness of conviction, that clearness of certainty that I might wish. The case, however, is so entirely otherwise, that I do venture to affirm, and engage to prove to the fatisfaction of every man capable of being fatisfied, that not only nothing in any of these different ways of attaining the fact, does operate in the flightest degree against me, but that all and each concur in supporting me, and demonstrating the illegality and violence of my enemies in the present business. I do therefore affert, that the High Bailiff of Westminster, in granting this scrutiny, has violated the law of the land, by the combined force and testimony of these sour tests: by the statutes-by the common law — by the analogies of law — by policy and expediency.—First as to the statutes:

The act of the 10th and 11th of William III. * was made for the avowed purpose of checking the bad conduct of returning officers. The preamble of the bill, and every clause in

it,

^{*} Extract from 10 and 11 William III. c. 7. — For preventing abuses in the return of write of summons for the calling and assembling of any Parliament for the future, or write for the choice of any new member to serve in Parliament; and to the end such write may by the proper officer or his deputy be duly returned and delivered to the Clerk of the Crown, to be by him filed according to the ancient and legal sourse; be it enacted by the King's Most Excellent Majesty, by and with the advice and consent of the Lords spiritual and temporal, and Commons in Parliament assembled, and by authority of the same, that the Sheriff or other officer, having the execution and return of any such writ which shall be issued for the future, shall, on or before the day that any future Parliament shall be called to meet, and with alt convenient expedition, not exceeding sources days after any election made

it, proves this to have been the object of enacting it. As the part of it which relates to returns is merely directory, it is groß and abfurd to conftrue it in any other manner than that which makes it answer the evident purpose for which it is enacted. It requires that the writs for any future Parliament shall be returned on or before the day that Parliament is called to meet—that the return shall be made to the Clerk of the Crown, which Clerk of the Crown is authorised to receive four shillings for every Knight, and two shillings for every Burges. It imposes a penalty upon the Sheriss, if he does not make his return on or before this day.

Now observe the construction given by the opposite side of the House to this plain intelligible statute. It is true, say they, this act is binding upon a Sheriff, but not at all upon a Mayor or Bailiff. Why? because a Mayor or Bailiff are not mentioned. True, they are not mentioned, and probably the action I spoke of some time ago, might not lie against the High Bailiff; not that he has not openly transgressed the spirit of the law, but because the penal part of every statute is to be construed according to the strict letter of the act; but I submit to the House, whether they ever heard so low, so vile, so dirty a quibble — whether they ever heard so base a perversion of common sense, as to suppose the legislature of this country, to have been such a set of ideots, such a herd of miserable beings, as that in an act, made for the avowed and declared purpole of correcting and punishing the misconduct of returning officers, they should have provided against the partialities, corruption, and roguery of Sheriffs, and have left the nation at the mercy of Mayors and Bailiffs without restraint, redress, or punishment. This is the construction put upon this act by his Majesty's Ministers, the patrons

made by virtue of any new writ, either in person or by his deputy, make return of the same to the Clerk of the Crown in the High Court of Chancery, to be by him filed; and the Sheriff or other person making such return, shall pay to the said Clerk of the Crown the ancient and lawful sees of four shillings and no more for every Knight of a Shire, and two shillings and no more for every Citizen, Burgess or Baron of the Cinque Ports, returned into the said Court, to be by him saled; and the said Sheriff or officer shall by virtue of this act charge the same to His Majesty, his heirs or successors, and have allowance thereof in his account in the Exchequer or elsewhere.

Every Sheriff and other officer who shall not make return according to the true intent and meaning of this act, shall forfeit five hundred pounds.

Digitized by Google

of this High Bailiff, although they see those express words in the body of the act - " That the Clerk of the Crown shall se receive at the time of these returns (which returns must 4 be made on or before the day of the meeting of such new "Parliament) four shillings for every Knight, and two fhillings for every Burgess." Why mention the Burgess, if that act is not meant to compel the return of the writ, under which he is chosen? Was there ever such an outrage upon common fense, as to maintain, although they see the fee flated for the Burgess to pay; though they see the return required proceeding from the Sheriff's precept to the Mayor or Bailiff; that the Mayor or Bailiff is not obliged to make a return within the time prescribed by the same act, that is, on or before the day that the new Parliament shall be called to meet ?

But there is another point which defines the meaning of the legislature to a certainty, and it is the exception in favour of new writs upon vacancies. In that case, there is an obligation that the return be made within fourteen days after the election upon that vacancy. Is it confishent with reason, or rather is it not making downright nonsense of this act, to suppose that it should compel a return within a certain time in cases of vacancy; but that upon a general election, all should lay at the mere will and pleasure of the returning officers. Will the gentlemen urge the same contemptible reafoning here, and affert that the compulsion in this case only respects the returns of Knights of the Shire? What? that an act should be made to prevent the collusion and knavery of returning officers, yet that it extends only to the preclusion of frauds in returning about one hundred, because they are Knights of the Shire, and leaves the remaining four hundred at the discretion of every Mayor or Bailiff? Sheriffs are in general of a much superior rank and character to the other returning officers, yet the wittal caution the honourable interpreters of this act impute to the English legislature is, that they guarded against abuses from that class of returning officers, whose fortune and sphere of life presumed most for their integrity; and made no provision whatever for the possible misconduct of that very description of returning officers, whose situation gave the least pledge or security for honest and uncorrupt conduct.

If I am not mistaken, this species of reasoning carries

with it its own refutation.

A noble

A noble Lord over against me (Lord Mulgrave), has advanced a singular kind of argument indeed, touching the intention of this act of King William. He has read to you from the Journals an instruction to the Committee appointed to bring it in, which instruction suggests to them the introduction of a clause to secure the returns for cities and boroughs within the specified time, and in a style of inference peculiar to himself, he concludes, that as the express words do not appear in the statute, the legislature never meant to include the returning officers of cities and boroughs.

Now I will take upon me to fay, that every other man in this country, (that noble Lord and those who concur with him in opposition to my honourable friend's motion excepted) capable of understanding the sense of an act of Parliament, will draw the direct reverse of his conclusion from the non-infertion of that clause. The sole view of this statute was to correct the abuses of returning officers. The instruction from the House to the Committee proves, that the disease extended to Mayors and Bailiffs. omission of that clause therefore clearly demonstrates, that the framers of the act thought the fuggestion fully comprehended in the act as it stands, and that it would be mere tautology and needless repetition to be more explicit. What a miserable legislature must that be, which in the act of applying a remedy to an acknowledged evil, creates ten times a greater than that which it endeavours to cure. Those who made this law, were, in my opinion, good politicians, but they were evidently not good prophets - for they did not foresee that an hour would come, when men should rife up. and put fuch a construction upon their labours, as marked them the most despicable set of drivellers that ever insulted fociety under the appellation of law-makers. - In a word, Sir, I contend that the statute of King William is decisively and compleatly with us.

The 23d of Henry the VI. is likewise with us, and does afford me a legal remedy against the High Bailiss, of which I shall most certainly avail myself. That act authorises the Sheriff to issue his precepts to the returning officers of cities and boroughs. It requires that they shall make a return to the Sheriff, and gives the person chosen, and not returned, an action, which must be brought within three months after the meeting of Parliament. From this it is evident, that the return of the writ, and of the precept proceeding from

Digitized by Google

the writ, must be at one and the same time, viz. by the meeting of the Parliament. For otherwise, observe what rank nonsense this statute would be. This misconduct of returning officers made it necessary to give a power of legal punishment to the party chosen and not returned. That power is here given; but if we can suppose that the act does not compel the return to be positively made by the meeting of Parliament, the penalty is all a farce; for who will make a return that will subject him to a civil action, if it be in his power to avoid it? Whether the return be true or false, therefore, it is as clear as day-light, that some return must be made by the meeting of Parliament. For it is infulting common fense, to say that the man who incurs a legal penalty, shall have a legal power of evading it-That is to fay, that a returning officer may, of his own authority, prolong his return until the three months pass away, within which time alone the action can commence for the punishment of this gross abuse.

I have therefore, Sir, no difficulty in faying, and I am confident every fair man agrees in the truth of it, that these two acts, in their letter, as well as their spirit, demonstrate, that the High Bailiff of Westminster, in granting this scruz-

tiny, has politively broken the statute of the land.

The second point to which I shall advert in the arrangement of this argument is, the point of practice, or what the common law is upon this occasion; and the best way to shew that the High Bailiss of Westminster's return is against both the one and the other, is to observe this fact—that in all the records of Parliament—in all the annals of election, and in the history of this country, a single precedent cannot be found to justify this extraordinary return. The main and evident drift of it was to deprive me of the benefit of Mr. Grenville's bill; and to accomplish this end, do but observe how many obvious modes of return he passed by. Had the Bailiff done his duty, and returned Lord Hood and me, Sir Cecil Wray would not have been injured, for he would inflantly petition, and the merits of the election would be tried by a Committee upon their oaths. Had the Bailiff. doubting, as he pretends, the legality of my majority, returned, as he undoubtedly might have done, Lord Hood and Sir Cecil Wray, then I should have petitioned, and one of Mr. Grenville's Committees would have redressed me. Had he returned Lord Hood alone, still it was cognizable by Grenville's bill. A petition against an undue return would . Vol. XV.

have been presented, and this House infallibly prevented all interference in the matter, except in appointing the Committee. Or if he had returned the three candidates, the double return entitled it to a priority of hearing (upon that great and fundamental maxim, that the first object was to have the House complete,) and a Committee under Grenville's bill would instantly have tried the merits of the return, and rescued the case from the prejudices and party influence of the House of Commons. At all events, my fitting here for Kirkwall rendered an immediate discussion and decision upon the business indispensable, as petitions complaining of pluralities of election are always heard in order, next to double returns: thus you see with what dexterity this has been managed.

This curious return had two views; first, to exclude me from fitting for Westminster; secondly, to deprive me of the advantage of Mr. Grenville's bill. And, Sir, does any man think this return was the fabrication of Mr. Thomas Corbett? The party spirit and personal rancour, so visible in his defence before this House, confirm that he has all the disposition, if not all the ability, in the world to do me every mischief; yet I cannot be persuaded, when I consider who they are that take the lead in his vindication before this House, and when I observe how very familiar they appear to be with this historical return, (as my noble friend has well called it) that so peculiar, so ingenious, and so original a fragment as this, could ever have been his sole production. In a word, Sir, this curfed historical return, this return unmatched, and unprecedented in the history of Parliament, is the only species of return that could have robbed me and the independent electors of Westminster of a fair hearing before that admirable judicature instituted by Mr. Grenville's bitl.

A learned gentleman who appears at your bar for the High Bailiff, admits that no instance of this kind ever happened before; and to induce the House to support his client, he says, it will never happen again. How he comes to know that a line of conduct so convenient to a Minister, so well suited to those who have the power to oppress, and a disposition to exert every power against those they dislike, the learned gentleman himself best knows; but surely, after such an admission, to pray the fanction of this House for an act allowedly unprecedented, is somewhat singular. The learned gentleman's prophecy is surprising, it is true; but

the argument drawn from that prophecy is still more furprising. Grant the scrutiny, says he, in this case; but you certainly never will do the like again. Perpetrate the most grofs and glaring injustice deliberately, for you will never commit a similar outrage hereafter. A good understanding, however, feems to prevail between those within and those outside of the bar; and the intimation of a learned gentleman over against me, of an intention to bring in a bill to regulate this matter in future, does, in a great measure, account for the prediction of the High Bailiff's counsel, that this iniquitous precedent will be no example for future imi-Now, Sir, I take the first opportunity of declaring, that a bill declaring the law, after a decision directly contrary to law, shall be opposed by me with all the faculties and force I am master of. This is no new principle with I have ever fet myself against the affectation of applying a remedy upon erroneous decisions subversive of law in supreme courts of judicature. In the case of the determination concerning general bonds of refignation of churchlivings in the House of Lords last year, a bill past there, and was sent afterwards to this House, the purport of which was, to declare the law in that case, after a determination, which reversed the uniform current of decisions in Westminster-Hall for a series of ages *. Such a bill would have been most fatal in its example, because it would have taken away the only check, restraint, and control, upon courts, of dernier appeal. It would take away the general public inconvenience arising from the false determinations of superior courts. I opposed that bill, Sir, and opposed it with success, for this House rejected it. I shall oppose the bill fuggested by the learned gentleman upon the same principle, and every other bill of the fame tendency. For fure there cannot be a more barefaced violence of decency and justice-a grosser mockery of the common sense of mankind, than to authorize a scrutiny, in direct opposition to the whole tide of precedents, and exactly subversive of positive law; because you intend to bring in a bill to prevent the repetion, in future time, of so scandalous and shocking a proceeding.

An incident occurs to me, which will be proper to mention. Much discussion formerly took place upon this subject of regulating scrutinies, and especially at the time of the

Oxford-

Digitized by GOOGLE

^{*} Case of Ffytche and the Bishop of London.

Oxfordshire election; (concerning which election I shall prefently trouble the House with a few observations.) Great pains and labour were employed then, with a view to frame an act of Parliament upon the subject; and a great man, whose name I mention only in the purest respect and reverence for his character, (Lord Mansfield) took an active part, and gave the whole attention of his extensive and shining talents to the business. Yet, after the most deliberate and mature consideration of the subject, even he abandoned it, in a despair of heing able to accomplish any system of management, from which many evils and various disadvantages, impossible to be remedied, might not flow. All attempts to regulate scrutinies by act of Parliament were then consequently given up. The learned gentleman (Mr. Hardinge) will excuse me, if I cannot eafily believe that he will effect that, which Lord Mansfield relinquished as impracticable; and even this consideration would be an additional motive with me in not hastily affenting to a bill, of the complection fuggested by him to the

House upon the present subject.

I have faid, that this business had no precedent in the annals of Parliament. The gentlemen on the other fide (the Miniftry) do not attempt, because they dare not, to shew that this High Bailiff is justified by any. The only cases they venture to touch upon are, the cases of Oxford and Westminster; and yet these two cases are fundamentally and altogether against them. Could they cite any instances more apposite, undoubtedly they would never have alluded to those, which, under a hope of giving some colour to the matter in question, do absolutely, positively, and substantially make against If out of the mass of precedents I were to choose one, to prove the goffness of this proceeding. I think it would be the very case of Oxfordshire. The candidates who, at that election, were lowest on the poll, demanded a scrutiny, and the Sheriff granted it. Every one knows that the Sheriff carried his partialities for the losing candidates, who demanded the scrutiny, to the greatest lengths; yet, partial as he was, and although his friends were diminishing their opponent's majority daily by the forntiny, he gave them notice that his duty bound him to stop the scrutiny, for the purpose of making his return on the day the writ was returnable: he accordingly stopped it, and made his return. If this Sheriff, interested as he was for those who were gaining by the scrutiny, conceived it possible for him to be fanctioned by any law or precedent in making a special return, and going on with the scrutiny, would he not have done so? Undoubtedly he would; and the kind of return he made, proves that he would, if he thought

thought he might. Unwilling that those who were obnoxious to him should fit in the House, he returns all the four candidates; and this he does as the last and greatest act of friendship he could confer on his friends, previous to the extinction of his authority, viz. the return of the writ. I do not say that in making this double return the Sheriff did right: but right or wrong, it proves this that all the fervice he could render his friends he did. Does any one doubt that the two candidates, thus aided by the Sheriff, and in the act of growing daily upon their adversaries by the scrutiny, would not prefer the partial, the kind and favouring tribunal of their determined friend the Sheriff, to the House of Commons, had they supposed that any thing could justify him in continuing the scruting after the meeting of Parliament? But so frightful an idea was never cherished; and they held themselves bound for ever in gratitude to the Sheriff, for having included them in his return. An honourable gentleman, whom I fee in his place, but who I believe neither fees nor hears me at this moment, (Mr. Jenkinson) knows full well that all I am stating relative to the Oxfordshire election is strictly true. He cannot easily have forgotten the part he took in that memorable He engaged eagerly in the contest, and embarked in that interest, which I should certainly have embraced, had I been of an age to form an opinion, and to act upon it. That honourable gentleman can attest the veracity of this recital; but it were vain flattery, I fear, to hope that he will rife up to-night and vindicate, by his voice and his vote, the principles of the cause he then supported, and which gained his friends the election.

He must remember that a long discussion took place in this House, touching the right of a certain class of copyhold tepants, who voted for those who had the majority upon the poll, and that the disqualification of this description of voters feated those in the House who were lowest upon the boll and the scrutiny And here I must observe, what a strong and unanswerable confirmation of the point I am endeavouring to establish, springs from a careful review of the Oxfordshire. case. The cause of the unsuccessful candidates was pleaded at the bar by one of the greatest characters of that time, and one of the greatest ornaments of this, I mean Lord Camden, quem gratia honoris nomino. A question was agitated to ascertain a peculiar qualification, which bore the most inauspicious, and, as it afterwards proved, the most fatal aspect towards his clients. If any objection to determine the point ppon that ground could possibly be supported, does any one

doubt that his ingenuity and penetration would not have difcovered it? Does any one doubt that he would have enforced that objection with all that perfpicuity and fervor of eloquence, which so much characterize that noble Lord? But the idea of a Sheriff withholding a return, on account of a scrutiny, never once occurred to him, nor to those who managed it within the bar: Nor do I believe, until this time, (to answer the laudable purpose of the present moment) did it ever enter into the head of any man as legal or practicable.

So much for the Oxfordshire case, which, I maintain, goes

with us in all its points and principles.

With respect to the Westminster case in 1740, a learned gentleman (Mr. Hardinge) who has spoken with much livelinels, but without one word of legal argument, tells you, the scrutiny then and the scrutiny now are cases exactly in point. In contradiction to that, I affirm, that not the least similitude subsists between them. In this case, the writ is returnable upon the 18th day of May; in that, no precise time is mentioned for the return; and here consists the whole Every one knows that the election of Trentham and Vandeput was upon a vacancy, in consequence of Lord Trentham's accepting a feat at the Board of Admiralty. Upon a general election, the King calls a Parliament for the dispatch of great and urgent affairs, and he calls it to meet upon a particular day: now, Sir, observe, if there he no compulsion upon returning officers to make their returns by that express time, what is to become of the great and urgent affairs for the dispatch of which His Majesty calls a Parliament?

Can you reconcile for one moment, that the nation should be bound by laws, and burthened with taxes to which they did not confent; that the King should have no Parliament, and the People no representatives, to dispatch the weighty and urgent affairs they are called to confider by a particular day, only because it is the whim, or fancy, or wickedness, of a returning officer, at his leifure, to keep them employed in the long laborious business of a scrutiny? But, during the existence of Parliament, when a writ iffues upon a vacancy, no particular day is named for its return. A poll or a scrutiny (which means only a continuation of the poll in another form) may be carried on, because it does not in the least infringe upon the exigency of the writ; because no particular time is mentioned for the return, and because His Majesty does not call upon that individual representative to come upon a precise day, for the dispatch of great and urgent affairs that affect his People, as upon a general election. This, therefore, constitutes

Digitized by Google

flitute's the distinction, and it is a wide and a material distinction—The grievance, from the absence of one representative is slight, and the law, in that case, admits a scrutiny; but in the other case, to withhold the return beyond the time appointed, is infringing the exigency, and violating the terms on which it was issued; which are, that the Parliament must meet upon that express day, for that express purpose.

Why there should be this distinction—why the compulsion of a return, by a specified period, should not exist, as well in cases of vacancy, as of general election, is not now the point If it; be, as I think it is, a defect, it only ferves in dispute. to prove, that in the best works of human wisdom there are flaws and imperfections. Our aim is to find out what is the law, not why it is the law; and, from the whole, it is clear, that the High Bailiff of Westminster, in over-stepping this distinction, and granting a serutiny to commence after the day of the general return, has broken every flatute that appears upon this subject in your books, and gone in the face of every precedent that can be found in your Journals.-[Mr. Fox faid a few words upon the Carnarvon cafe, and upon fomething that fell from the Master of the Rolls upon it. The Mafter of the Rolls made a short observation.

The third ground, resumes Mr. Fox, upon which I shall take up this subject, is upon that of the analogies of law; and upon this I shall detain the House only with a few words; not only because my ignorance of that profession disqualifies me from treating the point fully, but because all that can be said has been urged, with the greatest force and effect possible, by the learned gentlemen who appeared at your bar in my behalf; the proof of which is, that not a position they have advanced upon the legal analogies,- has been controverted by the learned gentlemen who pleaded for the High Bailiff without the har. or those venerable Judges and Crown lawyers, who have attempted to defend him within the bar. Little, therefore, remains for me to fay; but, little as I affect to have of information upon this part of the subject, I have enough to know. that wherever the gentlemen on the other fide have attempted to affimilate this case with legal analogies, they have completely and entirely failed. They have endeavoured to establish, that an officer may go on to execute the object for which the writ was iffued from the Courts in Westminster-Hall. even after the day on which the writ is returnable. Yes, Sir. he may go on; but how? Upon the authority of the expired writ?—No, by no means. He goes on by a new power given him by that Court whence the writ originally issues.

to complete that which the premature expiration of his first commission prevented his accomplishing. In a word, the court has the power of rendering effectual its own process, and therefore grants a writ of venditioni exponas, where the Sheriff has not been able to tell the goods levied under the first writ: and many other writs of different titles, for the purpose of completing that process the court has begun. But has any man faid, that without a fresh authority, any Sheriff, or any officer of any court of law, can proceed a fingle step under the old writ, one fingle hour after the day named for its return? I say no, Sir. There is not one man, however ignorant in other things, who does not know that all the authorities of all writs are defunct and extinct on the day named for their return. It is admitted, that the court can grant a new power to compleat its own process. Now, Sir, to shew the gentlemen on the other fide that they have not a shred of analogy to Support them, I will suppose, for a moment, that the writ under which the High Bailiff carried on this election, had been issued from this Court-what writ, or what legal authority can you give him to finish that which, he says, is still depending? None, I fay, Sir. A court of law can effectuate its own process, by giving its officer a new power on the demife of the old; but did you ever hear of one court granting an authority to accomplish the purpose of a a writ issued from Such a thing was never heard of. And another? Never. how stands the fact here; that the Court of Chancery iffues the writ, and the House of Commons (another court) is to fend forth a fresh writ, to finish that which has not been finished under the King's writ iffuing from Chancery, the duration of which ceased on the 18th of May. See the infinite absurdity into which these poor attempts to make out analogies involve the supporters of the High Bailiff. they fay, though this House cannot issue a supplemental power, the usual officer for making out parliamentary writs Try it, Sir, and you will puzzle all the writ-framers belonging to the House: I will venture to say, that all the skill of the Crown Office, and all the skill of the Court of Chancery combined, will be at a loss in what shape or mode to frame an instrument so exoric and hideous. I will not push this point farther, fatisfied that no candid man can have a fecond opinion upon the subject; and shall conclude this part of my speech with affirming, that the statutes, the precedents, and analogies of law, aftert and establish the truth of my honourable friend's motion; and that, by those three tests, I am

alearly entitled to the judgement of this House against the conduct of the High Bailiff of Westminster.

The fourth and last ground of consideration, is upon that of expediency, upon found fense, and general policy; and here I shall have as little trouble as upon the three former grounds to establish every position, and to shew the House the iniquity of this proceeding. The conduct of this Bailiff not only violates the spirit and letter of every law, but absolutely, in so far, subverts the main principles of the British Constitution. When the King calls a new Parliament, the fair presumption is, that the great and urgent affairs,' for which he calls them together, demand their immediate de-It is clear that our ancestors were extremely cautious that nothing should prevent or obstruct their meeting; and, lest returning officers should be instrumental to this obstruction, all the statutes, and all the precedents that bear upon this matter, confirm their jealousy, and prove their diligence to guard against abuses. The misconduct of returning officers, the facility of the evil, and the dangerous confequences resulting from it, were the evident and avowed cause of making those laws which I have mentioned, and which were avowedly intended to restrain them. Let but the conduct of the High Bailiff of Westminster be sanctified this night by this House, and I challenge the ingenuity of mankind to shew a more effectual mode of putting the nation into the hands of returning officers.

What fecurity can any man have, that a Parliament shall meet when the King calls it, if you establish this precedent? An honourable triend of mine, who has this day spoken for the first time, (Sir James Erskine) and who has exhibited a power of fancy, and force of argument, that give a high promile of his making a splendid figure in this House, has said, it was possible the House of Commons of England might, upon the affembling of a new Parliament, be confined to the members from Scotland, where all scrutinies precede elections; and where the positiveness of the law prevents the commission of these knaveries. Now, although the brilliant fancy of my honourable friend might, perhaps, have stretched the possible lity a little too far, is there a man who will engage, that this case once fanctified, the example will not be followed to the most calamitous excess? The exact number of 513 English members might nor indeed be absent upon the meeting of a new Parliament; but will any man fay why 20, why 60, why 100, nay, why 200, might not, by the ignorance, by the caprice, by the folly, by the stupidity, or (what is more Vol. XV.

analogous to the case in question) by the baseness or treachery of a returning officer, remain unreturned? Here I must notice the low, the little, the miserable allusions which are so frequently made, by those over-against me, to the place that did me the honour of sending me to Parliament; but it is a poor and a pitiful kind of triumph. Much as they may affect to exult, nothing can be clearer than their disappointment upon the occasion, and the petition lately presented against my feat for Kirkwall, proves their mortification to a certainty. And indeed it appears from the conduct of Government, that Scotland is the only place that could return me, as the same shameless persecution would, no doubt, have followed me in any other place in England; fortunately there was one part of the kingdom where their oppression could not prosper, and from which their violence and injustice could not exclude me,

Sir, I do really believe that the supporters of this extraordinary business look but a short way, and do not at all calculate or count upon its probable effects. If there had not been an act of Parliament expressly to regulate scrutinies in the city of London, who can fay that, at this moment, when laws are to be made as ferious and interesting as any that ever paffed in this country; when great and weighty impositions must be laid upon the subjects; when new and important regulations are to be entered upon, concerning the commerce, the credit, and revenues of the nation — who can fay that at this time the capital of the country, so deeply and supremely interested in all these objects, might not be deprived of reprefentation as well as the city of Westminster? - But, Sir, I beg pardon-I am doing injustice. The Sheriffs of London are too well acquainted with their duty, and too zealous for the honourable discharge of it, to have been guilty of so gross an outrage upon the laws of the land, or lent themselves to be the vile and fordid instruments of so base a business.

But the character of an officer is a weak fecurity against the abuse of an office. Under men less informed, and less tenacious of their official reputation, who can say (if an express act had not rendered it impossible) that the patrons of Sir Cecil Wray, who are also the patrons of Mr. Atkinson, might not practise the same stratagem in the city of London, and, by that manœuvre, prevent the wishes and the sentiments of the capital from being declared in this House, through the constitutional organ of their representatives?— They, Sir, I affirm, are weak and soolish men, rash and giddy politicians, who by supporting a measure of this kind, become parties in a precedent, capable of producing consequences which strike at

the fource and root of all legislation. For it is the fundamental maxim of our constitution, that the consent of the Prople by their representatives is effectial and indispensable to those laws that are to govern them.

Upon this, however, a curious fort of reasoning is adopted, and a noble Lord (Lord Mulgrave) sees no evil in a defect of representatives for Westminster, as it is virtually represented by those who sit here for other places. In the principle that every member is bound to the common interest of all, I certainly do agree, but I beg leave to fet myfelf wholly against the general argument of virtual representation. We have too much of virtual, and too little of real representation in this House; and to the present hour I never heard, that the most determined enemy to a parliamentary reform ever urged, that the virtual representation of the country was so complete a substitute for real representation, as to deem it wise and salutary upon flight occasions, or upon any occasion to lessen that which is already much too little. The whole tide of reasoning has, on the contrary, run in the other channel, and the great argument for a parliamentary reform, has been founded upon this very defect of real representation, which the noble Lord over-against me is so zealous to diminish. As the honourable gentleman near him, however, (Mr. Pitt) is the professed friend of that reform in the representation of the people of this country, which I have in common with him to long laboured in vain to accomplish; I shall hope to see him stating this very case of Westminster, to induce the House to adopt the motion which will be made upon that subject, by my honourable friend (Mr. Sawbridge) in a few days. prosperity of that motion, I now entertain real confidence, the boafted power in this House of the right honourable gentleman infures fuccess to any measure he abets. No question therefore can be entertained of attaining it, if the honourable gentleman is ferious upon the fubject; for furely the People of England can never be persuaded, that the majority which supported the Minister in vindicating a direct violation of the law of the land, in the person of Mr. Corbett, could have failed him in endeavouring to effect an object fo long looked for, so loudly called for, and so effentially necesfary to the security of the constitution and the good of the nation, as a reform in the palpably defective representation of the People in this House.

The same noble Lord attempts to strengthen his cause with a species of argument still more extraordinary, if possible, than the former, although of nearly the same nature. He

tells you that representing Westminster has been a mere naval honour; and after stating the choice of Lord Rodney when on foreign service, leads you to this inference, that the electors of Westminster are wholly unsolicitous whether they are represented or not. This is rating the electors of Westminster at a low estimate indeed; but I, Sir, who know them better than the noble Lord, deny that they are so insensible to the bleffings of the British constitution as his argument pretends. The electors of Westminster have rescued themselves from this imputation. Sir, they are feriously anxious to be reprefented, and they tell you fo. But I remember, when absence was deemed a disqualification for naval officers upon a Westminster election. I remember when Lord Hood was in the zenith of his fame, that a person now in my eye (Lord Mahon) urged his absence to the electors, as a ground of rejection, and advised them to prefer Sir Cecil Wray, who was present and able to represent them, to Lord Hood who was absent and unable. This, though not my argument (whose opinion is uniformly, that all electors of all places should elect the men of their choice) was the exact argument of the present supporters of Lord Hood, in favour of that of Sir Cecil Wray, who then opposed him, but who now (in his enmity to any function after past opposition, in his utter abhorrence of all coalitions) is linked with that very Lord Hood in ties of friendship and good faith, which he certainly never will violate.

Efforts, Sir, have been made to explain the act of George II. to the exculpation of this High Bailiff; and his supporters affect to justify him upon his declared difficulty in making up his conscience. Why, Sir, the very act they attempt to shield him under, is his strongest condemnation. The oath imposed in that act, only binds him to decide to the best of his judgement by a limited time. Lives there one man who shall fay, this man would have incurred the penalties of perjury, if he had returned the majority upon the poll? Lives there one man, who thinks the disquietude of his conscience alone prompted him to make the return he has made, when they must see a thousand instances every day of decisions of conscience, in cases a thousand times more ambiguous and folemn? I will ask the House, whether this High Bailiff has appeared to them, in the course of this business, so spotless, so immaculate, so confistent, as to induce them to give him credit for a delicacy of nerve, and a tenderness of scruple, beyond any other man living? Every person in the exercise of a judicial function, stands precisely in his predicament.

should become of us, if a judge were for ever to delay justice until he could make up his conscience to the minutest point of precise accuracy upon every doubt? There are few cases upon which a man cannot form some opinion; all that is required here is, to form the best opinion he can, and if seven weeks did not afford the High Bailiff time enough to determine, it is furely hard with those who are obliged to decide almost immediately in the most important interests of humanity. My honourable friend who made this motion, with that weight and wisdom that accompany all his observations, has adverted to the case of jurors. Have you then patience at this man's pretence of conscience, when you reflect that twelve men must all concur before they go out of court, in a judgement, which perhaps configns a fellow-creature to an ignominious death: the case may be doubtful too, and they must all concur in a few hours at most.

It is unnecessary to push this point farther. I appeal to the House. There are feelings which even party prejudices cannot disposses us of. We owe to each other a certain candour; and, I am sure, I should be thoroughly satisfied to put this matter to the private answer of any man who hears me; if I were only to ask him, upon his honour as a gentleman, Whether he really believes the return of this High Bailiss is an act of conscience? And whether he thinks, if I stood in Sir Cecil Wray's place, and he had my majority, that we should ever have heard of this man's difficulty in giving judgement; or ever been insulted with this mockery of his scruples?

To shew, in another striking point of view, that this scrutiny is against the law, let the House reslect, for a moment, upon its utter inefficacy to enable the High Bailiff to form a judgement; as that is the pretended cause of it. What means has he of exploring those things which he now affects to entertain doubts upon? He can command no witness; he can compel no appearance; he has no legal authority of penetrating the obscurity of any fact like other Judges; he can administer no oath; he can impart no remedy to the party aggrieved, by so tedious and vexatious a process; he can award no costs; he can try no offence that occurs in the execution of this important duty; he is governed by no precedents; he is bound by no decisions; what he affirms to-day, he may deny tomorrow; he has, in a word, all the means of doing injustice, and no one power or competent faculty to do justice. this species of tribunal is this House going (in violation of law and practice) to fend me and my cause, on purpose to evade one which is fully adequate, effective, and vigorous; I mean, a Committee under Grenville's bill.

A noble Lord expresses his fuspicions of the fincerity of my praises of Grenville's bill, and fays, he imagines there is 'a fnake in the grass,' it is most true, that I have had my doubts upon the effects of that bill, when it first passed into a law; but, Sir, it is exerting the worst tyranny upon the understanding of men, if they are to be for ever condemned for having entertained doubts upon a subject purely theoretical. Extinct is every idea of freedom, and loft is the boafted liberty of debate, and the spirit of free-thinking in this country, if men are to be debarred from profiting by practice, and changing opinion upon the conviction of experiment. All I can fay, Sir, is, that the many falutary effects of that bill have long fince completely converted me; and I do assure you, in great sincerity, that no man living reveres and loves it more than I do. There can be no stronger proof of its superior excellence, than that the There can be evation of it is the only possible means by which his Majesty's Ministers could perpetrate this gross act of injustice. most infallible of all tests, the test of repeated practice, asferts its virtues; and my attachment to it is not a little increafed, for that it refembles that inestimable right, one of the few that Englishmen have yet to boast - the trial by Jury. Oh, that it were possible to mould this House into the fize and character of a Jury-of twelve men acting, indeed, upon confcience, and fworn upon oath, to give a true verdict according to evidence! How easy should I feel concerning the issue of this discussion!

In addition to all these arguments, will the Flouse ressect that this scrutiny is not final in deciding the right of sitting here? Will they reslect, that after all the waste of time, after all the expence, all the labour, all the fatigue, which are indispensable upon it, its termination (whenever it may happen) is but the commencement of another process, before a judicature capable and competent to administer justices with a new series of expence, and labour, and fatigue. And who can tell us when this scrutiny shall conclude? The granting it is not more illegal and oppressive, than the duration is uncertain and indefinite: Who can promise when such a conscience as Corbett's will be quieted? And who will venture to say, that after one, two, three, or ten years investigation, the High Bailiss's conscience may not be

as unfatisfied, even upon the ferutiny, as it appears at this

moment, after a seven week's poll? "But," fay the fupporters of the High Bailiff, "this House will take care that there is no vexatious delay in the bu-" finess, and will from time to time call upon him for a " return, or for the cause that may prevent his making " one." - I understand that argument perfectly well, Sir ; and it is of itself sufficient to shew the groffness of this proceeding. When the Bailiff will be called on to make a return, and when he will obey that call, can be very eafily conceived indeed. If it were possible for this man, in the course of this scrutiny, to strike off from my numbers so many as would place Sir Cecil Wray on the head of the poll, I have not the fmallest doubt that all delays subsequent to fuch an event would appear just as frivolous, as vexatious and oppressive, to the gentlemen on the opposite bench (the Ministry) and to the High Bailiff's conscience, as the whole proceeding now appears to me, and to the injured electors of Westminster. Upon all the considerations, therefore, that I have mentioned—the inordinate expence; the inefficacy of the tribunal; the obvious necessity of afterwards reforcing to a more adequate and competent judicature; the certainty that this precedent will be the fource of future oppressions; the dangerous example of it to other returning officers, who, under the fanction of this case, can give full scope to their partialities, their caprices, and corruptions: the circumstance of depriving so great and respectable a body of men of their representation in this House; the recognizing that dreadful doctrine, that a King may be without a Parliament, and the people without representation, at the mere will and bare discretion of any low, mean, ignorant, base, and wretched being, who may happen to be a returning officer; from all these considerations, therefore, I am convinced, and I hope I have convinced this House, that if no statute could be found upon the subject, that if the common law were filent, and that legal analogies gave no light upon the subject, even upon the grounds of common-sense and expediency, the law is clear and intelligible. But when all these concur to define and to decide the law; when positive statutes, when practice and precedents, when the analogies of law, and the arguments of expediency, founded upon the immutable principles of wisdom, reason, and found policy, all combine and unite to establish and to affert it, can I have any fear to fay that this motion

ought

bught to pass, and that the High Bailist of Westminster, instead of being permitted to proceed with this scrutiny, should instantly make a return of members for the city of Westminster?

Some gentlemen have argued, that this motion does not agree with the prayer of the petition; let it be recollected. Sir, that the petition was presented by me with a view of its being referred to a Committee-[here the Minister gave a token across the house, as if to deny the fact. - Really, Sir, if there is not enough of candour to admit this affertion without being explained, there feems but little chance of a fair hearing, or of a fair construction, upon points much more material. I again declare it was presented for the purpose I have described. A majority of this House decided, that the petition was not cognizable by Mr. Grenville's bill; and it was upon a fuggestion from the other side of the House, that I presented it the same day to save time, and prayed that counsel might be heard at the bar in favour of it. The fole object of that petition was, that this House might order fuch a return, as would come under the jurifdiction of a Committee; the motion before you goes precifely to the same point, and to no other.

To that argument, if it deserves the name of argument, that we are inconsistent in desiring the High Bailiss to make a return, when we contend that all his authority under that writ is compleatly defunct; it is almost unnecessary to reply, because it evidently deseats itself. In contending that the High Bailiss was functus officio on the 18th of May, we are fortisted by law; and, in desiring he would make some return, we are justified by precedent.

We contend, and contend with truth, that the writ, under which the High Bailiff carried on the election, being returnable on the 18th of May, on that very day deprived the Bailiff of all judicial authority, and divested him of all legal power under that writ. To proceed with a scrutiny is a great act of authority; to tell us who have in his opinion the majority of legal votes, is not. That this House should order a returning officer to commence a scrutiny several days after the positive day on which his writ was returnable, cannot be parallelled by a single case in all the history of Parliament — That it should order a returning officer, who tells you he proceeded to an election, carried on a poll for a sufficient time, and that he then closed that

poll of his own authority, to make a return, has happened again and again. We do not desire him to exercise any jurisdiction under that writ now, we only desire him to acquaint us with the fruits of the jurifdiction which he has exercised under it. I have done so and so, says the High Bailiff - Tell us what you mean, is all we say. " I have, " on fuch a day, proceeded to an election, fays he, I have " carried on a poll for forty days; I have, on the day be-" fore the return of the writ, closed that poll of my own " authority." - All this we understand; in all this you did your duty; only tell us who are the candidates chosen upon this long poll? We do not mean to fay you have at present any authority to do any thing under that writ; all we want to know is, what you have done when you had authority under it? Let the House restect upon this fair and reasonable distinction, and they will see the pattriness of those quibbles, the misery of those low subterfuges, which imply that we would bring " a dead man to life," and which imply an inconfiftency between the motion and the arguments advanced in support of it.

What, I beg leave to ask, has appeared to the House extraordinary or uncommon in the election for Westminster, that justifies this matchless violence? In all the variety of evidence they have heard at the bar, has there been a proof of one fingle bad vote on my fide? Not one; but there was much hearfay that I had bad votes: Sir Cecil Wray, and his agents told the High Bailiff they heard I had! -Good God, Sir, am I addressing men of common sense? Did any of you ever yet hear of an election, wherein the losing candidate did not charge bad votes and bad practices upon the fortunate candidate? Peevishness upon miscarriage is perhaps an error, but it is the habit of human nature; and, was the High Bailiff so unbacknied in the ways of men, as to be unapprifed of this frailty; or, are the difcontents of Sir Cecil Wray, and the loofe accusations of his agents, the extraordinary things which the House sees in the Westminster election to justify this proceeding? Is the length of the election one of these uncommon incidents? By no means. The fame thing happened at Bristol, where, without doubt, a scrutiny had been granted, if the returning officer thought the law would bear him out in it. The same thing happened at Lancaster, where a scrutiny was demanded and refused; and where, when the con-Vol. XV. nections

nections of one * of the candidates are confidered, nedoubt can be entertained, that every stratagem to procrastinate, every scheme to perplex, every expedient to harrass, all that a disposition, not the mildest when victorious, nor the most patient when vanquished, all that wealth, all that the wantonness of wealth could do, would have been exerted; and where a plan so admirably calculated for litigation, for vexation, for expence, for oppression, as a scrutiny, would not have been omitted, were it found legal or practicable.

Let the House reflect for a moment upon the facility of a collusion in a case of this fort, to keep a candidate from his feat, whose right to it is clear, unquestioned, and Suppose that not one single bad vote had unquestionable. been given for Lord Hood in the late election, and that the noble Lord were not (he best knows why) resigned and easy under this proceeding; what could be more hard and cruel than his fituation? Does not the House see that Minifters will be enabled by this precedent, to exclude an obnoxious candidate for an indefinite space of time, even though his majority be the most undoubted possible, and his election the fairest in the world? It is only for the losing candidate to demand, and for the returning officer to grant a scrutiny. These are some of the evils that present themfelves upon the recognition of this practice, as right and legal - For my part, I see nothing in the late election for Westminster peculiar and distinct from many other elections, but this fingly - that I was one of the candidates-In that light it is already feen by every cool, dispassionate, and sensible man; and that the whole nation will contemplate and construe the business of this night as an act of personal oppression, I am thoroughly convinced; nor can they think otherwise, when they learn, that in all the law books of this country, in all your Journals, in all'the hiftories of Parliament, in all the annals of elections, in this great land of elections, where, from time to time, all that power, all that ingenuity, all that opulence could devise or execute, has been tried in elections - where, in the wast mass of cases that have happened, in all the multiplied variety of fingular and curious contests we read and hear of, nothing is found that assimilates with, or autho-

tiles



[.] Mr. Lowther the nephew of Sir James Lowther, just then ercated Earl of Lonfdale.

rifes this scrutiny, under these circumstances — not even by the worst of men, in the worst of times.

Sir, I will acquit the honourable gentleman over against me (Mr. Pitt) of being the author, or of being a voluntary instrument in this vile affair; and in that concession, Sir, I do not give him much - it is but crediting him for a little common sense indeed, when I suppose that, from a regard to that Government of which he is the nominal leader, from a regard to his own character with the world at this time, and his reputation with posterity, he acts his part in this business not without concern. may be accusable of too servile à compliance is probable enough; but of a free agency in it I believe he is guiltless. Not to him, Sir, but to its true cause, do I attribute this shameful attack; to that black, that obstinate, that stupid spirit, which by some strange infatuation pervades, and has pervaded the councils of this country, throughout the whole course of this unfortunate and calamitous reign to that weak, that fatal, that damnable system; which has been the cause of all our disgraces, and all our miseries to those secret advisers, who hate with rancour, and revenge with cruelty - To those malignant men, whose character it is, to harrafs the object of their enmity with a relentless and insatiate spirit of revenge; to those, Sir, and not to the honourable gentleman, do I impute this unexampled persecution.

Having faid fo much as to the real authors of this meafure, there remains another confideration with which I am desirous to impress the House; it is a confideration, however, which in policy I ought to conceal, because it will be an additional incitement to my enemies to proceed in their career with vigour; but it will, nevertheless, shew the extreme oppression and glaring impolicy of this scrutiny

-I mean, the confideration of expence.

I have had a variety of calculations made upon the subject of this scrutiny, and the lowest of all the estimates is 18,000l. this, Sir, is a serious and an alarming consideration. But I know, it may be said (and with a pitiful triumph it perhaps will be said) that this is no injury to me, in as much as I shall bear but a small part of the burthen—But this, Sir, is to me, the bitterest of all ressections.

Affluence is, on many accounts, an enviable state; but if ever my mind languished for, and sought that situation,

it is upon this occasion; it is to find, that, when I can bear but a small part of this enormous load of wanton expenditure, the misfortune of my being obnoxious to bad men in high authority should extend beyond myself; it is, when I find, that those friends whom I respect for their generofity, whom I value for their virtues, whom I love for their attachment to me, and those spirited constituents to whom I am bound by every tie of obligation, by every feeling of gratitude, should, besides the great and important injury they receive, in having no representation in the popular Legislature of this country, be forced into a wicked waste of idle and fruitless costs, only because they are too kind, too partial to me. This, Sir, is their crime, and for their adherence to their political principles, and their perfonal predilection for me, they are to be punished with these complicated hardships.

These, Sir, are sad and severe reflections; and although I am convinced they will insuse fresh courage into my enemies, and animate them the more to carry every enmity to the most vexatious and vindictive extremity, still it shews the wickedness of this scrutiny, and the satality of its

effects as an example for future Ministers.

Little remains for me now to fay upon this subject; and I am fure I am unwilling to trespass more upon the House than is barely necessary. I cannot, however, omit, to make an observation upon an argument of two learned gentlemen (the Lord Advocate and Mr. Hardinge) who concluded two very fingular speeches with this very fingular position — That the House had only to chuse between iffuing a new writ, or ordering the scrutiny; that in its lenity it might adopt the latter method — but that their opinion was, for issuing a new writ. Now, Sir, if I, who think the old writ totally annihilated; who think that its powers and authorities have been completely extinct fince the 18th of May, had delivered fuch an opinion, there would have been nothing in it inconfishent; and I should certainly be for issuing a new writ in preference to a scrutiny, if the law, the reason of the thing, and practice of Parliament did not convince me, that the High Bailiff having finished the election on the 17th, might make a return as of that day. But for the learned gentlemen who contend, that the old writ is still in full vigour and force; who think that the High Bailiff has acted constitutionally and legally, and that a scrutiny may go on after the return of the writfor

for those gentlemen to affert, that issuing a new one would be the sitter measure, is indeed extraordinary. But, Sir, against that position, that the House might order the scrutiny to proceed, as a measure of lenity, I beg leave directly to oppose myself! I beg leave to deprecate such lenity, such

oppressive, fuch cruel lenity!

To issue a new writ is a severe injustice, and a great hardship; but if I am forced to the alternative, if I am driven to the necessity of chusing between two evils, I do implore the House rather to issue a new writ, than to order this scrutiny. Nothing can possibly be half so injurious, half fo burthensome, half so vexatious to me, and to my friends, as this forutiny; and it is evidently ineffectual, as it cannot be supposed, that I should finally submit to the decision of a tribunal from which I have so little justice to expect. There is nothing, I affure the House, to which I should not rather resort, than to the conscience of Mr. Thomas Corbett; upon whom, I do not expect, that the translation of the scene from Covent Garden to St. Ann's, or proceeding upon a fcrutiny instead of a poll, will operate such conversions, as to give me any hope of his displaying any other character, or appearing in any other light, than that in which I have feen him upon many occasions in his official capacity. Therefore, Sir, if it be only the alternative, I beg that the issuing a new writ may be the alternative you will adopt. In that case, I assure the honourable gentleman (Mr. Pitt) that I shall immediately apply to him for one of the Chiltern Hundreds to vacate my feat for Kirkwall, and instantly throw myself, as my only chance for the honour of sitting in this House, upon the good opinion of the electors of Westminster; who, in a season of phrenzy and general delusion; who, when artifice, fallacy and imposture prevailed but too successfully in other parts of the country, discovered a fagacity, a firmness and a steadiness, superior to the effects of a vulgar and filly clamour; and who, upon the very spot, the very scene of action, manifested that they understood and despised the hypocrify, the fraud and falsehood which gulled and duped their fellow subjects in other places. In the event of a new election, I do anticipate future triumphs more brilliant, more splendid if possible, than those I had lately the honour of enjoying. Little fear do I feel of fuccess with the electors of Westminster, who will not, I am

fure, abandon me, until I desert those principles which first recommended me to their favour.

A person of great rank in this House, has thrown out a hint or threat, I know not which to call it, in a former debate, " that I should not again disturb the peace of " the city of Westminster." Good God, Sir, did any man ever hear such aggravating, such insulting insinuations? I disturb the peace of Westminster! Is that honourable gentleman not contented with breaking every law, with violating every statute, with overturning every analogy and every precedent, to accomplish this business; but must he, at the very moment he thus makes a deep breach in the English constitution, compleat the catalogue of injury, by adding pertness and personal contumely, to every species of rash and inconsiderate violence? I! I disturb the peace of this city, who have three times had the honour of representing it in this house; I! who was favoured with the free fuffrages of its electors, long, long, before any of those who lately opposed me, were ever talked of, ever thought of for such a distinction! Every man qualified to fit in Parliament, has a right to offer himself wherever he thinks proper; and it is indecent, daring and audacious, in any man, to infinuate, that he ought not to disturb the peace of the place. I, therefore, hope, Sir, that a language so peculiarly false and unbecoming towards me, and to directly repugnant to the genius and spirit of the Constitution, will meet with the disapprobation it deserves in this House, as it certainly will be received with merited odium and execration out of this House.

Upon the generous protection of the electors of this city, I shall certainly throw myself, in case of a new writ; and, in doing so, Sir, well I am aware, what series of various dissipulties I have to encounter. Expences at elections, in despite of every effort to reduce them, still continue most exorbitant; and how ill matched in funds and certain inexhaustible resources, I stand with my opponents, is indeed very unnecessary to explain—But, Sir, it is not in the article of expences that I should most dread the operation of that power that sustains my adversaries; that power, which discovers itself in characters that cannot be mistaken, through every part of this transaction. I must be blind not to see, that the hand of Government appears throughout this matter. When I consider the extreme care employed in preparing it for the measures which have been taken in

this

this House, in consequence of it - when I consider the evident determination not to let it rest here-when I consider the extraordinary zeal and anxiety of particular persons in this House, to shelter and to sanctify this High Bailiff - when I confider the fituation of those who take the lead, and are most active in his vindication - when I consider the indifference of my adverfaries to the expences which refult from this ferutiny, but which expences must be a severe stroke upon the spirit and independence of those by whom I am supported - when I consider that all that artifice could dictate, and power could execute, have been exerted upon this occasion, I can have no doubt, that the hand of a revengeful Government pervades it all. opposition of such a Government upon an election, is a discouraging circumstance; and the likelihood of renewing again those events which I have witnessed within the last two months, is indeed a formidable and terrific

prospect. When I look back, Sir, to all the shameful and shocking scenes of the Westminster election - when I consider that my enemies practifed all that was possible of injustice, indecency and irreverence, in their efforts to overwhelm me - when I consider the gross, the frontless prostitution of names too facred to be mentioned - when I confider. that all the influence of all the various branches of Government was employed against me, in contempt of propriety, and defiance of law - when I confider, that a body of men was brought in the appearance of constables, to the place of election, under the command of a magistrate, and against the express opinion of all the other magistrates of Westminster — that these constables broke that peace they were bound to preserve, and created a riot, which proved fatal to one of their own body - when I consider, that this was made the pretence of a wanton, an indecent, and unconstitutional introduction of the military, in violation of all that has been done by our ancestors, to keep sacred the freedom of election - when I consider that the lives of innocent men were deemed light and trivial impediments to the gratification of that implacable spirit of revenge, which appears through the whole of this business - when I consider that several men of the lower order of life, whose only crime was appearing in my interest, were confined many weeks in prison and obliged to stand trial,

and * that others, of the higher rank, ingenious and amiable men, valuable for their qualities, respectable for their characters, distinguished for their abilities, and every way meriting the esteem of mankind, were also attacked without the shew of a pretence, and obliged to undergo the ceremony of a public acquittal from the foul crime of murder—when I consider that palpable perjury and subornation of perjury were employed to accomplish the sanguinary object of this base conspiracy—when I consider that the malignity of my enemies has stopt at nothing, however gross and wicked, to ruin me and all that appeared in my interest—when I consider all this, Sir, I cannot indeed but look with some anxiety to the circumstance of a new election.

I am not, Sir, it is well known, of a melancholy complection, or of a desponding turn of mind, yet the idea of again combating, this host of oppressions might, in other situations, deter me from the risk—But I owe too much to the electors of Westminster, ever to abandon them from the dread of any consequences; and I do assure you, that I should conceive a new writ, with the hazard of all these hardships, as a great indulgence and savor, compared to that mockery, that insult upon judicature, a scrutiny under Mr. Thomas Corbett.

Sir, I have nothing more to fay upon this subject—whatever may be the fate of the question, it will be a pleasing resection to me, that I have delivered my opinions at full, upon a point so important to that great and respectable body of men, to whom I am so much indebted; and I sincerely thank the House for the honour of their patience and attention through so long a speech.

To the honourable gentleman over against me (Mr. Pitt) I will beg leave to offer a little advice. If he condemns this measure, let him not stoop to be the instrument of its success. Let him well weigh the consequences of what he is about, and look to the suture effect of it upon the nation at large. Let him take care, that when they see all the powers of his administration employed to overwhelm an individual, mens eyes may not open sooner than

^{*} These men were tried at the bar of the Old Bailey, and acquitted. A bill of indictment was also found against Mr. O'Bryen, but no evidence was produced against him in court.

they would if he conducted himself within some bounds of decent discretion, and not thus openly violate the sacred principles of the Constitution. A moderate use of his power might the longer keep people from reflecting upon the extraordinary means by which he acquired it. But if the right honourable gentleman neglects his duty, I shall not forget mine. Though he may exert all the influence of his situation, to harrass and persecute, he shall find that we are incapable of unbecoming submissions. There is a principle of resistance in mankind, which will not brook fuch injuries; and a good cause and a good heart will animate men to struggle in proportion to the fize of their wrongs, and the groffness of their oppressors. If the House rejects this motion, and establishes the fatal precedent that follows that rejection, I confess I shall begin to think there is little to be expected from such a House of Commons. But let the question terminate as it may, I feel myself bound to maintain an unbroken spirit through such complicated difficulties; and I have this reflection to folace me, that this unexampled injustice could never have fucceeded, but by the most dangerous and desperate exertions of a Government, which, rather than not wound the object of their enmity, scrupled not to break down all the barriers of law—to run counter to the known custom of our ancestors - to violate all that we have of practice and precedent upon this subject, and to strike a deep blow into the very vitals of the English constitution, without any other inducement or temptation, or necessity, except the malignant with of gratifying an inordinate and implacable spirit of resentment.

Mr. Chancellor Pitt spoke to the following effect—Sir, Mr. Chanif the right honourable gentleman's reason for being so decellor Pitte firous of securing to himself the last hearing in this debate, has been in order that his mad and violent affertions might pass without opportunity of being contradicted, I must acknowledge, indeed, the prudence and policy of his conduct in endeavouring to prevent a reply; but I must rejoice, however, when charges are brought against Administration, as gross as they are unfounded, that I have the opportunity of rifing to refute the charge, to contradict the affertions, to defy that right honourable gentleman to proof, and to affert with equal hardiness, and, I trust, with more than equal truth, that, in no respect, has Administration Vol. XV.



exercifed any undue influence; in no respect have they been fo profligate as to furnish those means which have been hinted at - in no instance have they suborned witnesses to fwear away men's lives—in no respect whatever have they been accessary to those violences, murders, perjuries, and black catalogue of offences, which the right honourable. gentleman calls up, by way of auxiliary matter, to embellish his speech, and to assist the House in the decision. of that grave, dry, constitutional question, which is all that we have this night to determine. Sir, if the right honourable gentleman has his charge to bring, the courts of this country are open to him; I hope, and trust, Administration is not fo strong as to be able to resist any just accusation that he can bring against them; I hope, on the other hand, Administration is not so weak, as to give way and vield to the vehement affertions, utterly unsupported, and evidently malicious - I hope opposition is not so strong; I hope there is no faction in this country fo strong, to bold, so mad with desperation and disappointment, as to throw out great and criminal charges against Administration, without having either the intention, or the means, or the shadow of any means, to support the accusations which they venture so roundly to make.

I am not surprised, indeed, if the right honourable gentleman should attempt to represent himself as the marked object of ministerial perfecution—With respect, Sir, to the very cruel hardship he has just complained of, namely, that he has not been allowed to have the last word in the debate, I would only beg leave to remind both him and the House, that so far from having, from his present situation, an indisputable right to the last word, it is contrary I believe, to a standing order of your House, that he is allowed to speak at all, or even to be present in the House; for one of your standing orders fays, " That if any thing shall come in question touching the return or election of any member, he is to withdraw during the time the matter is " in debate." Such, then, is the cruel perfecution carrying on against the right honourable gentleman, that, instead of being forced to be filent, and to withdraw, he is allowed to speak often ten times a day on the same question; fometimes, Sir, for three hours at a time, filling his speech with every thing that is personal, inflammatory, and invidious. I say, nevertheless, I am not surprised, if he should pretend to be the butt of ministerial persecution; and if, by **ftriving**

striving to excite the public compassion, he should seek to reinstate himself in that popularity which he once enjoyed, but which he so unhappily has forseited; for it is the best and most ordinary resource of these political apostates, to court, and to offer themselves to persecution for the sake of the popular predilection and pity which usually fall upon persecuted men; it becomes worth their while to suffer, for a time, political martyrdom, for the sake of the canonization that awaits the suffering martyr; and I make no doubt, the right honourable gentleman has so much penetration, and, at the same time, so much passive virtue about him, that he would be glad not only to seem a poor, injured, persecuted man, but that he would gladly seek an opportunity of even really suffering a little persecution, if it be possible to find such opportunity.

Upon the same ground, Sir, it would unquestionably be my interest, and no less, I am sure, my wish, to abstain from every thing that has even this appearance, much more that is in reality any thing like persecution; but yet, when great constitutional questions are involved, it then becomes a Minister to forego every other consideration; and so far, perhaps, to gratify his adversary, as to surnish him with the pretence of being the object of ministerial persecution, stedsastly determining, at all hazards, and contrary, perhaps, to his own convenience, to maintain

the true spirit of the Constitution.

I wish to meet the right honourable gentleman on the two grounds which he has laid down, and to decide upon the issue of them - First, the propriety and expediency of granting a scrutiny; and, secondly, the legality of it under all the circumstances of the case — And here, Sir, let me full touch a little on the hardship which the right honourable gentleman is said to labour under. Now I do insist, that if his fingle object is (as he fays it is) to bring the difpute to the decision of Mr. Grenville's Committee, a scrutiny will not delay that decision one moment; nay, it will even forward it; for suppose the return, according to the motion before the House, to be made immediately, still the petition before Mr. Grenville's Committee could not be gone through this year; it must therefore begin again, de novo, the next, and the latter end of next fession would arrive before the question could be decided. On the other hand, let a scrutiny be now instituted, preparatory to the petition, it will be finished, in all human probability, before the beginning of the next session; and the petitioners, whoever he may be, will come prepared, having his bust-ness cut short by this means, so that the petition must be similarly decided in the early part of the next session; or perhaps the consequence of a scrutiny may be, that there shall come no petition at all.

But the right honourable gentleman wishes even that there might be a new writ, and new election, rather than a scrutiny; now, let us see how this would expedite the business? Why, Sir, if a new writ were issued while the Parliament is fitting, as this would be, all fides are agreed, that the Bailiss would have perfect right to prosecute a foruting whenever the poll is over; it being univerfally allowed, that scrutinies are lawful in the case of elections during the fitting of Parliament. If you grant, therefore, the right honourable gentleman this curious wish of his, the consequence will simply be, that after another fortydays poll, forty days riot, and forty days confusion, he will find himself just where he is at this moment, except, indeed, that he will then be constrained to own (from the precedent of Vandeput and Trentham, which will become precisely in point) that the High Bailist, if he pleases, will then have an undoubted right to go on with the scrutiny.

Now, to fay the truth, the arguments of the right honourable gentleman, if they prove any thing, must necessarily prove what I have just stated; namely, that there must be a new writ; for he tells you, that after the 18th of May, the Bailiff became functus officio; that all the virtue of his writ expired, and that the High Bailiff, after that day, was no longer, in this respect, High Bailiff, but was turned into a private person, and had no more right to institute a ferutiny than any one of us; and yet, Sir, by the resolution before you, this Bailiff is ordered to do an act which no Bailiff, functus officio, can possibly do; namely, to return The hand you order to fign the writ is a dead man's hand. Why furely, Sir, if the Bailiff, ever fince the 18th of May, has been like one of ourselves, you may as well order one of us to make the return, as order the High Bailiss to do it. So far, therefore, as the hardship of the case is considered, it is clear, that to let the scrutiny proceed, is a mitigation of trouble and expence; fince a new writ is the consequence of the honourable gentleman's argument, and a new writ, as I faid before, would, ferty days days hence, exactly bring us to that point where we are now arrived.

I must beg the House, then, to consider coolly and distinctly what the motion before you tends to: it does not, indeed, command the High Bailiff to return Lord Hood and Mr. Fox, as the honourable gentleman first intended, and as his petition prayed; that is now found out to be too monstrous, for that would be no less than to make this House the electors of its own members, usurping at once the office of returning officer, and the right of electing the reprefentatives of the People. That ground, I say, Sir, is shifted; and to what does the resolution now go? It orders the High Bailiff to return two members; it orders this deceased returning officer to come back again to life, in order to make return of the writ; this officer, I say, Sir, whose existence irrevocably ceased the 18th of May; for, on the fingle argument of his perfect nonentity fince that day, rests the whole of that conclusion which is so contended for, that he is not in the capacity to profecute the scrutiny. Now, Sir, the resolution also orders the High Bailist to return those two candidates, who have, in his judgement, the majority of legal votes; though the Bailiff told you vesterday, he could form no judgement who had the legal majority; and though he explained, by substantial evidence, for what reasons it was impossible to form such judgement. Sir, I will not weary the House with entering into all the detail of evidence; but I ask any man of honour, of candour, and of plain sense, whether the High Bailiff of Westminster had not sufficient reason to wish for a scrutiny, in order to fatisfy his own judgement and conscience, provided a scrutiny could be legally prosecuted, under all the circumstances of the case? The legality of it is what I shall certainly have to prove - His evidence, in three words, was this; that there have polled at this election above 4000 more men than there are legal votes in Westminster, upon any calculation that can be formed; that there have sometimes been 1800 suffered to poll in a day, under the idea that the votes were to be revised at a scrutiny; that he has received information of many hundred bad votes for Mr. Fox in two particular parishes, that he has had intelligence sufficient, certainly, to warrant a suspicion that bad practices had been used for the purpose of procuring a number of illegal votes; and that he was terrified, by violent threats, into an admission of many votes extremely doubtful, which,

however, he fet down at the moment, with the determination to inquire into them afterwards; upon these grounds let any man deny if he can, the expediency and propriety of a ferutiny, provided it be lawful. We are told, indeed, of Mr. Grenville's Committee, and that it is there alone an effectual scrutiny can be had; but, Sir, the High Bailiff is not to take Mr. Grenville's bill into his consideration; he is fworn to return those who have the majority of legal votes according to his judgement; and he is bound therefore to do every thing that is legal, in order fufficiently to inform his judgement; besides, give me leave to say, the possession even of the seat ought not to depend on the very loofe discretion of the returning officer. The law and the constitution consider it to be a matter of some moment, who shall be put to the trouble of petitioning, and it is expected of the returning officer, that he should give the intermediate possession of the seat to those candidates alone, who have pretty strong prima facie evidence of their right.

Now, Sir, with respect to the legality of the scrutiny, under all the present circumstances, which appears to me the hinge on which every thing is now to turn, I am certainly forced to acknowledge, that there exists no precedent procisely in point, though the case of Vandeput and Trentham, in this fame city of Westminster, appears to me nearly in point, as to the meaning and spirit of it; but there is certainly this accidental difference, that that was . an election during the existence of Parliament, the present is an election following a diffolution. What I mean, however, to prove, and what I fay must be proved (unless we iffue a new writ) is this; that the High Bailiff was not so completely functus officio on the 18th of May, but that sufficient explanation having been given why the Bailiff could not return the writ on the day when it was returnable, the law and the constitution do allow, that this House should leave the returning officer to profecute and completethe election which he has begun, without issuing a new writ.

The case of Coventry has been quoted, in order to prove the returning officer functus officio; but I deny that that is a case in point. There, the election was interrupted by riots, the poll books destroyed, and the returning officer therefore made (as in the present case) a special return, certifying to the House the reasons why he could not return two members;

whereupon the House issued a new writ - But, Sir, between that case and the present, there is a striking difference. Here the Bailiff reports to us, that the election is begun, but is not yet complete for certain reasons. In the case of Coventry, the returning officer certified, that the whole election had been defeated: not that he had not had time to decide whom he should return, but that he had all to begin again, which rendered a new writ most undoubtedly proper. The act of Parliament on which gentlemen lay their great stress, and which the Bailiff is said to have broken, is, as I understand, the 10th and 11th of King William; an act, as I must infist, applicable only to Sheriffs, who were grown at that time extremely negligent in forwarding their writs, which are the agregate of the precepts they had received in their respective districts from the several Bailiss. It applies to Sheriffs, merely as executive, not as judicial officers; enjoining them to make up with diligence the precepts they had received, and to fend them to the Crown Office within a limited time, under the penalty of 500l, a moiety of which is payable to the person suing for it. Now, Sir, as the right honourable gentleman has confessed, upon better information, that he should stand no chance of recovering the penalty on a popular action; fince he has declined this species of revenge upon the Bailiff, which he at first threatened, he has faved me the trouble of contesting that question; and it is indisputably clear, that the present case can by no means come under that act. That act relates, I fay, to the executive conduct of the Sheriff; the present question respects the judicial conduct of the Bailiff, who, in order to make up his judgement, has thought proper, under certain extraordinary circumstances, to institute a scrutiny. I am far from thinking, that a Bailiff has any right to protract his election beyond the day when the writ is returnable, unless from very particular circumstances - That argument, therefore, that Bailiffs, at this rate, may protract the meeting of Parliament to what period they pleafe, must fall entirely to the The House must judge of his reasons, must hearthem, must examine them, and if they are insufficient, must correct and punish him, if he be worthy of punishment; but if proof be given, that, owing to peculiar circumstances, it was impossible for him to fulfil his oath, and to judge who had the majority of legal votes, I say, then the law and the constitution permits that he should prosecute what he has begun without a new writ, and take those measures which are absolutely necessary to form his judgement.

In order to examine more particularly what is the law of the case, we can only ask ourselves, how it stands when similar circumstances occur in the execution of other write? In the nature of writs, it is agreed, there is no difference. Let us examine, then, the analogy of law upon the subject. and I hope, Sir, I shall not be thought pedantic, if I should allude somewhat technically to a profession which I once had the honour of belonging to, in order to prove distinctly what is the law upon this point. A very learned gentleman near me (the Master of the Rolls) has told you, that in many instances the court allows an extension of time, in cases where some proceedings have been had upon the writ, but where every thing is not perfected by the day when the writ is returnable. Now, Sir, to bring this point of law more directly into the cognizance of the House, I will state a case: A writ is iffued to the Sheriff (in an action of debt) called a capias ad satisfaciendum, ordering him to seize the goods of A. and this is followed by another, called a venditioni exponas; and is returnable by a certain day; the Sheriff, in profecution of his writ, seizes the goods, in order to put them up to fale. But we will suppose, that in taking these goods of A. as he is commanded by the writ, the Sheriff, through miftake and confusion, lays hold of some goods of B, which are mixed with them, and he has not time to separate the goods of A, which are all he must take, and to put them up to sale before the writ is returnable. What does the Sheriff do in this case? Why, Sir, he reports the particular circumstances which prevent his returning the writ to the court, and the court then allows him to go and examine into the goods, or, in other words, they grant a scrutiny upon the circumstances laid before them, not iffuing any new writ, but allowing only an extension of the old one. Now, Sir, let the House alter the word Sheriff to Bailiff, and for dead goods read living, and this is the very case before vou.

But if this which I have stated be true, if it be law, if it be the fact in the courts below, arguing as I have a right to argue upon the analogy of the law in every new case, I do implore the House to consider the absolute illegality of our interfering in the office of Bailiss, and directing him either to return Lord Hood and Mr. Fox, as was once desired of us, or the illegality even of forcing him to return any two members before those measures are taken, which it is absolutely necessary to take, and which the law therefore enjoins him to

take, in order to make his return.

Some.



Some gentlemen have talked of the peculiar jealousy of our constituents on matters of election; but, Sir, theirs has never been a jealousy lest this House should be supine in watching its own privileges. The jealoufy of the people has always justly been, lest this House should assume privileges of electing members, or of directing their election, which is not for us to do. What was the case of the Middlesex election? Was it not, that the House of Commons determined, by their own authority, to impose on the People a representative who was not the object of their choice? God forbid that this House should again impose on the People any man who is not the object of their choice! But elections without doors take their legal course. It is our office to punish corrupt or partial returning officers; it is our office to iffue new writs; it is our office ultimately to decide election contests, but it is not within the scope of our privileges to direct the Bailiffs whom to return; nor to order them. as if they were fervants or officers of ours, to make returns in what manner and at what time we please. The right honourable gentleman, indeed, might, with fome degree of confishency, propose to the House, the assumption of new privileges in matters of election; for, in the case of the Middlesex election, we know that he was the champion of this House against the rights of the people; and it is singular enough that the only two points in which the right honourable gentleman and the noble Lord for a feries of years agreed, were in their decision of the Middlesex election, which is now so deservedly execrated, and in their execration of Mr. Grenville's bill, which is now so deservedly applauded.

Sir, it has been hinted to the House, that some new law to regulate Westminster elections will be proposed; and the right honourable gentleman, with a degree of ingenuity that is characteristic, immediately exclaims, that we find it necesfary to introduce a new law, in order to prevent future Parliaments from adopting the bad precedent we have fet them. That a new law is wanted on the subject of elections in Westminster, is surely what nobody can deny; but my opinion is, that until a new law is introduced, it is better to decide according to the laws existing, than to anticipate new laws, or to pass the bounds of our privileges. I am aware of the difficulties we are all reduced to, in so unheard-of a case as the present; for this House to order a Bailiss whom to return, is impossible; neither is it possible for us to punish a Bailiff, or even to forbid him from doing that which is ab-Vol. XV. folutely

folutely necessary, to the forming a reasonable judgement, which is not contrary to law, and in which the analogies of law, when the circumstances are compared, completely justify him.

Mr. Sheri-

Mr. Sheridan said, he hoped the House was not unreasonable enough to expect him to reply to the reasoning or argument of the speech they had just heard; in which, from the beginning to the end, he defied the most discerning man in that auditory, to discover one syllable of argument or reason. If Government could be vindicated by an avowal of its interpolition in the Westminster election, it was certainly vindicated - If the High Bailiff could be justified from the circumstance of his right honourable friend's giving a vote upon the Middlesex election in 1768 (at a time of life, when a learned gentleman over the way (the Master of the Rolls) tells the House, that his right honourable friend was disqualified from exercifing the rights of a member of Parliament by minority) the High Bailiff is cer-. tainly justified - If the impression made by his right honourable friend's speech could be effaced by hard words and lofty founds, its effect would be to the full as slight and triffing, even as the effect of the right honourable gentleman's own speech - If severity of epithet, if redundancy of egotism, if pomp of panegyric upon Administration, could refute the arguments of the most convincing speech -(Mr. Fox's) he had ever the good fortune to hear, undoubtedly it was very completely refuted. But, if the people of England looked for the defence of the High Bailiff of Westminster, and of His Majesty's Ministers (who were in this case synonymous) upon principles of law, justice, good sense, or equity, beyond all doubt they were disappointed. He said, the idea that no harm would ensue from establishing the precedent, that would grow out of the decision of the House, if the High Bailiff were ordered to continue the fcrutiny, was the weakest he had ever heard suggested. fay, that the High Bailiff's reasons, whenever he did not obey the Sheriff's precept, and punish him, if he did not affign very good reasons for his conduct, afforded but little satisfaction: was it to be supposed, if the High Bailiss was advised by the friends of the Court to make an historical return while acting without doors, and followed that advice, that he would not find friends of the Court enow within doors to fave him harmless, and protect him from punishment, when he came to the bar to answer for what he had done?

done? Mr. Sheridan complained of Mr. Pitt's having used his right honourable friend unhandsomely, in talking of a candidate, whose political conduct and principles had rendered him detestable to the people. Such language might be allowable in the mouth of a new member, just come from his constituents, who had filled his ears with expressions of that gross and vulgar nature; but from the right honourable gentleman, who must know that nothing but the heat and passion of the times could excuse such phrases being used by any gentleman, it surely was highly unjustifiable. Besides, the right honourable gentleman, he was persuaded, was not fincere in his words; he must have both a personal and a political confidence in his right honourable friend, or he must either be infincere in what he had said then, or in what he had faid on a former occasion. Let the House recollect that the right honourable gentleman had courted an union with his right honourable friend a few months fince, and had professed himself extremely desirous of effecting such a junction. Mr. Sheridan said, he was aware the right honourable gentleman had put his case hypothetically, but he had done it so pointedly, that every person must have known who he meant.

Mr. Sheridan concluded with this observation — "If the High Bailiff of Westminster were of another complection, and valued his character beyond the corrupt indemnity which arose from the support of a violent Government, I should have considered his situation as most lamentable and wretched; but speaking of him merely as he is, the speech of the right honourable gentleman (Mr. Pitt) aggravates his conduct in a tenfold degree, as it shews that the great abilities of that right honourable gentleman were exerted for near an hour and a half in favour of the High Bailiss; and that, so far from exculpating him, a fair construction of all that he said, absolutely established the Bailiss's guilt."

Mr. Chancellor Pitt said, he never used the words alluded Mr. Chanto; that he had put a supposed case, and the honourable cellor Pitt. gentleman might apply it to whom he pleased, but to save him the trouble, he would in two words put a real case. It was true, that he had some few months since professed himself willing to unite with the right honourable gentleman spposite to him, and he was at that time sincerely desirous of effecting that union, in order to put an end to the distracted state of the country. But he declared he had courted

that

grave,

that union, with a desire to establish it on a system, that had a view to enable him essectually to counteract those principles of the right honourable gentleman, that had become obnoxious to the people, and had excited their detestation.

The question was put, and the House divided upon it,

Ayes, - - - - - - - 117 Noes, - - - - - 195

Majority, - - - - - - 78

Lord Mul- As foon as the House was resumed. Lord Muleran

As foon as the House was resumed, Lord Mulgrave rose, and after a short speech, moved, "That the High Bailiff of the city of Westminster do proceed in the scrutiny for the said city with all practical dispatch."

Mr. Fox. This was firenuously opposed by Mr. I

This was strenuously opposed by Mr. Fox, as a motion that the House was not bound to come to, and as a question that called necessarily for a considerable share of discussion before gentlemen could possibly make up their minds upon it, so as to decide whether it ought to pass or not. If he should not take any step in the serutiny, but protest against its illegality, which was probable, he might incur a contempt of the orders of the House; but the glaring evil of the motion was, that the House should step between him and justice against the High Bailiss in the courts below.

Mr. Lee followed Mr. Fox with fimilar arguments — Mr. Lee also much doubted the legality of the House's coming into such decision, since it was interfering, by one of their orders, with the duty of the High Bailiss, who acted under a different authority. Mr. Lee concluded with moving a question of adjournment.

Mr. Fox seconded this motion, and called upon his friends

for support in opposing the original motion.

Sir Thomas Davenport deprecated the original motion—
Davenport. He said either the High Bailiff possessed a legal authority
for his conduct as a returning officer, or he did not. If he
was vested with a legal authority, he ought to be left in the
undisturbed exercise of it.

The Solicitor General (Mr. Macdonald) faid, the whole torGeneral amount of the original motion was nothing more than an instruction from the Chair to expedite the business of the ferutiny.

Mr. Burke. Mr. Burke rose to reprobate the conduct of the House, which he complained of as strangely inconsistent — It reminded him of the caprice of a semale, who was obliging to different

different gentlemen over night, and forgot on whom she had bestowed her savours next morning. In like manner, the House had spent a whole day and night in establishing the doctrine, that the High Bailiss possessed a discretion, and now they were going to vote a resolution to put an end to that discretion completely. The strength of this contradictory conduct excited the indignation of Mr. Burke, and he spoke in a tone of expression which gave some displeasure to the Treasury Bench, who said something that Mr. Burke did not relish, as he sat down with saying, he little minded the ill treatment of a parcel of boys.

This occasioned a call to order—Upon which

Mr. Dundas rose, and calmed the rising storm, by an ap-Mr. Dunpeal to Mr. Burke's good sense, whether a new Parliament das. could be expected to bear abuse with patience; or to endure

their being called a parcel of boys?

Mr. Burke apologised for his vehemence, declaring that Mr. Burke. he selt the utmost respect for the House; that he knew every thing depended on the British House of Commons, and he was ready to bow down to any censure the House might think proper to bestow on him; but that when he used the term, a parcel of boys, he meant to apply it to the Ministry, who, he conceived, were insulting him with their triumph, grey hairs ought to be allowed the privilege of expressing displeasure, when it was founded on the rash exultation of mere boys.

The House divided on the question of adjournment;

Ayes, - - - - - - - 90 Noes, - - - - - - 178

The main question was then put and carried.

The High Bailiff was then called in, and Mr. Speaker acquainted him with the faid resolution*.

June 11.

Mr. Sawbridge being called to from the Chair, immediately after the ballot for an election Committee, defired permitfion of the House to wait a few minutes for the Chancellor of the Exchequer (who was fitting on an election Committee) before he made his motion, as he hoped to receive the support of the right honourable gentleman.

* The ferutiny was then proceeded in, and continued through the whole fession — An account of the issue will be given in its proper place.

Lord

Ld. Mahon.

Lord Mahon declared himself a fincere friend to the motion, and as fuch, he wished the honourable gentleman would put it off till Tuesday, or some day next week, when there could be a better attendance obtained.

Mr. Sawbridge.

Mr. Sawbridge said, his reason for wishing to make it then was, because he was really afraid the members were going out of town. If he was fure that was not the case, and that the friends of the motion had rather it were made another day, he would make no objection.

Capt. James Luttrell.

Captain James Luttrell seemed to apprehend, that the worthy Alderman only meant to put off his motion from day to day and never to bring it on. Under this idea Mr. Luttrell faid, that every motion was to be made to the House as a House of Commons, who were perfectly competent to discuss every question of a public kind, and that however high, or however respectable the situation and character of individuals might be, their casual absence ought not to prevent business from being brought on. Mr. Luttrell observed, the great recommendation of Mr. Grenville's bill had ever been professed to be, that it tended to promote the dispatch of business It was plain, however, if the Chancellor of His Majesty's Exchequer was chosen on election Committees, and the doctrine prevailed, that no business of a public and important nature was to be brought forward in the House of Commons during the Minister's absence, that the bill did not tend so much to the dispatch of national business as it was faid to do.

bridge.

Mr. Sawbridge declared himself surprized at the honourable gentleman's attack. He had expressed no wish to defer the motion of which he had given notice, but it was always customary when motions of a great and important nature were coming on, to wait for the Chancellor of His Majesty's Exchequer, or which ever of His Majesty's Ministers happened to fit in that House. Other business of a great national concern stood for that day. Would the honourable gentleman fay, that business either would or ought to be brought forward in the absence of the Minister. gard to his motion relative to a parliamentary reform, he relied on receiving great support from the Chancellor of the Exchequer, and therefore he should certainly have waited a few minutes longer for him, had he not feen him enter the House.

Mr. Chan-

Mr. Chancellor Pitt having come in while Mr. Sawbridge cellor Pitt. was speaking, said, he had collected from a few words he had heard from the worthy Alderman, that the House had waited

He was extremely forry to find that had been the for him. case, but the situation he had been in for the past two or three days (on the Pontefract Committee) left him but little leisure to attend to the necessary business of his office; he had, notwithstanding, endeavoured to come down as soon as possible.

Mr. Sawbridge then rose, and was proceeding to open the grounds of his motion, when he was interrupted by

Mr. Wilberforce, who begged pardon of the worthy Alder- Mr. Wilman for thus early interrupting him; but he did it thus early, berforce. because he wished him to desist from making his motion that day, and should be glad if he could prevail on him to make it any day next week. Mr. Wilberforce said, no man was more fincerely a friend to the motion than he was, and he really thought, if proper notice was given, a much better attendance might be obtained, and he trusted better success. [Acry of Go on, go on, arifing.] Mr. Wilberforce defired the worthy Alderman to make a distinction between the friends and the enemies of his promifed motion. Those who wished it well, as he did, must wish that a question of such magnitude might come under discussion in as full a House as possible; those on the contrary, who were its enemies, would be glad to have it agitated in a thin House, that they might the more easily get rid of it altogether.

Mr. Sawbridge said, he was greatly obliged to the honour- Mr. Sawa able gentleman, and was willing to meet his wishes, provided bridge. the House thought another day would procure a fuller attendance. If not, he was ready to go on: He hoped, however, if the motion was put off, that the honourable gentleman would take care that his friends attended when it was brought on, and give it their support. His own friends,

Mr. Sawbridge said, he was sure would attend.

Mr. Wilberforce faid, the honourable gentleman had called Mr. Wilupon him to undertake more than he could answer for. He berso:se. would certainly attend himself, and give the motion his support, but he could not take upon himself to say so much for other persons. He would undertake, however, to use every argument in his power to prevail on his friends to attend, and he was firmly of opinion, that upon proper notice being given, a much fuller attendance than was the case then, might be The reason why the House was so thin, Mr. Wilberforce faid, he conceived to arise from the circumstance of the motion's having been expected to be made the preceding day, whence gentlemen had entered into engagements for that day, when it was not supposed such a motion would have come under discussion.

Captain

Capt. James Luttrell.

Captain James Luttrell role once more, and said, if the honourable gentleman put off his motion upon the plea, that the House was not full enough to take it into discussion, he must protest against such a plea. If, on the other hand, he put it off, on declaring that his reason for so doing was the absence of some particular persons, who had studied the subject with great attention, and were capable of throwing new lights upon it, and giving the House information, that might prove material, he had no manner of objection. With regard to the attendance, after the honourable gentleman had disposed of his motion, he had business of very great importance to bring on, and that business he certainly should bring on, because the House was not only competent to attend to it, but he remembered in the last Parliament, that estimates of the ordnance, to a confiderable amount, were debated and voted in a House not half so full. With regard to a reform in the state of parliamentary representation, it was a matter, as to the principle of which many men were agreed, but the difficulty lay in hitting upon a plan most practicable. wished, therefore, that the motion of the honourable gentleman might no longer be put off, at least he repeated it, that if it were put off on pretence that the House was not sufficiently full, against such a plea he defired to enter his protest.

Ld. North.

Lord North faid, the motion, he was free to fay, was not a motion to which he was indifferent; but he certainly was indifferent as to the day on which it should be brought on. He rose, however, principally to observe, that the fort of rebuke, which had been given to the worthy Alderman was not deserved, since nothing could have been more polite or more accommodating than the honourable magistrate's behaviour to every gentleman who had spoken upon the subject.

Mr. Saw.

Mr. Sawbridge said, there was a distinction between the conduct of the two honourable gentlemen who had spoken on the other side of the House. The gentleman who spoke first had very civilly desired him to postpone his motion, and the other gentleman had endeavoured to compel him to bring it on, though he must know, if he did not choose it, no member had it in his power to oblige him to make it. As he never took advice from his enemies, he certainly should not attend to what had sallen from the honourable gentleman who had spoken last of the two, because from what he had said, he saw plainly that he was no friend to his purpose, but he would take the advice of the other honourable gentleman, and put off his motion till the Wednesday following.

The

The House having resolved itself into a Committee of

Supply, Mr. Gilbert took his feat at the table, and

Captain James Luttrell (Surveyor-general of the Ordnance) Capt. James proceeded to open the estimates, and explain the several arti- Luttrell. cles contained under the three distinct heads of Ordinary. Extraordinary, and Unprovided Services. Mr. Luttrell faid. there was some difference between the estimates then under consideration, and those that had been presented to the last Parliament and voted by the Committee of Supply. This difference he accounted for by stating that an estimate made up to the end of May must necessarily amount to more than an estimate made up to some earlier month in the year. also stated that several of the articles that had been crossed out of the last estimates, and not voted by the last Parliament. were re-inferted in these. He particularly mentioned 50,000l. that was now put down for fortifications for the better fecurity of Portsmouth and Plymouth, which, he informed the House, was so much for one year's prosecution of a general plan of fortification, in order effectually to secure those two important dock-yards and garrifons from any furprife or invalion by our enemies. He enlarged upon the utility of such a measure's being adopted, and the great national loss and calamity that would attend the destruction of either of those places, a destruction that would necessarily involve in it the destruction of the greatest part of our navy and of our naval He entered pretty fully into the discussion of the ordnance debt, and flated that Tound policy required, that it should be discharged as soon as possible, since such was the effect it had at present, that the Board of Ordnance could make no contract with any artificer, or engage for any work for the public service, but at the immediate discount of eight and twenty per cent. He enlarged upon this disadvantage, and expressed an earnest wish, that by a speedy discharge of the debt, the Ordnance might be enabled to deal for ready money only, by which means, he was fure, the Public would fave confiderable fums annually. Mr. Luttrell faid, he believed the best way for him to proceed, was to move his resolutions one after the other, and as gentlemen might think explanation necessary, to rife and give it, as often as it was called for. He concluded with moving, " that a fum not exceed-" ing 181,141l. 6s. 4d. be granted for defraying the expence " of fervices performed by the Office of Ordnance for land "fervice, and not provided for by Parliament in 1783."

Mr. Huffey said, he thought it was his duty to do on the Mr. Huffey present occasion, what he had done when the Ordnance Vox. XV.

estimate

estimate was under the consideration of the Committee of Supply in the last Parliament, viz. to rise and make a few obfervations on fuch part of the estimate as did not appear satisfactory to him. He agreed perfectly with the honourable gentleman who had opened the estimate, in the idea, that it was much to be wished that the Board of Ordnance were enabled to deal for ready money. He always had been of this opinion, because he was persuaded the end of œconomy would be answered by it, and a considerable saving made for the Public. He declared, that no man had a higher opinion of the noble Duke at the head of the Ordnance than he had. He was persuaded he had the public good sincerely at heart, and would act honestly and uprightly in his office, but nevertheless it was his duty, as a member of Parliament to say, , what part of any estimate presented to the House, appeared to him to be objectionable; they all knew, it was the peculiar duty of the House to watch professional men, and to entertain a kind of constitutional lealousy of every part of their official conduct. That fort of jealoufy he ever should feel, and from that feeling, he could not give his confent to that part of the estimate which stated 50,000l. for fortifications. He knew very well, that officers high in rank and experience, approved of those fortifications, and thought them requisite for the defence and fecurity of our dock-yards. It was, he faid, extremely true, that the fecurity of Portsmouth and Plymouth was an object of the first importance, and that the destruction of either would be of the most fatal consequence, but still he must adhere to his old opinion, that the best defence and protection of our dock-yards, and indeed of the whole of our coasts, was our navy. That was the means of security in which he placed the greatest degree of confidence, and therefore he must object to that article of the estimate which regarded the fortifications; and as the right honourable gentleman at the head of His Majesty's Exchequer, had himself consented, when the Ordnance estimates had been under the confideration of the last Parliament, to strike the expence of the fortifications out of them, he flattered himself, he should have his support on the present occasion.

Mr. Chancellor Pitt,

Mr. Chancellor Pitt complimented Mr. Husley, on the proper manner in which he had that day discharged, and in which indeed he on every occasion discharged his parliamentary duty. Mr. Pitt admitted, that it was at all times the duty of the House to watch professional men, in order to prevent their partialities to particular services, from inducing them to ask for and apply a greater part of the public money to those

those services, than on a fair consideration of all the different public fervices, ought in propriety to be appropriated to them. He allowed also, that the national expenditure ought to be at all times narrowly watched, but more especially when economy was so extremely necessary. He said, he agreed perfectly in opinion with the honourable gentleman, that the noble Duke at the head of the Ordnance was, of all men, the most to be relied on, and the person, who afforded the least occasion for that constitutional jealousy to be entertained respecting his conduct, of any man in public office. opinion, and in an acknowledgement, that no man was more watchful of the public expenditure, more zealoufly a friend to economy, and more careful to promote it on every occation, he believed, the generality of that House and of the Public would readily join. With regard to his having confented to strike the article of fortifications out of the Ordnance estimates, when presented to the last Parliament, it was undoubtedly true; it was also true, that there were in the present estimates other articles, which he had likewise consented to leave out, and not to vote till another year. But those gentlemen who had been present at the time would be pleased to recollect, that he had so consented, in order that the necessity for those articles might be inquired ioto, and ascertained. The interval of time that had passed, fince the Ordnance estimates were presented to the last Parliament, had afforded an opportunity for that investigation, and he was now thoroughly convinced of the actual and indispensable necessity for the fortifications, and the other articles, fome of which, upon inquiry, turned out to have been struck out of the former estimates very imprudently. tered into a discussion of the fortifications projected at Portsmouth and Plymouth, enlarging upon the necessity of guarding against a surprise at either of those places, the consequence of which might be not merely a destruction of the greatest part of our present navy, but of the very seeds of our future navies. He said, the only way to enable this country to carry on an offensive war, was to put it in her power to fend her fleets to distant scenes of action, and not keep them constantly at home for the defence of our coasts. A defensive war was generally very expensive, and we could not often expect it to end otherwise than ingloriously. fortifications in question would sufficiently secure our dockyards, and therefore, were propositions that were well worth attending to. The navy, he observed, was deservedly a favourite service, and money was liberally voted for it, but it

would furely be extremely abfurd, while they were granting millions for the service of the navy, to refuse to grant thoufands to be applied to its preservation. He trusted, therefore, that the Committee would be of opinion, that the fortifications should be proceeded on, and prosecuted to their completion. Mr. Pitt imputed a great part of our misfortunes and burthens to the ruinous system of conducting the public expenditure, that had so long prevailed; a system, the principle of which was to conceal the real amount of our expence, and by a constant series of delusions, appear to be carrying on the public business at a small charge, while we were all the while running deeply in debt. This system, he said, . it should be his object to abolish, and in its stead to let the People fee from time to time what their expences really were. and, if possible, to incur no expence of any kind, the nature of which had not been previously made known to Parliament, and its consent to it obtained.

Cape. Macbride.

Captain Macbride said, he thought it extremely wrong to vote large fums for fortifications, unless the plans of those fortifications were laid on the table, and submitted to the opinions of officers capable of forming a judgement how far they were adviseable or not. It was, in his opinion, to the last degree absurd, for officers of the military to have the conduct of fortifications intended for the fecurity of our fea ports, without communicating the plans of such fortifications to naval officers. The Captain ridiculed the idea of our being afraid of an invalion; he faid, what were the enemy to come over in? They would fcarcely come over in balloons. What then were people frightened about? They must come in transports; a fleet, therefore, was our only fecurity. And what could occasion our dread of their coming by surprise; they must come in transports, and the enemy had no large port opposite to our coasts. He condemned the fortifications at Portimouth as an idle waste of the public money, and said, the officer who would attempt to land on the Portsmouth fide, would deserve to be hanged up with Jack the Painter for a fool. He entered into a discussion of the situation of the place, and denied that there was a possibility for the secret embarkation or debarkation of a large body of hostile troops. He concluded with objecting to the article of the fortifications.

Capt, James

Captain James Luttrell faid, he regarded his profession infinitely beyond any office or place he could ever hold, but as a seaman he would maintain, that the fortifications at Portfmouth were highly adviseable, He entered into a distinction between

between the fortifications of a dock-yard and a fea port, and the inland fortifications of cities, towns, &c. as was the cuftom of France and Germany upon the continent. To these latter he should have as much objection as the honourable officer who had just sat down. The fortification of a city. in the interior of a country, might impede the march of an enemy's army, or the reduction of it might let them. penetrate a thousand miles farther; but the case was widely different, when the fortifications of Portsmouth and Plymouth were the subjects of consideration. Mr. Luttrell enlarged upon the great utility of fortifying those places strongly; and among other good effects it would produce, be mentioned as a very confiderable one, the enabling us to fend our fleets out to fea to meet the enemy, to attack them and to fight them, thus allowing us to carry on an offensive war, the only war Englishmen ought to be engaged in; a war confonant to the genius of the country, and that fort of war in which alone the British flag could be exalted! In illustration of this remark, Mr. Luttrell mentioned what had happened last war, when he had been sent out to drive home all the merchant ships he met, helter skelter, as it were, to any port they could make, that they might not fall into the hands of the Dutch. In order farther to prove that we could not safely rely on our fleets, even for home protection, he put the case, that France should have a fleet of forty fail of the line at fea, and we a fleet of the fame number at Portsmouth, and the enemy should come with their whole force to Plymouth, whither a westerly wind would foon waft them, That port, the dock-yard, town, &c. might he all destroyed before we could make Plymouth with our fleet.

Mr. Huffey rose to put farther questions; and Sir James Mr. Huf-Johnstone and several other members took part in the con- sey. versation. At length the resolution was agreed to, and also a refolution that a fum not exceeding 429,0081. 2s. 7d. be granted to His Majesty, for the charge of the office of Ordnance for land fervice in 1784.

June 14.

Mr. Hussey objected to the Report of the Ordnance Mr. Husbeing brought up; he faid, a Report respecting the Ordnance fey. had been presented by the Commissioners of Accounts, and he wished to have a previous opportunity of examining that Report. The Commissioners were well paid by the Public,

but not better than they deserved, and the public ought to be benefited by their labours.

Cept. James Luttrell,

Mr. James Luttrell answered, that the Report of the Commissioners of Accounts, so far from being a reason for the proposed delay, would be found to state the strongest arguments for accelerating the business of the day. He then entered into the subject of the Ordnance Report, and wished that the honourable gentleman would inform himself better before he brought the charges against a public board, which he stated him to have done in the former debate.

Mr. Chancellor Pitt.

Mr. Chancellor Pitt' flated that the Report of the Commissioners of Accounts which related to reforms in office, and to fome favings in the mode of passing the accounts, was furely no reason for postponing a vote of payment of a debt incurred.

Mr. Eden.

Mr. Eden said, that perhaps it was not irregular to refer to the former debate, the present question being in strictness a mere continuation of that debate; and as his honourable friend had been accused of making charges with rashness and ignorance against the Board of Ordnance, he, who had been present during the conversation alluded to, thought it a just attention to his honourable friend to declare, that there never was an instance in which a subject of accounts had been discussed with more propriety and fairness, or with a more just discornment and knowledge of the business. As to receiving the Report of the Ordnance, he agreed with the right honourable gentleman, that it might be received immediately without any want of proper respect to the Commissioners of Accounts. Gentlemen were always law vish of their praises on those Commissioners, who well deferved much praise; but he wished that the House would give an uleful attention instead of empty compliments to the many Reports which had been presented and printed. One Report alone, presented in the beginning of the last session, fuggested a faving of 120,000l. a year; it had been much admired, but had never yet been discussed.

Capt. James Luttrell.

Mr. Luttrell explained, that he had not meant to convey an idea of censure on the honourable gentleman, but perfished in pressing forwards the business.

The report was brought up and agreed to.

The House then went into a Committee of Supply, and Sir George Yonge moved for the following sums:

636,1901. 9s. 1d. for guards, garifons, &c. in Britain. 284,2131. 2s. 9d. for the forces in the plantations.

82521. 7s. od. for the forces in the East Indies.

608ol.

60801. 6s. 6d. for the British staff.

9371l. 17s. 9d. for two Hanoverian battalions.

67,551l. 14s. 1d. for the Paymaster General and other officers.

173,0011. 15s. 5id. for Chelsea Hospital, &c. 48301. 7s. 6d. roads and bridges in Scotland.

317,076l. 17s. 9d. extraordinaries. 2,043,915l. 3s. od. extraordinaries.

These motions were all agreed to; and the Committee then voted several sums to the finking fund, to make up for payments made from it.

Mr Burke then rose to make a motion relative to the King's speech, of which he gave the House notice the week He began by faying, that he had undertaken a task to which he knew his abilities were not equal; but in fo doing, he felt that he differed not from the rest of mankind, who feeing an occasion for a great exertion of humanity, followed the impulse of their feelings, without being deterred by the confideration, that their exertion would be to no purpose. He declared that in what he was about to do, he acted folely from himself, without having consulted any man upon earth: the question therefore that he intended to propole, ought not to be confidered as a party question? it was exclusively his own; and there would be no cause for triumph, if it should be rejected, as it was the measure of an inconfiderable individual; and as he was refolved to conform to the fense of the House, he would not call for a division, if he should find his proposition was not universally approved.

At this time of day, he observed, the question might not appear of very great consequence to some gentlemen; but to him it appeared to be of so great a magnitude, that it occupied his whole mind; and he was not ashamed to say that his soul was full of it: a Parliament had been sentenced, condemned, and executed, and no notice had yet been taken of so great and extraordinary an event! If the meanest subject in the land had died suddenly, or by violent means, an inquest would have taken cognizance of the case, and enquired into the causes of his death; but the Parliament of Great Britain has been put to a violent death; and no Coroner had yet held an inquest on the body! No enquiry had been made whether it had been felo de se, or jure cassus! Did the people then think the sudden death of Parliament was a subject too trissing for enquiry? Or did they think

that all which might have been apprehended from such a death, had perished with the Parliament? He feared, alas, that the fatal consequence of it would long survive it, and be entailed on future Parliaments ! Much had been faid of the fense of the People as the grounds on which Ministers might rest their defence of the late dissolution; and on this head he was ready to confess that the sense of the People. however erroneous at times, must always govern the Legislature of this country: but it was difficult to collect that sense; and it was sometimes the duty of the better informed, and more enlightened part of the community, to relift the fense of the People, when it appeared that the People were deceived or missed. For his part, he did not think that the wifer part of the Public approved of the diffolution of the late Parliament, or disapproved of the measures which avowedly were the occasion of that event: the People, in his opinion, might be divided into three classes, one composed of persons who, dazzled with the lustre of the Crown. could never bring themselves to think that Government might be in the wrong; they were the political high flyers, who made it a point to support the Crown à tort et à travers! this class, he said, was very numerous; and in it, he was forry to add, were to be found many very respectable characters. In the second class he placed those who, though fworn enemies to the Crown, were ever ready to fall upon the House of Commons, because they conceived that House to be the constitutional guardians and defenders of that species of monarchy which in this country had ever been thought necessary for the well-being of Government. These two bodies of men, he faid, generally united in running down the House of Commons, though with the most oppofite views. The third class consisted of all those persons who did not enter into the other two; they were the moderate and impartial, who, alike friends to the Crown, and to the democratic part of the Constitution, wished to maintain both in the full enjoyment of their respective prerogatives and privileges. Of these he would not hesitate to say, full three-fourths went heart and hand with the late House of Commons; the other fourth-part, he believed, had been driven by mifreprefentations into a confederacy with two classes of men whose principles they equally detested, the lovers of absolute monarchy, and the sworn enemies of every species of monarchy. He trusted, indeed, that many of them had lately been undeceived; it was the duty of the House of Commons to warn the remainder of the dangers

to which they exposed their liberties, through the delusion under which they acted. He feared there was a fettled plan to destroy, not the form, but the essence and essicacy of the House of Commons. Doctrines, big with danger to the Constitution had been broached within the two last years, first by the noble Lord who was at the head of the Administration before the last (the Earl of Shelburne) and lately revived by the Minister who had received his political education at the feet of that Gamaliel. In a speech from the Throne, at the opening of the session before the last, the King was made to fay by that Minister, that the People expected unanimous exertions on the part of the House: this assumption of the tribunitian power by the Sovereign, was truly alarming. When Augustus Cæsar modestly consented to become the tribune of the People, Rome gave up into the hands of that Prince the only remaining shield she had to protect her liberty. The tribunitian power in this country, as in ancient Rome, was wifely kept distinct and separate from the executive power: in this government it was conflitutionally lodged where it ought naturally to be lodged, in the House of Commons; and to that House the people ought first to carry their complaints, even when they were directed against the measures of the House itself: but now the People were taught to pass by the door of the House of Commons, and supplicate the Throne for the protection of their liberties; hence the dissolution of the late Parliament pretendedly in obedience to the sense of the People; from addresses the sense of the People had been collected. and not from the House of Commons. But he warned the People to beware of this double House of Commons, which Ministers were erecting on the foundation of their delusion; the Commons of England in Parliament affembled; and the Commons of England in corporation and county meetings dispersed: an artful Minister would crastily play off the one after the other; he would make use of a pliant House of Commons to oppress the People; and he would make use of a deluded House of Commons, dispersed through the country, to awe a refractory or independent collected House of Commons. If the proceedings of the late Parliament had been really disagreeable to the People, why had they not petitioned that House against those proceedings? If they had petitioned, and their prayers had been difregarded, or treated, with contempt, then addresses to the Throne for a dissolution of Parliament would have been extremely proper: when public economy became the general wish of the People, Vol. XV. petitions

petitions were presented not to the Crown, but to the House of Commons; but means had been contrived of late fo to delude the People, as to make them the very instruments of the degradation of that branch of the Government; the destruction of which must necessarily be attended with the lofs of their liberty. The East-India bill had been made the specious pretext of the dissolution; it was represented as a violent attack upon the franchises of the people, an invafion of the royal prerogative, and a medium through which the late Ministers intended to have secured to themselves a power paramount to every power in the kingdom. In defence of that bill, he faid, that it did not appear reasonable that the Proprietors of East-India stock should in future retain in their hands a power which they had fo grossly abused; by which they had plundered and rendered miserable many millions of persons, who were under the protection of this. nation; a power which had enabled them to enter into the most unjust and impolitic wars, the consequence of which brought very heavy expence upon this kingdom As to the invasion of the Royal prerogative, he was surprised to hear that brought as a charge laid at the door of the East-The power of making war, and of carry-India bill. ing it on, where and in what manner he pleased, was certainly one of the first and greatest prerogatives of the Crown; and yet the late House of Commons not only addressed the King not to carry on the war on the continent of America, but went so far even as to vote that man an enemy to his country who should advise the carrying of it on, or who should affift in it; so that though Sir Henry Clinton, for instance, was bound by the Mutiny act to obey the King's orders, as Captain General of the forces, and was even liable to be shot if he should refuse to obey them, still the resolutions of the House of Commons would attach upon him, and suspend the whole system of military subordination; and yet that refolution had been supported by the warmest friends of the present Ministry, and by himself; and no one ever thought of making it a ground for the diffolution of the Parliament. As to the patronage of the East-India Company, which it was faid Ministry intended to make the means of rendering themselves paramount to the Crown, he observed, that those who were at this moment in full possession of that patronage (the Company) were very far from being independent of the Crown, so far from being paramount to it; and he could affure the House, on his conscience.

science, and on his honour, that the persons who were to have been at the head of the Company's affairs, had been busied in devising means by which they might have put it most effectually out of their own power to derive any emolument or parliamentary support from their situation: and he himself had made it a point to shut his ears to every application that had been made to him for his influence with those who were to have been in the direction of India affairs, under the bill brought in by his right honourable friend: to the truth of this affertion he called God to be his witness: and he affured the House, that by his conduct on that occafion he had made himself many enemies, and not one friend. But had his right honourable friend's bill been as bad as some people had represented it to be, still he would maintain that the King could not, constitutionally speaking, assign the existence of such a bill as the reason for his dissolving the Parliament; for, in the first place, he ought not to have known that a bill was in existence; and in the next, the House had a right to entertain whatever bill it pleased, even if it were possible that it could be treasonable, or if it were even for lopping off a whole branch of the prerogative; a bill of exclusion had been entertained by Parliament: and if the day should come when either a member of the House, or the whole House, should be made responsible for a part taken in any bill, on that day would the liberties of England expire. An attack might be made on the prerogative by the House of Peers; and yet that would be no ground for a dissolution, as the Peers of the new Parliament would be precisely the same who attacked the prerogatives in the last. This was a time which called upon the House to oppose doctrines which feemed to be gaining ground: a noble Lord (Shelburne) had often mentioned the balance of the different branches of the Conflitution - but for his part he reprobated the idea: this was not a government of balances: and a noble Duke (Richmond) in his letter to the Volunteers of Ireland, had positively rejected the idea of a balance; for he would not allow the King a negative on the acts of both Houses, as it would be strange indeed (observed his Grace) that one man should have it in his power, by his negative, to counteract the wisdom of the Lords and Commons, or, in other words, of the whole nation. This observation, Mr. Burke said, might as well be applied to the House of Lords; for it would be a strange thing if two hundred Peers should have it in their power to defeat by their negative what had been

done by the People of England. He concluded by observing, that if the measures of the late Parliament were unconflictutional, they ought to be condemned and censured: if, on the other hand, they were strictly constitutional, it was the more incumbent on the present House to defend and maintain them, as the last House was said to have been put to death for having supported them.

He concluded with making his motion, which was as

follows:

That a representation be presented to His Majesty, most humbly to offer to his royal consideration, that the address of this House upon His Majesty's speech from the throne, was dictated solely by our conviction of His Majesty's own most gracious intentions towards his People, which, as we seel with gratitude, so we are ever ready so acknowledge with chearfulness and satisfaction.

Impressed with these sentiments, we were willing to separate from our general expressions of duty, respect, and veneration to His Majesty's royal person, and his princely virtues, all discussion whatever with relation to several of the matters suggested, and several of the expressions employed,

in that speech.

That it was not fit or becoming that any decided opinion should be formed by his faithful Commons on that speech, without a degree of deliberation adequate to the importance of the object. Having afforded ourselves due time for that deliberation, we do now most humbly beg leave to represent to His Majesty, that, in the speech from the Throne, his Ministers have thought proper to use a language of a very marming import, unauthorised by the practice of good times, and irreconcileable to the principles of this Government.

Humbly to express to His Majesty, that it is the privilege and duty of this House to guard the Constitution from all infringement on the part of Ministers; and, whenever the occasion requires it, to warn them against any abuse of the authorities committed to them: but it is very lately *, that, in a manner not more unseemly than irregular and preposterous, Ministers have thought proper, by admonition from the Throne implying distrust and reproach, to convey the expectations of the People to us, their sole representatives; and

* See King's speech, Dec. 5, 1782, and May 19, 1784.

^{+ &}quot;I will never submit to the doctrines I have heard this day from the Woolsack, that the other House (House of Commons) are the only

and have prefumed to caution us, the general guardians of the Constitution, against any infringement of it on our

parts.

This dangerous innovation we, his faithful Commons, think it our duty to mark; and as these admonitions from the Throne, by their frequent repetition, seem intended to lead gradually to the establishment of an usage, we hold our-

selves bound thus solemnly to protest against them.

This House will be, as it ever ought to be, anxiously attentive to the inclinations and interests of its constituents: nor do we defire to straiten any of the avenues to the Throne, or to either House of Parliament. But the ancient order. in which the rights of the People have been exercised, is not a reftriction of these rights. It is a method providently framed in favour of those privileges, which it preserves and enforces by keeping in that course which has been found the most effectual for answering their ends. His Majesty may receive the opinions and wishes of individuals under their fignatures, and of bodies corporate under their feals, as expressing their own particular sense; and he may grant such redress as the legal powers of the Crown enables the Crown to afford. This, and the other House of Parliament, may also receive the wishes of such corporations and individuals by petition. The collective sense of his People His Majesty is to receive from his Commons in Parliament affembled. It would destroy the whole spirit of the constitution, if his Commons were to receive that sense from the Ministers of the Crown, or to admit them to be a proper or a regular -channel for conveying it.

That the Ministers in the said speech declare, "His Ma"jesty has a just and consident reliance, that we (his faithsubstitute ful Commons) are animated with the same sentiments of
substitute said substit

" tution, which he had the happiness to see so fully mani" fested in every part of the kingdom."

To represent that his faithful Commons have never failed in loyalty to His Majesty; it is new to them to be reminded of it. It is unnecessary and invidious to press it upon them by any example. This recommendation of loyalty, after

[&]quot;only representatives and guardians of the People's rights; I boldly
"maintain the contrary: I say this House (House of Lords) is equally
"the representative of the People." — Lord Shelburne's speech,
April 8, 1778, Vide this work, Vol. X. page 392.

His

His Majesty has sat for so many years, with the full support of all descriptions of his subjects, on the throne of this kingdom, at a time of prosound peace, and without any pretence of the existence or apprehension of war or conspiracy, becomes in itself a source of no small jealousy to his faithful Commons; as many circumstances lead us to apprehend that therein the Ministers have reference to some other measures and principles of loyalty, and to some other ideas of the constitution, than the laws require, or the practice of Parliament will admit.

No regular communication of the proofs of loyalty and attachment to the Constitution, alluded to in the speech from the Throne, have been laid before this House, in order to enable us to judge of the nature, tendency, or occasion of them, or in what particular acts they were displayed: but if we are to suppose the manifestations of loyalty (which are held out to us as an example of imitation) confift in certain addresses delivered to His Majesty, promising support to His Majesty in the exercise of his prerogative, and thanking His Majesty for removing certain of his Ministers on account of the votes they have given upon bills depending in Parliament: if this be the example of loyalty alluded to in the speech from the Throne, then we must beg leave to express our ferious concern for the impression which has been made on many of our fellow subjects by misrepresentations, which have seduced them into a seeming approbation of proceedings subversive to their own freedom. We conceive, that the opinions delivered in these papers were not well considered; nor were the parties duly informed of the nature of the matters on which they were called to determine, nor of those proceedings of Parliament which they were led to censure.

We shall act more advisedly. The loyalty we shall manifest will not be the same with theirs; but, we trust, it will be equally sincere, and more enlightened. It is no slight authority which shall persuade us (by receiving as proofs of loyalty the mistaken principles lightly taken up in these addresses) obliquely to criminate, with the heavy and ungrounded charge of disloyalty and disaffection, an uncorrupt, independent, and reforming Parliament. Above all, we shall take care that none of the rights and privileges, always claimed, and since the accession of His Majesty's illustrious samily constantly exercised by this House, (and which we hold and exercise in trust for the Commons of Great Britain,

tain, and for their benefit) shall be constructively surrendered, or even weakened and impaired under ambiguous phrases and implications of censure on the late parliamentary proceedings. If these claims are not well sounded, they ought to be honestly abandoned; if they are just, they ought to be steadily and resolutely maintained.

Of His Majesty's own gracious disposition towards the true principles of our free constitution, his faithful Commons never did, or could, entertain a doubt: but we humbly beg leave to express to His Majesty our uneasiness concerning other new and unusual expressions of his Ministers, declaratory of a resolution "to support, in their just ba"lance, the rights and privileges of every branch of the

" Legislature."

It were defirable that all hazardous theories concerning a balance of rights and privileges (a mode of expression wholly foreign to parliamentary usage) might have been forborne. His Majesty's faithful Commons are well instructed in their own rights and privileges, which they are determined to maintain on the footing upon which they were handed down. from their ancestors: they are not unacquainted with the rights and privileges of the House of Peers; and they know and respect the lawful prerogatives of the Crown: but they do not think it fafe to admit any thing concerning the existence of a balance of those rights, privileges, and prerogatives; nor are they able to discern to what objects Ministers would apply their fiction of a balance; nor what they would confider as a just one. These unauthorised doctrines have a tendency to flir improper discussions; and to lead to mischievous innovations in the Constitution*.

That

^{*}Lord Shelburne, in his speech, April 8, 2778, expressed himfelf as follows: vide this work, vol. X.

[&]quot;The noble and learned Lord on the woolfack, in the debate which opened the business of this day, afferted, that your Lord-fibips were incompetent to make any alteration in a money bill, or a bill of supply. I should be glad to see the matter fully and fairly discussed, and the subject brought forward and argued upon precedent as well as all its collateral relations. I should be pleased to fee the question fairly committed, were it for no other reason but to hear the sleek, smooth Contractors from the other House, come to that bar and declare, that they, and they only, could frame a moment mey bill; and they, and they only, could dispose of the property of the Peers of Great Britain. Perhaps some arguments more

That his faithful Commons most humbly recommend, inflead of the inconsiderate speculations of unexperienced men, that on all occasions, resort should be had to the happy practice of Parliament, and to those solid maxims of government which have prevailed since the accession of His Majesty's illustrious family, as furnishing the only safe principles on which the Crown and Parliament can proceed.

We think it the more necessary to be cautious on this head, as, in the last Parliament, the present Ministers had thought proper to countenance, if not to suggest, an attack upon the most clear and undoubted rights and privileges of

this House.

Fearing, from these extraordinary admonitions, and from the new doctrines which feem to have dictated feveral unusual expressions, that His Majesty has been abused by false representations of the late proceedings in Parliament, we think it our duty respectfully to inform His Majesty, that no attempt whatever has been made against his lawful prerogatives, or against the rights and privileges of the Peers, by the late House of Commons, in any of their addresses, votes, or resolutions: neither do we know of any proceeding by bill, in which it was proposed to abridge the extent of his royal prerogative: but if fuch provision had existed in any bill, we protest and we declare against all speeches, acts or addresses, from any person whatsoever, which have a tendency to confider such bills, or the persons concerned in them, as just objects of any kind of censure and punishment from the Throne. Necessary reformations may hereafter require, as they have frequently done in former times. limitations and abridgements, and in some cases an entire extinction of some branch of prerogative. If bills should be improper in the form in which they appear in the House

The Duke of Richmond also, in his letter to the volunteers of Ireland, speaks of several of the powers exercised by the House of Commons, in the light of usurpations; and his Grace is of opinion, that when the People are restored to what he conceives to be their rights, in electing the House of Commons, the other branches of the Legislature ought to be restored to theirs. Vide Remembrancer,

vol. XVI.

where

[&]quot;h plausible than those I heard this day from the woolsack, to shew that the Commons have an uncontrollable, unqualified right, to bind your Lordships' property, may be urged by them. At present I beg leave to differ from the noble and learned Lord; for until the claim, after a solemn discussion of the House, is openly and differectly relinquished, I shall continue to be of opinion, that your Lordships have a right to alter, amend, or reject a money bill."

where they originate, they are liable, by the wisdom of this Constitution, to be corrected, and even to be totally set aside, elsewhere. This is the known, the legal, and the safe remedy: but whatever, by the manifestation of the Royal displeasure, tends to intimidate individual members from proposing, or this House from receiving, debating, and passing bills; tends to prevent even the beginning of every reformation in the State; and utterly destroys the deliberative capacity of Parliament. We therefore claim, demand, and insist upon it, as our undoubted right, that no persons shall be deemed proper objects of animadversion by the Crown, in any mode whatever, for the votes which they give, or the propositions which they make, in Parliament.

We humbly conceive, that besides its share of the legislative power, and its right of impeachment, by the law and usage of Parliament, this House has other powers and capacities, which it is bound to maintain. This House. is affured, that our humble advice on the exercise of prerogative will be heard with the fame attention with which it has ever been regarded; and that it will be followed by the same effects which it has ever produced, during the happy and glorious reigns of His Majesty's Royal Progenitors; not doubting but that, in all those points, we shall be confidered as a council of wisdom and weight to advise, and not merely as an accuser of competence to criminate *. This House claims both capacities; and we trust that we shall be left to our free discretion which of them we shall employ as best calculated for His Majesty's and the national service. Whenever we shall see it expedient to offer our advice concerning His Majesty's servants, who are those of the Public, we confidently hope, that the personal favour of any Minister, or any set of Ministers, will not be more dear to His Majesty, than the credit and character of an House of Commons. It is an experiment full of peril to put the representative wisdom and justice of His Majesty's People in the wrong; it is a crooked and desperate design, leading to mischief, the extent of which no human wisdom can foresee. to attempt to form a prerogative party in the nation, to be reforted to as occasion shall require, in derogation from the authority of the Commons of Great Britain in Parliament

Vol. XV.

Ý

affem-

^{*&}quot; I observe at the same time, that there is no charge or com"plaint suggested against my present Ministers."—The King's answer, 25th February, 1784, to the address of the House of Commons.

affembled: it is a contrivance full of danger, for Ministers to fet up the representative and constituent bodies of the Commons of this kingdom as two separate and distinct powers, formed to counterpoise each other, leaving the preference in the hands of secret advisers of the Crown. fuch a fituation of things, these advisers, taking advantage of the differences which may accidentally arise, or may purposely be fomented between them, will have it in their choice to refort to the one or the other, as may best suit the purposes of their finister ambition. By exciting an emulation and contest between the representative and constituent bodies, as parties contending for credit and influence at the Throne, facrifices will be made by both; and the whole can end in nothing but the destruction of the dearest rights and liberties of the nation. If there must be another mode of conveying the collective sense of the People to the Throne than by the House of Commons, it ought to be fixed and defined, and its authority ought to be fettled: it ought not to exist in so precarious and dependent a state as that Ministers should have it in their power, at their own mere pleasure, to acknowledge it with respect, or to reject it with scorn.

It is the undoubted prerogative of the Crown to disfolve Parliament; but we beg leave to lay before His Majesty. that it is, of all the trusts vested in his Majesty, the most critical and delicate, and that in which this House has the most reason to require, not only the good faith, but the fayour of the Crown. His Commons are not always upon a par with his Ministers in an application to popular judgement: it is not in the power of the members of this House to go to their election at the moment the most favourable to thein. It is in the power of the Crown to choose a time for their diffolution whilst great and arduous matters of state and legislation are depending, which may be easily misunderstood, and which cannot be fully explained before that mifunderstanding may prove fatal to the honour that belongs, and to the confideration that is due, to members of Parliament.

With his Majesty is the gift of all the rewards, the honours, distinctions, favour, and grace of the State; with his Majesty is the mitigation of all the rigours of the law; and we rejoice to see the Crown possessed of trusts calculated to obtain good-will, and charged with duties which are popular and pleasing. Our trusts are of a different kind. Our duties are harsh and invidious in their nature, and justice and safety is all we can expect in the exercise of them.

We are to offer falutary, which is not always pleasing, council: we are to inquire and accuse; and the objects of our inquiry and charge will be for the most part persons of wealth, power, and extensive connections: we are to make rigid laws for the preservation of revenue, which of necesfity more or less confine some action, or restrain some function, which before was free; what is the most critical and invidious of all, the whole body of the public impositions originate from us, and the hand of the House of Commons is feen and felt in every burden that presses on the people. Whilst, ultimately, we are serving them, and in the first instance whilst we are serving His Majesty, it will be hard, indeed, if we should see a House of Commons the victim of its zeal and fidelity, facrificed by his Ministers to those very popular discontents which shall be excited by our dutiful endeavours for the security and greatness of his Throne. No other consequence can result from such an example, but that, in future, the House of Commons, consulting its safety at the expence of its duties, and fuffering the whole energy of. the State to be relaxed, will shrink from every service, which, however necessary, is of a great and arduous nature, or that, willing to provide for the public necessities, and, at the same time, to secure the means of performing that task, they will exchange independence for protection, and will court a subservient existence through the savour of those Ministers of State, or those secret advisers, who ought themselves to stand in awe of the Commons of this realm.

An House of Commons, respected by his Ministers, is effential to His Majesty's service: it is fit that they should yield to Parliament, and not that Parliament should be broken and new modelled until it is fitted to their purposes. If our authority is only to be held up when we coincide in opinion with his Majesty's advisers, but is to be set at nought the moment it differs from them, the House of Commons will sink into a mere appendage of Administration; and will lose that independent character which, inseparably connecting the honour and reputation with the acts of this House, enables us to afford a real, effective, and substantial support to his government. It is the deference shewn to our opinion, when we dissent from the servants of the Crown, which alone can give authority to the proceedings of this House, when it concurs with their measures.

That authority once lost, the credit of His Majesty's Crown will be impaired in the eyes of all nations. Foreign powers, who may yet wish to revive a friendly intercourse

with this nation, will look in vain for that hold which gave a connection with Great Britain the preference to an alliance with any other State. An House of Commons, of which Ministers were known to stand in awe, where every thing was necessarily discussed, on principles fit to be openly and publicly avowed, and which could not be retracted or varied without danger, furnished a ground of considence in the public faith, which the engagement of no State dependent on the sluctuation of personal favour, and private advice, can ever pretend to. If faith with the House of Commons, the grand security for the national faith itself, can be broken with impunity, a wound is given to the political importance of Great Britain, which will not easily be healed.

That there was a great variance between the late House of Commons and certain persons, whom His Majesty has been advised to make and continue as Ministers, in defiance of the advice of that House, is notorious to the world. That House did not conside in those Ministers; and they withheld their considence from them for reasons for which posterity will honour and respect the names of those who composed that House of Commons, distinguished for its independence. They could not conside in persons who have shewn a disposition to dark and dangerous intrigues. By these intrigues they have weakened, if not destroyed, the clear assurances which His Majesty's People, and which all nations ought to have, of what are, and what are not, the real acts of his government.

If it should be seen that his Ministers may continue in their offices, without any fignification to them of His Majesty's displeasure at any of their measures, whilst persons considerable for their rank, and known to have had access to His Majesty's sacred person, can, with impunity, abuse that advantage, and employ His Majesty's name to disavow and counteract the proceedings of his official servants, nothing but distrust, discord, debility, contempt of all authority, and general consusion, can prevail in his government.

This we lay before His Majesty with humility and concern, as the inevitable effect of a spirit of intrigue in his executive government; an evil which we have but too much reason to be persuaded exists and increases. During the course of the last session it broke out in a manner the most alarming. This evil was infinitely aggravated by the unauthorised, but not disavowed use which has been made of His Majesty's name, for the purpose of the most unconstitutional, corrupt, and dishonourable influence on the minds

of the members of Parliament, that ever was practifed in this kingdom. No attention, even to the exterior decorum, in the practice of corruption, and intimidation employed on Peers, was observed: several Peers were obliged under menaces to retract their declarations, and to recal their proxies.

The Commons have the deepest interest in the purity and integrity of the Peerage. The Peers dispose of all the property in the kingdom, in the last resort; and they dispose of it on their honour and not on their oaths, as all the members of every other tribunal in the kingdom must do; though in them the proceeding is not conclusive. We have, therefore, a right to demand that no application shall be made to Peers of such a nature as may give room to call in question, much less to attaint, our sole security for all that we possess. This corrupt proceeding appeared to the House of Commons, who are the natural guardians of the purity of Parliament, and of the purity of every branch of judicature, a most reprehensible and dangerous practice, tending to shake the very soundation of the authority of the House of Peers; and they branded it as such by their resolution.

The House had not sufficient evidence to enable them legally to punish this practice, but they had enough to caution them against all confidence in the authors and abettors of it. They performed their duty in humbly advising His Majesty against the employment of such Ministers; but His Majesty was advised to keep those Ministers, and to dissolve that The House, aware of the importance and urgency of its duty with regard to the British interests in India, which were and are in the utmost disorder, and in the utmost peril, most humbly requested His Majesty not to dissolve the Parliament during the course of their very critical proceedings on that subject. His Majesty's gracious conde-, scension to that request was conveyed in the Royal faith, pledged to an House of Parliament, and solemnly delivered from the Throne. It was but a very few days after a Committee had been, with the confent and concurrence of the Chancellor of the Exchequer, appointed for an inquiry into certain accounts delivered to the House by the Court of. Directors, and then actually engaged in that inquiry, that the Ministers, regardless of the assurance given from the Crown to an House of Commons, did dissolve that Parlia-We most humbly submit to His Majesty's consideration, the consequences of this their breach of public faith.

Whila

Whilst the members of the House of Commons, under that security, were engaged in His Majesty's and the national business, endeavours were industriously used to calumniate those whom it was found impracticable to corrupt. The reputation of the members, and the reputation of the House itself, was undermined in every part of the kingdom.

In the speech from the Throne relative to India, we are cautioned by the Ministers, "not to lose sight of the effect any "measure may have on the Constitution of our country." We are apprehensive that a calumnious report spread abroad of an attack upon His Majesty's prerogative by the late House of Commons, may have made an impression upon his Royal mind, and have given occasion to this unusual admonition to the present. This attack is charged to have been made in the late Parliament, by a bill which passed the House of Commons in the last session of that Parliament, for the regulation of the affairs, for the preservation of the commerce, and for the amendment of the Government of this nation, in the East Indies.

That His Majesty and His People may have an opportunity of entering into the ground of this injurious charge, we beg leave humbly to acquaint His Majesty, that, far from having made any infringement whatsoever on any part of His Royal prerogative, that bill did, for a limited time, give to His Majesty certain powers never before possessed by the Crown; and for this his present Ministers (who, rather than fall short in the number of their calumnies, employ some that are contradictory) have slandered this House, as aiming at the extension of an unconstitutional influence in His Majesty's Crown. This pretended attempt to increase the influence of the Crown, they were weak enough to endeavour to persuade His Majesty's People was amongst the causes which excited His Majesty's resentment against his late Ministers.

Farther, to remove the impressions of this calumny concerning an attempt in the House of Commons against his prerogative, it is proper to inform His Majesty, that the territorial possessions in the East Indies never have been declared, by any public judgement, act, or instrument, or any resolution of Parliament whatsoever, to be the subject matter of His Majesty's prerogative; nor have they ever been understood as belonging to his ordinary Administration, or to be annexed or united to his Crown; but that they are acquisitions of a new and peculiar description, unknown to the ancient executive Constitution of this country.

From



From time to time, therefore, Parliament provided for their government according to its discretion, and to its opinion of what was required by the public necessities. We do not know that His Majesty was entitled, by prerogative, to exercise any authority whatsoever in the Company's affairs, or that, in effect, fuch authority ever has been exercifed. His Majesty's patronage was not taken away by that bill: because it is notorious that His Majesty never originally had the appointment of a fingle officer, civil or military, in the Company's establishment in India; nor has the least degree of patronage ever been acquired to the Crown in any other manner or measure, than as the power was thought expedient to be granted by act of Parliament: that is, by the very fame authority by which the offices were disposed of and regulated in the bill, which His Majesty's servants have falsely and injuriosly represented as infringing upon the prerogative of the Crown.

Before the year 1773, the whole Administration of India, and the whole patronage to office there, was in the hands of the East-India Company. The East-India Company is not a branch of His Majesty's prerogative Administration, nor does that body exercise any species of authority under it, nor indeed from any British title, that does not derive

all its legal validity from acts of Parliament.

When a claim was afferted to the India territorial possesfions in the occupation of the Company, these possessions were not claimed as parcel of His Majesty's patrimonial effate, or as a fruit of the ancient inheritance of his Crown. They were claimed for the Public. And when agreements were made with the East-India Company concerning any composition for the holding, or any participation of the profits of those territories, the agreement was made with the Public, and the preambles of the several acts have uni-These agreements were not made (even formly fo flated it. nominally) with His Majesty, but with Parliament; and the bills making and establishing such agreements always originated in this House, which appropriated the money to await the disposition of Parliament, without the ceremony of previous confent from the Crown even fo much as fuggefted by any of his Ministers; which previous consent is an observance of decorum, not indeed of strict right, but generally paid when a new appropriation takes place in any part of His Majesty's prerogative revenues. Ιn

In pursuance of a right thus uniformly recognised, and uniformly acted on, when Parliament undertook the reformation of the East-India Company in 1773, a commission was appointed as the commission in the late bill was appointed; and it was made to continue for a term of years, as the commission in the late bill was to continue; all the Commissioners were named in Parliament, as in the late bill they were named. As they received, so they held their offices, wholly independent of the Crown; they held them for a fixed term; they were not removeable by an address of either House, or even of both Houses of Parliament, a precaution observed in the late bill, relative to the Commissioners proposed therein; nor were they bound by the first rules of proceeding which regulated and restrained the late Commissioners against all possible abuse of a power, which could not fail of being diligently and zealoufly watched by the Ministers of the Crown, and the Proprietors of the flock, as well as by Parliament. Their proceedings were, in that bill, directed to be of such a nature as eafily to subject them to the strictest revision of both, in case of any malversation.

In the year 1780, an act of Parliament again made provision for the Government of those territories for another four years, without any fort of reference to prerogative; nor was the least objection taken at the second, more than at the first of those periods, as if an infringement had been made upon the rights of the Crown; yet His Majesty's Ministers have thought fit to represent the late commission as an entire innovation on the Constitution, and the setting up a new order and estate in the nation, tending to the sub-

version of the monarchy itself.

If the Government of the East Indies, other than by His Majesty's prerogative, be, in effect, a fourth order in the commonwealth, this order has long existed; because the East-India Company has for many years enjoyed it in the fullest extent, and does at this day enjoy the whole Administration of those provinces, and the patronage to offices throughout that great empire, except as it is controlled by act of Parliament.

It was the ill condition, and ill Administration of the Company's affairs, which induced this House (merely as a temporary establishment) to vest the same powers which the Company did before posses, (and no other) for a limited time.

¥61

time, and under very ftrict directions, in proper hands, until they could be restored, or farther provision made concerning them. It was therefore no creation whatever of a new power, but the removal of an old power, long fince created, and then existing, from the management of those persons who had manifeftly and dangerously abused their truft. This House, which well knows the parliamentary origin of all the Company's powers and privileges, and is not ignorant or negligent of the authority which may vest those powers and privileges in others, if justice and the public safety so require, is conscious to itself, that it no more creates a new order in the State, by making occasional. Trustees for the direction of the Company, than it originally did in giving a much more permanent trust to the Directors, or to the General Court of that body. The monopoly of the East-India Company was a derogation from the general freedom of trade belonging to His Majesty's People. The powers of government, and of peace and war, are parts of prerogative of the highest order. Of our competence to restrain the rights of all his subjects by act of Parliament, and to vest those high and eminent prerogatives even in a particular company of merchants, there has been no question. We beg leave most humbly to claim as our right, and as a right which this House has always used, to frame such bills, for the regulation of that commerce, and of the territories held by the East-India Company, and every thing relating to them, as our discretion shall seem fit: and we affert and maintain, that therein we follow, and do not innovate on, the Constitution.

That His Majesty's Ministers, misled by their ambition, have endeavoured, if possible, to form a faction in the country against the popular part of the Constitution; and have therefore thought proper to add to their flanderous accusation against a House of Parliament, relative to His Majesty's prerogative, another of a different nature, calculated for the purpose of raising sears and jealousies among corporate bodies of the kingdom, and of perfuading uninformed persons belonging to those corporations to look to, and to make addresses to them as protectors of their rights, under their several charters, from the designs which they, without any ground, charged the then House of Commons to have formed against charters in general. For this purpose, they have not scrupled to affert, that the exertion of His Majesty's prerogative in the late precipitate change in Vol. XV.

his Administration, and the dissolution of the late Parliament, were measures adopted in order to rescue the People and their rights out of the hands of the House of Com-

mons, their representatives.

We trust that His Majesty's subjects are not yet so far deluded as to believe that the charters, or that any other of their local or general privileges, can have a folid fecurity in any place but where that security has always been looked for, and always found, in the House of Commons. Miserable and precarious indeed would be the state of their franchifes, if they were to find no defence but from that quarter from whence they have always been attacked. But the late House of Commons, in passing that bill, made no attack upon any powers or privileges, except fuch as an House of Commons has frequently attacked, and will attack (and they trust, in the end, with their wonted success) that is, upon those which are corruptly and oppressively administered; and this House do faithfully assure His Majesty, that we will correct, and, if necessary for the purpose, as far as in us lies, will wholly deftroy every species of power and authority exercised by British subjects to the oppresfion, wrong, and detriment of the People, and to the impoverishment and desolation of the countries subject to it.

The propagators of the calumnies against that House of Parliament have been indefatigable in exaggerating the supposed injury done to the East-India Company by the suspension of the authorities which they have, in every instance, abused; as if power had been wrested, by wrong and violence, from just and prudent hands; but they have, with equal care, concealed the weighty grounds and reasons on which that House had adopted the most moderate of all possible expedients for rescuing the natives of India from oppression, and for saving the interests of the real and honest Proprietors of their stock, as well as that great national,

commercial concern, from imminent ruin.

The Ministers aforesaid have also caused it to be reported, that the House of Commons have confiscated the property of the East-India Company. It is the reverse of truth. The whole management was a trust for the Proprietors, under their own inspection (and it was so provided for in the bill) and under the inspection of Parliament. That bill, so far from confiscating the Company's property, was the only one which, for several years past, did not, in some

shape or other, affect their property, or restrain them in

the disposition of it.

It is proper that His Majesty and all his People should be informed, that the House of Commons have proceeded, with regard to the East-India Company, with a degree of care, circumspection, and deliberation, which has not been equalled in the history of parliamentary proceedings. For fixteen years the flate and condition of that body has never been wholly out of their view: in the year 1767, the House took those objects into consideration, in a Committee of the whole House; the business was pursued in the following year: in the year 1772, two Committees were appointed for the same purpose, which examined into their affairs with much diligence, and made very ample Reports. In the year 1773, the proceedings were carried to an act of Parliament, which proved ineffectual to its purpose; the oppressions and abuses in India having since rather increased than diminished, on account of the greatness of the temptations and convenience of the opportunities, which got the better of the legislative provisions calculated against ill practices, then in their beginnings: insomuch that, in 1781, two Committees were again instituted, who have made feventeen Reports. It was upon the most minute, exact, and laborious collection and discussion of facts, that the late House of Commons proceeded in the reform which they attempted in the Administration of India, but which has been frustrated by ways and means the most dishonourable to His Majesty's government, and the most pernicious to the Constitution of this kingdom. His Majesty was so senfible of the disorders in the Company's administration, that the confideration of that subject was no less than fix times recommended to this House in speeches from the Throne.

The result of the parliamentary enquiries has been, that the East-India Company was found totally corrupted, and totally perverted from the purposes of its institution, whether political or commercial; that the powers of war and peace given by the charter had been abused, by kindling hostilities in every quarter for the purposes of rapine; that almost all the treaties of peace they have made, have only given cause to so many breaches of public faith; that countries, once the most flourishing, are reduced to a state of indigence, decay, and depopulation, to the diminution of our strength, and to the infinite dishonour of our national character; that the laws of this kingdom are notoriously, and almost

almost in every instance, despised; that the servants of the Company, by the purchase of qualifications to vote in the General Court, and, at length, by getting the Company itself deeply in their debt, have obtained the entire and abfolute maftery in the body, by which they ought to have been reduced and coerced: thus their malversations in office are supported instead of being checked by the Company. The whole of the affairs of that body are reduced to a most perilous situation; and many millions of innocent and deserving men who are under the protection of this nation, and who ought to be protected by it, are oppressed by a most despotic and rapacious tyranny. The Company and their fervants having strengthened themselves by their confederacy, have fet at defiance the authority and admonitions of this House employed to reform them; and when this House had selected certain principal delinquents, whom they declared it the duty of the Committee to recal, the Company held out its legal privileges against all reformation \$ positively refused to recal them; and supported those who had fallen under the just censure of this House, with new and stronger marks of countenance and approbation.

. The late House discovering the reversed situation of the Company, by which the nominal fervants are really the masters, and the offenders are become their own judges, thought fit to examine into the state of their commerce; and they have also discovered that their commercial affairs are in the greatest disorder; that their debts have accumulated beyond any present or obvious future means of payment, at least under the actual administration of their affairs: that this condition of the East-India Company has begun to affect the finking fund itself, on which the public credit of the kingdom refts, a million and upwards being due to the customs, which that House of Commons, whose intentions towards the Company have been so grossly milrepresented, were indulgent enough to respite. And thus, instead of conficating their property, the Company received. without interest, (which in such a case had been before charged) the use of a large sum of the public money. The revenues are under the peculiar care of this House, not only as the revenues originate from us, but as, on every failure of the funds fet apart for support of the national credit, or to provide for the national strength and fafety, the task of supplying every deficiency falls upon His Ma jesty's faithful Commons, this House must, in effect, tax the the people. The House therefore, at every moment, incurs the hazard of becoming obnoxious to its constituents.

The enemies of the late House of Commons resolved, if possible, to bring on that event. They therefore endeavoured to misrepresent the provident means adopted by the House of Commons for keeping off this invidious necessity, as an attack on the rights of the East-India Company; for they well knew, that on the one hand, if, for want of proper regulation and relief, the Company should become insolvent, or even ftop payment, the national credit and commerce would fustain a heavy blow; and that calamity would be justly imputed to Parliament, which after such long enquiries, and such frequent admonitions from His Majesty, had neglected so essential and so urgent an article of their duty: on the other hand, they knew, that, wholly corrupted as the Company is, nothing effectual could be done to preserve that interest from ruin, without taking for a time the national objects of their trust out of their hands; and then a cry would be industriously raised against the House of Commons, as depriving British subjects of their legal privileges. The restraint being plain and fimple, must be easily understood by those who would be brought with great difficulty, to comprehend the intricate detail of matters of fact, which rendered this suspension of the administration of India absolutely necessary on motives of justice, of policy, of public honour and public safety.

The House of Commons had not been able to device a method, by which the redress of grievances could be effected through the authors of those grievances; nor could they imagine how corruptions could be purified by the corruptors and the corrupted; nor do we now conceive, how any reformation can proceed from the known abettors and supporters of the persons who have been guilty of the misdemeanors Parliament has reprobated, and who for their own ill purposes have given countenance to a salse and delusive state of the Company's affairs, sabricated to mislead Parliament, and to

impose upon the nation.

Your Commons feel, with a just resentment, the inadequate estimate which your Ministers have formed of the importance of this great concern. They call on us to act upon the principles of those who have not inquired into the subject, and to condemn those who, with the most laudable diligence, have examined and scrutinized every part of it. The deliberations of Parliament have been broken: the season of the year is unfavourable; many of us are new members, who must be wholly unacquainted with the subject, which lies remote from the ordinary course of general information.

We are cautioned against an infringement of the Constitution; and it is impossible to know, what the secret advisers of the Crown, who have driven out the late Ministers for their conduct in Parliament, and have dissolved the late Parliament for a pretended attack upon prerogative, will consider as such an infringement. We are not surnished with a rule, the observance of which can make us safe from the resentment of the Crown, even by the implicit obedience to the dictates of the Ministers who have advised that Speech: we know not how soon those Ministers may be disavowed; and how soon the members of this House, for our very agreement with them, may be considered as objects of His Majesty's displeasure. Until by His Majesty's goodness and wisdom the late example is compleately done away, we are not free.

We are well aware, in providing for the affairs of the East, what an adult strength of abuse, and of wealth and instruce growing out of that abuse, His Majesty's Commons had in the last Parliament, and we still have, to struggle with. We are sensible that the influence of that wealth, in a much larger degree and measure than at any former period, may have penetrated into the very quarter from whence alone any

real reformation can be expected.

If, therefore, in the arduous affairs recommended to us. our proceedings should be ill adapted, feeble and ineffectual; if no delinquency should be prevented, and no delinquent should be called to account; if every person should be carefied, promoted, and raifed in power, in proportion to the enormity of his offences; if no relief should be given to any of the natives unjustly dispossessed of their rights, jurisdictions, and properties; if no cruel and unjust exactions shall be forborne: if the fource of no peculation or oppressive gain should be cut off; if, by the omission of the opportunities that were in our hands, our Indian empire should fall into ruin irretrievable and, in its fall, crush the credit and overwhelm the revenues of this country, we stand acquitted to our honour. and to our conscience, who have reluctantly seen the weightiest interests of our country, at times the most critical to its dignity and fafety, rendered the sport of the inconsiderate and unmeasured ambition of individuals, and by that means the wisdom of His Majesty's Government degraded in the public estimation, and the policy and character of this renowned nation rendered contemptible in the eyes of all Europe.

It passed in the negative.

June

June 16.

The question for the second reading of the bill for the relief of insolvent debtors was put.

Mr. Powlett opposed it: he said that nothing could be Mr. Powmore injurious to trade and to public credit than acts of lett. infolvency; and therefore they ought, in his opinion, to be generally discountenanced; he was ready to admit that the House had power to pass a bill for the relief of insolvent debtors, but he was as ready to question their right to do In laying taxes upon the People, the members taxed themselves in common with the rest of the nation; but in releafing the debts of other persons, they were giving away what did not belong to themselves. In ancient times, so much attention had been paid to the demand of creditors, that the greatest families in the kingdom had exposed their descendants to the possibility of being reduced to beggary by enacting those laws, by which estates were made alienable. If then our ancestors, said he, were so self-denying for the sake of justice, he hoped the present Parliament would not be less just; he trusted, therefore, that a mistaken humanity would not induce gentlemen to attempt to be generous at the expence of other people.

The question was put, and carried without any farther observation; and the bill was accordingly read a second

time.

Mr. Francis informed the House, that he thought it ne- Mr. Franceffary to move for certain papers, that would throw some cis. light on the account lately laid before the House by the Directors of the East-India Company; according to that account he took it for granted, that a definitive treaty of peace with Tippoo Saib, and all the native powers of India, would have taken place by the end of November last. He was forry now to inform the House, that on the 25th of December last no definitive treaty was figned; and what was worfe, there was not, at that period, any very flattering prospect that such an event would speedily take That the House might be able to judge of the true state of the Company's affairs with respect to peace on the continent of India, he moved that extracts from the last dispatches from the Governor General and Council of Fort William, relative to a definitive treaty of peace, be laid before the House.

Major

Digitized by GOOGLE

Maj. Scott.

Major Scott said he rose with pleasure to second the motion, as he should be glad the papers alluded to were before the House. But he did not think that the dispatches from Bengal only, would enable the House to form a proper judgement; he wished the dispatches from Bombay were laid upon the table; he wished also that the dispatches from Madras were communicated to the House: from these. gentlemen would learn that in some places peace was completely established; and that in others every necessary step was taking to restore the tranquillity of the countries under our dominion; for Lord Macartney had written home word that the troops of Tippoo Saib were evacuating the Carnatic with all possible expedition.

Mr.Chan-

Mr. Chancellor Pitt said, he did not at that moment celler Pitt. know of any particular objection to the production of the papers moved for by the honourable gentleman; but it Aruck him that possibly they might contain circumstances, that would render it highly improper to call for, or produce Gentlemen must be aware that there was a possibility that, in fo delicate and nice a subject as a negociation for a general definitive treaty, there might be connected with it some particulars that it might be rash and imprudent to publish to the world: he therefore requested the honourable member would not press his motion for the present: a delay of a few days could not be productive of any bad consequence; and during that time, he would examine the dispatches; and if he found nothing in them of too delicate a nature to be made public, he would give no opposition to the motion when it should be made again. There was another reason for postponing the motion for a little time: the yery account on which the dispatches called for were to throw light, had been referred to a Committee, which was vested with power to send for any papers whatsoever that should be deemed necessary to the investigation of the account; possibly this Committee might have examined those very dispatches called for by the honourable member, and put the substance of them into the body of the Report. which he understood would be ready in a day or two to be laid before the House.

Mr. Francis.

Mr. Francis did not imagine there could be any circumstance connected with the negociation for a definitive treaty, that would render the production of the dispatches in any wife dangerous to the Public; but if there should be any fuch circumstance, he did not desire that they should be laid

laid before the House. He recommended it to gentlemen to turn their thoughts very seriously to the investigation of the Company's accounts; for connected as the interest of the Company was with that of the Public, the finances of the one ought to be examined into with as much care as those of the other; and he was forry to have it in his power to fay, that the account laid before the House by the Court of Directors, afforded matter for the most serious enquiry. estimate for the military establishment of the present year in India, amounted to 56 lacks of rupees more than the estimate for the year 1777. At the conclusion of the last war, there were in the treasury of Bengal, 120 lacks of rupees: this made a difference in the finances of the Company of almost 2,000,000l. most of which was a burden that will continue annually in future; and this he maintained to be fuch an increase of expence, as could not be supported even by the credit of England, much less by the resources of the East-India Company. From these observations, gentlemen, he hoped, would see the necessity of making a most serious enquiry into the state of the Company's finances.

Major Scott observed, that if the remarks of the honoura- Mai. Scott. ble member were suffered to pass unanswered or unexplained, they might produce very disagreeable consequences to the owners of East-India stock, which, through those remarks, might possibly fall five per cent. he begged leave therefore, to fay a word in explanation. The estimate for the military establishment in India for the present year, had been made out before it was known at Calcutta that peace had been concluded between the belligerent powers in Europe: and therefore it must be considered a war and not a peace estimate; but it did not follow from that, that a war establishment would be kept up; on the contrary, he could inform the House, that immediately on the arrival of the news from Europe that peace was concluded, a reduction of one-fourth had taken place in every battalion of sepoys; so that each battalion, which, during the war, confifted of 1000 sepoys, rank and file, was immediately reduced to 750; and he understood that as soon as the different detachments should have returned to Bengal, it was intended that a fecond reduction should take place, so that the Company would not incur the expence of 56 lacks of rupees mentioned by the honourable member.

Vol. XV.

Aa.

Mr.

Mr. Chan-

Mr. Chancellor Pitt said, that from what had dropped cellor Pitt. from the honourable member who spoke last, it appeared very clearly that the mere production of the dispatches. unqualified by any explanation, might be attended with very disagreeable consequences: he therefore again begged that the honourable member would not press his motion on this day; he had heard enough already to convince him that the motion was improper just at the present moment; he, however affured the honourable member, that if he would confent to withdraw it for the present, he would support it on a future day, if in the first place it should appear necessary, after the Report to which he had already alluded, should have been laid upon the table; and if in the next place he himfelf should, on the minute perusal of the dispatches, be of opinion, that they might be produced with fafety to the public.

Mr. Henry Dundas.

Mr. Henry Dundas joined in the request that the honourable member would withdraw his motion for the present: he affured him he did not wish for a long delay; two or three days was all that he looked for, in which time the Committee, of which he had the honour to be Chairman, would lay before the House a report of their proceedings and inquiry relative to the state presented to the House of the Company's affairs, by the Court of Directors; and if after the honourable gentleman should have perused that report, he should still be of opinion that the late dispatches should be laid upon the table, he would give no opposition to the motion for producing them.

Mr. Burke said he was very far from being satisfied with the argument used by the right honourable gentleman (Mr. Pitt) to perfuade the honourable member to withdraw his motion. There certainly was a possibility that there might be some passages in the dispatches not fit for the public eye; but if on a bare possibility of dangerous consequences, information should be withheld from the House on a subject of the most serious and important nature, there was absolutely an end of all enquiry; for certainly there were few papers that could be called for, from which it was not possible that some mischief might arise. He conjured gentlemen, by all that they owed to their constituents, and to the Public in general, to turn their thoughts most ferioutly to the state of the finances of India, and to call for every document that might tend to enable them to form a just judgement on the subject. He implored them to recolle&

iest the depressed state of the country; and not to forget that next year at arthest, they would be obliged to lay burdens on their constituents to pay 5,000,000s. to preserve the East-India Company from bankruptcy! He hoped that when so important a business was to be done, they would not proceed without having before them every paper that might serve to throw light upon so consequential and momentous a question.

Mr. Dempster was of opinion, that the honourable mem- Mr. Demaber would do well to withdraw the motion; he was a mem- fter. ber of the Committee whose Report the honourable member had been requested to wait for; and he believed he might venture to go fo far as to affure him, that when he should have perused that Report, the honourable member would no longer think it necessary to press his motion. He said, that now he was up, he trufted the House would forgive him for apprifing them, that he meant on Wednesday next to submit a motion to their better judgements. His object was the finance of the kingdom; this had long struck him as susceptible of great and universal improvement. would, to be fure, be very imperfectly exhibited by him; but, nevertheless, the hints he might suggest, and the facts in his possession, he did not doubt, might be turned to account by those whose abilities were more adequate to the talk. The commerce, navigation, and manufactures of the country, were under his contemplation, and especially the fisheries in the north of Scotland, which had been so much neglected, he should earnestly recommend to the attention of Parliament. It was his fincere opinion, that whatever could by any means contribute to lessen the public burden of taxes, under which all ranks of people in this country groaned, and were likely still to groan, was an obie& which well deserved the attention of the Legislature. This was his only motive for meddling with a business of so much consequence; and he begged that gentlemen would turn their attention to the particulars he had specified, that they might meet the question prepared to give it a full and impartial investigation.

Mr. Francis said, his object in making the motion was Mr. Fransmerely to obtain what appeared to him to be necessary in-cis. for mation: if it could be gained in any other way than that which he had proposed, he would be equally satisfied; and as he found it was the sense of the House that he should

Digitized by Google

wait for the Report, he consented to withdraw the motion for the present.

The order of the day for taking into confideration the report from a Committee on the petition from the felt makers, was read, and Mr. Watson moved "That it be referred to a Committee of the whole House on Monday next."

Sir Peter

bir Peter Burrel said he would certainly oppose a measure that attempted to set up a monopoly to the prejudice of the landed interest; and more particularly at a season of the year when the country gentlemen, whose interests would be affected by it, were either now, or shortly would be, at their seats; he therefore moved in amendment, "That the words "Monday next be left out, and that the words this day three "months be inserted in their stead."

Mr. Wats

Mr. Watson observed, that if the amendment should be carried, the bufiness would, of course, be put off to another fession, to the very great injury of the hat manufactory of this country. From the petition of the manufacturers, it appeared that they had actually in employ a great many hands, and that they had foreign orders sufficient to give them constant employment, if the Legislature would confent to put rabbits' hair or wool on the same footing with sheep's wool in this respect, that the former should not be exported unmanufactured, no more than the latter: if the exportation of rabbits' wool was restrained, then they would be able to secure to this country the monopoly of the felt manufactory; for England produced the finest and best rabbits' wool, and in the greatest quantity, of any country in Europe: it was natural, therefore, to secure to England the manufacturing of its own productions: he could tell gentlemen, that the Americans were, at this moment, Araining every nerve to establish manufactures, and particularly that of felts; now if they could get the raw materials from this country, the low price of labour in America would enable them to underfel us in every market, and take out of our hands a very extensive, and very beneficial branch of trade. As to the idea of the land owners of England being injured by the measure called for, it appeared to him to the last degree absurd; for the landed and commercial interests were in truth one and the same; and as one could not fuffer without finking the other, so if one of them prospered, the other must have its share in the prosperity.

Sir Edward Affley.

Sir Edward Aftley was of opinion, that those gentlemen, who had large warrens on their estates, would be greatly injured

jured if the exportation of rabbits' wool were prohibited: in that case, the warreners in Norsolk would be reduced to the necessity of converting their warrens into arable lands.

Lord North faid the question before the House was of a Ld. Northvery serious nature, and ought to be considered with great attention; he therefore could not agree to the original motion, which would bring the business forward with great precipitation, before the proprietors of warrens could have time to consult with one another on the subject. On the other hand, he wished his honourable friend would not infift upon his amendment, because it would prevent the House from going into the consideration of it this session. however necessary the discussion might afterwards appear: he suggested therefore the expedient of putting it off till Monday fortnight.

The Earl of Surry had no objection to the day mentioned The Earl of by the noble Lord, though he had much rather it were an Surrey. earlier day. He faid he did not mean to go into the merits of the business now, he only requested the honourable member would not assume what was called for by the felt makers, as amounting to a monopoly to the prejudice of the landed interest. It certainly was, not a monopoly in any fense but one, and that was in securing to England the exclusive manufacture of her own productions; a monopoly which he should always approve, and which could never be injurious to the landed interest, which must rise and fall with the commercial interest.

Sir John Thorold disapproved of the idea of prohibiting Sir John the exportation of rabbits' wool, which would leave the Thorold. owners of warrens at the mercy of the felt manufacturers; and therefore he would not consent to the wished-for pro-

hibition, unless he should be convinced that there was no other way to procure work and subfishence for the hands

that had been bred to that bufiness.

Lord, Beauchamp wished to set the honourable Baronet Lord Beauright: there was neither a fcarcity of hands, or want of champ-, employment in that manufacture; on the contrary it appeared that there were orders from abroad, to a very great extent for hats, and fufficient to provide constant employment for a very great number of hands. The question therefore was, whether by the exportation of the raw materials, we would confent to put it in the power of foreign countries to take out of our hands that branch of manufacture, which we at present in a great measure monopolised Mr.

A. 1784

Mr. Huffey.

Mr. Hulley laughed at the ridiculous diffinction between landed and commercial interests; to him they appeared but one. An honourable baronet had faid, that the owners of warrens in Norfolk would, if the prohibition should be agreed to, convert their coney grounds into arable lands; so they might, and without any detriment to the Public, as the nation would have in corn what it should lose in rabbits' wool.

Bir Peter Burrel

Sir Peter Burrel consented to withdraw his amendments, on condition that Monday fortnight should be agreed upon to be the day for taking the report into confideration; an earlier day would not do, as there must be time for the owners of warrens in Yorkshire, Lincolnshire, Norfolk, and elsewhere, to consult upon the business, and prepare for opposing the prohibition by counsel, if they should think it necessary to oppose it. The compromise was accepted; and both fides of the House agreeing that Monday fortnight should be the day, the motion was worded accordingly and carried.

The order of the day for going into a parliamentary reform being called for,

Mr. Milne. Mr. Milne addressed himself to Mr. Alderman Sawbridge. and as a friend to the reform, intreated the honourable gentheman to postpone it to another session—He trusted the honourable gentleman would fee the propriety of complying with such a request, and that the reasons for deserring it would be at once obvious to him, to the House, and to the Public. The only inducement which he would urge on the prefent occasion was, that the Minister on that condition would certainly adopt fomething specific and decisive as early as possible next fession.

bridge,

Mr. Sawbridge thought the proposition rather extraordinary. He did not however wish to do any thing which might have the appearance of rashness and precipitancy—He would therefore suspend his proceedings at least till he heard from the Minister's own mouth what his intentions were: he should then put the House out of doubt about the mode. he thought, all things confidered, the most eligible for him to adopt.

Mr. Chancellor Pitt.

Mr. Chancellor Pitt immediately rose and said, that his fituation was rather delicate. The pressure of business, which in the present circumstances it was natural for him to feel, did not leave his mind at liberty to enter on the disquisition and arrangement of a fubject fo peculiarly complicated and extensive as an equal representation of the People. He trufted, however, it was a measure which he should one day see realifed. And it was no great matter to him how it was carried, provided it did but succeed. In this no man within or without doors would more fincerely rejoice than he should do. The House and the People, he had no doubt, would give him credit for his fincerity, when he declared that he had it very much at heart. And he pledged himself in the strongest language to bring it forward the very first opportunity the next fession. It was greatly, in his opinion, out of season just at this juncture. He could have no objection, should the honourable gentelman think otherwife, and perfift in proposing it now; but he was furely entitled to the fame right of judging for himself; and he could assure the House, nothing but a sufpleion of risquing the question, which he did presume might be urged with a greater probability of success at another time, should have prevented his bringing it forward now. A regard, however, to inclination, to principle, to confidence, and to duty, would not suffer him to let slip any opportunity in which he could foresee a probability of carrying the measure. These were the only things which operated on his mind against the expediency of attempting on the present, what was much more likely to fucceed on some future occasion.

Mr. Powney faid, that he was not very fond of speaking, Mr.Powne and for that reason generally left it to those who liked it better. But what was the meaning of thus, day after day, putting off the motion of the honourable gentleman? For his part, he had frequently come for no other purpose but that he might have the pleasure of giving it an hearty negative. He knew not how he might feel on the present occasion, but wished the proposition to be produced, and he should then hear much more substantial reasons than he had yet done, if

he did not regard it as he always had.

Mr. Wilberforce trusted his attachment to the object of the Mr. Wilben. gentleman's motion would not be doubted. But friend beforce. as he was to this great and desirable reformation, he could not see any reasonable objection to putting it off till the period in which the Minister stood pledged to bring it on. The honourable gentleman he hoped would consider the matter maturely, and avoid risquing a question of such importance by a permature procedure.

Mr. Fox confidered the whole of this manceuvring as Mr. Fox. equally curious and unaccountable. A gentleman proposes, says he, moving the House on a question in which the People of this country seem deeply, seriously, and universally interested. He very candidly and fairly, however, as being truly in earnest about its success, wishes the Minister to take

Digitized by Google

it

it out of his hands, the Minister owns the handsome offer made him of doing what must be ultimately acceptable to a great and respectable majority of his countrymen; but he hesitates and procrastinates on various pretexts, as it would feem, to fave time. His honourable friend; however, fleady, to his purpose as he was, from a generous inclination to accommodate the matter to the convenience of all fides of the House, is prevailed on to give way at least from one day to another; and when that day comes, a very ferious, but he would fay an aukward, proposal is made him, that he would defer the business for the present session. All the while, and notwithstanding much serious importunity to delay the bufinels, not so much as the shadow of a reason is urged why his honourable friend should not proceed: now it was affigned with much folemnity that it was not a proper time. astonishing that not one of the gentlemen who had made this remark had attempted to justfy it by any reasoning whatever. For his part he could conceive no reason, though some had assumed it as not less incontestible than an axiom in geometry. But so far was he from feeling its force on his mind, that he was fatisfied no time in the world was fo fit. The Parliament was a new one, and by no means hackneyed in the habits of treating constitutional questions with levity or neglect. Gentlemen were just from the country, and in some manner inspired with the sentiments of their constituents. Their professions in the prospect of securing their seats were too recent They would naturally be proud to shew to be forgotten. the People of England how much they had their wishes at heart, with what prompitude they were ready to act in their fervice, and how well they were qualified to manage their Where then was the impropriety? What was the hindrance? He owned much public business there was still on the Minister's hands. But what business could be of more confequence than that of a reform in the radical con-Ritution of this House.

This he thought he might urge with the greater earnestness, as it was not improbable but the House might take up more time in debating the adjournment than in determining the question. It was, in his mind, a very serious and critical matter to trisse, as had hitherto been too much the case, with the seelings and wishes of the great body of the people. He thought the present question would operate on them as a test by which they would see who were their real, in contradistinction to apparent friends. And he trusted those who had it in their power would gladly embrace the opportunity of sulfilling

filling engagements for which they flood to deeply and repeatelly pledged to the Public — But now a new pretence was brought forward, and though no reason could be assigned for the unseasonableness of the motion, it would be seasonable. next session, because the Minister would then undertake it. But why was not this resolution avowed from the beginning? Why was it never avowed till now? And why on this particular occasion? Will the Minister, said he, be more able to command a majority than he appears to be at present? Will his friends be more numerous or more confident? It did not appear to, him they ever could - He trusted the public at large would fee through this shuffling procrastinating spirit. He did not pretend to doubt the honourable gentleman's fincerity in the cause, but he did suspect that he had reasons for the present shyness, which however nameless, had their force; and he, for his own part, greatly doubted, whether any reform of this, or any other description, could reasonably be expected from a Ministry who stood on ground so hostile to the Constitution, and who had yet given no very striking proofs of their predilection for any thing connected with the representation of the People. He would, however, affure the right honourable gentleman, the spirit was now gone forth, which all his influence and connections would, find very difficult to subdue, perhaps not a little dangerous to oppose. The People of England, he afferted, were not eafily fo used; but the instant they became unanimous and in earnest, it was in vain to strive against them - He trusted now was the time to realife an idea they had so long cherished, and to which they directed their attention and expectations. To be fure, his honourable friend would be guided by his own judgement; but he was mistaken if such a treatment as this would not stimulate him to come forward, and without farther negociation, do his duty, and acquit. himself of his promise to this House, to his constituents, and to the Public.

Mr. Martin could have wished the motion had been de-Mr. Martin ferred until the Minister had time to undertake it — This, however he only wished, on condition that a motion much more adequate to the object than what he had last proposed, should be undertaken. He did not expect or desire the right honourable gentleman to be more explicit on this head, and therefore wished the honourable gentleman (Mr. Sawbridge) would go on with his design.

Vol. XV.

ВЬ

Mr.

Mr. Chan-

Mr. Chancellor Pitt role again to remind the House, that cellor rint. he had neither faid the motion that had been opened by the worthy Alderman, would be the motion he should propose or not; and that, because he was nor prepared to say in what shape it would appear to him most advantageous, to bring it forward, defired, therefore, to be understood as not pledged to more than that he would, in some shape or other, bring the subject under discussion early in the next feffion.

bridge.

The cry was now very loud for Mr. Sawbridge, who accordingly rose and observed, that notwithstanding all he had heard, he was convinced in his own mind, that it was his duty to state to the House a motion he had repeatedly announced; and it was one, he faid, which did not originate in this House, but with the People at large - They felt the necessity of such a reform, and they demanded it as their right - It was the nature of every human structure to stand in need of frequent repair, otherwise time and accident infallibly destroyed it. He therefore denied that the question before the House went to a reform, as a renovation only was. its object; the radical principles were found, and required only to be retouched. He then went at large into the state. of the representation in various parts of the country, and asked whether such a system as that which at present prevailed, could be called a fair, or an equitable, or a fatisfactory one? His object would confequently be, to have all the. light which could be thrown on the subject, collected under the inspection and cognizance of the House, that they might fee whether any thing farther ought to be done or not, and then, what the specific remedy which was necessary ought to be - This was his present and professed aim, and he trusted the House would go along with him in realizing it. out, therefore, troubling them with any long reasoning on a subject so well known, and generally understood, he should have done, with simply moving, "That a Committee be "appointed to enquire into the present state of the repre-" fentation of the Commons of Great Britain in Parlia-", ment."

Mr. Alder-

Mr. Alderman Newnham rose with much satisfaction to man News- second a motion which he sincerely wished might succeed. The People claimed it as their right, and he asked who had the right to deny it to them? The time, he trusted, was come, when that partial representation, which he had always confidered as the most glaring defect in the British Confiitution, would be removed, and liberty would receive an additional

ditional security from such an extension to the freedom of

The honourable Mr. Gresvenor owned very freely, that he The honorose to give the motion his negative, and this he would do venor. on two accounts - He did not like to fee it affumed, that the present state of representation was imperfect; this was not a fair mode, in his opinion, of viewing the subject; it was prejudging the cafe without a trial; this he thought the dignity and honour of the House ought to have prevented-He would, however, give no opinion about it; when any specific motion was presented to the House, then would be the period, in his opinion, for discussing the equality of representation - He was averse in any judicatory, to take any thing for granted, while it was fo fully capable of proof -But here a Committee was appointed to enquire into the flate of that part of the Constitution which was as it always had been - Nothing human was perfect - This, however, with all its imperfections, had been admired and extolled by all the world, and yet the present motion was to fet on foot an enquiry which supposed it full of defects. His other reason against admitting the motion was, that it was not in time, that it would have come with a better grace in the beginning of another fession, when gentlemen had more time to spare, and were less impatient to retire from the fatigue of public business.

Sir Richard Hill faid, with respect and deference to that Sir Richard honourable House, he afferted, that it was an observation of Hill. a wifer man than any within those walls (he excepted not the right honourable personage who so ably, so worthily, so impartially, and so unanimously filled the chair) that to every thing there is a feafon, and a time to every purpose under heaven. Perhaps it might not be amiss, that he should inform some noble Lords and gentlemen, in that august affembly, that the words were the words of Solomon, and that they were taken from an obsolete book, commonly called It was, however, much to be feared, that the honourable gentleman, who made the motion, notwithstanding all he had been faying, had not paid due regard to those words of the wifest of men, else he would not have chosen the present time, to manifest his zeal for our reformation; a time when so much public national business called for their immediate attention — But the ruling passion would ever be uppermost, and when a man was tired of every thing else, he could mount his own hobby horse with alacrity. Sir B b 2

Digitized by Google

Richard declared himself a cordial friend to a parliamentary reform, he meant so far as related to a more equal representation — He voted for it, he had spoken, he added, according to his poor ability in favour of it, and he hoped to give it his support, whenever he saw it brought forward at a proper time and in a proper manner - But he folemnly declared, that he thought the honourable gentleman's injudicious and ill-timed ardour would greatly hurt the cause he meant to defend, infomuch, that if the House came to a division that night, he doubted whether the honourable gentleman's hobby would even carry double, and whenever he (Sir Richard) acted the part of a Don Quixote, he should be fadly disappointed indeed, if he could not get one poor Sancho Pancho, to mount behind him on his Rosinante. He had been considering what could be the honourable gentleman's reasons for bringing on his motion at that time, and the three following had been suggested to him:-

First, the bonourable gentleman might think it would give him a little more importance, and indeed when he reflected on the importance of the thing itself, and the many difficulties attending the execution of it, the honourable gentleman could not think he depreciated him, when he affirmed, that all the weight and confequence, all the judgement and abilities of that House collectively, were not more than equal to an undertaking of fuch prodigious magnitude - Secondly, the honourable gentleman might imagine it would raise his own popularity, and be the means of wiping away from the minds of his conflituents, what they might think some little faux pas in his late conduct. But, Sir Richard said, he was far from thinking the honourable gentleman would be able to gain their favour by this device, or at all raise his popularity among a respectable body of fensible, judicious, opulent citizens, from whom he was fure, the honourable gentleman had received no instruction to begin his parliamentary career, with an attempt to stop parliamentary business - Thirdly, the honourable gentleman might think, (he did not fay he did fo think, but there were abundance of cogitations in the human mind) that if his own popularity would be increased by bringing on his motion, that of the Minister would be leffened by rejecting or postponing it - Notwithstanding the honourable gentleman's great politeness and profound humility, in offering the right honourable Chancellor of the Exchequer the preference in the business, he must say, that the the conduct of the Minister had been so uniformly confistent in favour of a parliamentary reform, that all attempts to injure him on that score must appear poor and futile indeed; and he was perfuaded, that if the Minister were now to begin on a business so arduous in itself, and which must necessarily be attended with so many obstructions, that instead of making himself more popular, he would justly rouse the popular indignation against him. Would it not be faid on all fides, What is to become of loan and taxes? What of public credit? What of commerce? And after all, what of India? Instead of now disputing by whom parliamentary business ought to be done, why did not they proceed to the immediate doing of parliamentary business? Such would be the language both within and without doors were the Minister, at this busy crisis, to bring on any projects for a reform in Parliament; and he was fure the honourable gentleman himself was of opinion, there were many things which might be very fit and proper to be done at one time, which at another he would think extremely inexpedient and mal a-propos. To particularize only one instance in familiar life - He had heard that the honourable gentleman was remarkably fond of whist, and that he was so excellent a player that he could correct even Hoyle himself; yet if a few friends were to come to the honourable gentleman's house in the middle of the night. knock up all his family, awaken him out of a found fleep, and infift upon his immediately getting up and playing a rubber at his favourite game, might he not well answer, "My friends, what are you about? Are you out of your fenses? Whist I love, and will play as many rubbers " as you please to-morrow evening; but sleep is now the " thing that I want, and that my constitution wants also"-Besides, the honourable gentleman might perhaps add, "You have disturbed me in a most pleasing dream, wherein " methought I was in the House of Commons, and me-" thought we were divided upon my motion for a parlia-" mentary reform, and methought I had a majority of " more than two hundred." The honourable gentleman might therefore address his friends in the words of Horace:

Post me occidistis amici,
Cui sic exterta voluptas,
Et demptus per vim, mentis gratissimus creor.

Or nearly in the words of Pope:

"Assep, a patriot of distinguish'd note, "'Awake, reduc'd unto a single vote.

Thus, Sir Richard declared, he had endeavoured to fathom the honourable gentleman's three reasons for bringing on his motion at that time - They might indeed go deeper, but he confessed his line would reach no farther; the honourable gentleman might, if he pleased, distinguish them into good, better, best; but he was obliged malgré lui to draw them in a different light, and therefore must change the honourable gentleman's bonus, melior, optimus, unto malus, pejor, peffimus. But though he professed his dislike of the motion, as being exceedingly ill timed, he must again declare, that he heartily approved of the thing moved for, and hoped at some proper opportunity to have the honour of laying before the House some new thoughts on the subject, which he had lately received from a very fenfible gentleman who was one of his constituents, but at present he should not mention them. neither should he say any thing concerning certain rotten boroughs, out of which fo many half-starved rats had crept. and at times had well-high undermined the foundations of that House - They might, if they pleased, call themselves the representative body of the People, but as he had the honour of observing to the House upon a former occasion, that House exhibited much such a representation of the People. as the Speaker himfelf did of that House, when he was starying in St. Margaret's church on the 30th of January, and some of his attendants, perhaps, counting the moments of the preacher's fermon. But there was an old adage, that friends in diftress make forrow the less; and the Speaker had at least the comfort of knowing, that a still more dignified personage than himself, the great and learned representative of the House of Peers, was at the same moment undergoing the same annual frigid discipline, not one hundred miles distant from him. Sir Richard begged pardon for this digreffion, delaring he was perfectly orderly, for he was speaking of equal representation, but the subject being rather too delicate to dwell upon, he should immediately come back to his point - It was notorious, that many worthy members of that House had no constituents, perhaps only one constituent, being themselves both the constituent and the constituee: hence those offensive expressions to the ear of independence. "Lord fuch a one's borough." "Mr. fuch a one's borough." Well, then, might the ingenious member for Kirkwall, alias the

the hopeful member for Westminster (for he presumed he was full of hopes of success) find out that the voice of the people, both was and was not to be heard in that House. Here what was wrong, and what was wanted, must appear to every man; but how to rectify the wrong, and supply the want, had puzzled, and would puzzle much wifer heads than the honourable gentleman's and his. Those gentlemen who made no scruple of voting away rights and charters, might think there was an easy method of getting rid of as many rotten boroughs as they pleased, but as some such gentlemen represented some fuch boroughs, he was inclined to think, that when it came to themselves, they would alter their sentiments and perceive a flagrant injustice in their own case, which had no existence where the confiscation of the property of a great respectable company was to be the effect of their aye or no. Men of witmight ridicule the idea of parliamentary reform, by faying, " that a tinker had rather mend a kettle than the constitution, " and a labourer rather make a faggot than make laws," &c. And where was the thing, however excellent, which had not been ridiculed? But as to arguments, he must hear better than any he had yet heard to convince him that a parliamentary reform was not much wanted, and much to be wished. -As to the stale cry of innovation! innovation! it was so very absurd, that it was fit only for the lips of his Holine/s or old Mother Goofe. If that plea were to be admitted, good night to every thing but ignorance and barbarism. According to this plea, no one thing that was wrong ought ever to be amended, nay, the longer abuse and error had existed, the longer they ought to exist; and the State or nation which had groaned for centuries under any particular grievance, ought to bear the burden of that grievance as long as the world stood, even injustice might be sanctified by time, and oppression by being oppressed. If this doctrine had always been adhered to, where would have been many of their civil liberties at that day, when they withstood not the imaginary but the real arbitrary ideas of prerogative. which some contended for as the very basis of the Constitution, and which would have made the English diadem as absolute as that of France? Above all, where would have been that religion which came down to them streaming in the blood of protestants, martyrs, and confessors? That seligion, which, bowever despised and ridiculed it might be in this degenerate day of profligacy and diffination, instead of being ashamed of, they ought to glory in, and to make the rule of all their conduct, both in public and in private

life. Overwhelmed by arbitrary power, and funk into the dregs of popish superstition, they should now have nothing to confole themselves with, but the reflection of having steered clear of every attempt towards any reformation, either in church or state, for fear of the danger of innova-According to that doctrine, the man, who had an unhealthy state of body, ought never to be cured; or if he fent for the physician, the wife doctor should shake his head, and fay, "To be fure you are but in a bad way, but I shall not attempt to administer any relief to you. 66 have been fick fo long, that fick by all means you ought " continue." Now he supposed, nobody would affirm, that there were no diseases in the body politic, as well as in the body natural; and he supposed also that nobody would deny that the great body politic of this kingdom had been for several years past (indeed, ever fince the administration of one, whose name could never be mentioned but with veneration in that House, he need not say he meant the great Earl of Chatham) in an atropby, and during the time that the noble Lord in the blue ribband held the reins, in a galloping confumption. They had tried bleeding long enough, and bleeding with leeches too: he had no objection to try the alterative in question, when the body was in a fit state to receive it; but he was fure, for the reasons already given, that at present it was not, and nobody but an empiric or quack, who was totally unikilled in the knowledge of political chemicals and galenicals, would fay that it was. If he had talked too long in the medical stile, he humbly hoped the House would pardon him, and he was fure he should meet with the indulgence of a learned Lord, he meant the Lord Rector of Glasgow (Mr. Burke). Though, alas! all his prescriptions the other night had no other effect than to procure the easy dismission of his patient, without a struggle, or without a groan, and he was sure it was to the general fatisfaction of that honourable affembly, that the poor creature went off so easily and so peaceably. He knew it would be contrary to order for him now to fay any thing of that learned Lord's speech, but as the learned Lord was pleased to affert, that when a sate right honourable Secretary brought in his India bill, he wished for neither power nor emolument to himself or friends, but that he acted from nobler motives, and despised all such trisses; he would just take the liberty of observing en passant, that there was an old fable they were all well acquainted with, which fays, "That

" when Reynard had leaped very high at the grapes and " could not reach them, he ineaked off and faid they were " four."

Now, Sir Richard asked, after all he had said, on which fide must he give his voice? He certainly should not vote against a measure which he heartily wished at a proper time to fee adopted: but as he thought this was by no means fuch a time, he should do what he said the Speaker would be very glad to join him in, (but, alas! dignity of office debarred him of the privilege) he should leave the honourable combatants to fight it out among themselves, and should go home and try to get a good night's rest. The Speaker had the repeated pleasure and benefit of hearing every speech which had been delivered on the subject for some years past, and therefore must have made up his mind on the point. But whether he was for a reform in the representation or duration of Parliament, or whether, with the noble spouse of the late right honourable Secretary, he thought both had better be deferred ad Calandas Græcas, he would answer for him, that he thought a reform in the duration of their debates, and, he would fay, in the duration of their motions too, would be highly praise-worthy. And if to these he added a reform in their temper whilst debating, he believed he should be joined by the whole House nem. con. As therefore he began with one saying of the wise man, he should end with another, which was, " An angry man stirreth up "ftrife, and a furious man aboundeth in transgression. " But a foft answer turneth away wrath."

The Earl of Surrey declared he should have opposed the The Earl of motion, had it been for a Committee of the whole House, Surrey, as that would have unavoidably taken up the time of the House, and retarded public business, but as the motion went merely to the appointment of a select committee, he

would give it his vote. Mr. M. A. Taylor took notice of what Mr. Fox had faid Mr. M. A. of the complection of the House, and the probability of Mr. Taylor. Pitt's being able to carry the motion, if he was fincere in its favour. Mr. Taylor faid, he meant to support the right honourable gentleman on all great national points, from the -confidence he had in his integrity, and the high estimation in which he held his abilities, but he defired to be understood as a man not determined to vote with him in all cases, and under all circumstances, whether right or wrong, whether his judgement accorded with the measures under considera-Vol. XV.

Digitized by GOOGLE

tion or not. As far as his experience went, he declared, the People were not all bent on a parliamentary reform. He had flood candidate for two different places, and he heard nothing of a parliamentary reform in either. He fat for one borough of course, and his constituents had not instructed him to support any measure directed to such an object as the present motion. He said, he was not prepared to give a vote upon the present question; having sat so short a time in Parliament, and having no thoughts of a seat in that House till the dissolution of the last Parliament, he had not formed a judgement upon the subject, and therefore wanted more time to make up his mind before he could decide what was a fit measure for the House to adopt.

Lord North

Lord North began with declaring, that though he certainly wished the motion to be put off, he should betray his sentiments, if he said he wished it to come on another day; he wished it never to come on, because he was convinced it never could be adopted, without a deep wound to the Constitution. The worthy Alderman who made the motion, had stated no ground to prove the necessity of any alteration in the state of the representation in Parliament, and without very strong ground for such necessity was shewn, he should ever contend that it was unwife, rash, and improper to make fo dangerous an experiment. From this remark his Lordship proceeded to enquire what could be alledged as the proofs of any necessity for what was called, but, in his mind, very falsely called, a parliamentary reform. Was it because the Crown was suspected to have had too much influence in the last Parliament that it had been dissolved, and that it was necessary so early in the present to set about an alteration in the Constitution? Was any gentleman afraid that the Crown would have too much influence in this Parliament? What possible reason could be given to shew that an alteration most seriously affecting that Constitution under which the country had derived fo many bleffings, for fo many years, was necessary? Did freedom depend upon every individual subject being represented in that House? Certainly not; for that House, constituted as it was, represented the whole Freedom depended on a very different circumstance. He was free, because he lived in a country governed by equal laws. Where the highest and the lowest were governed by the same laws, where there was no distinction of persons, there freedom might be said to exist as purely and as perfectly as in the nature of things it could exist. But it was faid Helstone sent members, Old Sarum sends members, and

yet Manchester sends no members, nor Halifax, nor Leeds, nor Birmingham. What then? Did Manchester desire to fend members? Did Leeds or Birmingham defire it? Would the fending members be of any advantage to their towns? Did any gentleman imagine, that a petition from Manchester, or Halifax, or Leeds, or Birmingham, would be less attended to in that House, than a petition from Helstone, which did fend members, or from Old Sarum — though indeed Old Sarum could not now petition the House of Commons, because Old Sarum was in the House of Lords. But experience proved that petitions from Manchester, from Halifax, from Leeds, and from Birmingham, always received as much attention as petitions from boroughs that were represented, and undoubtedly the same attention petitions from Manchester, Halifax, Leeds, and Birmingham, always would receive, whether those towns were represented or not. What proof had the House, that a reform in Parliament, as it was called, would afford satisfaction to the People? When the subject was under discussion some few years since, he recollected an honourable gentleman defired that the petition from Birmingham might be read, but there was no fuch petition on the table. In like manner he might now defire the clerk to read the petitions from Manchester, Halifax, and Leeds. What authentic information then had that House that the People wished for any alteration? He knew of none; and even if they had expressly. petitioned for an alteration, and that in fuch a way as to make it clear that it was their decided opinion, he should have maintained that no alteration ought to have been made, because he held it to be indubitable, that when the People wished for an improper thing, it was the duty of that House, from a sense of what it owed to its own honour, and to the general welfare of the country, to refuse complying with improper wishes, and improper requisitions. And here he would not scruple to fay, that those gentlemen who held, that the instructions of constituents ought, on all occasions, to be complied with, did not know the constitution of their country. To surrender their own judgements; to abandon their own opinions, and to act as their constituents thought proper to instruct them, right or wrong, was to act unconstitutionally. Let them recollect who and what they were. They were not sent there, like the States General, to represent a particular province or district, and to take care of the particular interests of that particular province; they were fent there as trustees, to act for the benefit and advantage of the whole kingdom. He was not speaking as the ambassador from Banbury, come to meet Cc2

the deputy from Old Sarum, or the plenipotentiary from Helstone. The moment a gentleman took his seat for Banbury, or for Old Sarum, or for Helstone, he was to consider himself as a representative of all England, and as bound to take as much care of the interests of one part of the empire as another. The idea therefore of complying in all cases with the instructions of constituents, was an idea directly repugnant to the Constitution of Parliament, and to the functions and duties of its members. The honourable Baronet who spoke a short time fince took notice of physicians and medical advice. Before a physician's aid was called in, it was necessary that the patient should apparently want such aid. That this was the case in regard to Parliament remained to be proved. fuch proof had yet been given. But it was faid there were fuch things as burgage tenures, that this Lord fent in members for one borough, and that Lord for another. It was very true; but in all human institutions there would necessarily and unavoidably be some blemishes. For his part, he regarded all such matters as trifles only, as things soarcely seen or felt, as mere warts upon the conflitution, which did it no harm, nor occasioned any inconvenience. Was then the Constitution to be endangered, in order to get off these little But the worthy Alderman had faid, it excrescences? was not innovation, but renovation, and recurring to first principles, that he proposed. Good God, did gentlemen confider what they faid when they talked of renovation, and coupled with it the idea of a more pure representation in Parliament, with a view to give the People a larger share of power? The People had more legislative power now than ever they had. The argument held by those who were the most zealous advocates for what was called a reform, was, that they wanted to check the influence of the Crown and the influence of the ariffocracy, and to give more influence to the democracy. And yet these very gentlemen talked of renovating the Constitution, and recurring to first principles. Let them recollect what the ancient Constitution was, and what the modern Constitution was at that moment. In ancient times the People had no share at all in the Legislature, it lay wholly with the King and his Barons. Before the Revolution, therefore, there was infinitely less freedom than there was now; and were gentlemen, who made their defire to obtain more freedom for the People the plea for their zeal, anxious to renovate the Constitution, and to take the new fabric of it to pieces, in order to build it up after the old fashion? Let them but reflect a moment on the absurdity of this

this conduct, and they would then fee, it was innovation and not renovation that was intended. They could not declare themselves determined foes to the influence of the Crown and to the influence of the aristocracy, and at the same time mean to go back to periods, when the Crown and the aristocracy had ten times more influence in that House than either had now. His Lordship traced the Constitution from the earliest periods to the present day, and shewed that the Constitution of Germany, the Constitution of Portugal, of France, and of Spain, all had their origin in the same source from which the British Constitution sprung; but that the genius of the country, and an infinite variety of accidents and events, had made it what it now was. He took notice of the various projects that had been suggested by different speculators for a reform of Parliament, and stated some of them to be pregnant with infinite inconvenience, and others to be utterly impracticable. He particularly entered into a discussion of the scheme of adding one hundred Knights of the shire, and also the Duke of Richmond's idea, that every individual person should have a vote. He took notice of the statute of Henry the VII. which confines the electors for members to serve in Parliament to freeholders possessed of freeholds of forty shillings a year rent. He reminded the House of the different value of money at that day and at prefent, and contended, that if elections were bad things for the country now, they would be ten times worse if the number of voters were increased. After having urged that the People did not wish for a reform, that any alterations would be dangerous to the Constitution, that to renovate the Constitution. as it is called, would be to lessen the power and influence of the democracy, and to increase the power and influence of the Crown and the aristocracy, and that all the purposes of perfect freedom to the People and a strong and efficacious Government might be answered under the present Constitution, his Lordship took notice of Mr. Pitt's having said, that if the honourable Alderman did not make his motion that day, he would make a motion upon the same subject early in the next fession. Since the honourable Alderman had made his motion, he faid, the right honourable gentleman was abfolved from his promise, because the condition of it had been violated; he begged leave to assure him, therefore, that he did not stand pledged to make any motion of the kind, and he hoped he would not. Having urged this, he at length took notice of Sir Richard Hill's attack upon him, and faid, he prefumed, when the honourable Baronet talked of

of a galloping confumption, he did not mean to allude to his person, because, undoubtedly, that afforded no symptoms of any fuch disease. After playing a little with this idea, he faid, the honourable Baronet, and many of those connected with Administration, were perpetually holding out to him the idea that he had been the cause of all the calamities of the country by promoting the American war. Sir, fays he, I deny that to be true; I found the American war when I came into Administration; I did not create it, it was the war of the country, and approved of by the People at large. Sir. had Parliament been reformed, they would not have expressed more clearly than the unreformed Parliament did the opinion of their constituents on that subject. But, Sir, I defire once for all, that gentlemen will defist from those unfounded affertions, that I was the author of those calamities. If they are of that opinion, let them come forward with a charge; I am ready to meet it; I call for it; nay, Sir, I demand it as a right. Sir, there can be no reason for withholding it now. If I was protected before, I am not protected now. Sir, the Minister has every thing that can enable him to carry on the profecution against me; he has a House of Commons to accuse, he has a House of Lords to judge; he is master of all the written evidence against me. And, as to parole testimony, those who were my friends, those who were in my secrets, those whom I received into my utmost confidence, from whom I concealed nothing, are now the friends of the right honourable gentleman, Sir; and I dare fay their love of justice and regard for the Public will make them fit and useful witnesses upon such an occasion. Yet, Sir, with all these advantages on the part of the Minister, of accuser, judge, written and parole testimony, I do not shrink from, but court the enquiry: but this I must infist upon, that if the matter is not enquired into, it shall not be argued upon as if proved. His Lordship after this recurred to the topics touched upon in the former part of his speech, and having again declared his express objections to any alteration in the Constitution of Parliament, he faid, that holding fuch fentiments, he could not confiftently move a previous question, though he might consistently vote for a previous question, if any other gentleman chose to move it; if that was not done, he should content himself with giving the motion his negative.

Sir Edward Aftley, Sir Edward Asley said, he was not in the habit of complimenting the noble Lord, but he must say, he had never heard him make a more able speech in his life. Sir Edward,

after this, declared the noble Lord had once dared him to inflitute an enquiry into his conduct. He had not chosen to undertake the office; but he should, nevertheless, still continue to impute all the calamities brought on the country by the American war to the noble Lord. Sir Edward professed himself a friend to the motion.

Mr. Beaufoy spoke to the following effect: A noble Lord, Mr. Beauformerly the Minister of this kingdom, has endeavoured to foy. convince the right honourable Chancellor of the Exchequer, that he is bound no longer by his engagements to the Public to support a parliamentary reform, which, therefore, the noble Lord advises him to relinqush. Sir, the friends of the right honourable Chancellor are under no apprehensions that he will take his Lordship's advice, not only from their being convinced that his Lordship is the last man in the kingdom whose advice he would chuse to take, or whose example he would wish to follow; but from the still stronger reason of their being persectly absurd, that no consideration under heaven would induce him to abandon an object which he believes essential to the happiness of his country.

The arguments of those who, in the course of the debate, have endeavoured to combat the propriety of the motion, seem to be reducible to three specific objections; the first is, that the People do not wish for a reform in Parliament.

The fecond is, that whatever may be the wishes of the People, a reform in Parliament would be highly prejudicial to the interest of the Public; the last is,

That, abstractedly from all other confiderations, this particular mode of effecting a reform in Parliament is dangerous, and the time highly inexpedient.

To each of these objections it is easy to reply; my argu-

ments will be short and few.

In the first place, it is said, that the People do not wish for a reform: in answer to this affertion I can appeal, with considence, to the language of the most popular candidates in all the popular elections; for if we may judge of the wishes of the People by the arguments which those who are candidates for their favour, make use of to conciliate their esteem, we must be convinced that a parliamentary reform is, of all objects, that which the People have most at heart.

The noble Lord has faid, that he believes no House of Commons, more popular than the present, has ever existed in the kingdom: none, for so he must mean, that ever enjoyed

joyed in a more ample degree the good opinion and confi-

dence of the People.

I perfectly agree with him in this belief, and therefore I am confident that a reform in Parliament, which was always a public wish, is now, perhaps for the first time, the public expectation too; for the People are persuaded that, whatever were the fentiments of the late Parliament, you will not blame their endeavours to procure, not that ideal and abfurd equality which the noble Lord ridicules and condemns, but that enlargement of their political freedom which is effential to the security of their civil rights. They wish to place as many guards as possible round those high privileges which they alone, of all the principal nations of Europe, continue to enjoy, but which, they well know, must cease with them also whenever they shall cease to be the constant objects of their care.

They are confident, therefore, that you will not blame their zeal, if following the example of their ancestors, they endeavour to preserve their Constitution, by arresting the progress of abuse, and by endeavouring to obtain such new regulations as the common fense and the common feelings of mankind recommend. To that common fense and to those feelings they appeal, from the affertions of the noble Lord, when he declares, that for a reform in Parliament there is no plea, either of necessity or use; for they ask, Is it not unwife to give to an agent fuch a continuance of power as must render him independent of his employers, and encourage him to use, for his own benefit, that authority that was given him for theirs? In private life, this would be confidered as the excess of folly; in public life, it is impossible

The People, fays the noble Lord, have reason to wish for

it should be wisdom.

a reform; they think they have the most forcible of all reafons, a certainty, founded on their own experience, that n delegated power will long be faithfully exercised, unless it frequently returns to those by whom it was bestowed. they asked for proofs of this affertion? Their answer is a national debt of 250 millions, a debt which no credulity can believe the People themselves would have contracted; which no credulity can believe the representatives of the People would have contracted, if they had no interest but that of the People; a debt of which we know that much has been contracted in a way that profligacy itself will not dare to justify; for, in one fingle year (to fay nothing of other years) to charge 21 millions to the national account, when only

only twelve millions were borrowed, is a transaction which no man living will have the hardihood, in the face of his

country, to defend.

The noble Lord talks of a reform in Parliament as of entire ruin to the Constitution: the People will tell him that they have not forgotten, though it feems he has, that within the memory of persons now living, the Parliaments of this country were triennial; they will tell him that to this hour they must have continued triennial, if the first principles of the Constitution had not been abandoned, and its most sacred rules grossly and indecently violated; for, if there is any one maxim of the Constitution which challenges particular regard, if there is any one to which a peculiar fanctity belongs, it is the maxim that the House of Commons shall be appointed by the People; whereas that House of Commons that repealed the triennial act was, as to the last four years of its existence, self appointed. The People empowered them to make laws; they did not empower them to make legislators.

To restore to the People a benefit, of which they were so unconstitutionally, so unjustly, so tyrannically deprived, is an object which every friend to the People must have most sin-

cerely at heart.

I know I shall be told, that if triennial Parliaments should be restored, the expence being doubly frequent, would become an intolerable evil: my answer is, that if the evil should be intolerable, it must be of short continuance; its magnitude will enforce correction, and, indeed, there is much reason to believe, that till the frequency of elections shall have made the expence intolerable, no effectual law for

restraining that expence ever will be passed.

The noble Lord has described the desects in our present Constitution as blemishes of no account, as spots which the sharpest eye finds it difficult to trace. On behalf of the People, permit me to tell his Lordship what they think of these shadowy defects, these blemishes of difficult discernment: is it not, they fay, contrary to all reason, that less than feven thousand electors should return a majority of the electors of seven millions of people. Is it not unjust, in the highest degree, that twelve electors should return twelve members of Parliament, when the whole city of London returns but four? Is it not the excess of folly, that places without inhabitants and without houses, should have representatives in Parliament, when Manchester, Leeds, and Birmingham have none? Sir, the People know not in what Vol. XV. ·Dd

fense of the word the late House of Commons could be called their representatives, when their language (say they) was alien to our sentiments, and their conduct abhorrent to our wishes.

The noble Lord tells us, that the late House of Commons was not charged with being too much subject to the influence of the Crown—they were not dissolved for this crime— Sir, the late House of Commons were accused of not speaking the sense of their constituents: this was the offence for which they were dissolved - From the dangerous designs of that House of Commons, the interference of the Crown has fortunately faved us; but let us not therefore think, that the Constitution is secure; for what if the Crown, at some future period, should join with the House of Commons against the People? What if the illegal decrees of the House of Commons should be supported by the army, those peace officers as they have been called, with bayonets in their hands; where then will be found the boasted security of the British Constitution? Where then will be the difference between the freedom of England and the flavery of France? The noble Lord feems to be impressed with melancholy apprehensions of the danger that may follow the appointment of fuch a Committee as the motion before you describes: for my own part, I cannot think so irreverently of the House, as to believe, that the number it contains of wise and moderate men is so small, as not to furnish the very few that are requisite to compole a Select Committee—I am confident, that a large proportion of the House consists of men whose zeal is tempered with prudence, whose ardour is guided by knowledge, and who think, that were they named to fuch a Committee, their business would be, not to invent systems of ideal unattainable good, but to point out to the House the defects in the present state of the representation of the People, and to Juggest such remedies to those defects, as are best suited to the laws, and most consonant to the genius of the Constitution. Some of those gentlemen who preceded the noble Lord in the debate, have objected to the motion, from an idea, that the present is not the season for deciding on business of Such infinite importance — Sir, I am convinced, and I speak it with much concern, that a reform in Parliament is a matter of immediate necessity; for, when the executive power of our East-India dominions shall be placed in the Crown, and nowhere elfe can it be constitutionally placed, who does not foresee, that without a reform in Parliament, an overwhelming influence will bear down the strongest barriers of the ConConfirmation? The noble Lord will advise us to vest the government of our India possessions in Commissioners appointed by Parliament, and to give executive power to the delegates of the People; but God forbid that his advice should be followed, for that would be to destroy the very foundations of our Government, and to break up the very ground on which the Constitution stands—On the other hand, it equally concerns us to beware of increasing the power of the Crown, without strengthening at the same time the sences of the People's freedom.

To avoid the evils of this unhappy dilemma, that of an immediate furrender of our Constitution on the one hand, or on the other, that of destroying the balance of its powers, which must ultimately terminate in its ruin, no other way presents itself to our choice but that of shortening the duration of Parliaments, and guarding against an increase in the influence of the Crown, by reforming the representation of

the People.

Mr. Martin said, that as a fincere and zealous friend to a Mr. Martin thorough reform in the representation of the Commons of this realm, he could not refuse his affent to the motion proposed. by the worthy Alderman — that he thought a Committee of the House, composed of intelligent and respectable characters, might do much to facilitate and promote so desirable an object. He trusted, that on this occasion, the right honourable gentleman at the head of administration, and the right honourable gentleman on the other fide, would both afford their hearty affiftance; he declared that he had not the leaft doubt of the fincerity of either of those right honourable gentlemen in their professions on this subject, but that he did not think either of their plans, if he had rightly understood them, sufficiently extensive. He would advise the worthy Alderman, as he really believed him to wish success to the cause, to encourage a general association of those who were now unrepresented in this country; that they should establish a general correspondence, and claim their right in a peaceable and conflitutional, but a firm and determined manner. believed that every man in the country, who gave any attention to the subject, must be more and more convinced of the baneful effects of the present system of electioneering; he faid that it was his idea that we had been growing worse and worse ever since the constitutional practice of paying the representative in that House had ceased, that instead of being Dd 2

paid by their rightful mafters, they had got into the dirty custom of taking vails, which amounted to a good deal more than lawful wages. He said that he sincerely believed that representation would never be what it ought to be, so long as men continued so eager for seats in the House, and that such eagerness was productive of more evil to the morals of the people, and to the country in general, in various ways, than could eafily be conceived by those who had not observed it with attention. He was persuaded that if gentlemen would but reflect coolly and frequently on this subject, they must be deeply affected by the extent of the evil. He conceived that the great opposition made to this reform, arose chiefly from groundless apprehensions; that gentlemen of weight and consequence in the country were apt to imagine that such weight and consequence would cease, or at least be much diminished, if it were to take place; but that he, on the contrary, did verily believe, that those who should prove themselves worthy of public confidence, would, in case of an effectual reform, obtain their feats, and hold them with much less difficulty than they did at present. Mr. Martin said, that if the question on the table should be carried, that he should exceedingly rejoice, as he thought it would be the means of having the business deeply and thoroughly investigated by men of character and abilities. He was very certain that the more the subject was examined, the more clearly it would appear, that by much the greater part of our public and private diftress, had arisen from the evil of election eering influence and corruption: but that he heartily wished that such a Committee should be appointed as an affistance to the Public in inquiring into this interesting matter. He must say, with great deference to the House, that he did conceive that the regulation of representation ought to be in the people at large, and that the House had no right whatever, according to the principles of our Constitution, to dictate as to the manner of election, or the duration of their delegation—that the representatives having too long assumed that power, they seemed to have forgotten the relation they bore to the People, of whom they were the creatures, and by whom they ought to be chosen in such manner, and for such space of time, as the people might think best. Mr. Martin observed, that the noble Lord in the blue ribband had, he thought, according to custom, treated this matter with a levity highly unbecoming the seriousness of the subject. He did not wonder that the noble Lord should persist in a steady opposition to any partiamentary

mentary reform whatever. He well knew, that according to the present system of representation, it was in the power of any man, however justly unpopular, to force himself into the House of Commons by means of a burgage tenure, or other dependent borough. Very strong instances might be brought in proof of this, that if he should mention one or two. he could assure the House it was not for the sake of saying any thing personally unpleasant to any man, but merely to evince the truth of the observation he had made: that at the very last election at a certain borough in Oxfordshire, not remarkable for the large number of its electors, though there was only one candidate, he was so extremely obnoxious to the generality of the people, that he was not very defirous of the honour of being chaired, but wished to retreat as quietly as possible, immediately after that ceremony; and that it was perfectly clear, that had the election depended on the inhabitants at large, that the person who now represented that borough, would have had but a very small proportion of votes in his favour. Mr. Martin said, he would mention one instance more, and he meant that of Kirkwall, where, though the public character of the right honourable representative might possibly have reached, it could not be supposed that he was fufficiently connected there to have been their representative. if he had been to be chosen by any very considerable number of the inhabitants: that he thought, however proper the choice might have been in both those instances, that the body of the people could have very little to do in it. Mr. Martin concluded by faying, that he should certainly give his vote for the question, on the principles and for the reasons which he had endeavoured, though he feared very imperfectly, to state to the House.

Mr. Chancellor Pitt declared he most undoubtedly should Mr. Channot take the advice the noble Lord had been so obliging as to celler Pict. give him, having folemnly pledged himself to bring the subject under the confideration of the House early in the next session, whether the present motion succeeded or not. He ascribed the long continuance of the American war, and that very circumstance being the means of keeping the Minister in place, to a corrupt system which owed its origin to the want of a reform in the state of representation. He combated Lord North's positions one after another. He charged the last Parliament obliquely with unconstitutional conduct, and imputed the late dissolution to that circumstance. in admitting the impracticability of universal representation,

as projected by his Grace of Richmond, but he declared, that the abfurdity of that scheme was no reason why every hope of hitting upon a practicable reform should be abandoned and deserted. He said, Lord North's declaration that the sease of the People could only be collected within those walls, tied him down as it were to vote for the motion, and support any endeavours to have the sense of the People correctly spoken in the House of Commons.

Mr. Don-

Mr. Fox.

Mt. Dunlas began with a complaint of the Chancellor of the Exchequer's having, while he had professed to avoid en. tering too deeply into the discussion of certain topics, from a defire not to divide the friends of the motion, handled others with a harfhness and severity that betokened no very studious endeavour to conciliate the minds and secure the cordial cooperation of his supporters. Mr. Dundas, after this exacdiam, stood forth the defender of Lord North from any inputation of particular blame for having carried on the American war; the noble Lord, he faid, found the war begun when he took the lead in government; it was then a sopular war; and where was the Minister to be met with, who would have dared to have dropped it? After having tooken to exculpate Lord North for his conduct in office, he apologized for having spoke from the question so much. He declared himself averse to the specific motion under consideration, because it professed not to have any end in view that could be attended with the least possible good. It would obtain no information for the House, but what the House already posseffed. That there were rotten boroughs, and that the aristocracy held them, were facts well known already. The only consequence that appeared to him as likely to follow fuch an inquiry as the motion tended to institute, would be, the establishing by authority the melancholy fact that the Conflitution we had for ages boasted of so highly, was one continued lyftem of disease and corruption, and that it was impossible for human ingenuity to suggest an adequate remedy. This would create gloomy ideas and leave the imaginations of the people at large depressed and sunk under their weight. Mr. Dundas said, he should have better liked a motion for a Committee of the whole House, as in such a Committee every fingle proposition might have been separately discussed, and decided upon; he ended, with declaring he should give the motion his negative.

Mr. Fox role next, and in a speech of considerable length, supported the motion. He declared, although he had not before

before made up his mind to the proposition of shortening the duration of Parliaments, what he had igen within the past fix mouths had completely decided his opinion upon the point, and he was now ready to declare their duration ought to be limited to as short a period as possible. He animadverted on the leading political events that had occurred fince last November, and contended, that they all proved the necessity of a free and independent Parliament. Upon a House of Commons so formed, depended the existence of every thing dear to Englishmen. He spoke of the uncommon exercise of the Royal Prerogative by the present Minister, and stated, that if the Crown had a House of Commons without doors, and a House of Commons within, and played the one off against the other, as interest rendered convenient, occasionally menacing with threats of diffolution, and occasionally alluring with promifes of honours and rewards, the People were folely in the hands of the Crown and its Ministers, and the Constitution of the country was not fafe for a moment. He compared the present times to the four last years of Queen Anne, but declared, if any thing, the times were now worse. He replied to what Mr. Pitt had faid of the American war, and acknowledged that the revival of that topic always gave him pain; he faid, he reprobated the conduct of that war as much as ever, but justice required he should admit, that neither he not any of his opposers, at the time that they divided fixty or seventy on a division, ever pretended, that it was not at that period a popular war. He charged Mr. Pitt with want of feeling, to which he imputed the manner of his revivin a subject that must create as much disgust in the minds of those who fat near him, as it could possibly do, in the minds of others on the fide of the House on which he stood at that moment. He contended warmly and zealously for the question, and urged the extreme propriety of the point of time at which it was brought forward. He closed with an earnest exhortation to the House to support a motion, to which there could be no reasonable objection advanced.

As soon as Mr. Fox sat down, there was a violent clamour for the question, and the gallery was cleared of

Arangers.

Mr. Burke, with a great deal of difficulty obtained a hear-Mr. Burke. ing, but he was not suffered to proceed long, such was the impatience of the House for the question. Mr. Burke chiefly directed his argument to that part of Mr. Pitt's speech, in which he had mentioned the American war, and called

Digitized by Google

called upon the Chancellor to shew, how the American war originated in the state of parliamentary representation? He put his question in two or three different ways; but before he bould proceed farther, he was interrupted, and a warm altercation took place, some of the young members vociferating so loudly as to prevent Mr. Burke from being diffinctly heard. At length, after complaining of the indecent and disorderly conduct of a part of the House, Mr. Burke declared he had fomething to fay, which he conceived was well worth their hearing, but it was too much for him to stand up against so violent a clamour. He saw he was among a parcel of rocks, the fides of which resounded with the intemperate lashing of a roaring furge, and therefore, though he was past, and had got the better of those feelings, which they who were so stupidly clamorous, hoped to oppress him with, he thought it' more prudent for the moment to bow to the storm.

Gen. Bur-

General Burgone rose to express his sense of the conduct a part of the House had just held, and which he declared, he could not consider otherwise, than as a disgrace to the whole assembly. The General stated, that every individual member had a right to be heard on any question, and in order that every member might obtain that justice, he gave notice, that if on any future occasion, he should see any gentleman act in so disorderly a manner as to insult a member upon his legs, he would mention him by name to the Chair, that such shameful behaviour might be reported to the House by the Speaker, and receive that censure which it loudly called for.

Lord Mulgrave.

Lord Mulgrave said, he would not at that late hour detain the House by entering into any argument upon the principle of the motion; indeed the principle had been so ably argued on both sides, that whatever he might have to say farther upon it, must be superfluous; but as a young member, who had spoken early in the debate, and very ably, had declared, the question of a reform of Parliament was new to him, and had objected to putting the question then, because he had not had time to make up his mind upon the subject, he thought that a good ground for deferring coming to any opinion one way or another as yet. He therefore moved the previous question.

Mr. Sawbridge. Mr. Sawbridge complained of the unprecedented motion that had been just made. He appealed to the noble Lord's candour, whether it was fair, after all that had been said upon the subject in the course of the debate, to rise at that late hour and move the previous question? The reason which the noble

noble Lord had affigned for moving it, did not, in his opinion, bear him out. The noble Lord had stated, that he moved the previous question, in order to give a young member, who had spoken early in the debate, time to make up his mind upon the subject of a parliamentary reform, fince that subject was quite new to him. The noble Lord surely forgot, that the member in question, though he had told the House that the subject was new to him, and that he had never thought upon it, had also in the same speech said, that he had consulted his constituents upon it, and that they had expressed no defire that he should support any motion for a parliamentary reform. It was evident, therefore, the subject was not so new to the honourable gentleman as he had stated, and if his constituents were indifferent to the question, he would venture to fay, that they flood fingular in their opinion. regard to much of the debate, he had a right to declare it had no reference to his motion, which did not go upon any particular specific mode of reform, but was merely a motion, that a Committee might be instituted to inquire into the state of the representation. That Committee, he said, meant to make a Report; and it would remain with the discretion and judgement of the House to terminate what proceedings, if any should be had, upon the basis of that Report. The motion, therefore, bound the House down to no species of reform, but merely put the matter in progress, and would serve to convince the People that the House of Commons was serious in its professions of complying with their wishes upon a topic. upon which so much of the expectation of the public in general rested. He earnestly hoped, therefore, that the candour of the noble Lord would induce him to deal more fairly with the question, and that he would not persist in his motion,

Mr. M. A. Taylor said, the honourable gentleman had mis- Mr. M. A. understood him, or he had misrepresented him: why or Taylor. wherefore he knew not. What he had said was this: that he had stood for two different places, at neither of which had he heard any thing from the electors touching the question of a parliamentary reform. That fince his election, and very lately, he had been down at the borough for which he fat; that he had then mentioned to several of the leading men of his constituents, that a motion on the subject of a parliamentary reform was likely to come on, and had defired to know if they wished him to vote upon such a motion in any particular way. To that question he received not any answer, that shewed that his constituents felt very zealously about the Vol. XV.

matter. With regard to his not having made up his mind upon the subject, he certainly had not as yet, having sat so short a time in Parliament.

Mr. Chancellor Pitt.

Mr. Chancellor Pitt rose to second Mr. Sawbridge's requisition. He declared, that no private opinion of his, that it was not the bost possible moment to urge the question in, or the moment most likely to bring it forward with advantage to the cause he had so much at heart, should induce him to do any thing, that might look like discountenancing the principle of the question of the day; he therefore earnestly hoped the noble Lord would fo far comply with what pretty plainly appeared to be the general fense of all fides of the House, as to withdraw the previous question. To move fuch a question, upon a motion of such magnitude and importance, as that which had been under discussion so many hours, was certainly very unusual, and would throw a flur, as it were, upon the question itself, that ought not, in his opinion, by any means to be thrown upon it. He hoped, therefore, the noble Lord would not perfift in the previous question, but would suffer the House to vote on the main queftion. If, however, it should so turn out, that the noble Lord could not be prevailed on to withdraw his motion, he cautioned the House, that by voting against the previous question, they might have an opportunity of getting rid of it, and by that means vote afterwards upon the main question. He, therefore, he declared, should certainly vote against the previous question, if the noble Lord should still persist in it.

Mr. Sheri dan.

Mr. Sheridan faid, so far from his thinking what had fallen early in the debate from the young member, who had spoken last but one, rendered a previous question either necessary or proper, the motion of his honourable friend, the worthy Alderman, appeared to him to be exactly adapted to the fituation of the honourable member; because the motion was not a motion for this or that particular mode of reform, but a motion for the appointment of a Committee to inquire into the state of the representation. From that Committee the honourable gentleman might learn facts, upon a knowledge of which alone he could form an opinion, and make up his mind; he hoped, therefore, the noble Lord would not pertift in his motion for the previous question. From the noble Lord's well-known candour, he was inclined to hope he would withdraw it; and indeed he had another reason for thinking so, which appeared to him to be a very forcible one, and to be likely to operate more upon his mind, that any

other he could fuggest, and that was, a consideration for the character of the right honourable gentleman at the head of the Exchequer. That right honourable gentleman had supported the motion so ably and so vigorously in the course of the debate, that he was himself perfectly satisfied and convinced, that the right honourable gentleman, notwithstanding he might not, in his own private opinion, think that the best moment for bringing the subject forward, was sincerely a well-wisher to the motion, and a real friend to a sober and temperate parliamentary reform. What a risque then would the noble Lord put his right honourable friend to, if by moving a previous question at that late hour of the night, he afforded the public room for fuspicion, that such a motion was made collusively, and with the right honourable gentleman's connivance? For these reasons, he hoped the noble Lord would withdraw his motion.

Lord North rose to desend the previous question. His Lord- Ld. North. ship faid, he saw nothing improper in moving the previous question; there were two descriptions of persons about to vote that day, viz. those who thought with him upon the subject, and were enemies to any and every alteration of the Constitution; and those again, who were not averse to the principle of a reform, but thought that not the fit time for Now the previous question would suit either of these descriptions, and therefore it appeared to him to be highly. proper - With regard to the motion's fuiting the honourable member, who had declared he had not made up his mind. upon the subject of parliamentary reform, he could not see how it would fuit him, because if he went to the Committee, he might hear fomething of different modes of reform, and which of them the majority of the Committee might approve, but it could not enable him to make up his mind in favour of which he ought to decide.

...Mr. Sawbridge rose again to explain - He charged the Mr. Sawnoble Lord with having intirely misapprehended his motion; and, to convince the House, that such was the fact, he defired the motion might be read. This having been complied with, Mr. Sawbridge reminded the House, that there was no such word as reform in the whole motion; the motion was merely for a Committee to be appointed to inquire into the flate of the representation, and therefore undoubtedly was such a motion as might fairly be voted for by the honourable. young member who had risen so lately. Mr. Sawbridge

again appealed to Lord Mulgrave's candour, and begged him to withdraw his previous question.

Mr. Wilberforce. Mr. Wilberforce said, he sincerely wished the honourable Alderman had not brought on his motion that day, because being a hearty and zealous well-wisher to a parliamentary reform; he should have been glad it had come on at a better season—So determined, however, was he to do nothing that might look like a wish to discountenance the principle of the motion, that he would certainly vote against the previous question.

Lord Mulgrave.

Lord Mulgrave said, he had been so particularly called upon, that he must necessarily say a few words - His candour had been appealed to, in a manner he thought rather extraordinary - What had candour to do with the question? Was there any thing unfair in his moving a previous question upon a motion, the friends of which were violently divided in opinion, a great part of them thinking that to be an unfit time for it to be brought on. With regard to the injury his perfishing in the previous question might do the character of his right honourable friend, he had no idea of that being possible; his right honourable friend's character was, he trufted, far too firmly established to be shaken by so slender a circumstance, though he had heard the prophetic misrepresentation and the invidious comment that was intended to be put upon it — His Lordship disdained the imputation of collusion, and faid, if there was any crime in proposing the previous question, the noble Lord in the blue ribband was his accomplice in that foul crime; that noble Lord was too manly a character to warrant any suspicion of an undue motive for what he had done; he should therefore acknowledge no compunction. His Lordship concluded with declaring, that he should persist in his motion for the previous question.

Mr. Sheridàn.

Mr. Sheridan rose again to complain of Lord Mulgrave's having talked of prophetic misrepresentations and invidious comments—No part of what had fallen from him, he was persuaded, justified such infinuations—He had declared he was persectly convinced of the sincerity of the right honourable gentleman's zeal for the principle of the worthy Alderman's motion, and had deprecated the consequences that might follow any of the right honourable gentleman's friends moving the previous question. People without doors who were not persectly apprised of all that had passed in the debate, might conceive, that it was a trick and a connivance,

and that the previous question was moved with the right hon. gentleman's confent and concurrence—It was in order to avert this misconstruction, that he had exhorted the noble Lord to act candidly, and not perfift in his motion; and he had been not a little induced to press the withdrawing of the previous question upon the noble Lord, from the right honourable Chancellor of the Exchequer's having himself been the first to declare, that disposing of the motion by the previous question, was casting a flur upon the very important topic to which it bore so immediate reference.

Sir Francis Baffett begged, before the question was put, to Sir Francis be permitted to fay a fingle word to it - Sir Francis declar. Baffett. ed, he did not wish the previous question to have been moved. because he was desirous that a direct negative should be put upon the main question, in order that it might be laid at rest for ever; having maturely considered the subject, and being decidedly of opinion, that any alteration in the state of parliamentary representation would prove highly dangerous

and destructive to the Constitution.

Mr. W. Grenville said, he was not only extremely forry the Mr. Wm. previous question had been moved, but he had hoped the noble Grenville. Lord would have been prevailed on to have withdrawn it, because his wish was, to have the sense of the House taken upon the motion for a Committee, when he meant to have given it his negative; and he flattered himself, that the subject of a parliamentary reform, as far as it could in the nature of things, would have been put to fleep for ever. Mr. Grenville faid farther, that greatly as he admired his right honourable friend, and greatly as he revered his splendid abilities, he should have lost much of that admiration, and much of that reverence, if he could have entertained so poor an opinion of him as to have thought him capable of expecting a fervile compliance with his particular fentiments on every great and important question. He had no such opinion of him, and therefore he rose to declare, that he was an enemy to the principle of the original question, and that he should vote against the previous question, in hopes of being able to give his negative to the main question.

The question was then put, and the House divided;

Ayes, Noes.

Majority,

Fune

June 18.

The Speaker.

The Speaker called the attention of the House to a difficulty which had occurred, at the office of the Clerk of the Crown — An indenture, purporting to be a return for the boroughs of Inverness, &c. and signed by the Sherist of Elgin, had been tendered him; but he declined accepting it, as it ought to have been annexed to the writ; he therefore applied to the House for instructions — It was agreed to take the matter into consideration on the Monday following

The House went into a Committee of Supply, and Mr. Brett rose to move the ordinary of the navy—He would not go at length into the detail, as the establishment was exactly the same as that voted in the last session of the last Parliament; he would make his motions therefore in the usual way—and first, he moved for 701,869l. os. 6d. for the ordinary, including half-pay to sea and marine officers

for 1784.

Mr. Huffey.

Mr. Huffer condemned, as he had often done before, this manner of demanding money for the public fervice; he was well aware, that it was scarcely possible for Ministers to apply fums voted to the particular objects for which they had been demanded, as it was often found necessary to lay out in the repair of one ship, what had been voted for the repairs of another; the idea, therefore, that he had of these estimates, was, in fact, that they called for a certain sum of money for uncertain purposes; and therefore he would strongly recommend it to Government, to apply in future for a grant of money on account, without flating for what purpole; and afterwards to give a fatisfactory account of the application and expenditure of that fum: for in truth it was ridiculous to find the same ship in every year's estimate, to see, that perhaps 100,000l. might have been voted for the repairs of that thip, though every shilling of that money might have been expended, and honeftly too, on other ships, which on an emergency might have been fitted out in a much shorter time than that fingle ship could have been repaired. — He called upon the naval agents in the House, to turn this matter in their thoughts, and communicate their opinions to the House.

Admiral Sir Thomas Frankland.

Admiral Sir Thomas Frankland rose next; he complained of the want of discipline that prevailed thoughout the navy, from the entrusting boys with commands. He hoped the copper sheathing was to be taken off the men of war's bottoms, else we should not have a ship fit for any service.

Com-



Commodore Bowyer faid he would not have opened his lips Commodore on the present occasion, if the address made to the naval offi- Bowyer. cers by the honourable member who spoke last but one, had not called up the honourable Admiral near him, whose affertions he could not suffer to pass unnoticed. The honourable Admiral faid, that the discipline of the navy was destroyed; but that gentleman would permit him to observe, that his long retreat from the service, might possibly make him ignorant of the present state of discipline in the navy: the rust of more than thirty years might well have destroyed the brilliancy of the honourable Admiral's professional abilities, of which he meant not to speak disparagingly, as he had never heard any mention of them. For more than thirty years, the honourable Admiral had performed no other voyages than from Westminster to Change-alley, and probably knew little more of the state of our fleets than he could learn by the rife or fall of infurance. The honourable, Admiral might not be able to comprehend the nature of the improvements that had been made in the discipline of the navy since he had retired from the fervice. As to the state of that discipline, the history of the wide-extended war in which we had lately been engaged, would best explain it: the faithful historic page would fill the minds of men, in ages yet to come, with admiration, when they should read there, that Great Britain, after having been engaged in a war with almost all the maritime powers in the world, had, at the conclusion of it, left not a fingle British ship of the line in the possession of any of her enemies; that only one line of battle ship (the Ardent of 64) had been taken from her, and that she had been re-taken after a general engagement with the enemy's fleet, the event of which had crowned the arms of this country with a most glorious victory, (on the famous 12th of April.) As to the sheathing of ships with copper, on which the honourable Admiral had touched, he would fay this much, that the most able and experienced ship-builders had assured him, they would not suffer a ship sheathed with copper, to go to sea again after three years fervice, without taking off the sheathing, and thoroughly examining the bottom.

Captain Macbride was of the fame opinion with respect to Capt. Macthe bottoming of ships with copper: he knew from experience bride. that a ship sheathed with copper, and lying long at anchor was apt to grow foul bottomed, as much as those which had other sheathing: and he knew also that what his worthy brother officer had faid was strictly true, that ships must be examined at least in three years, let the copper sheathing be in

ever fo good a state; it was his opinion, therefore, that it was a matter which ought to be referred to the judgement of able men, whether copper sheathing was more or less advantageous to the public fervice, than any other sheathing.

The question was here put on the motion for the supply.

and carried without a division.

Mr. Rrett.

Mr. Brett then moved, that 100,000l. be granted for the ordinary repairs, &c. of the ships of the Royal navy. This motion passed without any opposition, and the House was re-

Ir. Alder-

Mr. Alderman Newnham rose to repeat a motion that had man Newn- been formerly made for leave to bring in a bill to repeal the act imposing a tax on receipts. The Alderman faid, his conflituents had instructed him to make such a motion; in compliance with their instructions, therefore, he rose to make it; at the same time he would remind the House, that the last time he moved for leave to bring in a fimilar bill, he had been told. that he was not very apt to comply with the instructions of his constituents; an infinuation, that probably was thrown out with a view to injure him, as it certainly had injured him in no very trifling degree at his election. As the House in general might have heard that he consented to act agreeably to the instructions of his constituents on every occasion, an agreement which he certainly had entered into, he would take that opportunity of declaring, what his opinion upon the subject of instructions from constituents was. He had no difficulty in faying, that upon all local questions, upon all oppressive, internal taxes, and in every case that related to them in particular, the conflituents' instructions ought, in his mind, to be implicitly obeyed; but where the characters, talents, and views of Ministers, were the matters under consideration, where measures affecting the general interests of the nation at large were to be discussed and decided upon, there he thought the representative ought to be left to himself, to act as his own judgement founded upon that degree of light and information which could only be obtained in Parliament, should direct him. It was very true, that he had consented to relinquish his seat, wherever his own opinion operated against the instructions of his constituents, so far as to render it impossible, consistently with his conscience and the genuine fentiments of his heart, to obey those instructions; but he trusted, the good sense of his constituents would rescue him from being often put to that severe test. Wherever he found his constituents and he differed essentially upon any great point, he should submit to them the best reasons his abilities could

could enable him to urge in support of his opinion, and if he found it out of his power either to convince them, or to receive conviction from them, he must necessarily bow to their decision; but he was certain they would act more wisely, in not defiring him to vote in a manner inconfistent with his honour. He begged pardon for having thus departed from the immediate subject, respecting which he had risen to trouble the House, and to which he would immediately return. The Alderman then assured the House, that his constituents did not lightly or capriciously object to the tax on receipts, but that they found it to be extremely oppressive, inconvenient, and partial; they were very ready to submit to another tax in lieu of it, knowing perfectly well that the money must be raised by some tax or other, and hoping that a more equal tax would be substituted in the room of that on receipts. Among other objections that he stated, he said, the act for regulating it rendered it more objectionable, because it occasioned offences to be committed, where there was no defign to offend. A friend in the country, he informed the House, had written him a letter, stating, that against his will he had been distributing some counterfeit stamps; for having some stamps from London he put them among his papers, and those papers having been accidently pressed, the impression of the stamp came off so clearly, that he had written receipts on some of the counterfeits, before he discovered the mistake. By the letter of the regulating act, therefore, he was a dead man. The Alderman said farther, that if the tax was to be continued, he certainly was of opinion that its collection ought tobe affifted, and rendered as productive as possible; but he hoped the House would consent to the repeal, as he believed he might fairly fay, the majority of the trading part of the whole kingdom thought it a most unjust tax, and wished to be relieved from the burden and inconvenience of it. He added, that he had heard that the Chancellor of the Exchequer was inclined to repeal the receipt tax; he flattered himself, therefore, that he should have his support on the present occasion. The Alderman concluded with moving, "That " leave be given to bring in a bill for the repeal of the act, " imposing a tax on receipts."

Mr. Alderman Sawbridge rose to second the motion. The Mr. Alder-Alderman faid he likewise had received the instructions of his man Sawconstituents to endeavour to obtain the repeal of the receipt tax, which they, with great justice, in his opinion, com-

Vol. XV. plained

plained of as an unequal and oppressive impost. He hoped. therefore, the House would adopt the motion.

Mr. Lorraine Smith said, he had the instructions of his raine Smith constituents to support the motion. He rose therefore to obey them. Mr. Smith faid, the tax fell twenty-fold on the confumer, who could not by any means contrive to repay and indemnify himself; he therefore thought, upon principles of justice and fairness, it ought to be repealed.

Capt: Ber. keley.

Captain Berkeley faid, notwithstanding what had been urged, he trusted the reports of the Chancellor of the Exchequer's having an inclination to repeal the tax were false. and that he would by no means confent, to give up one of the best taxes ever imposed on the subject. If the right honourable gentleman had any fuch intention, he would oppose it to the utmost, because he highly approved of the tax, and had done so from the first. No complaints were made of it in the county he had the honour to represent. The city of Gloucester indeed had formerly opposed it, but they were now come to the conviction of its propriety, and were perfectly quiet upon the subject.

sellor Pitt.

Mr. Chancellor Pitt affured the honourable gentleman, that whatever reports of his having an inclination to repeal the tax, might have reached his ears, or those of the worthy Alderman who made the motion, he need be under no apprehensions that he was about to give his consent to the repeal of a tax likely to be extremely productive, and which, in his mind, afforded very little ground of just complaint. He might have faid, what it was natural for every other perfon to have faid, when he heard that the tax was difliked. - and complained of, viz. that it gave him great concern to find it was not approved, and that if a better tax could be proposed, he should be glad to repeal the one and adopt the other; but before he did confent to repeal the receipt tax, it was undoubtedly his duty to be fo far assured, as to entertain a reasonable hope, at least, that there was another tax that would be more equal, more easy, and equally productive. That was, he feared, very far from being the case: but fo little inclined was he to give way upon the present occasion. that he had no such intention. He never had opposed the tax; though when it was originally proposed, he had entertained confiderable doubts of its turning out so productive as it was imagined it would prove. Those doubts had uniformly been verified, but every new tax was an experiment, and necesfarily and unavoidably liable to imperfections in the first trial of it. Nothing, therefore, had happened respecting

the receipt tax, but what every new tax was liable to. In confequence of the regulations that the Legislature had made upon the subject, the tax had been rendered infinitely more efficacious than it had been, and it was now growing more and more productive. About 12,000 pounds had been received upon it in town only, fince the 24th of March laft, when the new bill commenced its operation; its produce, therefore, as far as it had been feen at prefent, amounted to 100,000 a year, and as he could not conceive it had yet had a fair trial, he was inclined to believe that its produce would continue to increase, and become still a greater object than it was already. He declared, he was willing also to believe that it was growing less unpopular, and that the prejudices raised against it were diminishing daily. To give up a tax fo productive, therefore, fo very important in its produce, and fo equal and eafy in its burden, at a time when other new taxes must necessarily be imposed, and when we had no resources to waste, would, he flattered himself, neither be deemed prudent nor adviseable by the House. For that reafon, he should think he did no more than his duty in opposing any motion that had the repeal of the receipt tax for its object.

Mr. Macnamara faid, no man would be more willing to Mr. Maca fupport the right honourable gentleman in every measure namara, that he should propose, and which should appear likely to promote the public good, than he would; but, on the prefent occasion, having received the instructions of his constituents, a very worthy and respectable body of men, to exert his endeavours to obtain the repeal of the tax on receipts, he held himself bound to obey those instructions, and to Support the motion. Mr. Macnamara declared, that in his judgement the instructions of constituents upon the subject of taxes ought to be religiously obeyed. The receipt tax was found to be a tax partially operating upon men in trade and business; every gentleman, therefore, who represented either a city or a borough, in which men of trade and business resided, ought to feel as a man of trade and business on the present occasion, and to do his utmost to obtain relief for his constituents. He rose for that purpose, and should certainly vote for the motion.

Mr. Baring said, he had the curiosity to examine his own Mr. Baring; books, and to see how many recepts he gave in the course of a year, and he found them to amount to upwards of 12,000, the cost of stamps for which would be 450l. He said, he could not easily diffect that sum so as to come at the pre
F f 2

Digitized by Google

cise portion of it that fell on himself, but he had examined it so far as to discover that not a fiftieth part of it fell upon himself; the rest was spread and disseminated in trifling amounts among an infinite number of different persons. Mr. Baring spoke highly of the tax, as an easy and excellent impost.

Capt. John Luttrell.

Captain John Luttrell said, he had received a letter from his conftituents, on the subject under discussion, and as it contained fentiments entirely different from those which the House had that day heard from most of the gentlemen who had spoken upon the present motion, he would read it as a part of his speech. Mr. Luttrell then read a letter from Stockbridge, giving an account of an application having been made to the corporation of that borough by Alderman Saunderson, to desire they would instruct their representatives to endeavour to obtain a repeal of the receipt-tax act, and annexing a copy of the answer transmitted to the Alderman by the Mayor and Aldermen of Stockbridge. The anfwer was a very fenfible composition; it stated that the borough of Stockbridge had fent its representatives to Parliament unfettered by inflructions of any kind, because the electors were satisfied that their representatives would do their duty, and act for the good of the nation; and because at the same time the electors were conscious that their reprefentatives must be much more competent to judge what was right for them to do in Parliament than they could pretend to be. With regard to the receipt tax, the corporation of Stockbridge declared they confidered it as a light and eafy tax, far preferable to an increase of the duties on customs and excise, and by no means to be complained of. Having read the letter, Mr. Luttrell said he would add nothing to it, but a declaration, that he for one should op; pose the motion.

Mr. Fox.

Mr. Fox faid, he did not mean to take up a deal of the time of the House upon a subject that had been at different times so fully discussed within these walls; he rose to express his satisfaction at what had fallen from the right honourable gentleman at the head of the Exchequer, and to point out to the House, the extreme absurdity, as well as the infinite inconvenience, that it was obvious must arise, if members, on every occasion, without consulting their own judgement at all, paid obedience to their constituents. It was plain, from what had passed that day, that as every tax would be found inksome to some description of people or other, members would constantly be instructed to oppose every tax that could be

be proposed. The consequence would be, if the opposition prevailed, the new tax of one year would be forced to be repealed the next, and fo on ad infinitum, by which means the finances of the country must be totally ruined, and we could have no hope of recovering ourselves. He was happy, therefore, to fee the right honourable gentleman stand up and oppose this attempt to obtain a repeal of the receipt tax; and he could not sufficiently applaud the very great candour of the right honourable gentleman in his admission, that the tax on receipts, like every other new tax, was liable to no other imperfections, than fuch as were, and must naturally be, inseparable from all experiments. A better tax, a tax more just, and less oppressive, he, in his conscience, believed had never been proposed. That it would become more and more productive he also verily believed, and he had as little doubt but its popularity would daily increase. That it had been exceedingly unpopular was certainly the fact, and that those who projected it had suffered for it, he well knew; for upon his canvafs, he found a great number of those whom he could not help calling his constituents, extremely averse to it, and firmly of opinion, that it was a bad and oppressive tax. Their prejudices, however, he had no doubt would wear away; for the fact was, that while the tax was not paid, it was pretty generally affected to be clamoured against, and was deemed unpopular; whereas the instant such regulations were made as enforced the payment of the tax, it became less unpopular. Mr. Fox declared, that if Mr. Pitt had expressed an intention to consent to the repeal of the tax, highly as he approved of the tax, he should not have opposed its repeal, because he should have so much considence in any person in his high office, as to have taken it for granted, he would not confent to repeal one tax, without having another to propole, that would at least prove equally productive.

Sir Matthew White Ridley supported the motion, having Sir Matheen instructed so to do by his constituents. Sir Matthew said, he disliked the tax, on account of the punishment it had drawn on the persons who proposed it; as severe a punishment, in his opinion, as could befall any gentleman, viz. the loss of his seat in Parliament. The Public also, though innocent, were treated as a particeps criminis, and involved in the punishment, by being deprived of the service of as able and as upright a member of Parliament as ever sat within

those walls, for such he conceived it would be universally

admitted the noble Lord in question was.

Mr.

Mr. Alder-

Mr. Aldermam Watson said, he had likewise been instrucman What- ted by his constituents to endeavour to obtain a repeal of the receipt tax; he rose therefore to support the motion. The Alderman faid, whenever the clear decided sense of his constituents could be collected, he held himself bound to obey it, or if his own judgement would not permit him to do fo, to give them an opportunity of choosing another reprefentative.

Mr. Couttenay.

Mr. Courtenay rose and said, he wondered not at the complaints men in trade and bufiness made against the receipt tax; it was undoubtedly an infringement on their exclusive privileges. Gentlemen well knew that when any new tax was laid on the Public, the tradefmen, who dealt in the article taxed, always made it the fource of great advantage to themselves, by laying a tax upon the tax; now the receipt tax happening, very unfortunately, to be so constituted, that no advantage whatever could be made of it by traders in general, and no more could be raifed on the Public than the precise price of the stamp for the receipt, it certainly was a great hardship, and a very serious grievance to men in trade and business; he wondered not therefore at the present endeavours to get it repealed. Another circumstance that marked the receipt tax, as particularly invidious and unfair, was the exemption of the poor from paying to it. Why should that distinction be made? It was an invidious one, and he had no doubt it was from a confideration of this injustice to the poor, that the opulent tradesmen were so defirous of having the tax repealed. These two circumstances, he was persuaded, occasioned that dislike that prevailed against the tax, and the House had so much justice, that he flattered himself, they would feel the weight of such powerful objections, and repeal the tax immediately.

Mr. Thornson said, he did not think the tax sufficiently Mr. Thornproductive to atone for the inconvenience it was attended with; if it were, he should consider that as a reason for continuing it; but as the case stood at present, he should vote

for the motion.

Mr. Milnes declared, that proposing the receipt tax was Mr. Milnes. not the principal cause of Lord John Cavendish losing his election for the city of York, though he admitted it contributed to it.

Sir Eduard Aftley faid, the tax was not complained of in Sir Edward Aftley. the county in which he lived; on the contrary, every landholder and farmer, &c. that he had talked with upon the subject, highly approved of it.

Mr.

Mr. Chancellor Pitt said, if the principal objection enter-Mr. Chantained against the tax by his honourable friend near him, cellor Pitt. (Mr. Thornton) arose from its not being more productive, that objection would be weakened every day, as he had not the smallest doubt but the produce of the tax would daily increase.

Mr. Huffey faid, his fentiments respecting the receipt tax Mr Huffey. were well known; but he could not help remarking that there was a great deal of truth in what had been faid ironically by the honourable gentleman above him, that the tax was disliked because the poor were exempt from the payment of it. really believed, much of the objection to it arose from that very circumstance. With regard to what an honourable gentleman said of the consumer's paying it twenty-fold, that must be a mistake, the receipt itself bore the amount of its cost upon the face of it, and no person could pay more than the price fixed by the act of Parliament. The principle of the tax Mr. Huffey declared to be an excellent one. He. had on a former occasion proposed an amendment to it, which had been adopted, and which he understood had a very good effect, adding confiderably to its produce. The fum it was likely to bring in upon the whole was, he had been told, infinitely larger than it had been given for; it amounted, as he had heard, to five hundred thousand pounds a year, which was a clear gain to the Public of two hundred and fifty thoufand pounds. This, certainly, circumstanced as the country was, must be deemed a very beneficial aid to the revenue, and ought not to be parted with lightly; but, nevertheless, had the right honourable gentleman at the head of the Exchequer fignified an intention to repeal the tax, he should have had his support, and that because he should have taken it for granted, that he had some other tax to propose that he was fatisfied would prove equally productive, and be less objectionable. Mr. Hussey said farther, that if any such tax should hereafter suggest itself to the right honourable gentleman, he hoped the receipt tax would be repealed. thought it ought, because let him approve the principle of a tax ever so highly, if that tax was found to irritate the People, and they complained of it, in his mind, that was a very good reason, and a sufficient one for repealing it.

Mr. Chancellor Pitt rose to explain. He conceived, that Mr Chanwhen the honourable gentleman who spoke last, talked of cellor Pitt. the produce of 500,000l. a year, he meant the produce of all the stamp duties of the last year. Certainly the receipt tax alone had not yet produced in any thing like the proportion of that sum. The utmost that had been received in any one week, for the receipt tax, appeared, from papers which he had in his hand, to have been 22001, in town. He repeated it, that the whole produce, at the principal office in London, fince the 24th of March last, had been about 12,000l. and he rated the country produce to be half that of London; so that at present the whole produce he took to be better than after the rate of 100,000l. a year, and, as he had before faid, he had no doubt of its increase.

Mr. Alderman Newnham took notice of its having been Newnham, faid in the debate, that the great landholders did not complain of the tax. He declared he should have wondered if they had, because the tax was not felt by them: the complaints against it came from men in trade, upon whom it bore hard, while it did not affect the rich gentlemen.

Mr. Ald. Sawbridge,

Mr. Alderman Sawbridge denied the fact, that the poor did not contribute to the tax. He faid, though the poor were exempt from the payment of the receipt tax in the first instance, by all receipts for less than forty shillings being exempted from stamp duty, yet the tradefmen, who paid the tax, must necessarily exonerate themselves, and consequently they laid it upon the commodities they dealt in, which the poor bought as well as the rich,

At length the question was put;

Ayes, Noes, 8 ı ı

Majority,

Mr. Chancellor Pitt.

Mr. Chancellor Pitt faid, that the preparation of what he intended to propose on the subject of the tea duties to the House, had taken more time than he had originally hoped; but his anxiety to avoid bringing any thing crude and imperfect before the House would, he trusted, be a sufficient apology. In the measure intended to be taken respecting the tea duties, it was difficult to obtain information which did not in every respect leave an uncertainty that he was anxious to remove. He would, however, endeavour to bring the matter forwards on Monday.

Mr. Eden begged leave to make a few remarks on what had now dropped from the right honourable gentleman. Nothing but an unwillingness to seem to cavil at plans which he had had some little share in originating, prevented his taking notice some days ago, when the right honourable gentleman first gave notice of his intended bill, that many

many effential confiderations feemed to be omitted. He had always thought that it would be a most imperfect system to attempt, a forcible extirpation of fmuggling, without leffening the temptation at the same time; the clandestine trade in teas was the great support of this evil, which now feemed to be destroying the very vitals of the revenue; and it vas at the same time a support to the foreign companies, in their competition with our East-India Company. this reason, the late Committee on the subject in question had given a particular attention to the reduction of the teaduties. That Committee had combined such a reduction. with the hope of thereby also opening extensive means of honest employment to an able and active set of men, who, though now engaged in smuggling, would be an useful accession to increased fleets of East-India ships, if the China trade could be increased. He hoped also, that the intended measure would be accompanied with a general indemnity and complete pardon for all past offences committed by the fmugglers. He would only add farther, that the whole would be incomplete, unless a full explanation could be obtained with Ireland: the report in question stated repeatedly and earnestly, that such an explanation was necessary. had taken occasion, during the three last sessions, to repeat an earnest hope of a full discussion between the two kingdoms, and final fettlement of all the important questions relative to their respective revenues and commerce,

Mr. Chancellor Pitt said, that he hoped gentlemen, in Mr. Chantheir readiness to give counsel, would not suggest difficul-cellor Pitt. ties. As to the reduction of the tea duties, he certainly had alluded to it when he originally opened the present measures; and a reduction of this and other duties had long ago been recommended by a noble friend near him (Lord Mahon.) He was now happy to foresee that such an idea was likely to find more advocates from the labours of the right honourable gentleman and of the late Committee.

Sir Edward Aftley said, that when a reduction of duties Sir Edward had originally, some years ago, been mentioned by the no-Attley-ble Lord, it had been scouted by the noble Lord in the blue ribband and his friends.

Mr. Dempster said, that he thanked the Chancellor of the Mr. Dempsexchequer on bringing forward this business with all due expedition; but he concurred with his right honourable friend in a wish to see some plan of good understanding on revenue and commerce with Ireland. All accounts shewed that Vol. XV.

G g kingdom

Digitized by Google

kingdom to be diffatisfied; he fincerely wished that parliamentary commissioners could be named in the course even of the present session.

A petition of the proprietors of landed estates in His Majesty's sugar colonies, and of the merchants of London trading thereto, and other persons interested therein, whose names are thereunto subscribed, on behalf of themselves and others, was presented to the House, and read; setting forth, that the petitioners, or their ancestors, have invested their fortunes in the fettlement, cultivation, and commerce of the faid colonies, to the extent, upon the most moderate computation, of more than 50,000,000l. florling, whereby the faid colonies, and the commerce thereon dependent, have become the most considerable source of navigation and national wealth which Great Britain possesses out of the limits of the mother country; and that, whilst the said colonies, as well directly as through the medium of other dependent branches of trade, afford a market for British manufactures to a very great amount, and constant employment for more than 100,000 tons of shipping, in the direct intercourse between Great Britain and the faid colonies, the clear income of the estates in the faid colonies, after defraying the expences of those who are necessarily resident there, is almost entirely spent in the mother country; wherefore the petitioners conceive, that no part of the national property can be more beneficially employed for the Public than theirs, nor any interests better entitled to the protection of the Legislature; and that the disafters and expences of the late war, coinciding with many natural calamities, and with the effect of the heavy increase of duties imposed upon the staple articles of their produce, have reduced the petitioners to great diffress and difficulty, and endanger their ability, without relief from Parliament, to carry on the cultivation of the faid islands, which failing, the navigation, and all the other subordinate interests and advantages dependent on the cultivation, must fail with it; and that the faid fugar colonies cannot produce any quantity of provisions at all adequate to their wants, without misapplying thereto that culture which the public good requires to be appropriated to those articles of commerce which that climate alone produces; and that Barbadoes and the Leeward Islands do not afford any supply of lumber whatever; and that the faid fugar colonies never have been, and, to the perfect conviction of the petitioners, never can be, supplied, so as steadily to support the culture thereof with lumber and provifions from any other countries but those which form the United

United States of America, seeing that the gulph and river of St. Lawrence are froze up half the year, and that the open half includes the hurricane months in the West Indies; and that the want of inhabitants, and the rigour of the climate. as well in Nova Scotia as in Canada, frustrate all just expectation of those colonies becoming speedily, if ever, productive, to any confiderable degree, of those articles of which the West Indies stand in need; and that the said sugar colonies never have paid, and, to the perfect conviction of the petitioners, never can pay, for fuch lumber and provisions, but by that part of their produce which, being superfluous to Great Britain, has never found a market therein, confifting chiefly of rum, of which the dominions now forming the United States used, in time of peace, to consume a greater quantity than Great Britain and Ireland did, even before the confumption in Great Britain was discouraged by the heavy duties imposed thereon, to the equal detriment of the revenue and of the interests of the petitioners; and, this superfluous produce, if not confumed in Great Britain, or the dominions of the United States, must be lost, seeing that the confumption of the additional inhabitants which Canada and Nova Scotia may acquire, can amount but to a mere trifle: thus the value of the supplies, which this superfluous produce ought to pay for, would become a drain of fo much cash from the mother country as must, in payment for such lumber and provisions, be drawn out of what would otherwise rest in Great Britain, of the value of the remaining produce of the fugar colonies fold there, and which would be paid, through the medium of America, to the French, and other foreign fugar colonies, for supplies similar to that which we should thus, in the first instance, throw away; and that the intercourse naturally arising out of these mutual wants of His Majesty's sugar colonies and the dominions now forming the United States of America, was, in time of peace, chiefly carried on by American shipping, of which a large proportion confisted of sloops, schooners, and other small vessels, adapted to the cheap conveyance of bulky commodities for a short navigation, and not at all fit for, or employed in, the conveyance of fugar from the West Indies to Europe, but which took back the returns for their own cargoes in the superfluous - produce before mentioned; and that, although the direct intercourse with America in American ships is, by His Majesty's proclamation, freely permitted to the petitioners' fellow fubjects, not only in Great Britain but in Ireland, it is withheld from the petitioners, to whom, of all His Majesty's subjects, Gg2

it is the most essential; and, the said intercourse stands restrained to British-built ships, by which, if the trade were to be carried on, they must generally proceed from Great Britain to America in ballast, at a ruinous expence, and greatly enhance to the confumer the price of those commodities which form the foundation of all his culture, and which the petitioners submit that every principle of commercial policy coincides in requiring to be conveyed to his hand at the cheapest rate possible; and that additional duties upon the confumption of the faid superfluous produce of His Majesty's fugar colonies in the American dominions, and on British ships trading thither, have been imposed upon the express ground of Great Britain prohibiting that intercourse by American yellels, which the French fugar colonies not only admit, but, with true policy, invite, whereby a preference, most dangerous to our effential interests, is given to the foreign sugar colonies in the demand for those commodities which there is no natural obstacle to their supplying as well as we can, although their regulations had hitherto prevented it; and that. under all these circumstances, the petitioners are impelled, by every public as well as private duty, with all humility, but in the most explicit terms, to inform the House, that if, by means of this prohibition, the British sugar colonies are deprived of a market for that part of their produce which is fuperfluous to Great Britain and Ireland, and loaded with the additional expence of procuring lumber and provisions, above stated, which feems the inevitable consequence of persevering therein, the cultivation of feveral of the faid fugar colonies cannot be carried on at all, nor any of them to advantage; for which reasons the petitioners are convinced, and submit to the House, that, far from being favourable to British navigarion, the prohibition in question is big with destruction to one of its principal fources, and, if it should be persisted in, His Majesty's sugar colonies must, in the natural course of things, fink, together with the navigation, revenues, and all the complicated public interests thereon dependent, in one common ruin with the private fortunes of the petitioners; and therefore praying, that the House will take the premises into confideration, and give fuch relief therein as to them shall seem meet.

Ordered, that the faid petition do lie upon the table.

June 21.

In the case of the writ for Inverness, &c. the matter of the delay being explained to the satisfaction of the House, the return was admitted.

Digitized by Google

Mr. Whithread complained to the House of the practice of Mr. whitmany persons in trade, by whom the tax on receipts was bread, wholly evaded, while others, who were willing to obey the laws religiously, paid the duty on receipts. He then held in his hand a paper, which he had received from a friend, that was substantially intended for a receipt, though in point of form it might perhaps not be thought one: the form of it was this -- " Memorandum. -- So much paid on such a day, on " account of Mr. --. Witness, ****." Now, for his part, he thought himself very much aggrieved by this practice, because, while he faithfully paid the tax, it was evaded by his neighbours. He faid he would not mention the names of those in whose house this memorandum was given; it was enough for him to fay it was a house, which was generally understood to clear little short of 50,000l. a year, practice, fo far from being confined to that house, he understood to be pretty general, and to be followed in most of the great houses in London. The Chancellor of the Exchequer had stated the tax to be so far improved, as to produce 120,000l. a year; but furely if the practice of paying the tax was as general as was that of evading it, it would not be too much to fay, that it would produce a million sterling a year. He faid he thought it his duty to throw out these observa-tions, that those whose duty it more particularly was to watch over the evafions of the law, might turn their attention to fuch as were openly, and in the face of day, practifed with respect to the receipt tax.

Mr. Huffey thought the honourable member had done his Mr. Huffey. duty in throwing out these observations to the House: he wished at the same time that some of the crown lawyers would inform the House whether, in point of law, such a memorandum as the honourable member had stated could be considered as a receipt within the act.

The Attorney General (Mr. Arden) joined in commending The Attorney General (Mr. Arden) joined in commending The Attorney General House. As to the memorandum that had been alluded to, and which the honourable member had laid before him, he would take time to consider it; and if he should be of opinion that it was a receipt within the statute, he should certainly prosecute the person who had given it. — Here the business rested.

The House resolved itself into a Committee, to take into consideration the report from the Committee on Smuggling, Mr. Gilbert in the chair.

Mr.

Mr. Chan-

Mr. Chancellor Pitt then rose. He observed that the illicit cellor Pitte trade of this kingdom had of late years been carried to an amasing height, and very alarming to the revenue in many of its branches, but more particularly in the article of tea: this was faid to be the staple of sinuggling; for though the trade extended to a variety of articles, yet tea was so much the usual material, that if any means could be devised to prevent the fmuggling of tea, the other and leffer branches would hardly give importance to the practice, especially after the regulations which were now projecting. That tea was the staple of smuggling would appear very strikingly from this circumstance, that though no more than 5,500,000lh. weight of tea were fold annually by the East-India Company, it appeared from good authority, that there were confumed annually in this kingdom from 12 to 13,000,000lb. fo that the illicit trade in this article was more than double the legal trade. To meet this evil, and remove it, it had struck the Committee, that the best possible plan for that purpose was to lower the duty on tea to such a degree, as to take away from the sinuggler the temptation to carry on an illegal trade: this idea met his hearty approbation; but as the revenue could not afford a diminution at present, it would be necessary to propose a new tax, as a substitute, in order to raise as much money, as would be lost by the lowering of the duty on tea. The amount of the tea at present was between 7 and 800,000l. it was his intention not to raife upon tea in future above 160,000l. so that there would be a falling off of at least 600,000l. per annum. His reason for lowering the duty at all, was, that he might take away the temptation to imuggling, by diminishing the profits of it; but there was no occasion, in order to effect this purpole, to take off the whole of the duty: the nature of the illicit trade was pretty well understood; the market price of tea in the foreign markets was well known: it was well known also, that the price of insurance, in fo hazardous a trade, and the freight, were about 25l. per cent, to the shore; that the insurance for the inland carriage on it in this kingdom was about 10l. per cent more; and the profit upon the whole he would not reckon at more than five per cent. because the voyage from the continent to England might be very often repeated in the course of the year, so that the 51. per cent. might, upon the whole of the smuggler's capital, be reckoned in the end at 40l per cent. per ann. From the expences attending the lea and inland infurances, freight and profit, it was clear that the smuggler must sell at 401. per cent. above the prime cost. Now his plan was to take off all the

the excise duty on tea, and impose a custom duty of 121. 10s. on Bohea tea; this, he apprehended, would ruin the fmuggling trade in that article; on the finer kind of teas he would lay higher duties; 15l. per cent. on Souchong, &c.; 20l. on Singlo and Hylon, and 30l. on Congo. The quantity of tea legally imported into this kingdom, appeared from the Company's fales; the quantity fold for exportation at Canton in China was eafily afcertained; the confumption of the different countries on the continent was pretty well known, which deducted from the quantity fold at Canton, it was evident that the rest must be brought into England, and from this calculation he estimated the home consumption at 13,000,000 of pounds. There was another way of estimating it. reckoned the people of England to be in number 6,000,000 in which calculation he knew he was confiderably under the mark; of these, about 2,000,000 would, according to his plan, be relieved from the payment of the present duty on tea, without being obliged to contribute a farthing towards the tax which he should propose as a substitution: the other four millions he calculated, would, one with another, confume 3lb. of tea each in the year; for each pound of which they pay at present, on an average, 2s. 7d. duty: the duty, or the principal part of it, being taken off, they could afford to pay to a substituted tax, which he proposed to raise in this way: On every house with 7 windows, and which house was also rated to the house-tax, he intended to lay an additional tax of 3s. and fo on charging 8s. for every house of 8 windows, nine shillings for those of 9 windows; half a guinea for those of 10. and fo on, adding half a crown for each window up to 24; and still rising up to 180 windows, for which 201. per ann. should be paid over and above the duty at present paid on windows and houses: this would produce above 700,000l. so that with the new duty on tea, the produce would be near 900,000l. By this plan the public revenue would be confiderably a gainer, and yet the people would have no reason to complain of additional burdens, as they would be favers by the plan. For instance, a house which should be rated at 10s. 6d. would have a number of inhabitants sufficient to confume 7lb. of tea at 7s. per pound, which would come to Il. 5s. rod. the whole of which being taken off, and, in lieu of it, a tax of 10s. 6d. being laid on, there would be a faving to the family by this new mode of 15s. 4d. In England, Scotland, and Wales, there were 682,077 houses, which might be divided into the following different classes;

Under

Under 7 windows each — 286,296
From 8 to 10 ditto — 211,483
11 ditto — 38,324
12 to 13 — — 24,919
14 to 19 — — 67,652
20 and upwards — 52,652
In Scotland — 17,734

Of these, about 200,000, as being excused from the house tax, would pay nothing to this new tax, and the inhabitants. being the poorer fort, would be delivered from the duty on tea. The great benefit then that would arise from this new regulation, would be, in the first-place, the checking, or rather the absolute ruin, of the smuggling trade - Another benefit would be, that the fair trader would be relieved in a great measure from the disagreeable visits of excise officers: the East-India Company would also be benefited in an eminene degree, by having to supply the whole kingdom with tea. when sinuggling should be got under, instead of less than one half: or in other words, would find a vent for 125000,000 of pounds of tea, instead of 5,500,000; and two happy confequences would flow from this circumstance; that the Company would be enabled to take twenty more large ships into their service, and find employment for 2000 additional seamen. a circumstance in itself of great national importance: a plan therefore, which had all this to recommend it, and which would increase the public revenue, at the same time that it made a faving to the People, would, he hoped, meet the approbation of the House, and of the nation at large. He forefaw two objections might be started to the plan; one was, that the India Company having the market exclusively to themselves, might avail themselves of the monopoly to raise the prices of tea. To this objection he answered, that he did not believe they would do so, because it would obviously be their interest not to do it; for as the smugglers now carried on their trade against the high duties, in this case they would carry it on against the high prices, and that illicit trade would he restored, which it was now the wish of the Company to restrain and destroy. But not to trust to the discretion of the Company, or leave the People at their mercy, he would propose, that if ever the price of tea at the Company's sales should exceed a given price, then the ports of the kingdom should be thrown open for the importation of tea from the Another objection was, that where a person might happen to have more houses than one or two, it would. be a hardship upon him to make them pay for them all; in such a case, he proposed that he should pay for two of them; and even then, he said, the owner would be a gainer by the plan. He concluded by moving, "That the duties of customs "and excise payable on teas, do cease and determine."

Mr. Eden faid, that it furely was neither unmanly nor unbecoming to feel a jealoufy respecting fair and honest pretensions to the public estimation; when, therefore, he and others had exercifed their utmost industry, in stating and explaining to Parliament, in a printed Report, various measures for the purpose of introducing a new system of. taxation, and particularly submitted to consideration some months ago, every circumstance which the right honourable gentleman had now brought forward, it was unpleasant and grating to him to be told this day, that the proposition was " in a great degree new." It was, however, a fufficient fatisfaction to him to make this remark, and having taken that satisfaction, he would proceed to assure the right honourable gentleman, in perfect and chearful cordiality, that if the measure in view, when brought into the House, should not appear more impracticable than it at present appeared, he would gladly give it every affiftance; and the right honourable gentleman must prepare himself in such undertakings, to receive affiftance from every quarter. For his measure, though possibly not impracticable, was full of difficulties, liable to create much public fermentation. and certain to be the subject of many long debates in that House. There was a merit above all praise in risquing fuch an enterprize without absolute necessity, and in superadding the proposed new tax to the various taxes which a few days must bring forward - A revolution was to be made in a fingle article of taxation, which at present produced 700,000l. a year. The loss was to be compensated by a new tax, which would affect the whole domestic œconomy of the kingdom: perhaps the change would be advantageous to every honest housekeeper, but it was a subject on which men's reasonings would much vary. The illicit venders and purchasers of tea were well known to be very numerous, otherwise the whole proposition would be idle-In short, it was a measure highly interesting to every class and description of mankind within the King's dominions, and involving confiderations of revenue, navigation, and public credit. It feemed right too to apprize the right honourable gentleman of a matter which had escaped Vol. XV. H h

him, and which, perhaps, was the only important point to which he had not adverted - All the foreign companies of Europe had recently imported large quantities of tea; it was well known that they were now importing farther quantities, and to an immense amount; in truth, the foreign companies were now regularly applied to the abominable purpose of smuggling into England the fruits of all the rapine which was practifed in the East Indies. Under this accumulation of teas on the continent of Europe, the right honourable gentleman must prepare himself for some disappointment; the continent produced but few consumers of tea; and what could not be confumed, would be fmuggled into this country, even at the lowest prices, and under a great loss upon the prime cost. The lowering of our duties would not prevent it, for it must either be sold to us, or thrown into the sea. Gentlemen, therefore, must not flatter themselves; the experiment might be right. but it was at a certain expence, and with precarious effects. Mr. Eden added his hope, that the bill, though a tax bill, and the feveral papers on which it was grounded. would be printed (to which the Chancellor of the Exchefeemed to affent.) He also suggested a wish, that with respect to the houses of the lowest classes, there could be some mode proposed instead of an additional tax on windows, the effect of which was shocking to health and hu, manity, as it induced the people to exclude the light and As to the proposed plan of admitting foreign teas. whenever the Company should raise their price above a certain standard, he observed that this would be a very incompetent fecurity; for if the plan should succeed, foreign companies would cease to import teas in any quantity that might enable them to refift the monopoly of the East-India Company - He recommended, therefore, that a plan for advantageous to the Company, and so expensive to individuals, should be made the subject of a contract, under which the Company should be compelled to furnish teas at a stipulated price.

Mr. Demp-

Mr. Dempster said, there could only have happened one circumstance to have made him approve of the plan just opened by the right honourable gentleman more highly than he did, and that was, to have first seen a petition presented by the East-India Company, praying that such a plan might be adopted. Had that been the case, he was persuaded the Company would have gladly contracted with the Public,

lic, and have agreed to ferve them at the price flated in the calculation of the right honourable gentleman.

Mr. Bamber Gascoyne made a few observations on what Mr. Bamber Mr. Pitt had fuggefted — He pointed out the probability of Gascoyne. persons who lived in houses, with only eight or nine windows stopping up two or three, in order to come within the tax imposed on the house within a smaller number. He also suggested the necessity of some regulation respecting the two Universities, the Inns of Court, and the Royal hofpitals, in each of which, it was well known, confiderable quantities of tea were confumed.

Mr. Baring alked whether the drawback was to be allow- Mr. Baring. ed as heretofore upon teas exported; because, if that was not the case, the exportation of teas from Great Britain and Ireland, to Spain, Portugal, &c. would be very confiderably affected, and foreign exporters of teas would be

enabled to undersel us.

Mr. Alderman Newnham faid, he rose to give the Chan- Mr. Aldercellor of the Exchequer his tribute of applause for the plan man Newshe had that day proposed; he begged, however, to remind the right honourable gentleman, that there was in and about the metropolis, certain places where very large quantities of tea were confumed, much more than could be confumed in any private house, let it be ever so large—It would be neceffary, therefore, to make some regulations with respect to those places. Another matter that struck him was, the houses of opulent farmers that paid no house duty; he saw no reason why this should be, but if the new tax was to be governed by the house duty it would certainly be the cafe.

Mr. Chancellor Pitt rose to explain - He faid all houses Mr. Chanwould pay three shillings at the lowest rate, and that this cellor Pitt, tax was not to be governed by the house tax. With regard to what had been mentioned by the honourable magistrate, of certain places near town where great quantities of tea were confumed, proper regulations respecting them would be put into the bill, either by additional licences to fell tea, or in some other way. Regulations would also be found in it, applicable to the Inns of Court, and the other buildings mentioned by another right honourable gentleman, with a variety of subordinate provisions that he had omitted to take notice of in his former speech. In answer to what had fallen from an honourable gentleman relative to drawbacks, he faid, drawbacks would be allowed as usual.

H b 2

Lord

Digitized by Google

Ld. Maken Lord Mahon took notice of what had been dropt by Mr. Eden respecting the quantity of tea in the possession of the foreign companies, and faid, that however it might affect the immediate success of the measure, it shewed its importance and necessity, and proved his right honourable friend acted wisely, in endeavouring to knock imuggling on the head at one blow. At this moment his Lordship inadvertently hit Mr. Pitt, who sat directly beneath him, a blow on the head, a circumstance which caused an immoderate fit of laughter. I

Mr. Chancellor Pitt.

Mr. Chancellor Pitt said, that the noble Lord had a peculiar right to speak on this subject, having originally fuggested the reduction of duties as beneficial to the revenue.

Mr. Eden.

Mr. Eden said it was true, the noble Lord had first suggested the reduction of duties, but it was such a reduction as no man would follow, in the present state of the public finances. The reduction now brought forwards, was a reduction of high duties, accompanied and supplied by a sub-Ititution of new duties; the idea was not new in the memory of any man, but it had first been patronized by the late Chancellor of the Exchequer (Lord J. Cavendish) under whose auspices the late Revenue Committee had begun their enquiry.

Mr. Chancellor Pitt replied, that a mere reduction of ducellor Pitt. ties was not quite so incompatible with the state of the revenue as the right honourable gentleman supposed, for his late noble predecessor had tried it in two instances with great success, especially in the coffee duties.

Mr. Sheridan.

Mr. Sheridan said, the credit of this plan was neither due to the noble Lord nor the right honourable gentleman, as he must well know himself, because he could not be ignorant, that Lord John Cavendish had it in his intention to do the very fame thing; nay, had the right honourable gentleman asked for them, he would have given him two bills ready drawn upon the subject. Mr, Sheridan said farther, that it was not ingenuous in the right honourable gentleman to assume a merit which he must know did not belong to him, fince he might have found traces of the bills alluded to in

Mr. Chan-

Mr. Chancellor Pitt perfifted in it that Lord Mahon ought cellor Pitt. to have the credit of having first mentioned, and meritorioully contended for the principle of raising the revenue, by lowering the duties of customs and excise, at a time when fuch fuch a suggestion was not remarkably well received — Mr. Pitt acknowledged, that he had heard that the last Administration had ideas in some degree correspondent to those he had that day had the honour to communicate to the Committee; but he declared, it was not till on Saturday last that he had heard it, and then from a gentleman, who said, he had delivered two papers upon the subject to a former Secretary of the Treasury, while in office, but that he had found it-absolutely impossible to get those papers back again.

Mr. Sheridan, in reply, faid, it was evident the papers he Mr. Shericalluded to, and which certainly had been delivered to him, dan, were of no very material importance, fince the right honourable gentleman had not only never asked for them, but had been able to do so extremely well without them.

Sir Peter Burrell asked if gentlemen were to pay equally Sir Peter for their house in town and their house in the country? be-Bursell, cause as their family could not live in both at one and the same time, he thought it an oppression to make them pay a double composition for the duties on tea.

Mr. Chancellor Pitt in answer, put an extreme case — Mr. Chan-Supposing a person had a house with 180 windows in it in cellor Pitt. the country, and a house with forty windows in town, he would pay twenty pounds new tax for the former, and seven pounds for the latter, and taking his consumption of tea at sifty persons in his family, he would save exactly forty-seven pounds, out of which he could surely afford to pay twenty-seven.

Mr. Sheridan faid, to take an extreme case was not a fair Mr. Sheriway of answering the honourable Baronet's question.

Mr. Chancellor Pitt then put another case, of a more mo-Mr. Chanderate fort, and stated, that there would be a saving even upon that.

Mr. Huffey faid, if the plan could be carried into effect Mr. Huffey. he would be glad, and would give it his support; but he could not go with the right honourable gentleman in all his calculations.

Mr. Sheridan asked, if the tax was meant to be com- Mr. Sheripulsory?

Mr. Chancellor Pitt immediately answered, Undoubtedly Mr. Chanit was.

Mr. Dempster was desirous of having it laid partly upon Mr. Dempwindows, and partly upon houses. Mr. Dempster stated, state in Holland every tax was optional, the tea tax especially.

ally, each family taking out a licence to drink tea; and if convicted of drinking it without having taken out such licence, they were liable to a very severe penalty.

Mr. Jenkin

Mr. Jenkinson admitted the fact to be as the honourable gentleman had stated it, and agreed, that in Holland, the taxes were optional, but he said that plan had never succeeded here. The plate tax was of that species, and every gentleman knew it produced such a mere trifle, that it was repealed as a profitles tax. Mr. Jenkinson said, the window tax was one of the most accurate we had, and the house tax one of the most inaccurate; to alter the new tax, therefore, as the honourable gentleman had proposed, would be to abandon a certainty for an uncertainty.

Some farther conversation then took place between Mr. Chancellor Pitt, Mr. Rolle, Lord Mahon, Lord Surrey,

Mr. Sheridan, &c.

Mr. Chan- Mr. Chancellor Pitt moved, and it was refolved, That cellor Pitt. a duty of twelve pounds ten shillings per centum, ad valorem, be paid upon the importation of all Bonea tea into Creat Britain.

Resolved, That a duty of twenty-five pounds per centum, ad valorem, be paid upon the importation of all Souchong and Pekoe tea into Great Britain.

Resolved, That a duty of thirty pounds per centum, advalorem, be paid upon the importation of all Singlo tea into Great Britain.

Resolved, That a duty of sisteen pounds per centum, ad valorem, be paid upon the importation of all Hyson tea into Great Britain.

Resolved, That a duty of forty pounds per cenium, ad valorem, be paid upon the importation of all Congou tea into Great Britain.

Resolved, That for and upon every dwelling house, inhabited, which now is, or hereaster shall be crected within the kingdom of Great Britain, having less than seven windows or lights, and which is now subject to the duty of three shillings, there shall be charged the additional yearly sum of three shillings.

7 windows, the additional yearly fum of fix shillings.

8 windows, eight shillings.

9 windows, ten shillings and fixpence.

10 windows, thirteen shillings.

11 windows, fifteen shillings and sixpeace.

12 windows, eighteen shillings.

13 win-

- 13 windows, one pound one hilling.
- 14 windows, one pound five shillings.
 15 windows, one pound ten shillings.
- 16 windows, one pound fifteen shillings.

17 windows, two pounds.

18 windows, two pounds five shillings.

- 19 windows, two pounds ten shillings.
- 20 windows, two pounds fifteen shillings.

21 windows, three pounds.

- 22 windows, three pounds five shillings.
- 23 windows, three pounds ten shillings.
- 24 windows, three pounds fifteen shillings.
- 25 windows, or not more than 29, four pounds.
- 30 windows, and not more than 34, four pounds tens shillings.

35 windows, and not more than 39, five pounds.

- 40 windows, and not more than 44, five pounds tex
 - 45 windows, and not more than 49, fix pounds.
- go windows, and not more than 54, fix pounds ten
 - 55 windows, and not more than 59, seven pounds.
- 60 windows, and not more than 64, seven pounds
 - be windows, and not more than 60, eight pounds.
- 70 windows, and not more than 74, eight pounds ten
 - 75 windows, and not more than 79, nine pounds.
- 80 windows, and not more than 84, nine pounds ten shillings.
 - B5 windows, and not more than 89, ten pounds.
- 90 windows, and not more than 94, ten pounds ten shillings.
 - 95 windows, and not more than 99, eleven pounds.
- ... 100 windows, and not more than 109, twelve pounds.
 - 110 windows, and not more than 119, thirteen pounds.
 - 120 windows, and not more than 129, fourteen pounds.
 - 130 windows, and not more than 130, fifteen pounds. 240 windows, and not more than 140, fixteen pounds.
 - 150 windows, and not more than 150, feventeen pounds.
 - 160 windows, and not more than 169, eighteen pounds.
 - 170 windows, and not more than 179, nineteen pounds.
 - 180 windows, and upwards, twenty pounds.

Ordered,

Ordered, That a bill or bills be brought in, upon the faid resolutions; and that Mr. Gilbert, Mr. Chancellor of the Exchequer, Mr. Buller, the Marquis of Graham, Mr. Edward-James Eliot, Mr. Aubrey, Mr. Attorney General, Mr. Solicitor General, Mr. Steele, and Mr. Rose, do prepare and bring in the same.

June 22.

Mr. Eden, as Chairman of the Select Committee appointed to examine into the Reports of the Directors of the East-India Company, delivered a Report on the statement presented to the House by the Directors of the Company. It was ordered to be printed.

June 23. .

A short conversation took place relative to the purchase

of Sir Gregory Page Turner's house.

Mr. Dempster, agreeable to his notice, rose to bring un-Mr. Dempder the confideration of the House the situation of this country in relation to its finances, and, he faid, he would do it in as few words as possible. In order to be concise. he would even run the risque of being obscure, as he hoped he needed only to state what our condition really was, to incite the House to proceed as soon as possible to take fuch measures for the national relief, as to their wifdom should appear proper. Our funded debt he stated at two hundred and thirty millions; and our unfunded debt he calculated would amount to thirty millions, without the bottom of the war expences being wound up, besides nine millions navy bills, and other debts, that would make the whole amount to two hundred and ninety millions; the yearly interest of which would take fourteen millions to discharge: now our national estate, including malt and land tax, and the whole of the finking fund, amounted only to thirteen millions two hundred thousand pounds; so that there would remain eight hundred thousand pounds to be provided annually to make good the interest. This was a very ferious fituation, and fuch as must give every friend to his country great pain to observe; but at the same time it was highly necessary that some means or other should be suggested and taken, in order to extricate us from the difficulties in which our present circumstances involved us. One way of lightening our burdens certainly was, by reducing our

our peace establishment even lower than they stood at the beginning of the war. To such a position he had not the smallest objection; but still something more must be done. to give the country effectual relief, which could only arise from paying off a part of the national debt. He wished therefore to call the attention of the House to that point. and to shew them how much might be done by the application of a fingle million yearly. According to a calculation made by that accurate calculator, Dr. Price, it appeared, that by the laying bye of a million annually, and facredly and religiously applying it to paying off a part of the national debt, provided the three per cents, were changed to four per cents. (which were much more easily paid off than the three per cents.) two hundred and fixty-feven millions might be paid off in fixty years; so that His present Majesty, (if his life lasted to about the same length that many of his ancestors had lived to) would in his lifetime have the comfort of feeing his People relieved from all the burdens and expences brought upon them by the American war; and the Heir Apparent, whose reign it was to be hoped would be a long one, would live to fee the whole of the debt cleared. Mr. Dempster said farther, that according to the calculations of Baron Mazeres, it appeared, that if the plan of laying bye a million a year, was adopted and purfued for twenty years, and the country was then under the necessity of desisting from it, that those twenty millions, with the money provided to pay the interest of that part of the national debt, that was paid off from time to time, appropriated to the same purpose, would in fifty-seven years discharge the debt. Mr. Dempster quoted the authority of Mr. Sinclair, in corroboration of his argument. declaring, that Mr. Sinclair had very fenfibly and clearly shewn in his book what might be done by putting in practice such a scheme as he had mentioned — He said farther. that in order to carry the plan into effect, Commissioners ought to be speedily appointed: he would not then move for fuch a Committee, but if no Minister did in the course of the next session, insignificant as he was, he would himfelf make fuch a motion - The fooner it was done the better, and he believed it was in the power of the right honourable Chancellor of the Exchequer to begin it even that year, for the East-India Company already stood indebted to the Public a million; let that million therefore be taken as a beginning, and let the fystem be regularly pursued -Vol. XV. Mr.

Mr. Dempster enlarged on the necessity for doing something, and declared every fyllable he had faid proceeded fole-'ly from the fincere wish he had to see the country extricated from its difficulties — He had no other motive than to prepare the minds of the Public to bear the heavy new taxes that he feared must be imposed on them, by shewing them that they were unavoidable, and that if some plan was not immediately adopted for the diminishing of the national debt, they could have no hope of being ever relieved from their burdens. He hoped, he faid, never to hear of a fponge, as the only means of paying off the national debt; every man in the country ought to take the last shilling out of his pocket, sooner than suffer such a stab to the credit. and fuch a diffrace to the honour of Great Britain. ing faid thus much, he would speak more immediately to a motion he meant to make before he fat down, and that was, a motion for a Committee to be appointed to enquire into the state of our fisheries, and our commerce; he wished also that the Committee might have power to extend its inquiries to our navigation. At present commerce was heavily burdened, through the clogs put upon our navigation, particularly in that part of the kingdom to which he more immediately belonged; there a veffel could scarcely cross a creek, without being put to as much expence and inconvenience for papers to warrant her failing, as if the was clearing out for a long and extensive voyage abroad. Mr. Dempfter pointed out the absurdity of several of our custom-house regulations, where, in respect to many commodities, a shipper who was about to fend a cargo coastwife, was obliged to swear that he would not carry the cargo abroad, although if he had entered it for exportation, he would have been entitled to a drawback on the same identical commo-In Scotland, however, the difficulties upon merchants and shippers of goods were infinitely greater, in consequence of there not being at any of the Scotch custom houses any table of established fees. Mr. Dempster difcuffed these points a good deal, and at length, after making an apology for having taken up so much of the time of the House, concluded with a motion for the appointment of a Committee to enquire into the state of our fisheries, navigation and commerce.

Mr. Chan-

Mr. Chancellor Pitt said, he trusted the state of the councellor Pitt. try, in respect to its finance, was felt by them all, and felt by the country in general, sufficiently to render it unnecessary for for him to fay any thing by way of strengthening the impresfion; neither did any thing the honourable gentleman had faid on that head require any very minute discussion at that mo-He gave his hearty affent to the difinterested motives claimed by the honourable gentleman for the conduct he had held that day; and he gave him likewife his hearty thanks for the manner in which he had brought the subject forward. was most undoubtedly true, that, without finding a surplus" for the Sinking Fund, it would be impossible for this country to look for relief; to the provision of that surplus his views had been directed from the first moment that he was able to confider a question of finance; and fince additional taxes must unavoidably be laid on the Public, he trusted a general spirit would be shewn, and that the People were determined manfully to look their fituation in the face, and cheerfully to bear those burdens, heavy as they unfortunately were, which the exigency of affairs rendered absolutely unavoidable. There was one affertion, however, made by the honourable gentleman, in which he could not concur, and that was, his affertion that the whole of the Sinking Fund was absorbed: it was true that the deficiencies of late years had been extremely large, and those deficiencies had been made good out of the Sinking Fund; but the Sinking Fund itself had been gradually improving and increasing for many years. This he thought necessary to declare, lest an idea might go abroad, that our fituation was worse than it really was; and bad indeed should he have thought it, had the fact stood exactly as the honourable gentleman had stated it. With regard to the motion, he feared that in its present form it would open so extensive and boundless a field for inquiry, that it would operate against the purpose for which it was made, and serve only to rivet and fix on the minds of the Public additional proofs of the necessity for regulation and reform in a vast variety of important particulars, without enabling the House to take decifive measures respecting any one of them: he submitted it therefore to the honourable gentleman, whether, in their present situation, it would not be more prudent to narrow the ground of inquiry, and to select some particular object which might be investigated, and, respecting which, regulations might be provided even in the course of the present Many of the points to which the honourable gentleman had drawn the attention of the House, had been for fome time under confideration, and the inquiries respecting feveral of them had proceeded so far, and were so near completion, that fomething was intended to be proposed upon them Ii 2

very shortly: The burdens upon commerce, from Custom-house regulations in particular, had been, as it was well known, long under consideration; the fisheries therefore seemed to him to be the object which it would be proper to select for inquiry.

Ma Demp-

Mr. Dempster rose again, and said, he had no objection, if it was the sense of the House, to alter his motion agreeably to the right honourable gentleman's intimation. Mr. Dempster said, he would not at that time enter into a premature debate upon finance, or, he slattered himself, he could shew, that his affertion respecting the Sinking Fund was well founded.

Mr. Huffey:

Mr. Huffey faid, he was glad his honourable friend had confented to alter his motion as the Chancellor of the Exchequer had advised, because, undoubtedly, had it gone so extensively as it stood at first, it would have been liable to objection. Mr. Huffey supported Mr. Dempster's affertion re-specting the Sinking Fund, observing, that Mr. Dempster had obviously not meant that there was an end of the Sinking Fund, but that the whole of its produce had of late been applied in aid of the taxes provided to raise the money to pay the interest of the national debt. Mr. Hussey reasoned upon the necessity of paying off a part of that debt, as the only possible means of obtaining relief from our burdens. He approved of the idea of appointing a Committee for that purpose, declaring it would be extremely wrong to trust those with the management of the discharge of the debt, who had been inftrumental in its accumulation. He threw out a hint. that one way to leffen the debt would be to lower the interest; this, he said, he was aware could not be done without the confent of the public creditors; but he hoped every body would concur in lending a hand to fo necessary a work, and that the creditor would be willing to take less interest.

Mr. Chancellor Pitt. Mr. Chancellor Pitt rose immediately, and said, much as he thought the particular application to the consideration of all questions of finance, that distinguished the honourable gentleman who spoke last, deserved the applause and the thanks of that House and the country, and sincerely as he respected every opinion of that honourable gentleman on financial subjects, he could not suffer a single word to fall from that honourable gentleman, at which his feelings and his judgement revolted, without rising to take some notice of it. So far was he from according with the opinion just hinted by the honourable gentleman, that although he was

most sincerely anxious for the diminution and discharge of the national debt, he hoped a means of effecting those defirable purposes might be found, proceeding upon principles consistent not only with the national credit, in the technical sense of the word credit, but consistent likewise with the national honour; and that no idea of lowering the interest of the public creditor, or of consenting to lower it, even should the public creditor be willing to accede to such an alteration, would ever be entertained, much less proceeded upon.

Mr. Hussey declared Mr. Pitt had afforded him great plea-Mr. Hussey, fure, and affured that right honourable gentleman, that he had thrown out the hint with no other view, but that he (Mr. Pitt) might have an opportunity of faying what had fallen from him, so much he was convinced, to the satisfac-

tion of every man who had heard him.

The motion was amended as follows: "That'a Com"mittee be appointed to inquire into the state of the Bri"tith fisheries, and into the most effectual means for their
"improvement, and report the same from time to time,
"with their opinions, to the House." It was then put and carried.

Mr. Eden moved for several lists to be prepared by the Mr. Eden. Board of Excise in London, respecting persons who pay duties for wheel carriages and fervants, and also respecting the profecutions of defaulters, viz. A lift of persons who have paid the duty on carriages; a lift of persons who have paid the duty on fervants for 1781, 1782, and 1783; a lift of persons who, having paid those duties up to 1783, have discontinued the same; and also a list of those against whom profecutions have been raifed. He apprifed the House that, though motions nearly fimilar had passed unanimoully in the late festion, no return had been made by the Commissioners of Excise, and he much seared that the prefent motion would prove equally unfuccessful. Gentlemen were not, however, to infer, that the Board of Excise shewed any disrespect to the orders of the House; it would be a suspicion which was very unmerited. The truth was, that from the manner in which the accounts were kept, the General Office in London was unable to bring fuddenly into an alphabetical arrangement, all the returns of the country collections. This perhaps was a defect in the system of managing these particular duties, which ought to be corrected. In the mean time, in repeating the motions of the

last session, he marked his respect to the late Revenue Committee, under whose orders he had made the original motion; and he also had an opportunity of calling the attention of the Public to a slagrant and obvious failure in the payment of the duties alluded to: many frauds, to a great amount, had already been reported in the wheel-carriage duty; and as to the duty on male servants, it seemed sufficient to remark, that in the whole kingdom, containing perhaps 7,000,000 of inhabitants, duties were paid for only 40,000 servants.

Sir James Johnstone. Sir James Johnstone defired the right honourable gentleman to extend the motions to the Scotch Commissioners also.

Mr. Eden.

Mr. Eden thanked him for the hint; but faid, that in justice to the Scotch Commissioners he ought to have informed the House, that the Scotch returns had been made regularly and alphabetically during the late session, and within three or four weeks after the order of the House was given; perhaps this punctuality was to be attributed to the very small number of names included in the lists; but he had not yet had time to examine that circumstance.

The motions then passed.

Capt. John Luttrell.

Captain John Luttrell moved for 1600l, to be given to Mr. Philips for discovering the powder for killing infects aboard of ships. He stated all the testimonials in favour of the discovery; the reward heretofore voted; the lingering expectation in which the poor man had been kept when that reward was suspended in the House of Lords; and moved to refer the report to the Committee of Supply.

Mr. Eden seconded the motion, and a short debate took place; after which the report was ordered to be re-com-

mitted.

Mr. Eden.

Mr. Eden called the attention of the House to the bill for extinguishing the claims made by the rope and soap manufactories of Glasgow from customs and duties, and for making compensation in lieu thereof: he said that he had satisfied himself of its intrinsic propriety; but it would be a neglect of duty not to observe, that there was a great defect in the conduct of it; the bill was founded upon the Report of a Committee, and purported to give a specific sum in compensation of the claim; and yet in that Report there was no statement of accounts, nor any circumstance to guide the judgement of the House as to any sum from 400l. to 40,000l.

The

The Lord Advocate said, he was happy the right honour-The Lord able gentleman was satisfied of the propriety of the bill, Advocate. because he had originally opposed it with an intimation which the mover of the bill had not merited. His Lordship then stated the nature of the claim, its extent and importance, the doubts of the Judges respecting it, and the reasonableness of the sum proposed to be given.

Mr. Eden replied, that the propriety of voting the fpe-Mr. Eden, cific fum of 4500l. was as much unexplained as ever; having, however, entered his caveat against this mode of proceeding, he was satisfied in this instance to give his confidence to Government, and to those who introduced the bill. As to the intimation of which the learned Lord complained, he would repeat, that when a learned Lord, a few days after taking his seat for Glasgow, moved a bill to give 4500l. to Glasgow, in compensation of an unsettled claim, he thought it merited notice; more especially, as other Scotch claims, the abolition of which had been strongly recommended by the Revenue Committee, were suffered to remain untouched.

Mr. Dundas now explained the whole business at length, Mr. Dunand shewed, that the sum demanded was peculiarly rea-das. fonable.

Mr. Eden replied, that all his doubts had been removed Mr. Eden. by such good evidence; but it served to shew, that farther evidence had been wanting.

Sir James Johnstone said, he rejoiced in this matter being Sir James settled so well, as he must confess, that such a motion from Johnstone. the representative of Glasgow had had an ugly appearance, and he had determined to vote against it.

The bill then went through the Committee.

June 24.

The House went into a Committee on the bill for enabling Sir Ashton Lever to sell his Museum by Lottery, Mr. Stanley in the chair — Mr. Gascoyne, jun. under whose patronage the bill was introduced, undertook the office of moving the different particulars, with which the blanks were to be filled up.

The Earl of Surrey thinking the fum of forty-two thousand The Earl of pounds at which the Museum had been estimated, was Surrey. more than it was really worth; and apprehensive that the

Public

Public might be induced to think that it was really worth forty-two thousand pounds, if Parliament was to give its fanction to the raifing of fuch a fum by forty thousand tickets at one guinea a ticket; moved, that the blank for the fum to be raised, be filled up with the words twenty-one thoufand pounds.

Mr. Gas-

Mr. Gascoyne, jun. did not apprehend that the House, by coyne, jun. filling up the blank with the words forty-two thousand pounds, would give the Public any opinion whatever of the real value of the Museum; all that was meant, was to restrain Sir Ashton from raising more than forty-two thousand pounds, but he would be at liberty to raise as much under as he could: so that he might, if he should find it necessary, reduce either the number or the price of tickets. After some ·little conversation, the motion made by the Earl of Surrey was negatived without a division - His Lordship next moved, that the blank be filled up with the words thirty-one thoufand five hundred pounds, and upon this motion the Committee divided:

Ayes,

Majority,

The blank was then filled up on the motion of Mr. Gafcoyne, jun. with the original sum, forty-two thousand pounds. By a clause in the bill, the Museum is to be vested in trustees, for the benefit of the fortunate adventurers in the Lottery. Some farther conversation took place on the bill.

Mr. Chan-

Mr. Chancellor Pitt called the attention of the House to edler Pitt. an important part of the business of the East-India Company; a state of the Company's finances had been laid before the House, and referred to a Committee, whose Report was now printing for the use of members: until gentlemen should have had time to confider that Report attentively, he did not mean to bring any question before them relative to the Company; but a circumstance which had come to his knowledge that day, made it necessary for him to make a motion to which. however, he did not expect any opposition. By a late act of Parliament the Company were restrained, when their bond debt exceeded a certain sum, which it did at present, from making any dividend at all without the leave of Parliament; now he understood, that if any dividend was made, it must be declared before the end of the present month: gentlemen would fee, therefore, the necessity of passing a bill with all poffible possible expedition; to give the Company leave to make a dividend; for though, perhaps, some gentlemen might differ as to the quantum of the dividend, no man could wish that there should be no dividend at all: for his part, considering that the credit of the Company, and perhaps of something more than the Company, was at stake, he, for one, would be for making that dividend eight per cent. for the half year now due, however it might be the intention of the House to reduce it in future. He then moved for leave to bring in a bill for enabling the East-India Company to make a dividend for this last half year.

Mr. Eden felt the aukwardness of the present circumstances Mr. Edea. of the case; for one of these two things must happen, that no dividend at all must be made, or that the House should proceed, without having before them the state of the Company's affairs, to the quantum of a dividend: if the occasion was not so pressing, the delay of a few days would give gentlemen time to peruse the Report of the Committee, to whom the accounts presented by the Court of Directors had been referred. to the idea of allowing eight per cent. in the present state of the Company's affairs, he thought it very unreasonable; for it was strange indeed, that the proprietors should in the most embaraffed state of their affairs, divide as much as in a moment of prosperity. For his own part, he had been of opinion for some time past, that even six per cent. was a stretch; but as he knew there were a number of proprietors totally unconnected with party, and of moderate fortunes, he would not punish them for the faults of others; and therefore he was willing to allow fix per cent.; but he could not confent to stretch the dividend to eight.

Mr. Chancellor Pitt expressed a wish that the right hon. Mr. Chanourable gentleman would not urge this discussion farther in cellor Pitt. the present stage of the bill; it would of course be tendered to the House with a blank for the proposed sum; and he certainly felt disposed, until the affairs of the Company could be considered, to give the ordinary dividend, as he might otherwise feem to prejudge opinions on the affairs of the Company, and

to firike at public credit.

Mr. Eden observed, in explanation, that what had fallen Mr. Eden from the right honourable gentleman and from himfelf might mislead both the House and the Public, if he did not apprize them that eight per cent. was by no means the ordinary dividend, or to be confidered as a claim of justice: — the reverse was the fact. - In times confessedly prosperous, the Company had often divided only fix per cent. During the last twenty Vol. XV. Κk years.

Digitized by GOOGLE

years he believed that their dividend had been oftner fix per cent. than any other fum: and though it might be the difposition of the moment for the Public to shut their eyes on this subject, the day would come when there would not be two opinions in the kingdom as to the improvidence, injustice and impropriety of what was now proposed. It might be a small sum, but it was subversive of the most sacred public

Mr. Dundas

principles. Mr. Dundas rose to deprecate the right honourable gentleman's going into any discussion of the state of the Company's affairs, the next day, any more than on the occasion Till the day arrived, which the House had apthen present. pointed for the confideration of the Report of the Select Committee, Mr. Dundas said, the subject could not be touched. without at the same time prejudging facts that ought not to be prejudged, and fetting opinions affoat that ought not to be hazarded or delivered without good foundation, and without certainty. With regard to the mere question, whether the Company should be allowed to divide four per cent. or three on the last half year, if gentlemen would but reflect for a moment on what had occurred in that House but a very short time ago, there could not, he imagined, remain a fingle doubt how the House ought to decide. But a few months since, when the East-India bill, that had made so much noise in the world, and on the subject of which a great deal would undoubtedly be again faid, when that bill was under discussion. was it out of the recollection of gentlemen, that it came from an high authority, no less authority than the mouth of Sir Henry Fletcher (at that time chairman of the Company) that notwithstanding the then supposed miserable condition of the Company's affairs, (they having been stated to be rapidly verging to a state of actual bankruptcy) their dividend ought not on any account to be less than eight per cent. for the enfuing year, and consequently that it ought not to be less than four per cent, for the then enfuing, but now past, half year. At that time no one Minister existing, nor any of their friends, role up to contradict the position of the Chairman of the East-India Company. Had not the House, therefore, by their acquiescence in what Sir Henry Fletcher had said, given the Proprietors and the Public at large to imagine, that it was their unanimous opinion, that eight per cent. even under the then supposed finking state of the Company's affairs, was the dividend that ought to be made, and that the Company would be allowed to make? And would the House now, when the state of the Company's affairs was somewhat better known, when

when no man, even he or they, who might be inclined to think the worst of their condition, would pretend that the Company was in any danger of bankruptcy, baulk the public expectation, disappoint the Proprietors, and defeat those hopes which the House had been instrumental in raising, by refusing its consent to a proposition for allowing the Company to divide after the rate of eight per cent.? The question, Mr. Dundas said, was very different from a question of what the Company should be allowed to divide in future; that, indeed, was a question that would necesfarily depend on the result of the deliberations the House meant to hold upon the Report that was printing, and which ought not only to be in gentlemen's hands, but in their minds, before they decided upon it. The question now was, whether the Company should be permitted to make a dividend of four per cent. upon the past half year, and the circumstance he had stated, considered, he saw no way whatever in which the House could refuse its consent to the Company's making fuch a dividend, without departing from every principle of confistency, and even of common justice. With regard to the amount of the money to be divided, if a four-per-cent. dividend was permitted, it was a drop of water in a bucket, compared to the accounts in controverly between the East-India Company and those who stated their affairs to be bad. It was not, therefore, in that point of view an object of confideration, even had there not existed the strong reason he had mentioned for allowing a dividend of four per cent. Mr. Dundas concluded with repeating his appeal to the House in general to beware of the delicacy of the present situation of the Company, and by no means, either then or the next day, to enter into a debate on the state of the Company's affairs before they could have the printed Report in their posses. sion, from which alone they could be enabled to form a judgement.

Mr. Smith (Chairman of the Company) stated the great Mr. Smith. inconvenience, and even the possible mischief that might result from the House's resuling its consent to the Company's making a dividend of four per cent. upon the last half year. He said, not only the Proprietors in this country might take an alarm at hearing that the dividend which they had been taught by Parliament itself to expect would be four per cent. was to be no more than three per cent.

Kk 2

hut

but that it might get over to Holland, and be productive of consequences not only satal to the credit of the East-India Company, but satal to the national credit of Great Britain. He hoped, therefore, such an opinion would not be entertained.

Mr.Anftruther.

Mr. Anstruber corroborated the arguments of Mr. Eden, and stated the impossibility of the House's giving its confent to the Company's making a dividend of four per cent. while the consideration of the state of the Company's affairs was pending (and their affairs on all hands were allowed to be greatly involved) unless the House forgot its duty, and abandoned all pretensions to the character of guardians of the public interests of the kingdom — Mr. Anstruther said, that though it was possible the Company's affairs might turn out more prosperous than some gentlemen had imagined, yet it was equally possible that they would appear to be far from flourishing—Would the House, then, run the risque of declaring a larger dividend than the state of the Company's affairs should hereaster be really found to warrant?

Maj, Scott.

Major Scott said, the learned gentleman and he had often fought on the same side, and acted as friends of the East-India Company, and he hoped they should continue to fupport that character: he wished, however, no debate whatever had taken place on the present occasion, because he feared that even the little that had been said might do infinite mischief. With regard to the Report of the Committee, there had lately arrived dispatches over land from Bengal, dated so late as the 7th of Frebruary, which, he understood, brought advices that went to the direct overturning of some of the calculations contained in the Report in question. When he faid this, he meant no infinuation against the Committee; they had not the advices to which he referred before them, and confequently they could not be aware of the facts they contained; but those advices certainly threw a new light on the state of affairs of the Company, and overturned some of the reasoning to be found in the Report of the Committee - The Major thought it highly necessary that the dispaches he had mentioned should be before the House at the same time that they took the Report into their consideration; and, therefore, when the present question was disposed of, he should move that copies of them be laid upon the table. The Major observed farther, that eight per cent. had been the dividend allowed

for several years, and he knew not of any reason why it should be less for the past half year. He particularly dwelt on the Company's having been allowed to divide eight per cent. in the midst of a war with different Indian and European powers; and thought, that being the case, there could be no reasonable objection to their making a similar dividend now they were in a state of prosound and universal peace.

Sir James Johnstone said, the question was not now, Sir James whether the dividend of the Company, for the past half year, Johnstone should be three or sour per cent? but whether leave should

be given to bring in a bill.

deliberation.

Mr. Dempster said, he had no objection to the bringing Mr. Dempin a bill to authorise even a dividend of four per cent. on fter. the past half year, provided the bill was so drawn, as to place the responsibility for making such a dividend on the Directors and the Company, by stating, that the bill passed under fuch peculiar circumstances as rendered it imposible for Parliament to decide, whether the state of the Company's affairs warranted a dividend of four per cent. or not. Mr. Dempster reminded the House, that he had frequently remonstrated against allowing the Company to make dividends of eight per cent. and even of less, from an opinion long fince formed, that the Company's affairs were not in that fort of condition which justified such dividends. With regard to the thirty-one thousand pounds that the dividend would amount to, undoubtedly it was a matter of small confideration in a case where such infinitely larger sums were the subjects of discussion; so much so, that demurring to the dividend, merely upon the amount of it, reminded him of the old ludicrous story of a man who, after having lost thousands at the gaming table, hesitated whether, by way of recovering his circumstances, he ought not to walk home instead of paying a shilling for a coach to carry him thither.

Mr. Chancellor Pitt affured Mr. Dempster, that the bill Mr. Chanwas exactly drawn in the manner he had described, and cellor Pitt. that in its preamble it expressly stated, that the Company were allowed to make a dividend of four per cent. or whatever the House should think proper, Parliament not having had an opportunity of taking the state of their affairs into

Mr. Fox faid, he had but lately come into the House, Mr. Foxbut, from what he had heard of the subject in debate, he learnt

Digitized by Google

learnt that the Company's being allowed to make a dividend of eight per cent. last year, was used as an argument why they ought to be allowed to make the same dividend He begged leave to fay, that no good argument could be drawn from the Company's being allowed to make a dividend of eight per cent. last year. Let the House recollect the circumstances under which the Company had been last year allowed to make such a dividend — The bill had, from unavoidable, but well-known events, been brought in very late in the fession; so late, that it was utterly impossible for the House to go into an examination of the state of the Company's affairs; and it had been at the same time declared, that for that reason, and for that reason only, the Company were allowed to divide after the rate of eight per cent.; but it had also been at the same time expressly declared, that their affairs should be brought under discussion very early in the next session. That promise had been faithfully kept; and therefore the case now and the case last year were not analogous. The state of the Company's affairs was, he hoped, intended to be examined in the course of the present session; till that examination was gone into and completed, it was impossible to fay whether the Company ought to be allowed to divide four per cent. three per cent. one per cent. or any thing per cent. the House recollect the fraudulent accounts of the affairs of the Company that had been presented to them, and let them then ask themselves whether it was prudent, whether it was wife, whether it was confonant to public honesty, which he took to be the same thing as public credit, to permit the Company to make a dividend of four per cent, before that House was assured that the assairs of the Company were in a condition to justify their making such a dividend.

Mr. Fox agreed with Mr. Dundas, that the amount of the dividend was as a drop of water in the ocean, compared with the greater confideration of the Company's affairs in general; but, he faid, it was the principle that he should contend for, and upon that should refuse his consent to a

larger dividend than three per cent,

Mr. Atkin- Mr. Atkinson faid, he could not, as an East-India Director, fit silent and hear the accounts of the state of the Company's affairs stigmatized with the term of fraudulent. It was his duty to controvert and deny so foul an imputation, an imputation that had no foundation whatever. Mr. Atkinson

kinson said farther, that although he had seen the Report of the Committee, in common with his brother Directors, he was not bold enough, from fuch a flight view of it, to vehture to controvert, the whole of it; but he had every feafon' to believe, that when it came to be examined and discussed. much of the argument it contained would be found to proceed from misconception and error, from false facts being flated. from conclusions that were inapplicable being drawn from other facts, and from opinions being hazarded upon matters that men might vary in their fentiments upon, and respecting which matters, as they related to events to happen in future, no correct judgement could be formed till the events themselves took place, and decided between those who had thought differently upon the probable issue of those events. There was nothing, however, in that Report, that went to shake the credibility of the accounts of the state of the Company, that had been presented, or that would be found to prove those accounts to be other than substantially just and true. .

The question was here put and agreed to; after which the bill was brought in, and read a first and a second time—
It was then moved "That it be committed for the next day."

It was ordered accordingly.

Major Scott next stated that dispatches had arrived by Maj. Scott. land from Bengal, containing a letter from the Governor General, dated so low down as Frebruary 7, and stating the accounts of the Company's affairs in India, made up to the end of December, with an estimate of all the expences of the establishments there for five months forward. This the Major thought highly necessary to be upon the table for the information of the House, at the time they took the Report of the Committee into their consideration. He therefore moved for "A copy of a letter dated the 7th of Few bruary, 1784.".—He next moved, "That the said pages pers be printed."

Mr. Dundas expressed some fear, lest the papers should Mr. Dundas be of such length as to be so long in printing that they would not be got ready to deliver to the members in time for them to make themselves fully masters of the contents before the day for taking the Report into consideration should

arrivę.

Major Scott said, he had a copy of the papers he had Maj. Scots, moved for in his hand, and shewed that it might be printed in a few hours.

The

The motion was agreed to.

Major Scott next moved for "A copy of the Governor General's letter to the Directors of the East-India Company of the 16th of December, 1,783;" which was likewise agreed to.

June 25.

The order of the day was read on the House going into a Committee on the bill to allow the East-India Company to make a dividend, and it was moved "That the Speaker do "leave the chair."

Mr. Eden.

Mr. Eden said, that he continued to feel objections to this bill; but as it was his object rather to enter his own protest, than give an unavailing obstruction to what would ultimately have the support of a majority, he would be content to state, what occurred to him in the present stage of the business, and decline any discussion in the farther progress of it. Exclusive of defects in the general principle of the measure, he must observe, that the whole frame of the bill was exceptionable; it recited a doubt, whether the Company could make a dividend without the confent of Parliament-No fuch doubts existed; happily, they were precluded by repeated and positive statutes-The next recital of the bill was, that public credit was concerned in the proposed dividend; he trusted, that public credit rested on broader and more folid foundations than on the dividends of the East-India Proprietors: to remedy this doubt, which did not exist, and to fave the public credit, which was not concerned, the bill proceeded to its enacting clause - Previously, however, it was added in the recital, that the confideration of the state of the Company's affairs was not yet sufficiently before Parliament, and therefore, because Parliament was yet unable to decide what ought to be the dividend, the bill proceeded to authorife the Company specifically to take four per cent. for the current half year. Such were the several premises, and fuch the conclusion! But he did not wish to rest his objections on points of criticism and legal arrangement, however well founded. He would assume, that the measure arose from an anxiety not to prejudge constructively the fituation of the Company, by lowering their dividend upon the mere presenting of the Report of the East-India Committee, and before that Report had undergone the discussion of Parliament. If the House had no information before them but

the Report to which he alluded; he allowed that this defence of the measure would be decisive; but it must not be forgotten, that there had been feveral weeks before Parliament, two Reports from the Directors of the Company; and, without entering into any dispute respecting the wretched detail exhibited in those Reports, he would only observe, that the Reports expressly avowed an inability to pay the customs to the public revenue, to the amount of nine hundred thousand pounds, and an inability also to pay bills on the Company, to the amount of one million four hundred thousand pounds, which bills were drawn for money specifically lent to purchase merchandise for the Company: that very merchandise was now arrived in England, and this clause was to enable the Proprietors to divide the money resulting from the sale of it, though that money was notoriously the property of others. Perhaps a large commercial body like this Company, were intitled to be treated with a degree of tenderness; perhaps the innocent families of many of the proprietors, especially the foreign proprietors, were intitled to as much protection as the House could give; but there were immutable and stubborn principles of justice, and to talk of commercial credit as diftinct or diftinguishable from fair dealing and good faith, was unworthy the character of this country. Gentlemen had faid, it was only a small fum which was asked, a drop of water in the sea; he would only observe on this, that the nature of a thest was not varied because the sum stolen was not large. If it were replied to him, that the proprietors might have some claim for four per cent. during the current half year, as it was possible that they had not foreseen the known circumstances of the present moment; this might have some little weight. He had no wish to push his own sentiments to rigour against any man or fet of men; and though he would not impede the farther progress of the bill, he desired to be considered as of opinion against it in all its parts.

Mr. Gilbert then took the Chair in the Committee,

when

Mr. Chancellor Pilt rose and said, that though the right Mr. Chanhonourable gentleman had not stated arguments which cellor Pitts
weighed sufficiently on his mind to change his opinion, as
to the propriety and expediency of giving a dividend, at the
rate of eight per cent. per annum for the present half year,
and until the Report of the Committee could be duly discussed he felt perfectly disposed to adopt any suggession
Vol. XV.

which might remove objections as to the framing of the bill. With respect to the recital, he confessed that in his own mind he had no doubts about the law at present in force to restrain the dividends to the Company; but having heard others treat it doubtfully, the expression had not struck him as improper. As to the words "pubic credit," in the recital, he would admit that they were exceptionable, and would consent to change them to the words "credit of the "Company:" and with respect to the right honourable gentleman's principal objection to the words authorising a dividend "equal to four per cent. for the half year," he did not think that they differed materially from the words "not "exceeding four per cent;" if, however, the latter expression would be less unsatisfactory, he would not object to admitting it.

Mr. Huffey.

Mr. Huffy thanked the right honourable gentleman for his candour, and faid, that he should have had great objections to the present measure, if it had appeared that there was time to examine the Report of the East India Committee previously, and before it should be necessary to declare the dividend in the quarterly court.

Mr. Éden.

Mr. Eden, in answer to the Chancellor of the Exchequer, faid, it now appearing to be the general sense of the House, that no doubts existed respecting the law on the subject, he felt much fortified in objecting to that clause, and must intreat to have it omitted; and with regard to the authorifing the Directors to make a dividend not exceeding four per cent. it furely was very different from an express permission to make a dividend equal to four per cent. The former expression left a responsibility with the Directors and the Company; and the responsibility ought to rest with them, if it was the sense of the House that Parliament was not yet sufficiently informed to decide. He added, that a dividend of four per cent. for the half year, would fubject the Company to pay for the victualling and stores of the King's ships, there being an act of Parliament for that purpose.

A conversation here arose across the table, between Mr. Pitt; Mr. Dundas, and Mr. Eden, when the alterations described above were admitted, and the bill was afterwards re-

ported, engrossed, and passed.

The order of the day for the House to resolve itself into a Committee of Supply having been read, and the several

army estimates relative to half pay that had been presented, were referred to the consideration of the Committee,

Lord Beauchamp rose, declaring he had a single point to Lord Beausubmit to the attention of the House, and conceived that champ. to be the proper time for mentioning it - His Lordship then stated the extreme hardship incurred by the officers of four regiments lately reduced — Those regiments, he said, it had been generally understood were not intended to be reduced; and in consequence of that supposition, gentlemen had exchanged out of other regiments and purchased commissions in them — At the same time that he said this. he begged not to be understood as in any the smallest degree calling the measure of their reduction into question. or impeaching the exercise of the Royal prerogative in that instance. Certainly the Crown ought to be left in full possession of its undoubted prerogative in all cases whatsoever respecting the army and navy, and as clearly there was no ground for reprehension of Government for having, through a laudable zeal for occonomy, endeavoured to lower the amount of the public expenditure by the reduction of the four regiments in question. The officers of those regiments, therefore, had no right to call upon the justice of the House for relief; but when their long and meritorious services were considered, when the error they had been led into respecting the certainty of their regiments being continued on the establishment was taken into the case, the candour and fairness of gentlemen would admit, that the officers flood within the equity of Parliament, and that it was not an unreasonable expectation on their part to hope that something might be done in their favour. His Lordship said, that no man could be more fensible than he was of the extreme disadvantage a private individual must labour under, who interfered fo far with the executive Government, as to take upon himself the talk of making any propolition relative to any of the neceffary public fervices; but conscious as he was, of the delicacy of fuch an interference, admitting that it was the peculiar prerogative of the Crown to dispose of every thing relative to the army, and that the Crown was the constitutional fountain of grace and honour, and believing that Government meant and wished to do every thing by the Public on that head that was confident with their duty and becoming their station, he could not so far give up his privilege as a member of Parliament, and as one of the repre-L 1 2 fentatives.

fentatives of the People, whose peculiar office and function it was to guard the public purfe, and regulate every thing that bore relation to the public expenditure, as to refrain from bringing the case of the officers of the four regiments to which he had alluded, before the House; and fince their meritorious services, added to the other circumstances that he had touched upon, appeared to him to intitle them to the equitable confideration of Government, he could not help looking to the right honourable gentleman opposite to him with some degree of hope that he should hear Government had it in intention to do something for them.

The Secretary at War (Sir George Yonge) faid, with retury at War. gard to the meritorious services of the officers of the four regiments alluded to by the noble Lord, he was ready to give his full affent to every idea of that kind suggested by the noble Lord, and he could with fafety fay, that as far as . meritorious services intitled officers to the countenance and confideration of Government, no officers had a stronger claim than those in question: what he rose principally for, was, to take notice of one or two points which the noble Lord had touched upon — The noble Lord had said, that it had been understood the four regiments, respecting which he had addressed the House, were not intended to be reduced - In answer to this, it was incumbent upon him to observe, that the scheme of their reduction was not the scheme of the present Administration: perhaps had he had the honour to be in office at the time when the reduction was proposed he should have been the proposer; but the fact was, it was proposed during the existence of another Administration before Christmas - At that time the House adopted the idea, and having thus given their opinion upon it, the present Administration, had they entertained different fentiments upon the subject, would have held themselves bound to obey the sense of Parliament, and · carry every measure into execution that had received their With regard to what the noble Lord had faid, that the Crown was the fountain of grace and favour, it was undoubtedly true, and rewards for meritorious services could not flow from a purer channel; he had no doubt, therefore, but if the case of the officers in question did appear to be so singularly hard as to surnish a strong claim to the particular notice of Government, their claim would not escape due attention.

General

General Burgoyne rose in consequence of what had fallen General from Sir George Yonge, relative to the reduction of Burgoyne. these regiments having been proposed by the late Ministry. The General said, the fact was undoubtedly so; but he reminded the House, that he had himself risen at the time that the idea of reducing them was suggested, and pleaded what was and must be universally admitted, viz. their long and meritorious services, and the singular hardship of their case; and in consequence of what he had said upon the occasion, intimation had been given to the House that those matters would undoubtedly be in the contemplation of Government, and some expedient should be found to do their merit justice.

Mr. Chancellor Pitt corroborated what had fallen from Mr. Chanthe Secretary at War, and declared the present Govern-cellor Pitt.

ment, in the instance in question, had done no more than comply with the fentiments of that House expressly declared; a duty, which they not only had confidered as indispensable in the case in discussion, but which they should never think themselves warranted to depart from in any given case, unless a necessity more than ordinarily urgent, and fufficiently strong to amount to an ample justification of their adopting another line of conduct, should arise. If it could be made appear that the general idea, that the four regiments would not be reduced, had so far prevailed, that officers actually had exchanged, and purchased com-, missions in those regiments, there certainly was a degree of hardship in the case, that must necessarily make its impresfion on the feelings of every gentleman present; but senfible as the House must be of that hardship, he hoped no impression, however strong, of the compassionate situation of any individuals, however deserving, would be admitted to operate in contravention of those public principles, the House might have laid down as the rules of its conduct. in the disposal of any one branch of the annual expenditure either of the army or any other fervice. As far as was compatible with those principles, no man could be more willing than he should be to bend to the compassionate case of any officers whose services had been long and meritorious, as the services of the officers in question were on all hands admitted to have been; but beyond a compatibility with those principles he was not inclined to go. Reports, he faid, had been circulated that he could not but consider as reports both false in themselves and injurious

jurious to the last Administration; he was extremely forry, that neither the right honourable gentleman who acted as the Minister in that House before Christmas, nor the right honourable gentleman who had immediately filled the office of Secretary at War, was present, to give the House any information on the subject; but reports had, undoubtedly, been in circulation, as many gentlemen knew, which stated, that the late Administration, though they proposed the reduction of the four regiments in question to that House, had fignified to the officers, that it was not their intention to reduce them, and that some expedient should be found to continue them on the establishment. This he could not believe, because, the late Ministry had taken credit to themselves for the reduction of those four regiments, and because, undoubtedly, if the regiments had been intended to have been continued. they could not have been continued by finding an expedient for fuch continuance, but the only means of continuing them must have been by presenting a separate and direct estimate of the expence to the House. Mr. Pitt said farther, that if the idea of not reducing them was so generally understood, it was a little remarkable, that the noble Lord, who had that day introduced the fubject, had not said one word upon it, when their reduction had been proposed by the late Secretary at War. Before he concluded, Mr. Pitt said, it would rest with the House to act as they thought proper, but he wished them to recollect that if the officers of the four last reduced regiments were allowed their full pay, it would go a great way towards depriving the Public of the benefit resulting from the reduction of those regiments.

Lord Beau-

Lord Beauchamp desired that he might be permitted to fay a word or two in explanation of his own conduct. The right honourable gentleman, he flattered himself, would do him the justice to admit, that he had not opened the business in a manner in the least degree invidious to the present Government. [Mr. Pitt said across the House, certainly not."] He was a little surprised, therefore, at the observation, that he had said nothing upon the subject, when the reduction of the regiments was first talked of. If the right honourable gentleman had exerted his usual accuracy, he certainly would have recollected, that when the reduction was first talked of, it was expressly declared that it was not to take place till certain regiments, then

in the East Indies, came home, and it was understood at the time, that they were likely to have continued in that quarter of the world much longer. The reduction, in fact, was a recent circumstance, and had only been put in execution a few weeks since; it was no wonder, therefore, that he had not said any thing upon the subject when the reduction was originally mentioned. With regard to the expence, his Lordship said, the whole expence of allowing the officers in question full pay, would amount only to seven thousand pounds a year; and surely that sum, when appropriated to the reward of long and meritorious services, was not large enough to create any great alarm, or to run violently counter to a laudable attention to public exconomy.

The Speaker after this left the chair, and the Secretary at War moved for

2,360,9921. os. od. for the extraordinaries of the army, 75,1161. 18s. od. for reduced officers.

5631. 12s. 10d. for reduced horse guards.

130,3000l. os. od. for reduced officers.

54,6531. os. od. for British American forces.

3,544l. 14s. 3d. for officers late in the service of the States General

17,000l. 4s. od. for widows of officers. 168l. os. od. for widows of British American officers.

4246l. 118. od. for regiments fent from Ireland to Gibraltar.

10,524l. 17s. 4d. for additionals to three regiments in 1783.

98211. 158. 6d. for corps to their times of disbanding in 1783.

23,419l. os. 10½ for Hanoverian infantry.
6291l. 7s. od. for the North American staff.

The above motions were feverally agreed to.

A memorial and petition of the Council and Affembly of the island of Nevis, in behalf of themselves and the rest of the planters and inhabitants of the said island, was presented to the House, and read; setting forth, that the event of peace, amidst the general joy which it hath dissued, hath excited in the breasts of the petitioners sensations no less of gratitude than of happiness for the invaluable blessing it confers upon them in the restoration of their island to the British realms, and consequently in putting

A. 1784.

an end to the distresses and losses they have borne by their capture and detention in the enemy's hands for nearly two years; and that the petitioners, in contemplating the happy consequences of peace, have not restrained their expectations from extending themselves to the benefits of an open trade with America; for, being conscious that their very existence, as a sugar colony, depends on such an indulgence, they flattered themselves that Government would become fensible of their situation, it being not peculiar to them alone, but common to all the leeward fugar islands; they therefore hoped that no obstacle would arise to their intercourse with a country, which, from its local and other natural advantages, hath afforded the only poffible means of carrying on the cultivation of those illands, and without the continued affistance of which those islands must become ruinous to the proprietors of their lands, and useless burdens to the nation; and that they have never alarmed themselves with the least apprehension of Government's prohibiting their free communication with the United States of America from an idea of Nova Scotia and Canada's being competent to supply them, it being an indisputable fact, that the uncultivated state of the former province subjects it to almost an entire dependence for its support on the more fouthern and fruitful provinces; whilst Canada, from its distance, and from its difficult, and indeed impracticable, navigation during fix months of the year, cannot be regarded as a regular source of supplies; and that, being thus buoyed up with the hopes of renewing so necessary a trade, and foreseeing in their own conceptions no probable impediment thereto, they have been the more surprised to hear that, in the period of their captivity, which withheld them from feeking and experiencing the clemency of Government, a misfortune which rather enhances than diminishes their title to favours, that, in the very instant of such their distresses, the island of Antigua hath availed herself of her more fortunate lot. and petitioned Government to be made a free port; and that, if fuch an indulgence be granted to Antigua, and if the other islands of the Leeward government be thereby excluded from a direct trade with America, it must ultimately end in their ruin; it would, through necessity, oblige the other islands to send to Antigua for provisions and lumber at, an enhanced expence, a measure severely burdensome to them, and beneficial to Antigua alone, as

it would in no wife concur with the policy of Great Britain, should such be her object, to diminish American imports, fuch an exclusive indulgence to Antigua would, also establish it as the only mart through which the other islands could procure a regular vent for their rum, and the profits of it, after deducting the heavy charge of freight. would be reduced to a value very inadequate to the labour and expence of distilling it; and these disadvantages. great in themselves, would be yet aggravated by unavoidable interruptions to the importation of supplies from Antigua, and that more especially in the hurricane months, when, from the dread of violent winds and the usually tempestuous weather, the trading vessels are mostly laid up, and scarcely any communication preserved betwixt the islands; no vessels, during that period of the year, can be hired, unless at the most exhorbitant prices, and, after all, the success of their voyage would be a very precarious dependence; but even at more favourable seasons, disappointments would too frequently occur, from the windward fituation of Antigua, and from the calms and currents so prevalent in those seas; nay, the very coast of Antigua would increase the evil, as, from its natural disadvantages, all access to it is fingularly difficult; weak, therefore, as are her natural pretentions to a free port, her eventual ones feem no less so; for, if exclusive privileges are to be granted to any one island for its particular benefit, at the expence and manifest injury of the rest, a regard to the fufferings and loffes of those which have been captured in the course of the war would, no doubt, in justice and humanity, mark one of them as the fittest object of partiality; and that the petitioners, however, presume not to harbour a thought so injurious to the justice and impartiality of Government, as to apprehend the possibility of fuccess to a petition from any one island, to the detriment of the reft, but having thus stated the dependence of these colonies on the continent of America, as well for the fale of their rum as for the necessary supplies of lumber and grain, with which neither Great Britain nor any of her territories can furnish them but upon the most ruinous terms, must also submit to the House, as a farther reasonable plea for thus approaching them, their present embarraffed fituation, in consequence of heavy local taxes, additional duties on fugars in England, the reduced price of that article, and their late unfortunate crops; and Vol. XV. M m

therefore praying, that the House will take the premises into their most serious consideration, and grant them such relief, as may seem meet.

Ordered, That the said petition do lie upon the table.

June 28.

The House went into a Committee on a bill for enabling all foldiers and sailors who have served in the army or navy since the first of April 1763, to exercise trades in corporate towns, without having previously obtained the freedoms of such towns.

Sir James Johnstone. Sir James Johnstone observed, the benefits of such a bill ought in justice to be extended to all those who had borne arms in the service of the Public, and therefore he moved that the provisions of the bill be extended to all those who had served in the militia and sencible regiments, and have been honourably discharged. He spoke in a very audible voice, in order to draw the attention of gentlemen to his motion; for as he did not like to smuggle any thing through the House, so he did not wish that his motion should pass sub silentic: if therefore any one thought the motion improper, he had now a fair opportunity to oppose it.

Mr. Med. ley. Mr. Medley was apprehensive that so many persons would acquire parochial settlements under this bill, that the parishes would not be able to bear the burdens that might fall upon them in consequence of it. The motion, as it was now worded, would take in substitutes, a class of men, who having served for hire, were not, in his opinion, entitled to the same indulgence as those who, torn from their samilies and fire-sides, had borne the fatigue and hardships of a military life; he therefore moved in amendment, "That between the words who, and have served in the militia, be inferted the following words, having been duly balloted; and between the words served, and in the militia, be inferted the following, in person."

Mr Robin-

Mr. Robinson thought the substitutes very proper objects of national indulgence; and therefore he was of opinion that the amendment ought not to be admitted. However, finding the sense of the House went with the amendment, he did not persist in opposing it; and the amended motion passed without any farther debate.

The

The House was then resumed, and Mr. Gilbert brought up the Report from the Committee of Supply, on the extraordinaries of the army.

The resolutions having been read once,

Colonel Fitzpatrick role: he faid he was very forry that Colonel he had been absent from the House when a conversation Fitzpatrick. took place on Friday last, relative to some regiments that were to be reduced. Had he been present, he would have given a flat contradiction to a report, to which he understood an allusion had been made, that though the plan of reduction which he had the honour to lay before Parliament, stated that only fixty-four regiments of infantry were to be kept on foot, some means would be contrived to keep up some more regiments, which were younger than the fixty-fourth. He could assure the House, that there was not a shadow of ground for any such report; and that the late Administration, in which he had the honour to fill a subordinate situation, never had it in contemplation, or in idea, to keep up a greater number of troops than had been voted by that House. With respect to the officers of those regiments, whose case had been mentioned on Friday last, he could also assure the House that no promise had been made to them by the late Administration, that they should continue to receive full pay, after the disbanding of their regiments; but at the same time he must, in justice to those gentlemen, say that he always thought their case merited some consideration; for, it was certain that under an idea that the establishment at the end of this last war would be as great as the last peace establishment, when seventy one regiments were kept up, they had made their exchanges and purchases in those regiments now to be disbanded, as if they were not to be disbanded at all; and therefore, as they would probably fuffer much injury to their private fortunes, he thought fomething ought to be done for them; and he was not fingular in that opinion, for it was entertained by many gentlemen in the last session of Parliament, totally unconnected with the late Administration; and also by the late Commander in Chief, who, had that Administration lasted, would certainly have recommended their case to the consideration of the House. Out of office as he himself was now, it could not be expected that he should make any motion on that subject; but if any one else would take the lead, he would give him his hearty support. He Mm 2

added, that His Majesty's late Ministers would undoubtedly have countenanced fuch a step; and when he had the mortification to communicate in his then official capacity, to those regiments, the disagreeable intelligence, that they were to be included in the lift of regiments to be difbanded, it would have been great confolation to him to have had it in his power to hold out to meritorious officers a profpect of relief.

Mr. Chan- .

Mr. Chancellor Pitt said, that he had certainly alluded zellor Pitt. to the report mentioned by the right honourable gentleman (namely, that though the establishment laid before Parliament was for no more than fixty-four regiments of infantry, still means were to be contrived to keep up some more.) But though he mentioned that report, he did not fay he believed it; on the contrary, he lamented that the right honourable gentleman was not present, in order that he might have an opportunity fully to contradict, as he had now done, a report so injurious to his friends: the House must now be satisfied, from what had fallen from the right honourable gentleman, that the public faith had not been pledged to the officers, whose case had been the subject of conversation on Friday, and that whatever claim they might have upon the humanity of the House, they had none upon its justice.

Here the matter rested. The resolutions of the report from the Committee were then read a fecond time, and

agreed to by the House.

Mr. Wrax-

Mr. Wraxall begged leave to put a question to the Chancellor of the Exchequer, simply to ask, when he intended to bring in his bill for the better management of the concerns of the East-India Company - The right honourable gentleman must be thoroughly satisfied, that in the present posture of those affairs, procrastination and delay would probably be attended with the most serious consequences - Would the right honourable gentleman affure the House that we were at peace in India? He was fure he would not; for the latest advices from that country contained very alarming intelligence; that Tippoo Saib was still in arms in the Carnatic; and that the French had not complied with the late treaty of peace, at least not with the spirit of that treaty; for the accounts lately received from India stated, that four thoufand European infantry, belonging to France, were at Pondicherry, a place no way calculated for a garrison or place d'armes, for the walls were mouldered away and the glacis

was thrown into the ditch; so that the only apparent motive the French could have for keeping so great a force in such a place, could only be, that they might be at hand to affist Tippoo Saib. But it was not from the native powers only, or from them and the French united, that danger was now to be apprehended; for though by the abilities, the exertions, and the energy of mind of Mr. Hastings, our possessions in India had been defended and preferved by a peace, still the divisions which prevailed between our own settlements might well alarm us for their safety.

On the very last day of the last session of Parliament, an honourable member, who was not in the present Parliament, (General Smith) had earnestly recommended it to the Chancellor of the Exchequer, to turn his thoughts without a moment's delay, to prevent the fatal confequences that might attend those divisions; he was very forry to say, that those divisions were not healed; for though it might not be altogether generally known in that House, it was but too true, that Lord Macartney had actually refused to obey orders sent to him by Governor Hastings and the Supreme Council of Bengal - The House well knew that by repeated acts of Parliament, the Supreme Council had a superintending jurisdiction over all the British settlements in India, and that they are all bound to obey its orders; yet in spite of those acts of Parliament, Lord, Macartney had positively refused to obey the orders he had received from Bengal: thus were Bengal and Madras at variance; and from that variance every thing was to be apprehended.—The House, therefore, must see the absolute necessity of taking up the business, and passing an act to regulate the government of India. He wished, then, the right honourable gentleman would, without delay, produce his long-wished for bill by which the future government of India was to be established. He wished not that it should be a violent bill, like that which had overturned the late Administration, but that it should at once unite moderation with efficacy. It might be urged, he faid, that as to the divisions which at present prevail between Bengal and Madras, there was no very pressing necessity on their account for bringing forward immediately a bill for the better government of these settlements, because the Court of Directors was at this moment vested with sufficient powers to heal those divisions — He admitted that the Directors had those powers; but torpid by nature, and lethargic by principle, they had not yet thought proper to call them forth, and bring them into action; for though they knew that the

dispute between Calcutta and Madras, might possibly endanger those possessions; and though they knew, that the disobedience of Lord Macartiney to the orders of the Supreme Council, was contrary to law, they had not yet thought, of recalling his Lordship; and by the neglect of their duty, they were accessaries to all the consequences of his Lordship's disobedience.

Mr. Chancellor Pitt replied, that as much of what must cellor Pitte be done for the future government of India, and of the Company, would depend on the state of its finances; and as that state had been referred to a Committee of the House, to be there examined, it would not be expected that he should propose: any system relative to India, until the Report of the Committee should have been thoroughly examined by the House; nor could it be faid that he had been remiss or guilty of any unnecessary delay in the business, for very few days indeed had elapsed fince the Committee had made a Report to the House, which Report the House had ordered to be printed, and it was only on this day that it had been delivered to the members — He did not conceive it would be proper for him to bring on the business of the East-India Company until gentlemen should have had time thoroughly to examine the Report and to read the Appendix annexed to it, which contained evidence very material to the question. therefore, from thinking that there had been any unnecessary delay, that he must pray the House to put off for a few days, the confideration of the printed Report, which stood for tomorrow; for if he should go into the state of the Company's finances to-morrow, he feared he should not be able to go on Wednesday into the finances of the nation, which, according to notice, he intended to bring forward that day. He concluded by moving, "That the order for taking into "confideration on Tuelday the Reports on the state of the " East-India Company's, affairs, be discharged, and that " a new order be made for taking the fame into con-" fideration on Friday next." The motion paffed without opposition.

Mr. Sheridan begged leave to inform the right honourable gentleman, that the act for appointing Commissioners to take and state the public accounts would expire on Saturday fe'nnight; and to ask him if he intended to bring in a bill for continuing a commission from which so much public advanvantage might be derived?

Mr. Chancellor Pitt replied, that it certainly was his intention to move for a bill to continue that commission; but,

as the act now in force would not expire before Saturday fe'nnight, according to the honourable gentleman, but ir his opinion not quite so foon; and as this was Monday, the honourable member and the House would see, that there would be time enough to pass a new act before the old should expire, though the former should not be brought in for some days to come.

This ended the business of the day, and the House ad-

journed at five o'clock.

June 30.

Mr. Martin made an apology for not turning out feveral Mr. Martin Peers whom he saw seated under the gallery; he said it was very natural for Lords as well as Commons to seel themselves deeply interested in the great business that was this day to be brought before the House (the opening of the Budget) and he selt no inclination to shut them out on such a day, and the less so, because there had not been a debate of such moment in the Lords, as to induce him to put in execution the design he had formed of demanding admission to that part of the house of Lords, which was open to those Commoners who had the honour of being related to the Peerage: but he would have it understood, that this was the only thing that prevented him from enforcing the standing order for excluding strangers.

Mr. Dempster said, that a few days ago, wishing to get into Mr. Dempthe end of the House of Lords where the throne stands, he ster asked one of the doorkeepers whether he might not get in at that end? The answer was, "By no means, Sir." The cry of the House to Mr. Martin was, "Move, move:" upon

which

Mr. Hammet rose, to request the honourable member would Mr. Hamnot move to enforce the order: he said, he understood the honourable member had received intimation, that the Lords intended to provide in their house, accommodation for the Peers of the Lower House—[Here was a loud laugh in every part of the House] Mr. Hammet said he possibly might have said something ridiculous, but he did not know what it was—[This raised a louder laugh than the former.]

Mr. Martin replied, that he had been informed, a noble Lord, a member of the Upper House, intended some time ago to move, that a gallery should be erected for the use of the members of the House of Commons; but no such motion had yet been made, nor could he guess why it had not—

Digitized by Google

However, under all the circumstances, he wished the House would not press him this day, to move for enforcing the ftanding order.

It was a few minutes before five o'clock before Mr. Chancellor Pitt took his feat in the House; he apologised for making them wait fo long, which he faid was occasioned by

unavoidable business.

The order of the day was then read; the House went into a Committee of ways and means, and various documents were referred to them.

Mr. Chancellor Pitt then rose to open the budget of the ecllor Pitt year - It was a task, he said, which no man would covet, and which every man would believe was a talk of necessity and not of choice. The necessity of the country rendered the business of this day more heavy, and consequently more irksome than it ever was on any preceding occasion to any Minister; and he undertook it with a perfect sense how unequal he was to the task, and how much he stood in need of the indulgence of the House. He had one comfort, however, which was, that he found this necessity in the office which he filled; he did not create it; it was entailed upon the office by those who were his predecessors. and it became his duty to enter on a most important weight of finance and taxation, as he must not suffer considerations of personal pleasure to interfere with the exigencies of his office. He had confidence in the good sense and patriotism of the People of England, that they would provide for the necessary services of the year, as well as for the remainder of that heavy load of debt which we had fo unfortunately incurred in the course of the last most expensive war. We must meet our situation fairly; we must look it in the face: and he should consider himself as wanting in feeling, duty, and gratitude, if he should decline, from motives of personal fecurity, the irksome office of winding up the accounts of the war, and closing them by the provision of taxes that would answer the whole of what we owed. It was certainly a most unpleasant thing to propose a loan in a year of peace; but gentlemen would remember, that it was yet but the second year of peace, and that yet we had not been able fo to regulate our establishments as to know precisely what would be the amount of our civil expence. He could assure the House, that His Majesty's present Ministers had attended with the utmost assiduity to the reduction of every branch of the expence of Government, and he trusted the benebenefits of those reductions would be felt—In the mean time he threw himself on the generosity and candour of the House while he proceeded to state the business of the day — It was sirst his duty to state the amount of the services of the current year, shewing what were already provided for, what were already voted, and what yet remained both of supply and ways and means to be brought forward. It was, secondly, his duty to state the amount of the unsuhded debt, and the mode which he proposed to settle that most important account. And, thirdly, he would state to the Committee the taxes which he proposed to move for, as likely to produce the necessary annuities for the loan as well as for the unfunded debts.

And first, he should state the amount of the current services of the year - The navy amounted to three millions one hundred fifty-nine thousand fix hundred and ninety pounds; the ordnance to fix hundred ten thousand one hundred fortynine pounds; and the army to the fum of four millions fixtyfour thousand five hundred ninety-four pounds, from which, however, there was to be deducted a fum of favings, amounting to four hundred twenty-three thousand pounds, which had been voted in a former year, but which were faved; the actual fum of the army, therefore, was three millions fix hundred forty thousand eight hundred fourteen pounds for the service of the current year. The deficiencies amounted to one hundred and fixty-feven thousand fix hundred and seventy pounds. The fum of Exchequer bills in circulation was two millions five hundred thousand pounds, and which, in stating the current fervice, must be provided for; though it was his idea, that for the present session they might be provided for by iffuing an equal number; besides, there were already voted for the Levant Company, eleven thousand eight hundred and thirty pounds, and two other small sums, which made the whole of the services for the year already voted amount to eight millions eight hundred forty-three thousand three hundred and ten pounds, and for which the House had. only provided two millions feven hundred and fifty thousand pounds, by the land and malt-tax bills. Besides this sum, there were yet various things to be taken into the notice of the House, as yet to be voted for the service of the year -There were two millions due to the bank of England, which they had lent to the Public, as a douceur for the renewal of their charter; but he had such confidence in the patriotism and public spirit of that Company, and in their defire of Vol. XV. affifting

affifting the nation whenever they could do it without injury to their own interest, that he believed means might be found to fatisfy the Bank without repayment of the fum this year; but it was to be confidered in the amount of the fervices -There was a furth of one hundred and twenty thousand pounds wanted to replace sums voted out of the civil list by addresses of the House. In speaking of the civil list he must also inform the House, that notwithstanding all the care which had been taken to make it equal to the expence, there was yet an accumulating arrear which would oblige Ministers to come to the House, and which would in future be stated to them at large. The next fervice to be voted was twentyfive thousand pounds for Somerset house; and there were several other fervices, fuch as the government of Nova Scotia, and the African Company; and altogether the amount of the current services would be no less than fourteen millions one hundred eighty-one thousand two hundred and forty pounds. Towards this fum the House had already granted the land and malt taxes — The two millions due to the Bank would be postponed - The sum of the Exchequer bills would be provided for by issuing of the same quantity—He would take the finking fund up to the 5th of April 1785, for no more than one million, and he was well warranted in faying, that for fo much it would certainly be productive — He was also to state there were in the Exchequer the fum of one hundred thousand pounds of surplusses, which would go towards the fervices of the year - These, therefore, making altogether upwards of eight millions, there would remain fix millions still to be provided for.

It was, undoubtedly, he faid, an ungracious thing to be under the necessity of borrowing money in peace; but, as he had already stated, we were so lately relieved from a burdensome war, that we were not yet able to level-our establishments. In settling a loan for this sum of fix millions, he had carried into execution what he had suggested on a former occasion, a fair and substantial competition between two sets of money-lenders. It was settled that the lenders should receive one hundred pounds of three per cents.; fifty pounds of four per cents.; five shillings and fix-pence of long annuities, and three-fifths of a lottery ticket, in a lottery of thirty-fix thousand tickets, for every hundred pounds which they advanced. He trusted that the House would consider these terms as beneficial. By the latest accounts from the Stock Exchange the day before, the three per cents.

wer at fifty-nine one-half, from which, in fettling the terms, one and one-half was to be taken as the half year's interest then due; and therefore allowing in both the fund for this interest, the terms which were settled were as follow:

One hundred pounds of three per cents. ta-

ken at _____ 57 0 0
Fifty pounds of four per cents. ____ 37 8 9

Five shillings and fix pence of a long annuity, valued at

4 17 115

Those together amounted to — 99 19 2½
And this in fact was all that the Public had to
pay; but it was not all that the money-lenders
were to receive. The lottery ticket was not out
of the public pocket, but it was a valuable dou-

The three-fifths of a lottery ticket was valued

2 8 O

In calculating this matter, it might perhaps also be taken into the view of the House, the benefit which the money-lenders might gain by the discount. This was only a probable gain, since it depended on their prompt payment. He would, however, state what that discount was, that the House might be in possession of the whole of the douceur. According to the terms

of payment in his motion, the discount would amount to

1 7 :

And therefore the whole fum thus flated, would be for every hundred pounds — £103 14 4\frac{1}{2}

These, he said, were, in his mind, terms ample and sufficient for the money-lenders, and beneficial to the Public. He thought that the competition had been productive of much good. He assured the House, upon his honour, that not one shilling of the loan was reserved by him. One condition of the bargain, before it was struck, was, that the holders of the loan should have the exclusive distribution of it, and that the only reserve should be the usual sum for the public companies. These terms being previously settled, and also that the lowest bidder should, in the sair

and real competition, be preferred; it was agreed by both, that there should be one hundred pounds of three per cents. at fifty-seven, and fifty pounds of four per cents. at thirty-seven pounds eight shillings and nine-pence as he had stated, together with the lottery ticket; and the article upon which they were severally to make the offer, was the long annuity. Both sets made an offer, and there was the difference of a six pence between them; and he accordingly closed the bargain, as he had stated, at sive shillings and six pence. This, then, was the bargain, and he trusted it would be considered as fair to both parties. It was not for the interest of the Public that the money-lenders should suffer; and again it would be unpardonable in him if he had concluded a bargain, in time of peace, on unreasonable terms.

The next object to which he defired to draw the attention of the House, was the unfunded debt. It was his most anxious wish to have brought forward and provided a fund for

the whole of this debt; the amount of the

Unfunded navy was — — 13,000,000 — 1,000,000

£14,000,000

He wished, he said, to have funded the whole of this sum, that we might have wound up the account of the war, and by that means be able to fee the whole of our fituation, and have the comfortable reflection that we had reached the end of our exigencies, and had compaffed the obligations we were under. This was his anxious defire, for the purpose of establishing our credit, and of shewing the world that we were equal to the engagements into which we had en-The money-lenders, however, and other gentlemen conversant with the Stock Exchange, with whom he had treated on the subject, assured him that such a quantity of floating stock coming into the market, must materially affect the price of the stocks, and that in particular they could not furnish the loan at terms so good. After an arduous effort for the whole, he was obliged to compound the business, and therefore he proposed to fund fix millions fix hundred thousand pounds of the unfunded fourteen millions.

At the same time, however, as the unfunded debt bore an interest of sour per cent. it was his intention to propose taxes which should produce the sum of sour per cent. for the remainder of the outstanding debt which was not now to be funded; so that in a future session, when a fund was to be provided for this remaining sum, nothing farther

would be wanted than the difference between four per cent, and the terms which it might be judged necessary to give to the subscribers to such fund, on such operation; and this he said would not be a difficult task. The weight of the business would now be got over, and the world would perceive an evident and serious disposition in Government to support the credit of the country, and the saith of the nation.

Taking therefore all these things together, the annuity on the sum to be borrowed, and the interest of sour per cent. on the remainder of the unfunded debt, he had to provide by the taxes which he should propose about nine hundred

thousand pounds a year. Of this sum,

The annuity on the loan would be _____ 315,000
Interest of the debt to be funded, would be fomewhat more than _____ 315,000

And the interest of four per cent. on the remainder of the unfunded debt, if it should amount to seven millions of pounds, would be in all

280,000

010,000 It was always his idea, he faid, that a fund at a high rate of interest was better to the country than those at low rates; that a four per cent. was preferable to a three per cent. and a five per cent. better than a four, The reason was, that in all operations of finance we faculd have in our view a plan of redemption. Gradually to redeem and to extinguish our debt, ought ever to be the wife pursuit of Government, and every scheme and operation of finance should be directed to that end, and managed with that view. With those sentiments he certainly should have preferred to have fettled the loan in a five per cent. fund; but the moneylenders informed him, that it was too much to bring into the market in any one fund, taking it together with the unfunded debt, and therefore he had only leave to make his option between the loan and fubscription, which of the two he would chuse to prefer for the five per cent. fund; and he made his election of the latter.

His reasons were, for chusing the subscription in preference to the loan for the new fund of five per cent. first, that undoubtedly the new fund was an experiment; and that if even the experiment should fail, the mischief would be less material in the subscription than in the loan. We might adventure on this new ground with more considence in the one case than the other. The holders of navy bills and ore dnance debentures were already committed; they had already

ready trusted the Public; whereas if this new fund had been offered to the other, we should have had to combat with all the caprices of the money-lenders, and with all the indisposition which he confessed there was in the market to a high rate of interest.

This indisposition of the public to a five per cent. fund was given when he threw out this idea before, as the principal argument against the adventure. It was true that it was not so well relished; but the idea of redemption was The public ought never to look ever prefent to his mind. on redemption as a chimerical idea; for independent of every other advantage, the impression which it gave to the world, the prospect which it held out, and the disposition in ourfelves which it manifested, was favourable to our credit. But it was faid that the public would object to a five per cent. fund, because it might be at any time redeemed without suffering, and the money-lenders had no certainty in fuch a fund. Might not this, however, be got over by rendering the proposed fund irredeemable for a stated time? A five per cent, fund, estimating it at the present rate of the feveral funds, might, with confidence, be supposed to bear the price of ninety-five, and that was the price which it ought to bear. At whatever less he took it, so much more we were obliged to pay upon account of this fund, than what we might transact the business for in the other funds. But he thought we might permit the fund to be taken two per cent. lower, and still make an advantageous bargain for the Public. To estimate that fund at ninety-three, and to make it irredeemable for thirty years, the loss would be two shillings; but even with this present loss per cent. we should be confiderably advantaged by the redemption at the end of thirty years.

There was another plan in his eye, which perhaps he should prefer; and that was, to make it irredeemable until a certain proportion should be redeemed of the present existing sunds. This, in our present circumstances, he did not consider as an improper idea, for the other sunds would now be the most advantageous for such an operation of sinance; and certainly of all other sunds the four per cents. would be the most eligible. It was his idea that this sund might be made irredeemable until twenty sive millions of the pre-

fent existing funds should be redeemed.

Having premised this, he said it was his intention to propose to the Committee to create a new five per cent. stock,

irredeemable either for a time, or until twenty-five millions of the old funds should be extinguished, and to estimate this stock at ninety-three. He had lately given his opinion on the sacredness of public faith, and on the strict regard which was to be paid to every engagement into which we entered. In the settlement of this new sund, regard, however, should be paid to the titles of the several holders of the unfunded debt. Their pretensions were by no means equal, and in order, therefore, to do fair justice both to the Public and the holders, it was his idea to divide them into classes. The sum which he proposed to sund would bring down the navy bills to the end of June, 1782. By dividing them into classes of three months each, the principle of fair-

ness might be pursued.

The first class would take in the holders of bills in June, July, and August, 1781; and as they had held them so long in their hands, it was his idea that they should be taken at par, by which they would have a profit ample and abundant, for it would amount to eight per cent. To reduce them to a standard of equality, in proportion to the time which they had feverally held them, was the object which he had in view; and perhaps to take them at the difcount in the market would be a fair way. By the equalizing rule, therefore, the next class containing the next three months of September, October, and November, 1781, should be taken at a discount of four per cent. three months at a discount of one pound more; and the next and last class, containing four months, to bring it down to the period at a still farther discount of one pound. By this means it was that the interest on this five per cent. stock would amount to somewhat more than three hundred and fifteen thousand pounds a year. He trusted that the House would agree with him in his ideas on this proposition; and he would now proceed to flate the taxes which he thought would be proper for the production of this im-

In order to pay the interest of the loan, and the interest of the remaining unfunded debt, an annual sum amounting to something more than nine hundred thousand pounds would be to be raised; he therefore was come at the most painful part of the task he had to go through that day, viz. the proposing taxes to be laid on the Public to produce so large a sum. He lamented exceedingly that it should be necessary to impose so heavy a burden on those who were so deeply laden already, but the necessary was too obvious.

anu

and could not be avoided. In felecting such taxes as would produce the enormous fum of nine hundred thousand pounds the task was extremely difficult, and extremely disagreeable. The first object that his duty led him to look to, in the choice of additional taxes, was to hit upon such as should be most likely to be productive; and it was, as the Committee, must be convinced, impossible to find taxes extremely productive that were not at the same time extremely burdensome. In executing this very disagreeable duty, he had acted to the best of his judgement, and chosen such out of the many that had suggested themselves to his mind, and been fuggested to him by others, as appeared to be the most likely to be productive, and at the fame time were the most equitable. In hazarding new taxes, a great deal must unavoidably be trufted to experiment; it was impossible, therefore, for any person in the situation that he stood in. to answer for the exact sums they were to produce; all that he or any Chancellor of the Exchequer could do, was to reduce their calculations upon the produce of new taxes as near to a certainty as possible; and after all, a great deal must depend upon trial, whence alone their defects could be discovered, and new regulations applied to affish their collection, and increase their produce. There had been deficiencies in all the new taxes, which deficiencies had been in a great degree cured by the subsequent regulations that Parliament had from time to time made with respect to those taxes; in like manner must the House proceed with the taxes he was about to propose. One objection he could foresee, and that would be a general one, would be made to the proposition which he meant to bring forward was, that the taxes to be raifed were unexampled. He begged gentlemen to consider that the circumstance under which they were necessary, had no precedent in our history. He trusted at the fame time that no exception would be thought folid or efficient, which did not by fair and logical deduction outweigh the advantage which must arise from any particular tax on the whole. He doubted not but gentlemen were of opinion with him, that at this time it was impossible to felect any tax which would not be an object of diflike to that class of men on whom it operated most sensibly; and therefore when all others were convinced of its utility and propriety, their murmuring ought not to defeat it. they should prove unexceptionable to that House and to the Public, was, he was aware, a great deal more than he had any right to expect: he hoped, however, they would be

found to far unexceptionable, that there could not be stated any fundamental and capital objections against them.

Having thus prefaced the mention of them, Mr. Pitt faid. the article he meant to propose, as the first of the long catalogue of taxation he had to flate to the Committee, was an article of such general consumption, that it was almost in universal use; he meant a tax upon hats. The object of this tax, he observed, was of so many different descriptions, that it was impossible for him to follow them, or to apportion his tax according to the various qualities and prices of the bats that were worn by the various orders of persons in this kingdom; he was obliged, therefore, to divide them into general classes, hats made folely of felt, and hats in the manufacture of which there were other materials mixed. Upon the latter, he meant to lay a tax of two shillings each hat, and a tax of fix-pence a hat on these made of felt. According to the most accurate account of the quantity of hats manufactured in this kingdom that had been handed to him, it appeared that four millions were manufactured every year, of which seven hundred and fifty thousand were exported. Say, therefore, that three millions were used in the kingdom, and one-third of that number was of felt, and the remaining two-thirds mixed, the produce of the tax would be one hundred and fifty thousand pounds, at which fum he took it. This tax undeniably, and at first fight, affected all ranks of men in this country; but recourse to things of this kind, in which as much certainty as possible with regard to the produce might be obtained, was now indispensable. This was the only apology he had to suggest for any part of the difagreeable and unpalatable duty he was now under the necessity of performing; but he thought it would chiefly fall upon the opulent, because they undoubtedly used the greatest number of hats in the year; on the lower class of people the tax would fall very light, because he conceived the poor, who wore felt hats, had not a new one each individual above once in two years.

The next article he should propose, was also an article of wearing apparel; it might therefore be conjectured that he was about to proceed regularly from the crown of the head to the sole of the foot, and lay a tax upon every part of the human cloathing, but that was not his intention; as the tax he had mentioned was upon an article consumed solely and exclusively by men, so the tax he was about to mention would affect articles worn as exclusively, excepting only on Vol. XV.

Digitized by Google

occasions like the occasion just over, viz. at a general election, by the other fex; it was a tax upon ribbands; to which he should add a tax upon another commodity wore solely by women, a tax upon a certain description of gauzes. Both these articles were clearly luxuries, as they were not necesfary to the cloathing of any part of the human body, but were confidered, even by the wearers themselves, as mere ornaments of their persons. In order to come at the quantity of ribbands made in the kingdom, he had procured an exact account of the number of looms employed in weaving that manufacture, and he found the amount to be more than twenty-five thousand, and that each loom made so many thousand yards per week, that the whole produced seventyone million one hundred and thirty-fix thousand yards a year; allowing therefore for the number of looms occafionally idle and unemployed, and taking them at nineteen thousand, the produce would be one hundred and twenty thousand pounds, laying the tax proportionally to the width and substance of the ribbands from a penny upon a dozen yards, up to a penny per yard.

The third article he would mention, was undoubtedly a necessary of life, viz. coals, but as the duties on coals stood at present, they were exceedingly unequally laid; it was manifestly painful to him to tax that, without which we could not subsist. He would trust, however, that the patriotism and good sense of the People would reconcile them to the idea of this very fevere burden; but the aim of this tax was rather to oblige those who did not pay the fame duties as were paid by all who lived in London, and who were supplied with coals out of the port of London, than to lay an additional tax on those who paid the high duties upon that article. The last duty imposed upon all coals imported into the port of London, was a duty of three This had originally been a local shillings per chalder. duty, it having been imposed to raise a fund for the building of churches in the city. In the original imposition of it, therefore, it certainly was perfectly just to make the city of London, which was benefited by having the churches in question built, bear the expence exclusively, but as foon as the purpose was compleated, it was considered as a public duty, and so it had continued ever since; what he meant, therefore, was to lay the same duty upon coals used in inland consumption, and for exportation, which he conceived was nothing more than was perfectly just and equitable, since no duty was paid but the five shil-/lings

lings port duty, at any other port than that of London, while the port of London paid eight shillings, and nothing was paid on the confumption of coals unlanded or exported into Ireland. He faid, he was aware, that it might be obiected, that this eax would materially affect our manufacturing towns; in order to obviate any objections of that kind, he wished to exempt manufactures of a particular description; these, he said, who had a competition with manufactures of other countries. He thought we ought to firetch every point that our manufactures, which dealt in articles in which they were likely to be rivalled by others, ought to be able to meet them on equal terms, both in foreign markets and our own, that they might not be underfold either at home or abroad. An exemption from the prefent duty ought, therefore, in his opinion, to be extended to all fuch manufactures, and to no others. But an exemption of this kind required fo much delicacy and attention in the application, that he thought it deserved a Committee to confider and point out the proper objects of it. He should propose it to be regulated by drawbacks, appropriated to the extent of their respective consumptions. But great care would be necessary that these indulgences were not abused, as fome might injure the tax by having drawbacks for more than they confumed, and by that means supply others who had no claim on the exemption. The calculation, he faid, was uncertain, but he thought he fhould not be far from the mark, stating the neat produce at about one hundred and fifty thousand pounds.

The fourth subject of his proposed taxes, was, a tax upon an animal that certainly might be deemed a luxury, though extremely useful, and of which there was a vast number in the kingdom, he meant a tax upon horses. He said he meant not to comprehend every description within the view of this tax, but to exempt all horses used for the purposes of trade and agriculture, and to confine it to horses kept for the faddle, or to be put in carriages used solely for pleasure, and which might fairly be termed horses kept for the purpose of He estimated the number of horses kept for carriages in proportion to the number of horses kept for the saddle, as one to three, or as fifty to one hundred and fifty, -The amount of the tax he should propose to be ten shillings a year for each horse; and when it was considered, that almost every farmer in the kingdom kept at least one horse for the faddle, it was not too high a calculation to rate the number at fourteen in every parish; he estimated the produce. O 0 ·2

therefore, of this tax, at one hundred thousand pounds; and he flattered himself, he put it considerably under what its true amount was likely to be - He stated, that in order to prevent evalion, it was meant that a stamp or peculiar mark was to be put, not upon any part of the body of the animal, but to be affixed to some part of the furniture and accourrements of each horse, by way of proving that the tax had been paid, and that the owners of fuch as did not wear this

stamp, were to be liable to a penalty. The next tax he proposed was an additional excise duty on printed and stained linens and callicoes - These articles, he faid, were more than twenty per cent. cheaper now than they had been during the war, and cotton was also much cheaper. As the last duties had not at all checked the confumption of these manufactures; but as, on the contrary, their consumption and use were considerably increasing, it appeared to him to be an article, that without any detriment would bear an additional duty; he meant, therefore, to propose to the Committee, an additional duty of about ten per cent. according This new duty there would be no expence to the quality. in collecting, and the produce of it he estimated at one hun-

dred and twenty thousand pounds.

The fixth article of his intended taxes was a necessary of life, and a necessary as indispensable with the poorest as with the richest family in the kingdom - It was an article which, however, had not been touched fince the reign of Queen Anne, and which he was seriously concerned that he was under the necessity of subjecting to a new impost; the truth was, nothing but the urgency of present exigence should have driven him to this and several other resources, which, however, he trusted, would occasion the less uneasiness, as the duty on them would be but small - But although the poor would be affected by it, still what he meant to propose would affect the poor in so very trisling a degree, that he flattered himself there would be no objection to it. What he meant was an additional duty on candles, of so small an amount as one half-penny in the pound - He faid, he was aware, that candles had been uniformly exempted from all the late taxes, from a confideration of its being so immediately a necessary of life; but when the operation of the trifling tax he meant to lay upon candles was examined, the Committee would fee it would not bear hard upon the poorest; if he had been rightly informed, about ten pounds of candles was the amount of the confumption of the lowest order of housekeepers; the family of our poorest cottagers, therefore, would only pay five pence.

pence a year to this tax. He estimated the produce at one

hundred thousand pounds.

The next tax he should propose, was a duty to be paid on licenses to be granted to traders dealing in exciseable commodities—This, he said, was a plan that had been recommended by the Commissioners of the Board of Excise, and even desired by many respectable and capital dealers in the sort of goods, the venders of which were to be the object of it. The produce of it he estimated at eighty thousand pounds.

Being called to by Mr. Fox, who defired to know at what rate these licenses were to be charged, Mr. Pitt said, if the House thought proper, he would go through the detail; upon which Mr. Fox defired to know only the highest and lowest charges - Mr. Pitt then stated, it was a matter of some difficulty, to fix the precise price of the several licences, which, under this article, it would be necessary to allow-The distillers, he thought, might be about fifty pounds, on account of fome advantages which he specified as peculiar to that line of business. Brewers, distillers of vinegar, and printers of of callico, might be rated at ten pounds; and a great many other intermediate dealers in the same déscription of goods. according to the extent and profit of their respective modes of business, would be subjected to five, or four, or three pounds, according as it would be judged necessary to adjust the tax proportionably to each. He did not, however, doubt. but the tax would, on the whole, be pretty unexception-

The eighth tax he should propose, was a tax on bricks and tiles — There were, he said, according to the most accurate accounts that could be obtained, one hundred and five millions of bricks made and used in and near London; near Manchester, near Birmingham, and in the rest of the middle counties about the same quantity; and the same quantity again throughout the rest of the kingdom — He meant, therefore, to lay a tax of two shillings and six pence a thousand on bricks. He estimated the annual consumption at three hundred millions; the produce he should take at stiffty thousand pounds only, including the sum to be drawn by a duty on tiles.

The next tax he had to propose, was a tax upon qualifications for shooting, and upon deputations taken out from lords of manors to kill game — He said, it had not been in his power to ascertain the number of manors in this kingdom; but calculating that there were three people in every parish who

who qualified themselves to kill game, and he could scarcely suppose there were so sew; charging them with a guinea each upon registering their qualification with the clerk of the peace, he should suppose it would at least produce thirty

thousand pounds.

His next tax was an additional tax upon paper — The quantity of this article confumed yearly had not decreased, by the late duties having been imposed, and from its bulk and weight it could not easily be smuggled — He should propose, therefore, one third of the present duty; and the Committee would see that the collection would be no additional expence. He estimated the produce of this tax at eighteen thousand pounds.

The tenth and last tax he had to submit to their consideration, was a tax peculiar to the metropolis, a tax upon hackney eoaches—He meant that the keeper of each figure should pay five shillings weekly for a license; and when the great profits of the profession were considered, and the avidity with which sigures were applied for, he slattered himself, this tax would not be thought oppressive. He estimated its produce

at twelve thousand pounds.

These were the several articles of taxation to which he had turned for the present - It would be vain and idle to suppose all or any of them unexceptionable; but the necessity of the Public did not leave it in his option to deal otherwise than, openly and fairly. The wants of Government were many; the finances of the country had been much reduced, and it was proper, it was necessary to look our real fituation manfully in the face. It had been his defire to do fo, and under that sentiment he produced the list of taxes to which he had called the deliberation and affistance of the Committee. The whole might probably amount to somewhat more than the fum which he had proposed to raise; but several deficiencies might occur, where we could not either foresee or prevent them: he would, however, before he fat down, briefly recapitulate the whole, that the Committee might fee them in one connected point of view.

Hats, fix pence on the low, and two shillings on the higher,

on the higher, - - 150,000 Ribbands and a certain description of gauzes, one

penny per dozen yards, - - - 120,000

Coals, three shillings per chalder to inland confumers,

Carried over

420,000 Horses

150,000

Brought over 420,000 Horses, at ten shillings a head, 100,000 Printed and stained linens and callicoes, a duty from three pence up to one shilling per yard, and equal to ten per cent. 120,000 Candles, one half-penny per pound, 100,000 License to persons dealing in exciseable commodities, from one pound to fifty pounds 80,000 Bricks and tiles, two shillings and sixpence on every thousand, 50,000 Qualifications of shooters, and deputations from lords of the manor, one guinea per head 30,000 Paper, one third additional duty, 18,000 Hackney coaches, five Ihillings a week additional duty, 12,000

930,000

Mr. Pitt apologized for having fo long fatigued the Committee, but said, he trusted they would see it had been unavoidable; in order not to add to that fatigue, he declared he had purposely avoided going into a full detail of the regulations meant to make part of the several bills, that would be necessary to be brought in upon the subject of the taxes he had proposed — Subsequent opportunities of discussion of those topics would present themselves: he only hoped, he had been able to convey the respective taxes and the doctrines of finance that he had taken the liberty to dwell upon, clearly and intelligibly to the understandings of the Committee; if doubts remained with any gentleman, upon any one of the great variety of articles he had been at the necessity of troubling them upon, he would rife again, and be happy to give every fatisfaction in his power upon fuch articles. the discussion of the subject, he hoped the Committee would fee that he had done that which his duty directed, without regard to his own inclination or his ease; he was not conscious of having left any matter untouched, that it was important for that House and the Public to be apprized of; on the contrary, he had studiously endeavoured to do what he held to be the indispensable duty of every person honoured with the high office he held, viz. to disguise nothing from the Public that affected their real interest, but to bring every particular of that nature forward; and however great the personal risque and inconvenience, however great the danger of incurring popular odium, by proposing heavy burdens

on the People might be, not to shrink from that painful act of duty, if such burdens were by the exigency of affairs required to be imposed. Mr. Pitt concluded with moving a resolution stating, "That the Committee were of opinion a loan for six millions ought to be made on the terms he had stated;" and this resolution was penned in the usual terms.

Mr. Fox.

Mr. Fox role, not he faid to attempt to follow the right honourable gentleman through the vast field of finance that the had gone over, but to make a few remarks on some parts of his speech - Many of the subjects that he had stated, would come under discussion hereaster, and there would be fitter opportunities for debating them than the present; all he wished to say upon the propositions of the day, was, that the right honourable gentleman had infinite merit, in having brought forward so much of the unfunded debt in the course of the present session as he had done; too much praise could not be given him on this head, and he, for one, was very ready immediately to declare that he was decidedly of this opinion. What he rose more particularly to say was, that he was happy to find the right honourable gentleman had made his loan this year exactly on the principles upon which the loan of the last year had been made; and he hoped, that henceforward, that House would hear no more of the nonsensical clamour, that had been raised under the fallacious notion, that twenty-one millions of debt had been incurred, in order to raise twelve millions of money - In settling his loan, the right honourable gentleman had acted wifely, in making his bargain as he had done; namely, in getting the money for the Public upon the best terms he could, without going into the confideration whether this or that particular fund was the most capable of redemption; and when he said this, Mr. Fox defired he might not be so grossly misunderstood, as to have it supposed, that he was an enemy to redemption, or that he was adverse to the paying off the national debt; he spoke directly with opposite seelings, and it was with a view of paying, or rather to diminishing our national debt, that he always argued as he had done, that loans ought to be made as well as they could, and that the Public ought not, from any prejudice of preference of one fund over another, to be burdened with a larger annuity than was necessary. With regard to the ideas suggested by the right honourable gentleman, in favour of a five per cent. fund, his opinion upon that subject was well known, and

he begged to have it understood, that his opinion was not in the least shaken by any thing that had been said that day -As to what the right honourable gentleman had faid, of making a five per cent. fund irredeemable for a number of years, it was undoubtedly the only way to make it fetch its price in the market, but he could not but think that the right honourable gentleman had taken it too high. price of stocks always depended, in his mind, not upon what. price they ought in reason and by fair calculation to bear, but by what they did actually bear, viz. what those who dealt in them, what the money lenders were ready to take them at. Thus, for instance, the right honourable gentleman valued his five per cents, with which he meant to pay off a part of the navy debt, at ninety-five and ninetythree; he could not but think he rated them too high, and that the navy-bill holder, although he might poffibly confent to take them, would estimate them in his own opinion at ninety, or perhaps ninety-one. With regard to the right honourable gentleman's argument, upon the difference between a three per cent. and a five per cent. if the latter was made irredeemable for thirty years, undoubtedly the Public paid an annuity of two shillings for that time, for the right to redeem them at the expiration of that period; but the case the right honourable gentleman had put did not depend upon calculation, it was an hypothesis and nothing else-If the funds could be bought up lower at the end of twenty or thirty years, the Public did pay an annuity of two shillings for the right to buy them up under that advantage, but if they could not, at the end of twenty or thirty years, be fo redeemed at a low rate, the Public would have paid the annuity for nothing. The difference between a three per cent. and a five per cent. loan, Mr. Fox faid, was a difference in the one case in favour of the lender, in the other, in favour of the money borrower; the former would certainly prefer that fund the least likely to be redeemed, the latter, that, most likely and most capable of redemption. With regard to the taxes, indisputably the money must be raised, and so fully convinced was he of this fact, that without meaning to bind any other gentleman to the same line of conduct, he pledged himself to give the right honourable gentleman his support; by which he did not mean to support him in the taxes at all hazards, but as far as upon discussion of the subject in future, the taxes proposed that day should appear to be the best that could be suggested. Indeed, so sensible was he of the necessity of raising taxes, that there Vol. XV.

were hardly any taxes the right honourable gentleman could have proposed, that he should have thought himself, from the fituation he had held, intitled to oppose. When the taxes the Committee had heard that day came under confideration, he fhould discuss them more minutely than it was possible for him to do then. There was one tax, howexer, that he could not but fay he thought a strange one. and that was the tax on ribbands. If he took the calculation rightly, to make out the produce of that tax, every individual female, from the infant just born to the adult of any age, must be supposed to consume or use at least twenty ribbands a year [Mr. Pitt nodded affent] Mr. Fox faid, this appeared to him to be feareely credible. He farther observed, that most of the taxes were upon the direct neceffaries of life, which he hoped would convince gentlemen, that the lituation of the country was fuch, as required bold and effectual measures to be taken to, retrieve it; and that they would join in supporting the Minister in carrying those taxes into effect, which were so obviously called for by the exigency of affairs. Mr. Fox thought Mr. Pitt had on a late occasion, under-rated the present growng produce of the receipt tax; and explained his meaning, by stating, that a great number of receipt stamps had been taken out previous to its being generally understood, as it had been last year, that the receipt tax need not to be paid. At that time those stamps were laid aude. but when the late bill for regulating the collection of the tax came in force on the 25th of March last, those stamps fo laid afide came in use, but being on hand, they prevented so great an issue of new stamps as there otherwise would have been; confequently, the amount of what the tax was now likely to produce could not be known, and therefore he conceived Mr. Pitt to have confiderably underrated its produce, when he stated it lately to the House as amounting at present to one hundred thousand pounds a year only. Mr. Fox asked Mr. Pitt what security the navy holder in the first class, who did not chuse to subscribe to the five per cents, was to have for the payment of his bill, and whether in that case a bill-holder of the second class was to stand in the shoes of the first-class bill-holdes fo refusing to become a subscriber?

Mr. Chan-

Mr. Chancellor Pitt faid, he would very readily give cellor Pitt. every satisfaction in his power to the Committee, or to the right honourable gentleman who had opened the business in a man-

a manner so perfectly liberal and candid. The navy billholders who did not choose to become subscribers, would have the same security for the payment of their bills that they had now, and had hitherto had. The public faith was pledged to them for payment of the debt, but the Public was not compellable to make fuch payment, but at its own convenience. With regard to his loan being exactly upon the same principle as the loan of last year, he could not edmit that affertion, because the terms of his loan were twofold; those of one part upon the old funds; those of the other, upon a new five per cent. fund. With regard to the receipt-tax, Mr. Pitt faid, he had not meant on a late occafion, what that tax, under its present regulation, could produce, but what it actually had produced as yet. Mr. Pitt replied to the argument used by Mr. Fox against a five per cent. fund, and defended himself from the objections that gentlemen had flated.

Mr. Fox rose again, and said, when he had talked of the Mr. Fox right honourable gentleman having borrowed his money upon the same terms as the loan of the last year, he meant to allude to that part of the bargain which was, properly speaking, the loan, and not the subscription to pay off the navy and ordnance debt. Mr. Fox did not seem satisfied with Mr. Pitt's answer on the subject of the navy bill-holder's security, in case he did not chuse to become a subscriber to the new fund. He thought it tended to a compulsory

obligation upon the bill-holder to subscribe.

The Earl of Surrey briefly went through the taxes, most The Earl of which he thought unobjectionable; but he seriously advised Mr. Pitt to consider the intended tax upon coals. His Lordship stated a variety of objections to it, and said, if the right honourable gentleman persisted in it, it would be warmly remonstrated against from various parts of the

kingdom.

Sir John Wrottesty professed himself a friend to the Chan-sir John cellor of the Exchequer, but most earnestly exhorted him to Wrottesty. abandon the intended tax upon coals, and to substitute some other. Sir John declared, if that tax were to be imposed, it would go near to ruin fifty thousand manufacturers in the county of Stafford. He also afferted, that three shillings a chalder was more than, in many parts of that county, people paid for a chalder of coals at the pit.

Sir James Johnstone highly approved the intended tax on sir James qualifications to shoot and deputations to kill game. Our Johnstone, game laws, as they stood, he said, he had ever considered as

he faid, he had ever confidered as P p 2 a difa difgrace to the country. They tended to enflave the people by wresting arms out of their hands, but the intended tax would operate as it ought to do and fall upon the proper objects. He only wished the tax to be double on those who registered their qualifications to shoot, for surely if a gamek eper was to pay a guinea for a deputation, a gentleman might well afford to pay two guineas for the register of qualifications to shoot game.

Sir M. W. Ridley.

Sir Matthew White Ridley argued strenuously against the intended coal duty. Sir Matthew pointed out the manifest inconvenience it would load many of his constituents with, and in particular by drawing so much ready money from them. He asked how was it to be collected? Was an exciseman to be stationed at the mouth of every pit? He asked, whether the tax was meant to be extended to the collieries of Scotland.

Sir Wm. Molesworth. Sir William Molefworth deprecated the coal tax. Sir William declared that the mines in the county he represented could not be worked, if any additional expence was thrown upon them, and afferted it to be a fact, which many who heard him could bear testimony to.

Mr. Ord.

Mr. Ord cautioned Mr. Pitt about the manner of his having the coal bill drawn. If not very cautiously worded, it would be impracticable. A chalder of coals, London measure, was, he said, applicable to no other known measure in use throughout the kingdom.

Mr. Demp.

Mr. Dempster assigned his reasons for not wishing to go into a discussion of the intended taxes that evening, but defired to put in his claim to be heard upon them on a future day, when the bills were before the House. the good or bad of many of the taxes depended entirely on the manner in which they were laid, he faid no found argument could be used, till gentlemen were apprised of the full extent of the several tax-bills. Mr. Dempster faid the tax upon printed and stained linens appeared to him to be highly objectionable. It feemed as if the Minifter with the same breath that had served him to declare the annihilation of the duties on tea, by that means removing one great subject of smuggling, imposed a duty on another article, and thereby provided smuggling with new incitements to exercise their illicit practices, and suggested new commodities for them to run into the kingdom, and those commodities, which, if smuggled, would materially injure, if not totally ruin, one of our best, and, nationally confidered, our most valuable manufactures. Mr. Dempster thought the reason that had been assigned by Mr. Pitt, for laying the additional duty on printed and stained linens a bad one; and shewed that it was from the prevalence of such notions of increasing duties that smuggling had grown to its present enormity.

Sir Matthew White Ridley called again upon Mr. Pitt for Sir M. w. an answer to his question, whether the intended tax on Ridley.

coals was meant to extend to the Scotch collieries?

Mr. Chancellor Pitt affured the honourable Baronet and Mr. Chanthe Committee, that the only reason for his not having risen cellor Pitt. fooner was, in order to collect all the objections of different gentlemen, and endeavour to fave the time of the Committee, by giving them all an answer in the same speech. The tax on coals was undoubtedly meant to be extended to the collieries of Scotland; he wished, however, that the honourable Baronet, as well as the honourable gentleman opposite to him (Mr. Ord) had reserved their objections till they faw the bill; a great many of the taxes he had that day proposed, depended on the regulations under which they were to be laid; regulations meeting many of the objections that had been stated, were actually already fettled, and would be found in the bills; and he really should be happy to receive such information, from gentlemen conversant with the subject, as might serve to point out the propriety of still farther regulations. There were undoubtedly many particulars to which special exemptions from the tax must be extended. Fire-engines, for instance, was, he fairly thought, one of those particulars, and that, he conceived, would totally obviate an objection made by an honourable friend of his, who represented the county of Cornwall. With regard to what had been faid upon the subject of the effect this tax would have upon our manufactures, furely gentlemen had forgot, that in his opening he had expressly stated, that a drawback was intended to be allowed upon the exportation of fuch articles as confumed a confiderable quantity of coals in their manufacture. He declared he should be extremely forry if, by laying a trifling addition of duty on printed linens and cottons, he had been encouraging fmuggling, and holding out fresh incitements to the prosecution of their daring enormities; but when the bill was before the House, he trusted no mischievous consequences would appear likely to follow upon the imposition of the tax in question.

 $\mathsf{Digitized} \ \mathsf{by} \ Google$

Mr. Walter Stanhope.

Mr. Walter Stanbope opposed the tax on coals.

The Committee agreed to the proposition on the terms of the loan.

Mr. Chancellor Pitt then feverally moved the following Mr. Chancellor Pitt. resolutions, which were all agreed to:

> That, towards raising the supply granted to His Majetty, the fum of four hundred and forty-one thousand seven -hundred and two pounds thirteen shillings and nine-pence half-penny, out of the monies or favings of the feveral army fervices, be applied towards defraying the extraordinary expences of His Majesty's land forces, and other fervices, incurred between the 1st day of February, 1783, and the 24th day of December following, and not pro-

vided for by Parliament.

That all persons interested in, or intitled unto, any bills payable in the course of the Navy or Victualling Offices, or for transports which were made out on or before the respective days following, that is to say, the 31st day of August, 1781, the 30th of November, 1781, the 28th day of February, 1782, and the 30th day of June, 1782, who shall, on or before the 31st day of July, 1784, carry the same (after having had the interest due thereupon computed and marked upon the faid bills at the Navy or Victualling Office respectively) to the Treasurer of His Majesty's navy, shall have, in exchange for the same, from such Treasurer, or his Paymaster or Cashier, a certificate to the Governor and Company of the Bank of England, for every intire fum of one or more hundred pounds, for which such certificates are to be made forth; and also one other certificate for the fractional part of one hundred pounds, being the remainder of fuch bill or bills; and the persons who shall be possessed of such first-mentioned certificates of the intire fum of one or more hundred pounds, shall, upon the delivery thereof to the faid Governor and Company of the Bank of England, be intitled, in respect of the same, to the respective sums following, that is to fay, to the fum of an hundred and seven pounds ten shillings and fix pence capital Rock, for each hundred pounds of such bills made out on or before the said 31st day of August, 1781; to the sum of one hundred and three pounds four shillings and six pence capital stock, for each one hundred pounds of fuch bills made out on or before the faid 30th day of November, 1781; to the fum of one hundred and two pounds three shillings capital Rock, for each one hundred pounds of such bills made out on or before the said 28th day of February, 1782; to the sum of one hundred and one pounds one shilling and six pence capital stock, for each one hundred pounds of such bills made out on or before the said 20th day of June, 1782, the said respective capital stocks to be attended with annuities after the rate of five pounds per cent. per annum, to commence from the 5th day of July, 1784, payable half yearly, in lieu of all other interest, the said annuities to be irredeemable until twenty-five millions of the public debt, bearing interest at the rate of either three pounds per cent. per annum, or sour pounda per cent. per annum, shall have been redeemed and paid off, and the said annuities to be charged upon a fund to be established in this session of Parliament, and for which the fund commonly called the sinking stund, shall be a collateral security.

That all persons interested in. or intitled unto, any debentures payable out of His Majesty's Office of Ordnance, which were dated on or before the respective days following, that is to fay, the 30th day of November, 1781, the 28th day of Feb. 1782, and the 30th day of June, 1782, who shall, on or before the 31st day of July 1784, carry the same to the Treasury of His Majesty's Office of Ordnance, to be certified by him, or his deputy or Cashier, to the Governor and Company of the Bank of England, shall be intitled, in respect of the fame, to the respective sums following, that is to fay, to the fum of one hundred and feven pounds ten shillings and fix pence capital stock, for each one hundred pounds of such debentures made out on or before the faid goth day of November, 1781; to the furn of one hundred and three pounds four shillings and fix pence capital flock, for each one hundred pounds of fuch debentures made out on or before the faid 28th day of February, 1782; to the fum of one hundred and two pounds three shillings capital stock, for each one hundred pounds of fuch debentures made out on or before the faid 30th day of June, 1782, the faid respective capital flocks to be attended with annuities after the rate of five pounds per cent. per annum, to commence from the 5th day of July, 1784, payable half yearly, in lieu of all other interest, the faid annuities to be irredeemable until twenty-Eve millions of the public debt, bearing interest at the rate of either three pounds per cent. per annum, or four-pounds per cent. per annum, shall have been redeemed and paid off, and the faid annuities to be charged upon a fund

fund to be established in this session of Parliament, and for which the fund commonly called the sinking fund, shall be a collateral security.

That there be charged for all candles whatsoever (except wax candles) which shall be made in Great Britain, one halfpenny for every pound weight avoirdupoise, and after

that rate for any greater or less quantity.

That there be charged for all candles what soever (except wax candles) which shall be imported, one halfpenny for every pound weight avoirdupoise, and after that rate for a

greater or less quantity.

That there be charged for and upon all bricks, by whatfoever name or names they now are or hereafter may be called or known, a duty of two shillings and six pence for every thousand, and so in proportion for any greater or less quantity.

That there be charged for and upon all tiles, commonly called or known by the name of plain tiles, a duty of three thillings for every thousand, and so in proportion for any

greater or less quantity.

That there be charged for and upon all tiles, commonly called or known by the name of pan or ridge tiles, a duty of eight shillings for every thousand, and so in proportion

for any greater or less quantity.

That there be charged for and upon all tiles, commonly called or known by the name of paving tiles, not exceeding ten inches square, a duty of one shilling and six pence for every hundred, and so in proportion for any greater or less quantity.

That there be charged for and upon all tiles, commonly called or known by the name of paving tiles, exceeding ten inches square, a duty of three shillings for every hundred, and so in proportion for any greater or less quantity.

That there be charged for and upon all tiles, other than fuch as are before enumerated and described, by whatsoever name or names such tiles now are or hereaster may be called or known, a duty of three shillings for every thousand, and so in proportion for any greater or less quantity.

That there be charged for and upon all coals or culm usually sold by the chalder, or by any other means whatsoever reducible to the chalder, a duty of three shillings for such chalder of thirty-six bushels, Winchester measure, and so in proportion for any greater or less quantity.

That there be charged for and upon all coals or culm usually fold by weight, a duty of two shillings for every

ton

ton of twenty hundred weight, and so in proportion for

any greater or less quantity.

That there be charged for and upon every hat of a fuperior quality, in the manufacture of which any hair, wool, heaver, or other fur is used, of whatever kind or fort the same may be, the sum of two shillings.

That there be charged for and upon every coarse hat, commonly called or known by the name of a selt hat or wool hat, made or manufactured wholly of sheep or

lamb's wool, the fum of fix pence.

That every person who shall keep any horse, mare, or gelding, for the purpose of riding, and not for drawing, shall yeild and pay, annually, the sum of ten shillings.

That every person who shall keep any horse, mare, or gelding, for the purpose of drawing any coach, berlin, landau, chariot, calash, chaise, or any other carriage, by whatsoever name such carriages now are or hereaster may be called or known (for or in respect whereof any rate or duty, under the management of the Commissioners of Excise, now is or are made payable by any statute now in force) shall yield and pay annually the sum of ten shillings.

That the faid duties upon horses shall be under the government, care, and management, of the Commissioners for the time being, appointed to manage the duties charged

on stamped vellum, parchment, and paper.

That there be charged for and upon all filks and stuffs wholly made of cotton spun in Great Britain, linens and stuffs of what kind soever, which at any time or times hereafter shall be printed, stained, painted, or dyed in Great Britain, (such callicoes, linens, and sustians, as shall be dyed throughout of one colour only, or stuffs made of woollen, or whereof the greatest part in value shall be woollen, always excepted) the several rates and duties herein after expressed, over and above all other duties payable for the same, that is to say, for and upon all silks so printed, stained, or painted, in Great Britain (silk hand-kerchies excepted) the sum of one shilling for every yard in length, reckoning half a yard for the breadth.

That there be charged for all filk handkerchiefs so printed, stained, or painted, the sum of sour pence for every yard square, and in those proportions for wider or nar-

rower filks.

Vol. XV.

Qq

That there be charged for and upon all stuffs wholly made of cotton spun in Great Britain, to be so printed, stained, painted, or dyed in Great Britain (except as aforesaid) the sum of three pence for every yard in length, reckoning one yard wide, and after that rate for a greater or less quantity.

That there be charged for and upon all linens and stuffs (except as before excepted) to be printed, stained, painted, or dyed, the sum of three pence for every yard in length, and after that rate for a greater or less quantity.

That there be charged for and upon all ribbands manufactured wholly of filk, or whereof the greater part in value shall be filk, not exceeding one-third of an inch in breadth, a duty of one penny for every twelve yards in length, and so in proportion for any greater or less quantity.

That there be charged for and upon all fuch ribbands above one-third, and not exceeding three fourths, of an inch in breadth, a duty of one farthing for every yard in length, and so in proportion for any greater or less quantity.

That there be charged for and upon all fuch ribbands above three-fourths of an inch, and not exceeding two inches and one-half in breadth, a duty of one half-penny for every yard in length, and so in proportion for any greater or less quantity.

That there be charged for and upon all such ribbands exceeding two inches and one-half in breadth, a duty of one penny for every yard, and so in proportion for any

greater or less quantity.

That there be charged for and upon every yard of gauze, manufactured wholly of filk, or in which there shall be any mixture of silk, being striped or figured gauze, and not exceeding one yard in breadth, a duty of three pence, and so in proportion for any greater or less quantity.

That there be charged for and upon every yard of fuch gauze, being striped or figured gauze, exceeding one yard in breadth, a duty of four pence, and so in proportion for

any greater or less quantity.

That there be charged for and upon every yard of fuch gauze, being plain gauze, and not exceeding one yard in breadth, a duty of two pence, and so in proportion for any greater or less quantity.

That there be charged for and upon every yard of gauze, being plain gauze, and exceeding one yard in breadth.

breadth, a duty of three pence, and so in proportion for any greater or less quantity.

That every maker of mead for fale be obliged to take out a license, which license shall be charged with the

yealy fum of twenty shillings.

That every brandy dealer, or person selling brandy or ether spirituous liquors, not being a retailer or rectifier, be obliged to take out a license, which license shall be charged with the yearly sum of sive pounds.

That every common brewer be obliged to take out a licenfe, which license shall be charged with the yearly sum

of ten pounds.

That every rectifier of spirits be obliged to take out a license, which license shall be charged with the yearly sum of five pounds.

That every other distiller for sale or exportation, be obliged to take out a license, which license shall be

charged with the yearly fum of ten pounds.

That every corn distiller, or maker of low wines or spirits from corn or grain, for sale or for exportation, be obliged to take out a license, which license shall be charged with the yearly sum of fifty pounds.

That every maker of any kind of sweets, other than mead, for sale, be obliged to take out a license, which license shall be charged with the yearly sumof five pounds.

That every maker of vinegar for fale, be obliged to take out a license, which license shall be charged with the yearly sum of ten pounds.

That every malster, or maker of malt for sale, be obliged to take out a license, which license shall be charged

with the yearly fum of two pounds.

That every hop planter, whose plantation of hops shall exceed two acres, be obliged to take out a license, which license shall be charged with the yearly sum of two pounds.

That every chandler, or maker of candles, (other than wax candles) for fale, be obliged to take out a license, which license shall be charged with the yearly sum of twenty shillings.

That every foap maker be obliged to take out a licensa, which license shall be charged with the yearly sum of two

pounds.

That every paper stainer and maker of paper be obliged to take out a license, which license shall be charged with the yearly sum of two pounds.

Qq2

That every callico printer, and every printer, painter, or stainer of silks, linens, cottons, or stuffs, be obliged to take out a license, which license shall be charged with the yearly sum of ten pounds.

That every flarch maker be obliged to take out a license, which license shall be charged with the yearly sum of two

pounds.

That every wire drawer, or other person who shall draw, or cause to be drawn, any gilt or silver wire, commonly called big wire, be obliged to take out a license, which license shall be charged with the yearly sum of two pounds.

That every tanner be obliged to take out a license, which license shall be charged with the yearly sum of five

pounds.

That every tawer be obliged to take out a license, which license shall be charged with the yearly sum of twenty shillings.

That every dreffer of hides and skins in oil be obliged to take out a license, which license shall be charged with

the yearly fum of two pounds.

That every currier be obliged to take out a license, which license shall be charged with the yearly sum of two pounds.

That every maker of vellum or parchment be obliged to take out a license, which license shall be charged with the

yearly fum of twenty shillings.

That every glass maker be obliged to take out a license, which license shall be charged with the yearly sum of ten

pounds.

That every person in Great Britain qualified, in respect to their property, to kill game, shall, previous to their shooting at, killing, or destroying any game, register their qualifications with the clerk of the peace, and annually take out certificates thereof.

That for every piece of vellum or parchment, or sheet or piece of paper, upon which any certificate annually granted to any person qualified, in respect of his property, to kill game, shall be ingrossed, written, or printed, there shall be paid a stamp duty of one pound one shilling.

That for every piece of vellum, or parchment, or sheet or piece of paper, upon which any deputation, or appointment of a gamekeeper, granted to any person (not qualisted in respect of his property) by any lord or lady of a

mano

manor, shall be ingrossed, written, or printed, there shall be paid a stamp duty of one pound one shilling, over and above

the duty now imposed on deeds.

That there be charged for every ream of paper made in Great Britain for writing, called imperial, of the value of two pounds eleven shillings per ream, and upwards, and not exceeding the dimensions of twenty-two inches by thirty inches and a quarter, an additional duty of three shillings.

That there be charged for every ream of paper for writing, called fuper royal, of the value of one pound eighteen shillings per ream, and upwards, and not exceeding the dimensions of nineteen inches and a quarter by twenty-seven inches and a half, an additional duty of two shillings and three

pence.

That there be charged for every ream of paper for writing, called royal, of the value of one pound nine shillings per ream, and upwerds, and not exceeding the dimensions of nineteen inches and a quarter by twenty-four inches, an ad-

ditional duty of one shilling and nine pence.

That there be charged for every ream of paper for writing, called medium, of the value of one pound two shillings per ream, and upwards, and not exceeding the dimensions of seventeen inches and a half by twenty-two inches and a half, an additional duty of one shilling and fix pence.

That there be charged for every ream of paper for writing, called demy, of the value of fixteen shillings per ream, and upwards, and not exceeding the dimensions of sisteen inches and a half by twenty inches, an additional duty of one

fhilling.

That there be charged for every ream of paper for writing, called thick post, of the value of thirteen shillings per ream, and upwards, and not exceeding the dimensions of sisteen inches and a quarter by nineteen inches and a half, an additional duty of nine pence.

That there be charged for every ream of paper for writing, called thin post, of the value of ten shillings per ream, and upwards, and not exceeding the dimensions of sisteen inches and a quarter, by nineteen inches and a half, an additional

duty of feven pence.

That there be charged for every ream of paper for writing, called small post, of the value of seven shillings and six pence per ream, and upwards, and not exceeding the dimensions

Digitized by Google

of thirteen inches and a half by fixteen inches and a half, an

additional duty of fix pence.

That there be charged for every ream of paper for writing, called fools cap, of the value of nine shillings per ream, and upwards, and not exceeding the dimensions of thirteen inches and a half by sixteen inches and three quarters, an additional duty of six pence.

That there be charged for every ream of paper for writing, called pott, of the value of fix shillings per ream, and upwards, and not exceeding the dimensions of twelve inches and a half by fifteen inches and a half, an additional duty of

four pence.

That there be charged for every ream of paper for writing or copper-plate printing, called double atlas, of the value of fifteen pounds per ream, and upwards, and not exceeding the dimensions of fifty-five inches by thirty-one inches and a half, an additional duty of ten shillings.

That there be charged for every ream of paper for writing or copper-plate printing, called demy, of the value of twelve shillings per ream, and upwards, and not exceeding the dimensions of fifteen inches and a half by twenty inches, an

additional duty of feven pence.

That there be charged for every ream of paper for writing or copper-plate printing, called copy or bastard, of the value of seven shillings and fix pence per ream, and upwards, and not exceeding the dimensions of sixteen inches by twenty inches and a quarter, an additional duty of sour pence.

That there be charged for every ream of paper for writing or copper-plate printing, called fools cap, of the value of fix shillings per ream, and upwards, and not exceeding the dimensions of thirteen inches and a half by fixteen inches and

three quarters, an additional duty of three pence.

That there be charged for every ream of paper for writing or copper-plate printing, called littris fools cap, of the value of fix shillings per ream, and upwards, and not exceeding the dimensions of thirteen inches and a half by seventeen inches and a half, an additional duty of three pence.

That there be charged for every ream of paper for writing or copper-plate printing, called pott, of the value of four shillings per ream, and upwards, and not exceeding the dimensions of twelve inches and a half by fifteen inches and a half, an additional duty of two pence.

That there be charged for every ream of paper for writing or copper-plate printing, called ground eagle or double elephant, of the value of four pounds per ream, and upwards, and not exceeding the dimensions of twenty-fix inches and three quarters by forty inches, an additional duty of four shillings.

That there be charged for every ream of paper for writing or copper-plate printing, called columbier, of the value of two pounds ten shillings per ream, and upwards, and not exceeding the dimensions of twenty-three inches and a half by thirty-four inches and a half, an additional duty of three

shillings and fix pence.

That there be charged for every ream of paper for writing or copper-plate printing, called atlas, of the value of three pounds per ream, and upwards, and not exceeding the dimensions of twenty fix inches and a quarter by thirty-four

inches, an additional duty of five shillings.

That there be charged for every ream of paper for writing or copper-plate printing, called atlas, of the value of two pounds per ream, and upwards, and not exceeding the dimensions of twenty-six inches and a quarter by thirty-four inches, an additional duty of two shillings and sixpence.

That there be charged for every ream of paper for writing or copper-plate printing, called imperial, of the value of one pound ten shillings per ream, and upwards, and not exceeding the dimensions of twenty-two inches by thirty inches and a quarter, an additional duty of one shilling and nine

pence.

That there be charged for every ream of paper for writing or copper-plate printing, called super royal, of the value of one pound five shillings per ream, and upwards, and not exceeding the dimensions of nineteen inches and a quarter by twenty-seven inches and a half, an additional duty of one shilling and six pence.

That there be charged for every ream of paper for writing or copper-plate printing, called long royal, of the value of one pound per ream, and upwards, and not exceeding the dimensions of twenty feven inches and a half by eighteen

inches, an additional duty of one shilling.

That there be charged for every ream of paper for writing or copper plate printing, called royal, of the value of eighteen shillings per ream, and upwards, and not exceeding the dimensions of nineteen inches and a quarter by twenty-four inches, an additional duty of one shil-

ling.

That there be charged for every ream of paper for writing or copper-plate printing, called demy, of the value of thirteen shillings per ream, and upwards, and not exceeding the dimensions of seventeen inches by twenty-two inches, an additional duty of seven pence.

That there be charged for every ream of paper for writing or copper-plate printing, called short demy or crown, of the value of nine shillings per ream, and upwards, and not exceeding the dimensions of fourteen inches by twenty inches and a quarter, or of fifteen inches by twenty inches,

an additional duty of five pence.

That there be charged for every ream of paper for writing or copper-plate printing, called large fan, of the value of fourteen shillings per ream, and upwards, and not exceeding the dimensions of twenty-three inches and a half by twenty inches and a half, an additional duty of one shilling.

That there be charged for every ream of paper for writing or copper-plate printing, called small fan, of the value of eleven shillings per ream, and upwards, and not exceeding the dimensions of twenty-two inches and a quarter by thirteen inches and a quarter, an additional duty of nine

pence.

That there be charged for every ream of paper for writing or copper-plate printing, called elephant, of the value of fifteen shillings per ream, and upwards, and not exceeding the dimensions of twenty-three inches by twenty-eight inches, an additional duty of nine pence.

That there be charged for every ream of paper for bank or bankers bills or notes, allowing two bills or notes, to each sheet, an additional duty of one shilling; and so in proportion for a greater or less number of bills or notes in

each sheet.

That there be charged for every bundle of paper for printing, called double demy, of the value of one pound eighteen shillings per bundle, and upwards, and not exceeding the dimensions of twenty-fix inches by thirty-eight inches and a half, an additional duty of one shilling and nine pence.

That there be charged for every bundle of paper for printing, called royal, of the value of one pound four shillings per bundle, and upwards, and not exceeding the

dimen-

dimensions of nineteen inches and a half by twenty-four inches and a quarter, or of twenty inches by twenty-fix inches, an additional duty of one shilling and two pence.

That there be charged for every bundle of paper for printing, called royal inferior, of the value of fourteen shillings per bundle, and upwards, and not exceeding the dimensions of nineteen inches and a half by twenty-four inches and a quarter, an additional duty of eight pence.

That there be charged for every bundle of paper for printing, called medium, of the value of one pound per bundle, and upwards, and not exceeding the dimensions of eighteen inches by twenty-three inches, an additional

duty of eleven pence.

That there be charged for every bundle of paper for printing, called demy fingle, of the value of seventeen shillings per bundle, and upwards, and not exceeding the dimensions of seventeen inches and a half by twenty-two inches, or of nineteen inches and a quarter by twenty-one inches and a quarter, an additional duty of ten pence.

That there be charged for every bundle of paper for printing, called demy inferior, of the value of ten shillings per bundle, and upwards, and not exceeding the dimensions of seventeen inches and a half by twenty-two inches, an

additional duty of fix pence.

That there be charged for every bundle of paper for printing, called double crown, of the value of feventeen shillings per bundle, and upwards, and not exceeding the dimensions of twenty inches by thirty inches, an additional duty of eight pence.

That there be charged for every bundle of paper for printing, called double crown inferior, of the value of twelve shillings per bundle, and upwards, and not exceeding the dimensions of twenty inches by thirty inches, an

additional duty of seven pence.

That there be charged for every bundle of paper for printing, called fingle crown, of the value of thirteen shillings per bundle, and upwards, and not exceeding the dimensions of sifteen inches by twenty inches, an additional

duty of eight pence.

That there be charged for every bundle of paper for printing, called fingle crown inferior, of the value of eight shillings per bundle, and upwards, and not exceeding the dimensions of fifteen inches by twenty inches, an additional duty of five pence.

Vol. XV.

 \mathbf{R} r

366

That there be charged for every bundle of paper for printing, called demy tiffue, of the value of eight shillings per bundle, and upwards, and not exceeding the dimensions of seventeen inches and a half by twenty-two inches, an additional duty of five pence.

That there be charged for every bundle of paper for printing, called crown tiffue, of the value of five shillings per bundle, and upwards, and not exceeding the dimensions of fifteen inches by twenty inches, an additional duty

of three pence.

That there be charged for every bundle of paper for printing, called double pott, of the value of nine shillings per bundle, and upwards, and not exceeding the dimensions of seventeen inches by twenty-five inches and a half, an additional duty of six pence.

That there be charged for every ream of paper called cartridge, not exceeding the dimensions of twenty-one inches by twenty-six inches, an additional duty of seven

pence.

That there be charged for every ream of paper called fquare cartridge, not exceeding the dimensions of twenty-four inches and a half by twenty-five inches and a half, an additional duty of eight pence.

That there be charged for every ream of paper called cartridge, not exceeding the dimensions of nineteen inches and a guarter by twenty-four inches, an additional duty of

fix pence.

That there be charged for every ream of paper called elephant common, not exceeding the dimensions of twentythree inches by twenty-eight inches, an additional duty of five pence.

That there be charged for every ream of paper called fugar blue, not exceeding the dimensions of twenty-one inches and half by thirty three inches, an additional duty

of eight pence.

That there be charged for every ream of paper called fugar blue, smaller size, not exceeding the dimensions of eighteen inches and three quarters by twenty-seven inches,

an additional duty of fix pence.

That there be charged for every ream of paper called fugar blue, demy fize, not exceeding the dimensions of seventeen inches and a half by twenty-two inches, an additional duty of five pence.

Digitized by Google

That there be charged for every ream of paper called fugar blue, crown fize, not exceeding the dimensions of fifteen inches by twenty inches, an additional duty of eight pence.

That there be charged for every ream of paper called purple royal, not exceeding the dimensions of nineteen inches and a half by twenty-four inches and a quarter, an

additional duty of four pence.

That there be charged for every ream of paper called blue elephant, not exceeding the dimensions of twenty-three inches by twenty-eight inches, an additional duty of fix

pence.

That there be charged for every bundle of paper called blue royal, not exceeding the dimensions of nineteen inches and a half by twenty-four inches and a quarter, an addi-

tional duty of eight pence.

That there he charged for every bundle of paper called blue demy and bloffom, and not exceeding the dimensions of seventeen inches by twenty-two inches, an additional duty of fix pence.

That there be charged for every bundle of paper called blue crown, fingle, not exceeding the dimensions of fifteen inches by twenty inches, an additional duty of three pence.

That there be charged for every ream of whited-brown paper, called royal hand thick, not exceeding the dimensions of twenty-four inches by nineteen inches and a quarter, an additional duty of four pence.

That there be charged for every bundle of whited-brown paper, called royal hand, not exceeding the dimensions of twenty-four inches by nineteen inches and a quarter, an

additional duty of four ponce.

That there be charged for every bundle of whited-brown paper, called lumber hand, not exceeding the dimensions of twenty-three inches by eighteen inches, an additional duty of four pence.

That there be charged for every bundle of whited-brown paper, called two pound, not exceeding the dimensions of twenty-four inches by fixteen inches, an additional duty of

three pence.

That there be charged for every bundle of whited-brown paper, ealled fingle two pound, not exceeding the dimensions of fixteen inches by eleven inches, an additional duty of one penny.

Rr2

That there be charged for every bundle of whited-brown paper, called middle hand double, not exceeding the dimentions of thirty-three inches by twenty-one inches, an additional duty of fix pence.

That there be charged for every bundle of whited-brown paper, called middle hand, not exceeding the dimensions of twenty-two inches by fixteen inches, an additional duty of

three pence.

That there be charged for every bundle of whited-brown paper, called small hand double, not exceeding the dimensions of thirty-two inches by twenty inches, an additional duty of four pence.

That there be charged for every bundle of whited-brown paper, called small hand, not exceeding the dimensions of nineteen inches and three quarters by sixteen inches, an ad-

ditional duty of two pence.

That there be charged for every bundle of whited-brown paper, called couples pound and half pound, not exceeding the dimensions of twelve inches by ten inches, and of nine inches by seven inches and a half, an additional duty of one penny.

That there be charged for every ream of brown paper, called imperial cap, not exceeding the dimensions of twenty-nine inches by twenty-two inches, an additional duty of

four pence.

That there be charged for every ream of brown paper, called havon cap, not exceeding the dimensions of twenty-four inches by twenty inches, an additional duty of three pence.

That there be charged for every ream of brown paper, called bag cap, not exceeding the dimensions of twenty-three inches and a half by nineteen inches, an additional

duty of two pence.

That there be charged for every ream of brown paper, called Kentish cap, not exceeding the dimensions of twenty-one inches by eighteen inches, an additional duty of two pence.

That there be charged for every ream of brown paper, called four pounds, not exceeding the dimensions of twenty inches by fixteen inches, an additional duty of two pence.

That there be charged for every ream of brown paper, called small cap, not exceeding the dimensions of twenty inches by fifteen inches, an additional duty of one penny.

That

That there be charged for every ream of brown paper, called double four pounds, not exceeding the dimensions of thirty-three inches by twenty inches, an additional duty of four pence.

That there be charged for every bundle of brown paper, called fingle two pounds, not exceeding the dimensions of fixteen inches by eleven inches, an additional duty of two

pence.

That there be charged for every bundle of brown paper, called couples pound and half pound, not exceeding the dimensions of twelve inches by ten inches and a half, an ad-

ditional duty of one penny.

That there be charged for every hundred weight of pasteboard, millboard, scaleboard, and glazed paper, for clothiers and hotpressers, an additional duty of one shilling and six pence; and after those rates for any greater or less quantity of such papers, pasteboards, millboards, and scaleboards, respectively.

That, towards raising the supply granted to His Majesty, 'there be reserved and made payable to His Majesty, his heirs and successors, an additional rent of sive shillings a week, to be paid during the continuance of every license granted for using hackney coaches within the cities of London and Westminster, and the suburbs thereof, and the limits of the

weekly bills of mortality.

That there be referved in the receipt of His Majesty's Exchequer, the surplus produce of the money to arise by the said additional and new duties, above what will pay the interest of the money borrowed, and navy and ordnance debt to be funded, to be applicable to the discharge of the interest of the remaining navy bills, and for the payment of the ordnance debentures, when the same shall become payable respectively, and for the payment of any fund or annuity which may be created for the discharge of the said navy bills and ordnance bebentures respectively.

July 1.

Sir Thomas Dundas rose to state to the House, that he Sir Thomas had received authentic information that the inhabitants of Dundas, the isles of Shetland were in the greatest distress imaginable for want of food, a famine having been occasioned by the total failure of their harvest last year. Sir Thomas said, he would not take up the time of the House by entering at

that moment into any discussion of a circumstance to lamentable. He doubted not but it was sufficient for him to have stated, that the melancholy fact existed, to obtain the immediate attention of the House to it. He would, therefore, content himself with moving, "That a Committee be "appointed to inquire into the diffress of the inhabitants of "Shetland, in consequence of the famine now raging there,"

ker.

The Speaker appealed to the House whether that was the proper mode of proceeding, as it did not strike him that there was any precedent for it; not that he was prepared to fay no fuch precedent existed; but he wished to have the opinion of gentlemen more experienced in the forms of the House, upon proceedings of that nature, than he was.

Mr. Demp. Mr. Dempster cited a case that had happened some time since of a similar nature, in which the House had interfered, and by a scasonable supply of provisions, afforded substantial relief to a very considerable number of distressed individuals and their families in Scotland. Mr. Dempster stated the prefent to be a case equally deserving the attention of the House: he said, he had likewise received letters of undoubted authority, communicating to him an account of the particulars; and they were such as called loudly for immediate relief. The islands of Shetland, he declared, were as valuable as any belonging to Great Britain; they contained an infinite number of inhabitants, who were employed As it was of the utmost consequence to in the fisheries. their preservation that some relief should be immediately granted them, he hoped that the motion, which he conceived to be a motion perfectly proper and unexceptionable, would be allowed to pais, and that a Committee would be appointed, and would lose no time in making a report of the truth of the case, that the House might take immediate measures for the relief of the poor diffressed objects, Mr. Dempster faid, a representation of the facts had been drawn up by the clergy of Shetland, and fent to London to be presented to His Majesty; but owing to the great distance, and the difficulty of conveyance, the letter did not reach town till a month after the date of it.

ker.

The Speaker said, he had no doubt of the truth of the facts; but he wished to know what was the fit mode of proceeding.

Mr. Chancellor Pitt faid, the only objection he faw in cellor Pitt, the motion was, that it assumed a fact which the House, in point of form, was not apprifed of, viz. that a famine was actually raging in Shetland. He should imagine, if the motion was altered, and merely went to the appointment of a Committee, it might pass, and the end would be perfectly answered.

Mr. Wellore Ellis said, the proper, mode of proceeding, Mr. Welhe conceived, would be, to present a petition to His Ma-bore Ellis, jefty upon the subject, and for that petition to be brought to the House by one of His Majesty's servants, with a message recommending it to the House to take it into their immessate consideration.

The Speaker owned his mind went entirely with what the The Speahonourable gentleman had suggested. He explained the kerusage of their proceedings where money was to be granted

for particular purposes.

Mr. Chancellor Pitt accorded with what had fallen from Mr. Chan-Mr. Ellis, and faid, it certainly would be adviceable to proceed regularly, as they now knew what the regular mode of proceeding was. If, therefore, the honourable gentleman would withdraw his motion, and let a petition be prefented, in the mean time the business should be going on; so that in fact little or no time should be lost by altering the manner of obtaining the relief so necessary to be administered.

The motion was withdrawn.

Mr. Eden role on the reading of the bill for the regulation Mr. Eden of the post between Great Britain and Ireland, and faid, that he was far from feeling a wish to impede a measure tending in any degree to promote the intercourse between the two kingdoms; and that he more particularly was difposed to the present bill, because it could result only from genuine and unfolicited fentiments of attention towards Ireland, which he should be glad to see exerted in instances of more extent and importance, wherever it might be found compatible with our mutual interests. The present act, so far as it might affect the revenue of the English Post Office, undoubtedly was a gift to Ireland, that country having been compensated by the late act, for her claim of the share of profits on the carriage of letters between Dublin and Great Britain: as, however, he did not wish to impress an idea on either fide of the water that the facrifice made was more important than it really was, he wished that the mover of the bill would think proper to state the expence.

Mr. Ord thanked the right honourable gentleman for the Mr. Ord. inquiry: he could affure the House that the expence proposed would be within two thousand pounds a year: and he

must

must add, that though a compensation had been given to Ireland in fettling the late bill, the quantum of that compensation had been agreed when it was supposed that the clause now offered would make a part of the bill, and the clause had afterwards been omitted. He did not wish. however, to dwell on fuch an object in a contest of good will and good correspondence between the two kingdoms, and was very glad that the bill had a general approbation.

Mr. Gilbert brought up the report containing the resolutions of the Committee of ways and means of the preceding day, and they were read over at full length a first

Mr. Chan-

Mr. Chancellor Pitt then rose, and said he wished to cellor Pitt. offer two or three things to the confideration of the House, before they went into any discussion whatever of the several resolutions that had been just read a first time. The tax most likely to occasion debate and to be objected to, he prefumed, was the tax on coals; respecting that tax, therefore, he must observe to the House, as had been very candidly and justly remarked by a gentleman in the conversation that had taken place upon it in the preceding evening, it was scarcely possible for any man to say what objections really lay against the tax, if any, till the bill was before them, and the regulations it contained had been seen. Every thing of that nature, as gentlemen well knew, for very obvious and necessary reasons, was obliged to be made a fort of secret, till a certain time; what he wished, therefore, was, that all argument respecting it might be referved till the bill was fairly before the House, and that they might not proceed to try the question that day, when they really had not the information before them that would be necessary to enable them to decide upon it. In the mean time he begged leave to affure the House, that he had not proposed the tax without having first collected what he had conceived to be good information respecting it; to that information, however, he had not fo far furrendered his judgement, as to have come to the House to propose the tax, with a determination that nothing that gentlemen conversant with the subject might state, should have any effect on his mind. He really was extremely defirous of obtaining the fullest information upon it, and he would spare no personal endeavours to get at the information before the day of discussion, if the House would consent to let that subject rest till a future

day. If from what he should be able farther to collect, it should appear fairly and plainly to be a tax liable to solid, and manifest objection, he should be open to conviction; for he did affure the House, he was not so much attached to any proposition as not to part with it, because he had been the person who brought it forward. On the other hand, he hoped that those gentlemen who had already objected to the tax, were open to conviction also; if the regulations of the bill should be found so to modify the tax and purge it of objection, as to remove every reasonable ground of complaint, he should then expect that it would be deemed a good tax, and that the gentlemen in question would favour it with their support. He begged, at any rate, that the question might not be considered as having been prejudged, and that nothing that had paffed upon the subject might be regarded as tying gentlemen down either one way or the other, but that the question might remain open, and be brought under discussion free from every fort of prejudice. He trusted that they all looked to one and the same object, viz. the providing such aids as should be productive, and at the same time the most. likely to prove the least burdensome. In doing their duty truly, therefore, it was necessary that they should make fuch a choice as was best for the country, neither too obstinately persevering in a sovere and oppressive tax on the one hand, when a better was to be had, nor lightly and upon trivial grounds abandoning a tax that promifed to be productive and efficient, because it was liable to some objection. After proposing to try the question fairly, and to abide by the issue, he said, he hoped the tax would not be discussed that day.

Mr. Folliffe rose, and said the right honourable gentle- Mr. Joiman had made a very candid proposal. He must neverthe- liffe. less assign his reason for disapproving of the tax. Mr. Jollisse then stated, that the tax, from its principle, must necessarily be productive of the most mischievous consequences; for which reason he advised the right honourable gentleman to substitute some other in the room of it.

Mr. Walter Stanbope said, after the handsome manner in Mr. Walter which the right honourable gentleman had spoken upon Stanhope. the subject, he would not oppose the tax that day; but he had a proposition which he wished to submit to his consideration, and that was, to pass over the tax entirely that day, and to suspend it till the matter had been fairly dis-Vol. XV.

cussed. Mr. Stanhope said, he submitted this proposition for the sake of the right honourable gentleman, whom he wished sincerely well. If the tax was known to be sufpended, the country would be quiet; but if it was understood to be going on, such a clamour and noise would be raised throughout the kingdom, as never had been occasioned by any tax, however unpopular, since the Revolution, or at least, he would say, since the general excise. If the right honourable gentleman would take his advice, and agree to pass over the tax for the present, he should be extremely happy, and the discussion would come on hereafter more undisturbed, and unmixed with prejudice; but if the right honourable gentleman should be of another opinion, he could only say, he would not that day vote against the tax.

Sir William Cunyngkame.

Sir William Cunynghame declared the tax went to destroy the very vitals of the part of the kingdom that he belonged to. The people there must be totally ruined by any tax on coals; they had no wood to cut down to make fires, and they were too poor to pay any such tax, as the right honourable gentleman had proposed; he therefore should hold it to be his duty to give the tax a brain blow, if possible, at the very first stage of it; and for that reason, if he was the single man who walked out of the door, he was determined to take the sense of the House upon it that day.

Mr. Dempfer.

Mr. Demoster rose to intreat his honourable friend to forbear any such rash intention as that he had just stated. Mr. Dempster said, his honourable friend, he was persuaded, was not aware what injury he would do the cause he meant to support, by taking the sense of the House upon the tax that day. After the open, manly, honourable, and fair declaration made by the right honourable Chancellor of the Exchequer, it would be to the last degree improper not to let the tax proceed a step farther, and to referve a trial of the question respecting it, till that trial could be had with full information in their possession. After what had paffed that day, Mr. Dempster declared, that although no man more fincerely wished to comply with what he knew to be the defire of his constituents, had the right honourable gentleman proposed a tax on the bible, he would that day divide with him upon it; and yet his honourable friend knew that their countrymen would not be very well pleased with a tax upon the book he had

mentioned, or think very highly of any man who should support such a tax. Mr. Dempster said farther, that the right honourable gentleman had done his duty manfully and ably. It was an arduous and an invidious task to propose taxes to be imposed on the People; and when a Chancellor of the Exchequer met the difficulty of the day with becoming courage, it was shameful to suffer him to stand as the single object to be baited. He ought to be supported, and he should have his support, though he could not approve of the whole of the taxes; that on licenses was an oppressive, and he could say as unjust as any tax ever proposed. In London, ten pounds might be a trifle for a brewer to pay for a license; but in Scotland, which swarmed with little brewers, such a sum could not be afforded to be paid by scarcely any one brewer in every shire.

Sir William Cunynghame said nothing on earth should dissir William vert him from his purpose. The tax would prove the ancunyng-nihilation of his country if it was suffered to exist, and he hame. was determined to take every opportunity of endeavouring to crush it that offered. He did assure the right honourable gentleman, that he opposed it from principle, and for the reason he had stated, and not from any motive or per-

fonal ill-will to him.

Mr. Marsham said, he was happy to see the House likely Mr. Marsho act in a manner so different from that in which he had stam. expected they would act that day. He thought the Chancellor of the Exchequer deserved the thanks of his country for funding so much of the unfunded debt, as well as for the large sum he had provided taxes for. There were, however, some of those proposed that were objectionable, and coals was not the only one. The license on hop grounds was an extreme bad tax. It would annihilate all those who grew a few acres of hops only; and he in his conscience believed, it would rather tend to diminish than increase the revenue.

Sir Matthew White Ridley said, he chiefly rose to make sir M. w. an apology to the right honourable gentleman for a hasty Ridley. expression that had dropped from him in the course of the debate the preceding day. He had said, the honourable gentleman had proposed a tax on coals without knowing any thing upon the subject: all he meant was, that those who had given the right konourable gentleman what information he had received respecting coals, either were ignorant themselves, or they had deceived him. He there-

Digitized by Google.

fore

fore asked the right honourable gentleman's pardon, if what he had faid was construed to be a personal imputation upon him. Sir Matthew declared, he was so perfectly aware of the bad principle of the coal tax, that he had come down determined to divide the House against it that day; but after what the right honourable gentleman had faid, he would not oppose it in the present stage.

Sir John

Sir John Wrottesky said, he likewise had come down de-Wiottesley. termined to take the sense of the House against the coal tax; but in consequence of the handsome proposition of the right honourable gentleman, he would not. Sir John declared, the more he confidered the coal tax, the more he was convinced it could not be perfifted in, without proving the ruin of our manufactures. He offered to wait on Mr. Pitt with any other gentleman, and give him every information on the subject in his power.

dan

Mr. Sheridan earnestly deprecated the honourable Baronet's taking the fense of the House on the coal tax that day. He pointed out the extreme unfairness of opposing any tax in that stage. How could the honourable Baronet know whether every possible objection might not be cured by some regulation or other contained in the bill? How did he know that Scotland might not be wholly exempted from the tax? [A loud laugh] it certainly, Mr. Sheridan faid, was possible, and till the bill was before them, no man had a right to contend that Scotland would not be exempted, although he was aware the right honourable gentleman had faid, he meant to extend the tax to Scot-Mr. Sheridan declared, if his worthy friend did divide the House, he would divide with the Chancellor of the Exchequer against him.

The question was then put, "That these resolutions be " read a fecond time," which was carried, and Mr. Hat-

fel proceeded to read them one by one.

Mr. Hussey. Mr. Hussey rose to say a few words on the taxes. He did not think the coal tax the tax principally objectionable; what struck him as much, if not more so, was the tax on candles. It might, he knew, be faid, the amount was fmall, and the poor paid but little to it. The affertion was true; but then it ought to be remembered, that though the tax took but little out of the pockets of the poor, it took it from those who had but little to live on, and nothing to spare. Trifling, therefore, as it might appear to men in easy situations in life, it was a grievous burden

burden to the poor, and as such it had his abhorrence. Having faid this, Mr. Huffey went into an examination of the financial part of the budget. He lamented that Mr. Fox was absent, for he wished to have answered some of his remarks on the five per cent. fund. Mr. Huffey thought Mr. Pitt had great merit in funding fo much of the unfunded debt as he had done, but why not fund the whole of it? He thought the argument with respect to keeping up the price of a five per cent, fund in the market told exactly the other way from that in which the Chancellor had supposed: he was of opinion, letting it be understood that it would be soon paid off, would most tend to keep up this price - The money lenders, Mr. Huffey remarked, were apt to be inconsistent in their reasonings. Hitherto they had contended, that the quantity of unfunded debt kept the market price of all the stocks down; now, when the right honourable gentleman had wished to fund the whole of the debt, they thought proper to object, and affert, that if the whole was funded, that would keep the stocks low - This was certainly inconsistent reasoning -Mr. Hussey entered very much at large into the defence of a five per cent. fund, and could not but be of opinion, that the whole of the loan would have been better had it been raised in that manner. Mr. Hussey also repeated the question put the preceding day by Mr. Fox, as to what fituation the navy-bill holders stood, who should refuse to subscribe to the new fund? This question brought on a long conversation on the subject.

Mr. Chancellor Pitt rose to declare it gave him pain to Mr. Chandiffer from Mr. Hussey, with whom he generally thought cellor Pitt, on financial subjects; but he could not approve his reasoning that day: Mr. Pitt contended, that Mr. Hussey's preference of not declaring the five per cent. irredeemable, amounted to an avowal, that it was worth while to borrow five per cents. that year at ninety-three, in order to pay them off at a hundred three years hence.

Mr. Hussey replied, and defended himself from the charge

of abfurdity.

Lord Mahon attacked Mr. Huffey, and faid he had not Ld. Mahon calculated with his usual accuracy. His Lordship avowed himself a warm friend to a five per cent. considered with a view to redemption. In the course of his speech, he said, he had no doubt, if the Ministry were suffered to go on in the proper way in which they had begun, and to prose-

Digitized by Google

profecuted the good works they had fet on foot, but the whole of the national debt would foon be paid. [An universal loud laugh His Lordship declared he was glad to hear the laugh, he hoped the Public felt as the House did; it was in that feeling he rested his hopes of the great point he had stated receiving completion,

Mr. Huffey answered the noble Lord, and said, happy as he should be to witness the payment of the last shilling of the national debt, yet he was not fanguine enough to expect it.

Sir Charles,

Sir Charles Myddleton said, that what had passed on the Myddleton. fubject of the navy hills gave him some uneasiness; if the measure proposed had any tendency to impair the confidence of the money holders in that species of security, it would be severely felt in all future payments by the Navy Board.

Mr Chan-

Mr. Chancellor Pitt said, that the right honourable cellor Pitt. gentleman's zeal for a department which he fo worthily filled, led him to apprehensions which were ill founded: the measure proposed was an arrangement which the Public had a right to make - He never would allow that the navy-bill holders had a right to be paid at any given time. contrary to the Public convenience; but they had a right, when paid, to have preference according to the femiority of their claims, and that right he confidered as facred,

Sir Charles Myddleton answered, that this explanation had Myddleton fully fatisfied him.

Mr. Eden.

Mr. Eden faid, that this conversation between the Comptroller of the Navy and the Chancellor of the Exchequer, had created a very serious doubt in his mind, which he would state to the House - He had no uneasiness for the money holders, who would take due care of themselves; but he felt an anxiety for the public faith, and was confident that the Chancellor of the Exchequer meant not to give any wound to it by the present transaction: but what was the fact? An offer of great extent was made to the holders of navy bills, under which offer they were to receive what was described to be an equivalent in discharge of their bills. Supposing them to accept that proposed equivalent, was it not to all intents and purposes a payment? Suppose, then, the offer to be accepted by the holders of the most recent bills in point of date, and to be decidedly rejected by the holder of the oldest bills; will not the inference be, that those who have a facred claim

their power.

from feniority to be first paid, are forcibly postponed to others; and if any loss should result to them in consequence, or any inconvenience, will they not be intitled to fay that they are suffering by the false faith of the Public? He flated this with the more earnestness, because he was cordially defirous to promote the measure to which this proposition led, namely, the establishment of a five per cent, fund. He would not enter into the niceties and intricacies of that discussion; he had never heard it without fuspecting that gentlemen in many parts of it bewildered their own imaginations, and the judgements of those who had histened to them - But he would state one possible eafe, in which there might be a clear advantage to the Public in a five per cent, fund: suppose the four per cents to rife to par, if there were at the same time a proportion of funds at five per cent, they would rife to above one hundred and twenty; in such a moment, if the nation were able to discharge a part of its debt, the advantage of paying off the five per cents. at par was great and obvious. He must add, however, that he much disliked the condition annexed to the five per cents now proposed; namely, that they were not to be paid off till twenty-five millions of the three and four per cents were discharged - It was a distant and most indefinite prospect, and might be attended with many collateral inconveniences, unnecessary to be described in the various possible circumstances and arrangements of finance.

Mr. Chancellor Pitt replied, that he could not admit Mr. Chanthat the offer of the bill holders could be productive of any cellor Pitt. breach of public faith - It was a substitution and not a payment; it was a change of denomination of the Public debt, to be made only by confent of the creditors; and fuch creditors who chose to stand out would have it in

Mr. Sheridan argued, that this answer was not satisfac- Mr. Sheritory; the word substitution could not change the nature of danthe thing; if the offer to the bill holders was confidered as a payment at par by the holders of the late bills and not by the holders of the old bills; it followed, that a preferable payment was made to those who had confessedly no elaim to preference; he placed this idea in several points of view — He added that the navy-bill holders would be more disposed to hold out, because a famous speech of the Earl of Shelburne's, under which the present Chancellor of



the Exchequer's dituation commenced, had expressly stated, that this mode of payment should be discontinued as ruinous.

Mr. Dundas answered, that his right honourable friend Mr. Dundas certainly meant to conform to the falutary doctrines recommended in the speech alluded to; but it did not vary the present question, which was merely an offer to such navy-bill holders as chose to accept it, leaving the rights of all others unimpaired and untouched.

When Mr. Hatsel (the clerk) came to read the coal-tax

refolution.

-The Earl of Surrey rose and stated his reasons for op-The Rarl of posing it; his Lordship said, he was convinced the principle of the tax was so bad, that no modification whatever could make it fuch as ought to be adopted. In order, therefore, to afford the right honourable gentleman as much time as possible to think upon another tax in lieu of it, he would take the sense of the House against it that

Sir Herbert

Sarrey.

Sir Herbert Mackworth said, he would not debate the tax Mackworth then, after the handsome manner in which the right honourable Chancellor of the Exchequer had expressed himfelf upon the subject, he only rose to state in one word why he could not approve it. Sir Herbert, then, with great earnestness, urged several arguments against it, and among others faid, the poor in many parts of the country might be faid to live upon the warmth of their coal fire, and when so heavy a tax was laid upon coals, they would be deprived of their chief means of existence.

Mr. Gascovne and other gentlemen objected to it, but faid they would not divide against it in that early stage. At length the question was put;

> Ayes, Noes,

The four were, Lord Surrey, Sir William Cunynghame. Mr. Huffey, and Mr. Stanley

When the Clerk came to the resolution relative to ord-

nance debentures.

Sir Herbert Mackworth rose, and very strenuously pleaded Mackworth the cause of the holders of those debentures; contending, that as they bore no interest, and navy bills did after a certain period, the holders of the ordnance debentures

bentures, who had, during the last war been kept out of their money thirty-six months, instead of sisteen only, (which was the utmost period the debentures remained outstanding formerly) were intitled to some favour; and the favour, Sir Herbert said, would be compensated, if as much of the ordnance debentures were paid off, as the debentures up to December, 1782, amounted to.

After a good deal of conversation on this subject and

others of the taxes,

The fix first resolutions of the Committee being read a

fecond time, were agreed to by the House.

The seventh, eighth, ninth, tenth, eleventh, and twelfth resolutions of the Committee being read a second time, were, with several amendments thereunto, agreed to by the

House, and are as follow, viz.

That there be charged for and upon all bricks which shall be made in Great Britain, by whatsoever name or names they now are or hereaster may be called or known, a duty of two shillings and six pence for every thousand, and so in proportion for any greater or less quantity.

That there be charged for and upon all tiles, commonly called or known by the name of plain tiles, a duty of three shillings for every thousand, and so in proportion for any

greater or less quantity.

Vol. XV. ~

That there be charged for and upon all tiles, commonly called or known by the name of pan or ridge tiles, a duty of eight shillings for every thousand, and so in proportion for

any greater or less quantity.

That there be charged for and upon all tiles, commonly called or known by the name of paving tiles, not exceeding ten inches square, a duty of one shilling and six pence for every hundred, and so in proportion for any greater or less quantity.

That there be charged for and upon all tiles, commonly called or known by the name of paving tiles, exceeding ten inches square, a duty of three shillings for every hundred, and so in proportion for any greater or less quantity.

That there be charged for and upon all tiles, other than such as are before enumerated and described, by whatsoever name or names such tiles now are or hereafter may be called or known, a duty of three shillings for every thousand, and so in proportion for any greater or less quantity.

The subsequent resolutions of the Committee being read

a fecond time, were agreed to by the House.

July

July 2.

On the report of a bill for allowing Sir Ashton Lever to dispose of his museum by way of lottery, and on a motion for enabling him to enlarge the period, the Earl of Surrey made some farther remarks, and the report, with the amend-

ment, was agreed to.

The order of the day having been moved, for the House to resolve itself into a Committee of the whole House, to take into consideration the petition of the East-India Company, the Report of the Court of Directors, stating the assairs of the Company, and the Report of the Committee of the House, appointed to examine into the facts stated in the Report of the Directors, and those papers having been severally referred to the Committee, the Speaker left the chair, and Mr. Gilbert took his seat at the table.

Mr. Chancellor Pitt.

Mr Chancellor Pitt then rose and said, although the papers upon the table involved in them many important and complicated confiderations, that would necessarily call for a full discussion, yet he did not conceive that to be the proper moment to bring the discussion forwards, or that he should have any reason to take up any great portion of the time of the House in stating, what he should think it necessary to fay, preparatory to his making the motion with which he intended to conclude, which would be fimply a motion for leave to bring in a bill for the relief of the East-India Company. Future opportunities of discussion of all the affairs relative to India and to the Company would offer themselves in the progress of the bill, and as that discussion must then be held, he should not for the present go much at large into the subject. The rife or the downfal of the Company's affairs was an object that was intimately connected with the vigour or decline of the British constitution, and every effort to extricate them from difficulties was a step towards national independence. Whatever the opinions of gentlemen might be, respecting the course that Parliament ought to take with the India Company; however involved their circumstances might eventually prove;, or however much the regulation of their territorial concerns might be coupled with political disquisition, still it was his duty to enter speedily upon the discusfion of their affairs; and he would do it chearfully because he was conscious of the rectitude of his intentions. When the measure which he should propose came to be discussed, every every circumstance of the Report would come properly into review; and in the mean time all that was necessary for the motion with which he intended to trouble the Committee, and on which he apprehended there could be little observation, was the general object of the Report, and the immediate measure which was necessary. In considering this, he would draw the Committee to the three principal points

in the Company's present necessity.

First, The debts which were due by the Company to the public by the accumulation of duties, and in the discharge of which unquestionably it would be requisite for the Public to indulge them for a time. To postpone the duties for a time was certainly an inconvenience which the Public could hardly bear; but as it was so necessary to the Company, and as the inconvenience to the Public, though material, would not be in any degree to be compared to the injury which they must sustain through the Company, if they should be distressed by forcing the payment; it was therefore his idea, that time should be given to the Company to discharge this debt; but certainly the indulgence should be guarded, and no longer time be given than was actually necessary.

The second subject in this inquiry was, the bills which were drawn upon the Company from India, part of which were now accepted, and part lying unaccepted, or of which notice was received. This was a question infinitely more doubtful and delicate than the preceding—It was easy to comprehend the whole extent of the injury which would be sustained by putting off the payment of the duties; but it was not so easy to perceive all the evils which might follow from suffering the Company to accept the bills which were, or which might be, drawn in India. He wished to act in this matter with extreme caution; and he should certainly be happy in submitting his ideas, and in governing himself by the wisdom of the House, in striking the medium, and settling how far they ought to go, and where to stop in this business.

The third point was the dividend which the Company in their present circumstances ought to make, and which might be regulated in this bill, so as to enable the Company to act on a certain basis, without applying from time to time for authority to do it in a capricious way. These three were the chief points on which he thought it necessary

Tt 2

Digitized by Google

to animadvert in the present stage, as the topics on which

he was to ground the bill to be brought in.

On the first point, namely, the postponing of the duties, it was his opinion, that they should be divided into parts, and that indulgence should be given to the Company to the end of the year 1785, for the last; that is to say, that the duties due up to a certain time should be paid in six months from January next, and the remainder, which might be due at the end of the year, should be paid in twelve months. Shorter time he did not think it would be adviseable to give, for it was better to comply with the Company's request in this respect than to tie them down to severer terms, which might afterwards afford them an argument for a fresh application. The right honourable gentleman then proceeded to state his ideas on the subject of the bills - Certainly itwas necessary that Parliament should proceed with the utmost caution. Bills to a very considerable amount were already received and accepted - Bills to a much greater amount were announced, and more were expected -What was to be done in this business? The Company stated in their Report probable grounds of belief, that they would be in circumstances to answer their demands. exposed what they believed to be the real state of their affairs - They acknowledged their present embarrassments; but they stated the prospects on which they made their application to Parliament for leave to fign the bills which were coming home. It appeared that they owed a debt of five millions in India: the accounts of what they had suffered by the war were not yet fully made up, nor could their amount be properly ascertained; certainly, however, their circumstances in India were not flattering; but without indulging too-warm and flattering ideas on the one hand, or notions too gloomy and dejecting on the other, he was of opinion that there were rational prospects of the Company, and fuch as would justify the House in authorifing them to accept of the bills of which they had received He must state, however, that this rational hope could only be fustained by the most rigid and inflexible œconomy - The establishments must pay the strictest attention to principles of reform, and even of parfimony-Orders must be obeyed, and the system amended throughout - There were but two ways of recovering the Comhany - the one, that their commerce, by the regulations to be made here, should be rendered more productive than

of late; or that arrangements should be made in their prefidencies, and resources be sound there to maintain the expence of their support, without coming on the beneficial part of the Company's trade at home. To accomplish both these objects was what he most desired to see — He had hopes that the beneficial trade to China might be amended by regulations in the revenue laws at home — and he trusted that wise regulations at home, properly ensorced abroad, might carry reform through the presidencies. With this view, and in this hope, he was of opinion that the Company should be suffered to accept the bills of which they had received notice, and which were, in truth, necessary to the support of their credit.

It was not for him to dictate to the united wisdom of that honourable House; if it did become him so to do, he would certainly recommend a speedy but prudent generofity - The wildom of the schemes which were then to be adopted, would for ever determine the confequence of the East-India Company. Their future as well as their present credit was at that moment depending, and upon their credit was depending, in an eminent degree, that of the whole British empire. It was therefore incumbent on that House, to determine quickly what course they meant to take. He could not fuffer himself to think that they would permit the affairs of the Company to become desperate, by an enormous accumulation of bills; He trusted that they forefaw the danger of fuch an event, and that they would step forwards with prudence and liberality to obviate its confequences. From the late enquiries which he had made into the state of the Company's finances, and from the very ample and fatisfactory accounts which he bad obtained in consequence of those inquiries, he had no room for entertaining a fingle idea, that the Company would not, at the periods he had mentioned, be able to fulfil every engagement which they had come under to the nation. There might be circumstances, in the progress of their affairs, that might disappoint their best-founded expectations, and render their systems of payment abortive. They might experience drawbacks from the extent of infurances on their ships and goods, or from the loss of ships and goods that had not been infured - These were contingencies, against the approach and effects of which no human fagacity could provide — At that moment they were unseen, and he hoped at a great distance. There was, he owned, a very heavy debt

debt standing against the Company in India: but then he begged leave to communicate to the House, that the aspect of things in that quarter was by no means so unpleasant and gloomy as it had been frequently represented: he could, without giving any high colouring to what now existed in the East, assure this country, that a few years tranquillity, a system of exertion and frugality, would undoubtedly render our Indian possessions affluent and prosperous. That assurance he gave with much pleasure; because he believed that India would now enjoy that peace, and that Parliament would enforce that active economy, which the present state of affairs so strongly recommends. He then explained his ideas on the subject of the dividend, and concluded with moving "That the Chairman be directed to move for leave to bringin a bill to go to these points."

Mr. Francis

Mr. Francis role to ask a question of information from the Chancellor of the Exchequer. Observing that it was proposed to bring in a bill to permit the Court of Directors to accept draughts to a very confiderable amount, which they were now by law restrained from accepting, and that the first effect of such an act would be to prevent the bills being protested for non-acceptance, he wished to know whether, in the opinion of the right honourable gentleman, the faith of the Legislature would be thereby pledged to the holders of the bills in any, and in what degree, to make good the payment, if it should happen, that when the bills became due, the Court of Directors should be unable to discharge the whole or any part of them. That as the bills now proposed to be accepted, with others, which would soon stand in the same predicament, amounted to some millions sterling, it was a very ferious national confideration, whether Parliament ought, in any shape, directly or indirectly, to make itself responsible to the creditors for the payment of their demands.

Mr. Francis farther observed, that Mr. Pitt had expressed a sanguine hope that great retrenchments would soon be made in the Company's expences in India, and a rigid occonomy observed hereaster; and that he had argued on this hope, as if it amounted to a perfect security; but that sor his part, he could not conceive on what foundation such hopes of suture occonomy were entertained, unless they were derived from a knowledge of the grossest prodigality and prosusion, which, it was not denied, had prevailed, and still existed in India. That, with respect to the prohibition of

of future bills being drawn on the Directors, however positive and strict it might be, it would assuredly be found ineffectual; for that, in the case of pressing exigencies abroad, there might be no other resource, and necessity would then compel the Company's servants to have recourse to this measure. The similar prohibitions, in time past, had been as strong as they could be; but that reasons, whether real brexaggerated, had never been wanting, for instantly doing the very thing that was forbidden.

Mr. Chancellor Pitt observed in reply, that the honou- Mr. Chanrable gentleman had put a question to him, which any other cellor Pitts gentleman was just as competent to answer as he was, because it was not a question that had relation to any fact; but a question that referred solely to a matter of opinion. him, therefore, to lay down the opinion of the House upon the question, would be an unpardonable presumption; his own opinion upon the case put to him, he was very ready to declare that moment; and his own opinion was, the Public had no occasion to have any security, because they were not answerable for the debts of the Company. Mr. Pitt declared, he had been perfectly aftonished, when on former occasions he had heard it seriously contended, that when Parliament empowered the Company to accept bills, it pledged the public faith for the payment of those bills, and was bound to provide for their discharge, in case the Company should fail to honour them when presented. This language was so palpably, fallacious, that he imagined those who held it most loudly had not believed what they said at the time. The fact undoubtedly was, the Public were in no way affected whatever by the authority about to be given to the East-India Company; for what was the authority in question, but an authority to make use of their own credit and property? What had the Public to do with either? They were neither involved directly nor indirectly, it being the credit and property of the East-India Company folely, and not the credit and property of the Public, that the holders of the bills considered and accepted as their security for the amount.

Mr. Fox faid, he was perfectly aware, that when Parlia-Mr. Fox, ment or the Lords of the Treasury, authorised the East-India Company to accept bills to a greater amount than the 300 000l. to which they were limited by the regulating act of 1773, the Public was not legally bound, in like manner, as a private individual was bound, when he indorsed a bill

Digitized by Google

or a note of hand, to make good the payment in case the person who ought to pay the hill sailed so to do; but he must still contend, that when Parliament acted as it had done with the East-India Company, when it took their affairs wholly under its cognizance and examination; and after that authorised and permitted the Company to accept bills beyond the limit to which they were restricted by a former statute, Parliament in that case held out to the Public, that it was its opinion, that the bills so accepted might be prudently, discreetly, and safely taken; and by so doing, Parliament, from every principle of honour and justice, was bound, though certainly not legally bound; to take care that the Public should be no loser by trusting to the opinion it had induced them to entertain.

Mr. Dundas

Mr. Dundas said, he was extremely happy to find the important point then under discussion agitated so early in the It was of infinite consequence, that if a doubt remained floating on any man's mind on the subject, it should be wiped away. In order to clear up these doubts, if any there were, he had risen. Nothing, he said, could be more certain, than that the Public, if the bill should pass, allowing the East-India Company to accept bills to a greater amount than the amount to which they were restricted by the regulating bill of 1773, was in no way pledged, either directly or indirectly, to make good the payment of those bills in case the East-India Company should fail to discharge them. In order to see this more clearly, gentlemen had only to refer to the cause of the restriction's having been originally imposed on the Company, and the manner in which that restriction was to be governed and to operate. In 1773, the question to whom the territorial acquisitions made by the East-India Company's troops belonged, was fo far agitated, that a claim was fet up by Parliament, to a share of profits made by the Company; and in order that fuch claim might not be inequitably urged, so as to trench upon what might be supposed to be the clear commercial gains of the Company, it was agreed, that the Company should divide eight per cent. every year that their aggregate profits amounted to so much; after which the Public were to come in for their share, and to divide with the Company equally: but in order to prevent the Company from depriving the Public of the right thus established, and in order to prevent them from appropriating the whole remainder of their

their profits to the payments of bills that might be fraudulently fent over from India, they were restricted from accepting bills beyond a limited amount, without the express consent of the Lords of the Treasury. The question then came precisely to this point—From what was it that the Legislature had restricted the East-India Company? Undoubtedly, from making the remainder of their profits Jiable to the payments of the bills, accepted by them; in other words, from a free use of their own credit and their own property. Thus much, therefore, he was ready to admit he did, when he gave his consent to the Company's accepting more bills than to the amount to which they were restricted by the regulating bill, viz. he gave up on the part of the Public, their claim to a dividend on the credit and property of the Company to fo much amount, as the amount of the bills they might accept; but to fay that he pledged the Public, directly or indirectly, in any way whatever, to make good the payment of those bills, was a fact which he flatly denied.

Mr. Fox adhered to his opinion, notwithstanding what Mr. Fox, had been urged by the learned gentleman; for, as he had a right to presume, that people would not advance their money to the Company in its present distressed situation, if they thought it stood unsupported by the Public, so he had a right to argue, that their advancing their money, as soon as the Treasury should consent to the acceptance of the bills, was to be solely ascribed to the idea, that as Parliament and the Public stood in the light of guarantees, that the Company's resources would be found equal to all its engagements; and, therefore, if these resources should afterwards sail, the nation might well be thought by the bill-holders a security for

the payment of the bills.

Mr. Jenkinson agreed with the Chancellor of the Exche-Mr. Jenkin-quer and Mr. Dundas in thinking that the Public would in son on shape be bound by the permission which they gave to the acceptance for the payment of the bills. He was always of opinion, that the Public were not in any degree whatever responsible for the credit of the Company. The community at large were in some degree affected by whatever pressed on individuals; and individuals stood in this relation to the whole, in proportion to the extent of their property; so that the national credit and that of the East-India Company had no other connection than that which inviolably subsisted between the country in general and every other trading stock.

Vol. XV. U u The

330

The opinion of Parliament did not, therefore, bind the public funds with any additional burden, whatever might be the fate of this Company. He did not, for his part, apprehend the Treasury in the smallest degree answerable for those bills, any farther than affected by the solvency of the Company, or its ability to fatisfy all its creditors to the The House of Commons only exercised its own judgement, which could not have any influence whatever in compelling the constituents of that House to make good the debts of the Company. Though Parliament were at present of opinion the affairs of the Company were promising and prosperous, the nation was not in consequence pledged that those encouraging circumstances should in no future period undergo the least alteration. All reasoning which regarded futurity, he was satisfied, was liable to misconstruction and misapprehension; but he should ever be of opinion, that the Company only was responsible for its own credit; and that those who possessed stock on any other principle were in an error, which, however, he trusted might prove an harmless one, but which, in the event of a failure in the Company, would draw along with it the most fatal and distressing circumstances. He, for one, should be extremely forry indeed, to find the Public loaded with all the debts of all the corporations and trading companies, to the affairs of which fome interference of Parliament might have been found ne-This would hang like a millstone about the neck ceffary. of the nation, and must inevitably fink our credit for ever. But the fact was otherwise. No one ever dreamt of such a thing; and he was happy to foresee that the event would not occasion bringing the matter to an issue.

Mr. Fran-

Mr. Francis said, Sir, the answer given by the right hose nourable gentleman is sufficiently clear and precise, and, as far as his opinion goes, certainly precludes all idea of Parliament's becoming answerable, at any time, for any consequences with which its own act, in this respect, may be attended. But I consess, Sir, that the answer, though clear and determinate, is, to my mind, by no means satisfactory. I never imagined that Parliament would, in any case, be strictly and legally bound to discharge the bills, as an indorser would be, or that the creditors would have an action against Government; but I did, and do still apprehend, that by the act proposed, the public honour would be in some fort engaged to those persons, who, considing in the wisdom and justice of Parliament, would not conceive it possible that

the Legislature, affurning and exercifing, as it does, a constant control over the Company's affairs, would take off an existing restraint, and give the Company an express permisfion to engage for the payment of demands, which Parliament was not perfectly affured that the Company would be able to discharge. The sense of the Legislature, to this reffect, will be declared by the permission it gives, which the creditors will conclude is founded on a strict inquiry and certain knowledge, and which, therefore, they will receive. and are entitled to confider, as a parliamentary fecurity. My question, therefore, was not unseasonable or superflu-It appears that a different opinion prevails on this fide of the House, (turning to Mr. Fox, at which the Treasury Bench laughed.) I never knew till this day, that the right honourable gentleman near me had started a doubt, at any former time, on this subject. I am not a party man in this or any other question. I have not the honour of living in any habits of acquaintance with the right honourable gentleman, nor do I believe that I ever spoke fifty words to him above once in my life. My doubts arose upon the instant, and while the right honourable gentleman opposite to me was proposing that part of his intended bill. Surely, Sir, there is nothing very abfurd in the distinction between a legal and an honourable obligation; and though I do not contend that the claim of the creditors can be inforced by a legal process. I still think that, if this act passes, they will have a powerful claim upon the public equity and the public faith. events, Sir, fince a doubt appears to exist, and fince it is possible that the parties concerned may view the security held out to them in the fame light that I do, I think it would be adviseable to insert a clause in the bill, declaring the sense and intention of the Legislature to be, that they do not vouch for the fecurity which they permit to be given, and that they are in no sense engaged at any period to make it good. By fuch a declaration it cannot be denied that Parliament would stand clear of all claim on the part of the creditors. But even then, Sir, we shall not have done all that we are in duty bound to do. We are not only bound to preclude the possibility of any claim being urged against the Public, but we are to take care not to suffer Parliament to be in any shape partakers in an error, or accomplices in a deception that may possibly mislead, or be practised by The Directors fay they shall be able to discharge the bills in question at certain stated periods. Before we

give them permiffion to undertake this engagement, let us be very fure that they will be able to perform it. counts are before us; your Select Committee have gone into a strict examination of them, and have made you a Report. Let us fee what the refult of it is. I have examined these accounts and Reports with all the diligence and attention in my power; and I mean, if the House will allow me, to state briefly what conclusions I have drawn from them; but, as the matter is in itself extremely dry and intricate, and as it is difficult even for men of longer practice and greater ability than I pretend to, to speak clearly and intelligibly upon long and perplexed calculations, I must solicit a great deal of indulgence. I am not accustomed to speak in public; and I very much fear, that, although what I have to fay is clear enough in my own mind, it will appear in great But before I enter into this part of my subject, I have one observation to make, in reply to many things that have been eloquently urged, and strenuously insisted on, by the right honourable mover of the bill. He expressly admits, that great and boundless prodigality has existed in the management of the Company's affairs, and that the servants abroad have been guilty of repeated acts of the groffest and most daving disobedience of orders. From this state of facts he makes a sudden transition to a different prospect, and looks forward to an opposite system, without any data that I can discover, unless it be the usual promises of unusual vigour on the part of the Directors, and of thrift and fubmission, equally unusual, on the part of their servants. From premises such as these, and which have existed in as much apparent force during the last twenty years as they do at this moment, the right honourable gentleman rapidly concludes, that obedience and occonomy will infalliby prevail hereafter. It may be so. The right honourable gentleman's speculations may be better founded than mine. shall leave him in possession of his hopes, without relinquishing my own fears; observing only, that there is this effential difference between us, that my apprehensions are founded in experience, and that his expectations are opposed to it.

Sir, I propose to consider the actual state of the Company's affairs, as represented in the papers before us, under four principal heads; premising only, that I shall content myself with stating gross sums under each head, and not attempt to enter into a detail of the articles of which the to-

tals may be composed, as I do not believe it possible to make such a detail intelligible to the House. With respect to assertions, or mere matters of fact, I must desire it to be understood, that I constantly ground myself on the authority of the Report from the Select Committee, and take their affertions for granted, having no other test or evidence by which I could canvais the statements produced by the Court of Directors, but the documents produced by your Committee. I am, therefore, not answerable for any thing, but for the use I may make of those materials.

The Court of Directors, in their First Report, have laid before you an estimate of receipts and payments in England for a period of fix years, ending in March, 1790, which they support by another estimate of the value of goods remaining now, or to be imported into England within that period, and of the sunds out of which such goods are to be provided. On a rough computation of the amount of several articles of credit in this latter estimate, to which your Committee have objected, and of several articles of debit, which the Directors have either under rated, or not charged at all, I am of opinion, that on their six-years estimate they have taken credit for at least three millions too much. Other calculations, made by gentlemen who understand the subject better than I do, carry the deficiency much higher, and even to the amount of some millions more than I have. My computation, I am sure, is much within the truth.

The Directors, in another paper, (No. 4) have exhibited an estimate of what they call their neat revenues in India in time of peace, and of the annual established charges to be defrayed out of them. Availing myself of the remarks which your Committee have made on this account, in which I intirely concur, I say, that the Directors have under rated the charges to the amount of 882,080l. a year, which, in fix years, will amount to 5,292,4801.; that they have omitted to provide for the interest of their bond debt in India, to the amount of 400,000l. a year, which, in fix years, comes to 2,400,000l, and that they have taken credit for an increase of revenue from Benares, and from the Calcutta customs, to the amount of 292,500l. which, I am convinced, will never be realised, and which, in fix years, will amount These several sums taken together, come to 1,755,000l. to 9,747,4801. from which it will refult, that, inflead of having an annual surplus in India of 1,091,546l. as the Directors have stated, the annual balance against their Indian revenue

revenue will be 1,624,580l. and yet nothing allowed for victualling the King's ships and pay of regiments, which already amounts to 782,391l. and that the whole over credit, taken by the Directors in the above two estimates, amount to 12,747,480l. on the estimated period of fix years.

The third material point to be confidered is the amount of bills unpaid, and already drawn or expected by the Company; and these, by a paper produced to your Committee, (Appendix, No. 7) appear already to amount to the enormous sum of 4,819,2361. The Directors, in their estimate, suppose that bills may be drawn to the amount of 5,655,6681. In the whole period of fix years, and for that demand they profess to make a provision. It appears then, that by far the greatest part of the sum, so provided, is already absorbed, and that only 835,4321. is lest to answer all the bills that may be drawn in the four last years of the estimated period.

The amount of the Company's debts in India comes next into view; and however lightly it may be valued by the Court of Directors, or how little foever those gentlemen may profes to regard the pressure of such a burden, or considertly to rely on the means of removing it, undoubtedly it will be considered by this House as an object of the most ferious and alarming nature. I shall content myself with stating the facts to you, and leave them to your reslections

without farther comment.

I find by the Report of the Directors, corrected by your Committee, that the debt in India amounted, by the last advices, to 6,192,2071. * and this you are told is likely to be liquidated in process of time, partly by the appropriation of certain debts charged upon some of the ruined princes and insolvent renters of lands in India, to the amount of 2,470,3941. of which the Directors themselves suppose a considerable part to be bad, at the same moment that they take credit for the whole of it, which I am of opinion is not worth a shilling. The other fund, out of which the debt is to be discharged, is to consist of supposed savings out of a

* Debts stated by the	Directors		-	٠	4,799,707
Outstanding at Madras	-	•	÷	-	500,000
New loan, Bengal	•	- `	•	, •	562,500
Arrears of Peshcush	•	•	-	-	330,000
•			_	٠.,	-

£6,192,201 fuppoled fupposed annual surplus of revenue in India, stated by the Directors at 1,091,5461, which ought, in truth, to be converted into an annual deficiency of 1,634,5801, by which their debts will be annually increased instead of diminished. In this statement of the bonded debt, I find nothing charged for outstanding debts at Bombay, which must be considerable. No account of them is produced. But you may judge of the condition of public credit at that presidency from this extraordinary sact: by the last advices their bonds, bearing an interest of nine per cent. were at fifty per cent. discount, and the government were not possessed of a rupee

to pay either principal or interest.

There is another paper or estimate before you, which certainly would deserve the most attentive consideration of the House, and which I should have examined with the strictest care, as the matter of it falls within my own knowledge rather more than any other part of the present subject, if certain other accounts, by which alone the truth or fallacy of this estimate could be ascertained, had been produced by the Directors. The account I speak of is an estimate of the probable receipts and difbursements of the Bengal Government, for one year, from April, 1783, to April, 1784. You may remember, Sir, that when I moved for a copy of this estimate about a fortnight ago, I did at the same time defire, that the accounts of the actual receipts and disburse+ ments, up to the latest period to which they were received, might be laid before the House. I find now, Sir, that, although you have an estimate of probable receipts and disbursements to April, 1784, you have no account of actual receipts and disbursements later than to April, 1781, and your Committee tell you, that no later accounts have been fent home. Now, Sir, this fact alone is to me, and, I dare fay, will be so to the House, a just and sufficient reason for rejecting and discarding the estimate at once, as a paper which has no claim whatever to our confidence, and to which no fort of credit is due. The accounts of the actual receipts and disbursements of one year, is the natural, neceffary, and indispensable foundation of the estimate of the next. The first is the official, the true, and, indeed, the only evidence by which the fecond can be supported. cannot officially fay, that an estimate of the ensuing year is true, or even that it is probable, unless you have the power of comparing it with the actual accounts of the collections and expences of the preceding years. To withhold such evidence

evidence is, in the first place, a very criminal neglect of duty in the servants abroad: to expect that, without it, the Directors should place any confidence in their estimates, is, in my mind, an inftance of prefumption; and, finally, they force us to this obvious and natural conclusion, that not only their estimate deserves no credit, for want of the documents that naturally and necessarily belong to it, but that those documents would not have been withheld, if they did not exhibit fuch a view of the Company's affairs as the fervants abroad did not dare to fend home. From the premises I have stated, I have a right to the conclusion; and though hereafter it should appear that, in fact, the state of things abroad were better than I presume it to be, the parties have no right to complain, fince the conclusion I draw to their disadvantage is founded on their own act, or, rather, on the omission of an essential part of their duty. For these reafons, Sir, I shall treat this estimate, as I think the House ought to do, with perfect difregard. One observation only occurs upon the face of it, which I borrow from your Committee, and which certainly is too material to be omitted. The estimate acknowledges a balance against the Company of fifty-fix lacks of current rupees, which it allows to be the exceeding of the expence beyond the resources of the year in question. To this great sum your Committee have added two other articles of over credits taken, which increase the annual deficiency to one hundred and twenty lacks, or to 1,350,000l.

Permit me now, Sir, to consider the Company's affairs in another point of view. Let it be supposed for a moment, that all the calculations made by your Committee go upon false facts, or upon mistaken principles, that all their conclusions are false, and all their objections groundless. posing all this were admitted by me, and taken for granted by the House, there still remain two considerations, which, on the principles assumed by the Court of Directors themfelves, attack the whole fabric of their accounts, and shake it to its foundation. The first of these considerations belongs, in a more especial manner than perhaps any other, to the department and office of the Chancellor of the Exchequer. I mean only to bring it into view, and to draw his attention to it. The Court of Directors suppose, that in the course of the year 1784, the Company may be able to fell the bonds which have been paid in lately at their fales, and hereafter to keep in circulation the sum of two millions

οf

of bonds; and they admit, that if this cannot be done, the bonds may be paid in at the fales instead of cash, and all present estimates be thereby set aside. The fact is, that on the 31st of May last the bonds, which either they had not been able to iffue, or which had been paid in again at their fales, amounted to 587,2001.; and on this point your Committee properly and judiciously observe, "that it appears very doubtful whether the circulation prefumed by the Directors can take place under all present circumstances, and subject to a plan of management which implies a decrease of disbursements and an increase of receipts." The Chancellor of the Exchequer has informed the House, that it makes part of the plan of the present year, to circulate three millions and a half of Exchequer bills on account of Government. Now, Sir, it is for him to confider whether these two circulations of paper can exist together, to the extent that is proposed, and whether one of them will not materially affect and embarrass the other. The question, I confess, is beyond my reach; and I do not presume to offer an opinion upon it.

Of the second great consideration, which, as I apprehend, annihilates all the estimates of the Birectors at once, I am a little more capable to judge. The House undoubtedly has observed, that all these estimates go upon one principle, or, rather, upon one presumption, viz. that peace exists in India, and that it will exist, without interruption, to the end of the period to which the estimates are carried. In their Second Report they say, that, "from all their advices, there appeared no ground to doubt but peace would very "foon be made with Tippoo Saib, and be thereby comopletely established over all India." You will recollect, Sir, that I took the liberty some days ago, (16th June) to express a different opinion on this subject, and stated to the House that I had reason to suspect that the Directors were not completely warranted in making this declaration to Parliament. At all events, I thought it extremely necessary that the House should see on what specific ground such affurance of peace was adopted by the Directors, and folemnly deli-wered to Parliament. You may also recollect, Sir, with what flight and difregard that proposition was treated. was expressly called improper and premature, as if I had grossly injured the Directors by questioning the truth of their affertions, or wished to injure the Company by divulging their political fecrets. It now appears, I think, that $\mathbf{X} \mathbf{x}$ Vol. XV.

that my question was not quite so absurd and groundless as it was then represented. Such a question of fact could not be a matter of indifference at any time, and especially at a time when the supposition of peace was made the foundation from which the actual and future circumstances of the India Company were to be inferred; and when, from that inference, measures of practice were to be instantly resolved on. If there be peace in India, the principle of the calculations made by the Directors is so far supported. Armies may then be reduced, and expences may then be retrenched; but if you reverse the fact, the Directors are obliged, by their own way of reasoning, not only to relinquish their conclufion, but to reverse it. As long as a war continues in India, not only no retrenchments can be made, but the fame causes of expence which have brought the Company to their present distress, must continue to operate; and every fupposed faving, for which they have taken credit with as much confidence as if they had the produce of it in their treasury, must be converted into an exceeding of expence.

You have now upon your table, Sir, a letter-from the Governor General and Council of Fort William, dated the 7th of February last, to which the attention of the House has been particularly called, and which certainly deferves it. In this letter you are told, "that the negociation for peace "does not promife fo much success as first appearances ef led to expect; that the fteps which Tippoo had taken. "were such, as left Nana little or no hopes of his acqui-" escence in the treaty, and that the Select Committee of "Madras, were endeavouring to get their army into the field " as fast as possible." Such is the pacific state of your affairs in India, notwithstanding the positive assurances very lately given you by the directors, "that there appeared no grounds to doubt but peace would very foon be made with Tippoo Saib, and be thereby completely established over all India." In this place, Sir, it is fair in me to observe, that, when I moved for papers, tending to establish the probability, if not certainty, of peace in India, it was confidered as a proposition dangerous to the Company's credit, and leading to a discovery of the important fecrets of their state. But now, it feems, there is no fort of danger in divulging intelligence which establishes the certainty of war. The moment these advices arrive, they are laid before the House, and instantly ordered to be printed and published. Undoubtedly it was right

right to do fo — Transactions in India cannot be made too public: in truth, there is no danger from any thing but concealment. But how is it possible to reconcile the objections made to the production of the papers moved for by me, with the instant publication of the present intelligence?

By the same conveyance, the House is in possession of an extraordinary letter from Mr. Hastings. Considering the eagerness with which it is produced, I presume that some important conclusions are meant to be deduced from it.— On this letter I shall beg leave to offer you a few observations. I am thoroughly acquainted with Mr. Hastings's stile, and with the rapidity of his invention - I know the powers of his pen, and how implicitly he confides in them-I know that, between a feeble memory and a brilliant imagination, he is apt to fall into fuch a total milconception of facts, as would be very distressing to his argument, if it were not united with an unlimited command of words. Mr. Hastings tells you, Sir, " that, during a period of five years, he has maintained a continued and desperate state of war " in every part of India." Hitherto, Sir, this war has been called prosperous; hitherto you have heard of nothing but compleat victories and decisive successes in every quarter -Hitherto the state of the war has been represented to be fuecessful. But now, it seems, the facts are suddenly altered ---It now answers the purpose of the moment to confess the truth — Your distresses are acknowledged, in order to exalt the wisdom, the vigour, and the policy, by which they have been relieved. What yesterday was prosperous, to-day is desperate.

Proceeding in a narrative of events which he persuades himself may have happened, Mr. Hastings assures you, it that he has supported your other presidencies, not by see scanty and ineffectual supplies, but by an anxious anticipation of all their wants, and by a most prompt and like-ral relief of them." If this description be correct, Bombay and Fort St. George must have been nurtured in the lap of suxury. It is impossible that, in the very period referred to by Mr. Hastings, they should have incurred a debt of two or three millions, and drawn upon the Directors for a million more. Yet the accounts on your table suppose that they have done so—He says, "that he has assisted the China trade, and provided larger investments from Bengal than it had ever surnished in any given period of the same length;" and then he solemnly and deliberately concludes,

Digitized by Google

" that,

that, in the performance of these services, he had sought 66 but little pecuniary affistance from home; and that, unso willing to add to the domestic embarrassments of his ho-" nourable employers, he had avoided drawing on them " for supplies on many occasions that would have justified " him in feeking fuch affistance." Mr. Hastings, I conclude, keeps no account of his drafts on the Directors; or, perhaps, he supposes that they keep none. Unfortunately for both parties, the accounts exist, and are now upon your table - By these it appears that, exclusive of bills already paid by the Company fince the commencement of the war, and which must have amounted to a very considerable sum, the bills now received or advised from Bengal alone, and not paid, amount to 3,416,2851. I and this is the proof of Mr. Hastings's unwillingness to add to the domestic embarrassiments of his honourable employers.

I shall not enter minutely into the flattering account which he thinks it fuits his present situation to give you, of the prosperous state of Bengal — It is no easy matter to refute general affertions concerning an object to far removed from your view; nor would it be fair, perhaps, to canvals the literal veracity of a poetical description. Mr Hastings's judgement, now and then, is hurried away by his genius. He abandons himself to his pen, and writes, currente calama, whatever the convenience of an instant argument dictates to his mind, without reflecting on any thing which the fervice of the moment might have engaged him to fay yesterday; or looking forward to any thing which the spur of the occafion may incite him to fay to-morrow. But to a description which it is not in my power to confute, he has added some specific evidence, which I shall take the liberty to examine, because it is of an examinable nature in itself, and happens to be familiar to me.

To prove to you the flourishing circumstances of his government in December last, he sends you a state of the treasury of Fort William, of the same date with his letter; and I freely admit, that the evidence of this document is properly appealed to. The proof produced directly relates to the proposition, and is strictly applicable to it. With respect to the ability or disability of the government of Bengal to carry on current services, to answer immediate demands, or to provide for actual expences, the balance of quick stock, let it be ever so great, proves nothing. Property of any other kind proves nothing. The state of the Treasury, especially if taken at a favourable period, is the true test of

the ability of Government and of the refources of the country. The Treasury at the Presidency is the grand reservoir into which the collections from the other treasuries necessia. rily and naturally flow. It may be accidentally drained by a fudden demand, but, in the ordinary course of the collections, it will foon be replenished. Whereas, if the reservoir be dry, you may be perfectly assured, that all the sources and all the channels by which it was supplied are dry likewise. Now, Sir, having acknowledged the competence of the evidence, let us consider what it proves. The first article in the account, as it naturally ought to be, is that of ready money; and, after all you have heard, would you believe it possible, that in the treasury of a country, whose annual revenue is computed at four or five millions, and which, as Mr. Haftings affures you, has enjoyed the clear and uninterrupted funshine of wealth, peace, and abundance, there should be only five thousand five hundred, and that too at a favouaable period of the collections, as the months of November and December unquestionably are? In other affets and securities, credit is taken for about forty thousand pounds more; and this was the whole of the existing fund of the flourishing government of Bengal.

The existing demands against it are not confined within the fame limits. On the face of the account, the acknowledged debt against a fund of fifty thousand pounds, exceeds two millions; yet even this debt is not flated as it ought to While I was in the government of Bengal, the amount of orders of council on the treasury issued, and not paid, was always stated at the foot of the account. In this account that article is omitted; and I find by another paper that it amounted to current rupees 59,33,735, or above 600,000 l. It is proper the House should be apprized, that heretofore these orders were always considered like bank notes, equivalent to ready money, and that they are hawking about Calcutta at four per cent. discount. The treasury of Fort William, in effect, is thut; or it is only open for the purpose of receiving money for drafts on the Directors. Neither is this all the debt in Bengal; a quantity of treasury certificates, of which the amount does not appear, are circulating at eight per cent. interest. Of these no notice is taken in the state of the treasury; th ugh, in effect, they make part of the bonded debt, and ought to be added to it.

In farther support of his letter, Mr. Hastings has sent you an estimate of the probable receipts and disjursements in Bengal for five months, ending in April 1784. In the first place,

place, Sir, a paper of this nature, if it pretends to official authority, or if it lays claim to official credit, ought regularly to be recorded on the confultations, and transmitted by the Governor general and Council collectively to the Court of Directors. The forms of the government established by law, both abroad and at home, require that course of proceeding, and acknowledge no other. But waving this objection, I infift upon another, which I have already urged against the estimate for the whole year, that it is not even now accompanied by that evidence, by which it ought to have been long ago preceded: I mean the account of the actual collections and difbursements of the preceding years. It is not, therefore, in my power, if I were ever so well inclined, to enter into the specific merits of this estimate, much less to ascertain the probable truth or falsehood of the credit given or taken in it. One observation only is sufficiently obvious, and that, perhaps, may be thought decifive. Mr. Hastings forms a partial estimate of receipts on the five most productive months of the year, for in some months the collections are very heavy, and in others produce nothing; yet he has been forced to leave an acknowledged balance of twelve lacks, or 125,000l. against even that conjectural estimate, and notwithstanding he has taken credit for twenty-five lacks, or 260,000l. for new drafts to that amount, to be drawn on the Court of Directors, and to be applied to the fervice of the five months in question.

These instances, I believe, may be sufficient to convince the House, that Mr. Hastings's judgement does not travel quite so fast as his imagination. Undoubtedly he is a person of uncommon abilities. No man knows them better than I do. No man has tried them more than I have done. And vet, Sir, it is a most singular truth, that, during his whole government, almost all his schemes have deseated themselves, that his most peremptory and deliberate political opinions were those, in which he has found himself most completely deceived; and that he has repeatedly been duped by the Indian princes, who, though far inferior to him in talents, have an advantage over him in other qualifications. this subject I cannot appeal to better evidence, or to more powerful authority, than that of the learned gentleman opposite to me (Mr. Dundas). He has made himself master of all the late transactions in India, and has reported them copiously to the House. He will therefore support me if I am right, or correct me if I should be mistaken about the facts I am going to mention. For more than two years together Mr.

- Mr. Haftings had studiously cultivated, and persuaded him self that he had gained the friendship of a Marattah prince, called Moodajee Boosla, the Raja of Berar. On the strength of a supposed concurrence of views and union of interests between himself and the Rajah, Mr. Hastings, in the year 1778, formed a plan of conquest and ambition, the object of which was nothing less than to difmember the dominions of the Nizam of the Decan, and to subdue the whole Marattah empire. Mr. Hastings entered directly upon the execution of his part of the project, but never, never, could prevail on his friend Moodajee to join him. On the contrary, it was discovered, after two years negociation, during which the Rajah paid many compliments to the profound wisdom of Mr. Hastings, that, during all this time, he had been employed in forming an offensive alliance between the Marattahs, the Nizam, Hyder Ally, and himself, for the purpose of extirpating the English out of India. In the midst of the warmest professions of friendship for Mr. Hastings, this worthy prince sent an army to the frontiers of Bengal, which Mr. Hastings was at last obliged to buy off with three hundred thousand pounds of the Company's money, besides a supply of subfishence while they staid. Allow me to mention another striking instance of the fallibility of Mr. Hastings's politics. He never could be perfuaded that it was even possible that Hyder Ally should invade the Carnatic. He faid then, what he does now of Tippoo Saib, that it was utterly incompatible with his views, interests, and political fituation, to engage in a quarrel with the English power; and I confess he supported his opinion with so many plausible arguments, that I myself began to think he might have reason on his side. In three or four months after the delivery of these opinions in Bengal, Hyder Ally invaded and overran the Carnatic.

But I need not go back for proofs of the facility with which Mr. Hastings suffers any favourite idea to missead him. In the letter now before you, of the 16th of December, he assure the Directors, that "a cessation of hostilities "has taken place with Tippoo Saib, and that in all probability it would be followed by a confirmed peace;" and then he proceeds to assign many admirable reasons for concluding that "Tippoo Saib will not commit himself to a new scene of hostilities with the English nation." Unluckily for the credit of Mr. Hastings's speculations, his second letter, of the 7th of February, completely oversets the first. "The negociation for peace no longer promises success; the steps taken by

"Tippoo Saib leave little or no hopes of his acquiescence in the treaty, and the government of Madras are endeavouring

" to get their army into the field as fast as possible."

I am sensible, Sir, that I have trespassed too long on the patience of the House; and yet, before I fit down, I must solicit their indulgent attention to a few words that have a personal relation to myself. There are two kinds of misconstruction, to which what I have faid may be liable, and against which I am very desirous to guard my character. As my remarks on the state of the Company's affairs certainly tend to place them in a point of view much less advantageous than that in which they had been reprefented by the Directors, it will be faid by fome, and may be believed by others, that I am a man hostile to the interest of the East India Company. On this prefumption, I shall be charged with ingratitude to my benefactors. One imputation leads to the other. I folemnly deny them both. Yet it is perfectly true, Sir, that to the Court of Directors I neither owe nor profess any gratitude, although for fix years together they confiantly and highly applauded my conduct. owe them no obligation for empty promifes of support and barren praises, pure and unmixed, as I have always found them, with any serious proof of their approbation. I am not fingular in disclaiming a particular attachment to the Court of Directors. I believe Mr. Hastings feels himself still less indebted to them than I am. Neither do I profess any special devotion to the Court of Proprietors, I mean to the power that has generally predominated in that court. They have almost uniformly supported the persons and the meafures, which I have uniformly opposed. But there is a third i class of persons, completely distinct from the other two, for whom I profess and feel the warmest attachment, and to whose real interests I am equally bound by duty, by gratitude, and inclination. I mean the East-India Company; to whose service I owe the independence I possess, and even the ground I fland on at this moment. The constitution of the Company supposes no distinction between the body corporate and the governing powers of the India Company. is it in fact impossible that such a distrinction should exist? Is it impossible that measures ruinous to the true permanent interests of the corporation, should be advantageous to powerful individuals at the head of it? Perhaps I may be miftaken in supposing a possible separation of interests between the body and the head.—Perhaps I may have no right to affirm, that the one may be abundantly provided for at the expence

expence of the other, and that fuch things may have happened in other focieties. Whether this supposition be warranted or not, it is to the corporation of the India Company that I hold myself indebted. Let me see distinctly what their service really requires, and I will engage to go as far as any honest man can go to promote it; I mean as far as the duty, that belongs to my present station, and by which I am attached to the community at large, will allow me.

The second fort of misconstruction, against which I wish to be guarded, is still more of a personal nature than the first. I should be forry to be suspected of retaining a spark of perfonal animofity to Mr. Hastings. We are both, I believe, men of temper too warm to be capable of lasting resentments. Our contest is at an end, and the hostilities it produced expired with it.—Affuredly I feel no enmity to him, and I readily acquit him of harbouring any against me. But it may be faid, though I have no reason to think it will, that I avail myself of the advantage of his absence, to say more of him, than I would do if he were here. To this mean imputation, my answer is clear and conclusive. In the first place, I prefume the House may have observed, that although Mr. Haftings is not here in person, he appears fully by his representatives. They are men of ability, and of very distinguished activity.—They cannot reasonably be accused of want of alertness to defend him, nor would it be an act of confummate prudence to provoke them. But I am perfuaded, Sir, that Mr. Hastings himself would be the first to acquit me of any particular reluctance or unwillingness to oppose him face to face. In the fix years that we lived together, his objection to my conduct was of a different nature. He has often accused me of a determined pertinacity in opposing him, right or wrong, and never suffering any thing he faid or did to pass without an obstinate debate. At all events, Sir, it is not my fault that Mr. Haslings is not here to answer for himself. No man, I think, who knows on what terms we flood in India, can possibly doubt, that if it had depended on me to recal him, he would not now have been so well represented as he is, but would have been here in person long ago. Perhaps I have said more on this subject than it required. I should not have mentioned it at all, but for a letter fent to me within these few days signed Detector, in which I am threatened with vengeance, or with a severe inquisition into my own offences, if I dare say any thing to the disadvantage of that great absent man, Mr. Hastings. Vol. XV.

An anonymous letter is not worth notice. But fince the fuspicion it expresses may have occurred to others, I thought it incumbent on me to endeavour to remove it.

Lieut. Col. Cathcart.

The honourable Lieutenant-colonel Catheart fpoke to the following effect: — Mr. Chairman, I am not sufficiently versed in the subject of the finances of the East-India Company to trouble the Committee with my sentiments on that head,

Unless the right honourable gentleman over against me is pleased to cut me short by pledging himself to bring forward a bill for the regulation of India in the course of the present session, I propose to speak of that country in a different point of view.

I have not the honour of representing Mr. Hastings in this House; I have the honour to stand here the representative of a county in North Britain; as such, I shall do Mr. Hastings the justice to say, that I think his conduct during the long period in which he has been at the head of our affairs in India, has proved him to be endowed with superior and uncommon abilities, and that he has exerted these talents with zeal and rigid integrity in the service of his country, so as to entitle him to our warmest praises.

A perufal, Sir, of the official letters which have lately been published, from Mr. Hastings, and from the Supreme Council to the Court of Directors, has convinced me, if possible, more strongly than ever, that a government ought to be vested in some one point in India, which should really

be efficient to control the several Presidencies.

That these Presidencies have not been obedient to what is called the Supreme Council, I think these papers likewise evince.

Surely, until there is one main foring which can actuate all the subordinate wheels of government in these extensive and distant dominions, our affairs there can never sourish.

Nay, the public faith will be broke in every shape, and on every occasion, and the country powers can never place any

confidence in us.

Mr. Hastings, in the letters I have alluded to, states, that the Marattah peace is established on a firm basis, which is

likely to fland unshaken for a term of years.

I am happy to hear that opinion from such a quarter. It is generally believed, that it is much for the interest of Madajee Scindia (the Marattah chief who meditated the peace of Salbey) that it should be a lasting one.

There

There is a circumstance in one of these letters, which leads the to believe, that the politicians of the East do not think us ripe for destruction; at least that they suppose our affairs still to be retrievable.

Nizam Ali Cawn is faid to have offered his affiftance to bring about a peace between our nation and the Nabob of Myfore.

The Nizam is a prince, Sir, whose conduct has hitherto-

been artful and cautious.

It cannot then be supposed, that he should step forward in this transaction, except upon an idea, that if the war should be kindled up asresh, we should crush Tippoo Saib, and that at the ultimate settlement of the matter, he would either gain

an acquisition of territory or of treasure.

I have risen, Sir, principally to request the attention of the House to a branch of reform in India which strikes me as eminently necessary; insomuch, that I conceive, if a bill should be brought forward for the regulation of India, which was founded upon the extended information which the meritorious labours of many honourable members of this House have brought to light, that it would be inefficient unless it went minutely into the state of the government of the armies in the settlements of the East-India Company.

If that government remains in force as at present exercised, and if the British Legislature does not immediately interfere towards its alteration and amendment, it is my firm belief, that our possessions in the East will soon be dismembered

from this empire.

Either, Sir, motives of humanity are to induce us to reftore to the natives of India these territories which, from avarice or ambition, we have wrested from them, or motives of policy are to predominate, and we are to attempt by arms

to preserve these distant provinces.

What slep, Sir, upon this latter supposition, can be of more immediate consequence than the regulation of those armies which we must maintain to secure the fidelity of many millions of subjects, whose hearts, God knows, have no reason to be impressed with sentiments of gratitude for favours alteady received under our government!

Or what, Sir, calls more loudly for the exercise of our humanity than the confideration of what comforts we can point out for those soldiers, who have embarked for that distant part of the world, in what was their duty to confider

their King's and their country's cause?

While

While we talk here of zeal for the welfare of the state, they have proved theirs with the sweat of their brows and

with their blood.

I must apologise for a few words which I wish to speak in detail upon this subject, observing that I am induced to enter into these details from a conviction of their importance, and from hopes which I entertain, that whatever regulating bill is introduced will contain clauses for the remedy of the abuses I complain of, and which will render the interference of his Majesty's Secretary at War, or of the East-India Company, efficacious in behalf of the army, which it occurs to me cannot be the case until the basis of a new military system is laid down by Parliament.

The British army actually serving in India is composed of His Majesty troops and those of the East-India Com-

pany.

These component parts seel the necessity of union. From 'principle they wish to act in concert and harmony, but their views and pretensions are so widely differents that the most experienced officers, who have served in that combined army, are of opinion, that jealousies and heart-burnings must exist

in that corps until it is under one head.

I shall not enter into the constitutional question, whether the army ought to be under the Crown or the Company—But as I totally coincide with those officers who insist, that we never can have an efficient army in India, unless it is placed under one head, I have confined my thoughts on Indian service to the idea, that the military government should be solely in the hands of His Majesty, or totally under the Company.

It is almost superfluous to descant upon the merits of discipline, or that discipline alone ensures the success of any

army.

The experience of all ages, of all nations, has demonstrated the truth of this assertion, and no where more forcibly than in India. The discipline of our army there, compared with that of the country powers, has been hitherto superior. To that source chiefly may be traced the accomplishment of many wonderful achievements, which have been performed highly to their honour, by handfuls of troops in the service of the Company, opposed to the greatest disparity of numbers.

The country powers, Sir, are daily improving in the ficience of war; they have been instructed, they have been affished by an European nation, with which we have lately been

been in a state of hostility. That nation may possibly at some future period again instruct and assist them.

The effects which such an interposition would produce, will depend in a great measure upon our present conduct.

If, Sir, we adopt a judicious military lystem; if we proportion our European force to that which other European nations send to India, and proportion our European troops, so as to be sufficiently numerous to give countenance to, while at the same time they keep in subjection our own native corps, then, Sir, when the hour of danger is at hand, shall we reap the fruits of such policy.

Give me leave to suppose for a moment, that Parliament had ordained that the army in India in suture should be under one head, still there are other points to be settled relative

to that army which are effential to its discipline.

The Commander in Chief, and other superior officers of the army, must have more weight than they have at present, to enable them to keep up the necessary subordination of the service.

What I am going to fay upon this head, is not grounded upon an idea that the military ought to be emancipated from the control of the civil government.

On the contrary, I only wish that certain points might be rectified, which would enable the army to execute with effect.

the orders of the civil government.

According to the present regulations, the Commander in Chief of the Company's forces at the different Presidencies are, to a certain extent, permitted to recommend officers for promotion when vacancies happen under their command; but as the rule of seniority is strictly adhered to, this can hardly be deemed patronage.

The real patronage of the army in India, is the nomination of officers to the command of native regiments and to

out commands.

In these appointments the civil administration frequently.

almost constantly, interferes.

This strikes at the root of discipline, by holding out encouragement to officers to seek for promotion by intrigue, by interest at the Presidencies, and not by distinguishing themselves as soldiers in the field.

Far be it from me to cast, by this observation, any slurge upon the officers actually serving the Company. I know, among them, many most respectable characters; many men who would do honour to any army; and they have the greater merit, because they have been reared in a bad school, under a bad system.

I do

I do apprehend, Sir, that it would be a most useful amendment, if these nominations to commands and out-duties were in suture vested solely in the heads of the military departments.

Then, Sir, officers would look up for the reward of their fervices to those men who were the best judges of their merit.

Then, Sir, commanding officers would have it in their power to reward merit, or punish demerit.

There is, Sir, as it strikes me, a defect in the present constitution of the Councils in India.

The Commander in Chief of all the forces in that country is the only military man, who, by act of Parliament, enjoys

an unrestricted seat in council.

The Commanders in Chief at the different Presidencies six at the Boards on military and political discussions only. The bad effect which arises from this part of the present system is, that if the majority of these Councils chuses to discuss a subject which is really military, but which they please to term commercial or sinaucial, they assume to themselves a right of excluding their military member from that debate. Thus they may decide upon many subjects without the professional advice of that officer, upon whom most probably is to devolve the execution of the measure they have adopted.

There cannot be a line drawn between military and commercial, or financial matters, in India, which will not be liable to this species of chicane; therefore I conceive military men should have unrestricted seats in all the Councils, and at all the subordinate Boards; more especially as they would be but as one to three or four in council, it cannot be argued that their ignorance on commercial or financial regulations would obstruct those measures which appeared judicious to the great majority of the Board, who would still be commercial men.

Nothing can illustrate the nicety of distinctions which exist in India between military and commercial, or financial busi-

ness, better than the statement of the following fact:

There is a large body of men in arms, paid by the Company, for the enforcement of the revenue, called Zebundys in some parts, and in others stiled Militia Sepoys. These myrmidons, Sir, are not strictly under military command; they are more immediately under the revenue department. This corps, Sir, has perpetrated a considerable part of those enormities which have been unjustly laid to the charge of the army.

Can there be a doubt that it is expedient that every man who hears arms of any fort ought to be under military dif-

cipline?

Digitized by Google

If it be necessary, as I believe it is, that there should be a revenue militia, it ought to be inspected into by military men. This, Sir, would prevent much rapine, much cruelty; but it would not prevent the militia from being totally under the orders of the civil Administration, issued by the Councils to the commanding officers of districts, and by these officers to the militia. Then, Sir, over all our dominions, officers might justly be made responsible for the conduct of every man in arms, whether a soldier or a revenue militia man.

I wish, Sir, before I sit down, to say a word or two concerning the execution of general military plans, in time of war, in India.

At present, Sir, if it be voted at the Supreme Council that the Commander in Chief of all the forces shall be deputed on service to any of the other Presidencies, he comes under the total control of the Presidency where he is sent to act.

Is it not, Sir, confonant to the nature of the human mind to suppose, that there would be a greater probability of the service being carried on with effect, if the Supreme Council could depute a General who should, during the exigency of the service, be emancipated from any authority but their own; who would then execute plans which they had framed; measures, for the success or failure of which they were responsible, and naturally more interested in than any other set of men?

While an officer is carrying on operations clogged by the interference of a fecondary authority, how can his steps be marked with vigour? Will it not be allowed, that in those distant and extensive provinces, which are subject to us in India, frequent emergencies arise where vigour and decision

are indifpenfably necessary?

I have stated, I believe accurately, evils which do exist in India. If I have gone beyond my depth in stating what I conceived to be their remedies, still I have been discharging my duty, by bringing forward matter well worthy of the consideration of many honourable members, whose experience entitles them to be better judges of the treatment which soldiers ought to receive. Matter, Sir, which ought to be weighed by those gentlemen who have made the various arts and combinations of governing mankind in general their more immediate study. When these points are discussed, and finally settled, and not till then, our Indian armies will be efficient. The minds of the first officers in this country will be fired with ambition to serve in India. Then, Sir, his

duty being implified to him, the British soldier will pass his life in struggles with the intemperance of climate; will pass his life four shouland leagues from his parents, from the friends of his early youth, and torn asunder, Sir, from those tender connections which attach him to his native land, and he will forget, or rather, without repining, bear with these disadvantages which he ought to know are unavoidably incidnt to the nature of his profession.

But, Sir, the Indian army have long groaned under unnecessary evils; for redress from which they patiently look up for the interference of their Sovereign and of both Houses of Parliament. I trust, Sir, for the honour of this House, that some system will soon originate within these walls, which will enable the Indian army to bless their Sovereign, to bless the Lords and Commons in Parliament assembled, for redressing of grievances, for amending a system, which, I venture to affirm, if candidly and impartially examined into, will be found to have already unnecessarily impeded the service of the State, and wantonly rendered miserable the lives of those

who ferve their country.

But, Sir, I will no longer trespass upon gentlemen's patience, by canvaffing their attention to the cause of so numerous, so respectable a body of men as that of which I have spoken, and whose interests, whose welfare are so nearly, so deeply connected with their own - I shall only say, that if we do not profit by the experience of past misfortunes, we deserve to lose India. It does not require the gift of prophecy to foretel, that if we suffer the affairs of the East to roll or to stagger on any longer in their present channels, or in any thing like their present channels, every gentleman here present will live to see the day when this country shall thereby be involved in war; or, at least, those of us who do not live to see such an event take place, must descend into our graves, conscious that the rising generation is doomed to, ever doomed to destruction, which our delays have intailed upon them. Let us not be lulled into fecurity because the sword is sheathed. This is the moment for Great Britain to Such measures are the most likely long to arm for war. preserve to this country the invaluable bleffings of peace, which I hope we now enjoy in the most distant quarter of Such measures bid fairest, Sir, to allay the the globe. thirst of our enemies for war; or, if they are still bent upon our destruction, to avert the effects of their plans, and to retort upon their own heads those blows they meant for ours.

Mr.

Mr. Chancellor Pitt paid a handsome compliment to Mr. Mr. Chan-Cathcart for the information he had favoured the House with, and from which he should profit; after which he gave notice, that he should bring in the new India bill the 6th

Major Scott said, I rise in this early stage of the debate, Maj. Scott. because I conceive myself particularly called upon by what dropped from the honourable gentleman (Mr. Francis) on the floor; and I do affure you, Sir, in a debate of this great national importance, it was not my intention to have mentioned a syllable about myself or Mr. Hastings. I sit in this House, not as the representative of Mr. Hastings, but as an independent member of Parliament, having a stake in this country totally independent of Mr. Hastings, totally independent of the East-India Company; in whose service my acquifitions were very small, though I had the honour to ferve them near fixteen years. The honourable gentleman, fays, he has received an anonymous letter, figned " De-"tector," in which the writer threatens him with vengeance, if he opposes Mr. Hastings. The honourable gentleman, I am fure, will give me credit, when I declare to him, that I did not write the letter; that no man despises anonymous slanders more than I do, and that I never wrote a line in my life which I will deny, or for which I am not, at all times, ready to be accountable - but as the writer has assumed the fignature of " Detector," I can affure the honourable gentleman, the person who addressed him is not the writer of those admirable strictures on the Reports of the last Select Committee of the last Parliament, under the same signature. The gentleman who wrote those letters is not in England at. this moment; and I can hardly believe that any friend of, Mr. Hastings would have descended to practise such a meanness. Having said thus much, Sir, I shall now offer a few. remarks upon what fell from the honourable gentleman-He tells the Committee, they have no fecurity that the same prodigality which has been practifed, will not be continued; and that the Company's orders relative to drawing bills, will be difregarded in future, as they have been in times past. -Upon this subject, I shall ask the honourable gentleman one plain question: Is it not a fact, that from the year 1772 to. 1780, a period of eight years, not a single bill was drawn from Bengal, except such as were expressly authorised by the Court of Directors? Is it not equally a matter of fact, that the VOL. XV.

bills drawn in 1781, and the following years, were for the express purpose of furnishing an investment for the Company? Is it not equally a matter of fact, that this was the only possible mode by which an investment could be furnished? And why? Because in the last five years, no less a fum than 7,200,000l. Sterling, or fix hundred and fifty lacks of rupees, were fent to Bengal from Madras and Bombay, for the support of the war. At that period, Sir, when we were struggling for our existence as a nation in India: when there were opposed to us seventeen sail of the line, and 6000 of the troops of France; when we were at war with the Marattahs, and Hyder Ally in poffession of the Carnatic; when our armies there were paid and fed in a great measure from Bengal; is it extraordinary that Mr. Hastings was not able to appropriate any portion of the revenues of Bengal to the purchase of an investment? The question, therefore, is simply this: Was it better to take up money in Bengal for bills upon England, and to apply that money wholly and exclusively to the purchase of an investment, or that the investment for three years should have been discontinued?

I am really forry, Sir, to be under the necessity of mentioning the name of Mr. Hastings so frequently; but the honourable gentleman has reduced me to that necessity -I declare I mean no difrespect to the honourable gentleman, when I say, that neither he nor Mr. Hastings are of consequence enough to attract the attention of this Committee for a moment, from the question before yougive the honourable gentleman full credit for the purity of his motives, and I believe he no longer bears an enmity to Mr. Hastings; but what has the Committee now to do with their differences? We are upon a subject of the greatest national importance; and I really, Sir, am ashamed to lose a moment in the discussion of points that are purely personal. The honourable gentleman has gone through a variety of calculations, to prove that the Company is ruined past redemption; and that at the end of the fix years it will owe nine millions sterling and upwards. If that is really the case, Sir, we are in a most deplorable state; but the honourable gentleman's calculations have ever been unfavourable to the Company and its fervants - Let any gentleman read his minutes when first he arrived in Bengal; or his letter from

from St. Helena, or from his house in Harley Street, to the Directors; I am fure I do not mean to impute to the honourable gentleman an intention to deceive; but it is his custom to state the Company's affairs in the most unfavourable point of view. Mr. Hastings, perhaps, may, on the other hand, be too fanguine; but without disputing the honourable gentleman's calculations, or entering into the intricacies of the China trade, I will beg leave to state the transactions in Bengal, as they actually happened in the last fourteen years [Here a loud laugh.] I beg the Committee will not be alarmed, for I will pass over these fourteen years in less than five minutes. Sir, the Committee will recollect, that in the year 1770, bills were drawn upon the Company from Bengal, to the amount of 1,100,000l. at the recommendation of an honourable gentleman, not now a member of this House, I mean General Smith, and that this unexpected draft was made in a season of profound peace; the fact being, that after paying the civil and military charges, stipends, &c. there was not a sufficient surplus, at the end of six years peace, for the purchase of our investment in Bengal. This threw the Company upon this House for relief; and to use the words of an honourable Baronet (Sir Henry Fletcher) relief and reformation went together. The regulating act of 1773 paffed, to which we owe the services of the honourable gentleman in India. In April 1772, Mr. Hastings, by the appointment of the Company, became governor of Bengal. At that period, Sir, the bond debt was 100 lacks, and unavoidably increased to 120 lacks soon after. Upon this fystem the Company could not be expected to go on; but what was the alteration produced in four years? Not only was the bond debt completely discharged, not only was an ample fum appropriated for the purchase of an investment, but there was actually a balance in the Company's treasury in Bengal of 177 lacks of rupees. Will the honourable gentleman deny that this state of prosperity was the consequence of measures adopted by Mr. Hastings, previous to his arrival in October 1774, and which he pointedly condemned; or will he fay it was owing to the economical retrenchments which took place subsequent to that period?

I will not detain the Committee by an investigation of the Marattah war; it was as much condemned by Mr. Hastings, as by the colleagues of the honourable gentle-

Z 2 man

man, General Clavering and Colonel Monson, whose names I ever have mentioned, and ever shall mention, with the

utmost respect.

I am happy, Sir, on this day, to have the honour of feeing the noble Lord in the blue ribband; he will do Mr. Hastings the justice to say, the second Marattah war is not to be imputed to him. The noble Lord is fully acquainted with every step taken by Mr. Hastings; he knows the intelligence he received from Europe, and the credit he justly gave to that intelligence. Sir, the second Marattah war is folely to be imputed to the American war: a fact I am ready to prove at any time. The right honourable gentleman (Mr. Fox) who fits near the noble Lord. faid, and truly at that time, that one consequence of the American war would be, our being involved in every quarter of the Globe. The honourable gentleman has called upon the learned gentleman (Mr. Dundas) who fits below me, to affift him in exposing the wild schemes of Mr. Hastings; but will the honourable gentleman be pleased to recollect the ground upon which that learned gentleman proceeded? His argument was, "Mr. Hastings has forfeited the confidence of the native Princes of India; they will not treat with him; he cannot make the Marattah se peace and therefore he ought to be recalled." Will the learned gentleman now hold that language? Will the learned gentleman now fay, that Mr. Hastings does not enjoy the confidence of the native princes of India; or, that at a moment of difficulty and danger, he did not conclude the Marattah peace? What was the difference between the learned gentleman and the Court of Proprietors. with respect to Mr. Hastings? Not that Mr. Hastings was a delinquent: I never heard the learned gentleman avow an opinion of his delinquency. The learned gentleman conceived that the removal of Mr. Hastings was necessary to conciliate the minds of the native Princes of India, and for the establishment of peace. The Court of Proprietors were of an opinion directly contrary; and experience has shewn, that the Proprietors were right, and the learned gentleman wrong. Whether Mr. Hastings was, or was not, the author of the Marattah war; whether he gave too much credit to the intelligence transmitted to him from Europe or not; whether it was the act of a wife man, or a romantic attempt, to march a detachment across India, is by no means the present question. Let us consider what

was our fituation previous to, and by the latest advices from India, in order to determine the degree of relief which may

be granted to the Company. .

In the height of the Marattah war Hyder Ally Cawn invaded the Carnatic - To preserve that important branch of our dominion in India, Mr. Hastings, at a moment when merchant ships would not attempt a passage to Madras, proposed sending six hundred and forty Europeans, and fifteen lacks of rupees, to the Carnatic by sea, and Sir Eyre Coote nobly confented to rifque his high military reputation at the head of a defeated and dispirited army Mr. Hastings also proposed to send a very considerable detachment to Madras by land - It was immediately formed, and joined Sir Eyre Coote before his fecond general action with Hyder; nor can I conceive, though the late House of Common's condemned the transaction, that the march of this detachment through the territories of Moodajee Boolla, was purchased too dear by the sum of money given to his fon Chimnajee.

From that period, Sir, every possible assistance was afforded by the Supreme Council to the government of Madras; and, after a variety of successes, in a most dissicult and arduous war, our army was besieging the French forces in Cuddalore, when intelligence of the peace, which had faved this country, arrived in India; but was any possible exertion neglected by Mr. Hastings to feed and pay the army at Madras, or to enable the Bombay forces to make that diversion, which at the most critical moment of the

war, drew Tippoo Saib out of the Carnatic?

Sir, the honourable gentleman, passing in silence over the dangers we have escaped; passing in silence over the difficulties we have furmounted; fays, we have not peace with Tippoo Saib - I am not afraid of committing myself by faying, that I believe we have peace with Tippoo Saib, and that I believe he is utterly unable to continue the war. I believe, also, that his only chance for safety is in peace; but admitting for a moment, that it is not concluded, have we a Marattah war now to support? Have we seventeen fail of the line, and 6000 land forces belonging to France opposed to us? Or is Tippoo Saib now in the heart of the Carnatic? We never can have fuch a combination to struggle with again; and I repeat it, that putting together the intelligence from Tanjore and Bufforah, I believe that at this moment, the peace with Tippoo is concluded. With-

Digitized by Google

Without wishing to say any thing that may give offence, let us confider how the war has ended in different quarters of the world - In Europe, we have lost Minorca; in America, thirteen provinces, and the two Pensacolas; in the West Indies, Tobago; and some settlements in Africa. We have contracted a debt of one hundred millions and upwards, and have lost above 100,000 men: but in India, Sir, we have preserved all our former possessions, and we have yielded up Chandenagore and all the French fettlements in Bengal; we have yielded Pondicherry, Carical, and every fettlement we had conquered from the French upon the coasts of Coromandel and Malabar; we have given back to the Dutch, Chinfura, Calcapore, and their fettlements on the coast, Negapatnam excepted. I should rather fay, Sir, this nation has given up, and wifely given up, the conquests of the East-India Company, to prevent farther facrifices where they would have been more felt by the Public. The Company has contracted a debt during this long and arduous war, not equal to one year of its neat revenues: and shall it be stilled a burden upon the State? or shall its servants, who have exerted themselves so meritorioufly, be calumniated, instead of receiving the praise due to their merit?

But the honourable gentleman says, we have no security that ecconomy will be practised in Bengal, except we argue in favour of suture obedience from past disobedience. If the fact is so, why, in the name of God, do you not remove those men who will not obey your orders? Is Mr. Hastings in the way of any scheme of reformation? Has he not expressly and anxiously written to defire that a successor may be sent out? I appeal to the noble Lord in the blue ribband, whether it has not invariably been the language of Mr. Hastings; "Remove me, or confirm me: the go"vernment of India should be supported by the Government at home; if you will not give me your confidence, recal me."

I, Sir, earnestly hope, that if His Majesty's Ministers, or if the Court of Directors, will not give their considence to Mr. Hastings, they will instantly remove him. I hope, Sir, if they conceive that he will not rigidly execute every order they send to him relative to economical retrenchments, that they will instantly remove him. I have heard this language of Mr. Hastings's disobedience repeated in speeches.

speeches; I have seen it inserted in pamphlets and newspapers: but, when gentlemen are pushed upon this subject, they are obliged to have recourse to the stale charge of not fending Mr. Bristow to Oude, and Mr. Fowke to Benares. And here I must say, that whether Mr. Hastings is to be continued or not, or whoever goes out to fucceed him, the government of India must be in India, and you must give up the ridiculous idea of appointing gentlemen to ministerial offices either from Leadenhall-street or this end of the The system is new, and destructive in the extreme, of pointing out to your governments in India, who they should employ in offices of trust and importance, and can answer no end, but that of a mischievous extension of patronage at home, with the more fatal consequence of destroying the vigour, energy, and responsibility of the government abroad. Will the honourable gentleman, or will any other honourable gentleman, mention a fingle order fent from this country, relative to œconomical retrenchments, or upon other subjects, which has been disobeyed in the latter three years? There was, indeed, a difference of opinion between Mr. Hastings and the Directors, relative to the duration of certain contracts, for enfuring a fupply of bullocks during the late war, which were concluded at the recommendation of Sir Eyre Coote; but it is of very old date, and will make no difference to the prefent argument.

I shall now, Sir, briefly state my ideas of what can be done in Bengal — You have authentic, official advice, that the army in Bengal has been considerably reduced: you know that Colonel Morgan's detachment was, on the 23d of January, within twelve coss of Gualior, consequently that by the 1st of February, it would be on the banks of the Jumna, where it was instantly to be reduced. Admitting the peace not to be concluded with Tippoo, the services sof the Bengal detachment at Madras were no longer necessary; I therefore believe most solemnly, that by the 1st of May, every military expence of the Bengal army was brought within one hundred and ten lacks a year. Sir, it is the duty of the Court of Directors not to trust merely to this, but to point out specifically the reductions that shall be made, and to take care that the ex-

pence they authorife is not exceeded.

My estimate of military expences is considerably higher than the establishment of 1777. The civil disbursements

are not estimated at more than thirty-nine lacks, including the expence of the Supreme Court of Judicature; but, allowing fifty lacks for the civil charges and the marine; allowing twenty lacks for stipends, &c. and twenty lacks for contingencies, beyond the very high rate at which I have stated the disbursements, and from the neat revenues of Bengal, Bahar, and Orissa, Benares, Vizier's subsidy, and the profit upon salt and opium, including also the sale of our imports; there will remain a surplus of one hundred and fifty-seven lacks of rupees, for the purchase of suture investments, for paying the interest of the bonded debts of India, and for a gradual liquidation of the principal*.

In stating the subsidy of the Vizier, I confine myself to two lacks and sixty thousand rupees a month, which he pays for a complete brigade, by the treaty of 1775. But His Excellency is also engaged to pay 20,000 rupees a menth for every regiment of seapoys which he may chuse to station in his dominions, beyond the complete brigade; and a fixed sum for the ordnance department. At present there are six regiments upon this subsidy in the Vizier's dominions, which is so far a saving to the Company. If at any suture period (of which I have no idea) the Vizier should wish to recal all our forces from Oude, the line of desence will be circumscribed, and a proportional reduction

CHARGES.

Revenues Salt	-' 50 - 44	•	- 110 - 50 - 20 - 20
Opium	- 4		

7 Balance 157 lacks.

^{*} Major Scott stated in a pamphlet, that the actual receipts of the land revenues of Bengal, Bahar, and Orissa, for 1781-2, after paying every expence of collection, and independent of balances out standing, were two hundred and twelve lacks of Sicca rupees and a fraction; and of 1782-3, two hundred and nine lacks and a fraction; but to take it at the lowest terms:

of the army will take place; and, should we again bebrought within the banks of the Carumnassa, eighty lacks of rupees will amply provide for as large an army as will

be necessary for our complete defence.

I perfectly agree with the honourable gentleman, that, from Bengal, the interest of the bond debts of India must be paid, and the principal liquidated, whenever it is liquidated. Yet, Sir, I have the authority of Lord Macartney, for supposing, that on the re-establishment of peace, the Carnatic will bear its own expences, and surnish a cargo for Europe. I hope it will be so; and then it will be a relief to Bengal, which I have not yet calculated.

I did intend, Sir, to have made a few remarks upon the Report of the Select Committee now before us, and to have noticed two or three very glaring errors; but I shall leave this to other gentlemen, as I dare say the observation will

not escape them.

I have the highest opinion of the integrity, ability, and impartiality of the gentlemen who compose this Committee; but, this, Sir, is an additional proof to me of the absurdity of a Committee of this House entering upon the intricacies of an Indian account, without having a single gentleman amongst them, who, from local knowledge, and long experience, could be enabled to point out to them the necessary papers and documents they should refer to. The mistakes I allude to are so glaring, that I am assonished how

they could have crept into the Report.

Lord North faid, he should not have troubled the House, Ld. North, but two things stated by the honourable gentleman who had just fat down had made it necessary. The honourable gentleman had imputed all the expences incurred by the East-India Company, and all the bloodshed in India of late years, to the American war. The American war, his Lordship declared, had fins enow of its own to answer for, without being loaded with fins that did not belong to it; he begged, therefore, to deny the fact, and to affert, what he could affert with great truth, that the American war was in no degree chargeable with the burdens and calamities that had befallen India. When the war began with France, long after the American war was in existence, by the exertions of the British government, Pondicherry was taken and dismantled, Mahé was taken, and Chandenagore was taken. The French were dispossessed and driven out of India; they had not a fettlement in that quarter of the globe left to fet a foot in. Vol. XV. Aaa

Digitized by Google:

That afterwards, by the mismanagement of the Company's fervants in India, the torch of war was lighted afresh in that country. Peace was not kept with the Marattahs; we quarrelled with the Nizam, then with the Nizam's brother. and afterwards with Hyder Ally, who invaded the Carnatic: this invited the Europeans to carry their arms to India: this brought the French back again to the coasts of Coromandel. after they had been expelled from every part of that quarter of the globe; this brought the Dutch upon our backs in India; and hence all the expence, all the bloodshed, all the disasters, that had happened there. The damage, therefore, that the affairs of the English East-India Company had suffered, had been owing folely to the rash, impolitic, imprudent, and mischievous management of their servants abroad. With regard to the other point that the honourable gentleman had mentioned, viz. that Mr. Hastings ought either to have been recalled, or confirmed in his government, it was undoubtedly true; it was true likewise that Mr. Hastings had, even during bis administration, requested to be recalled. That he had not then been recalled, the honourable gentleman had faid, rested with the noble Lord; it had not, however, rested with the noble Lord, as the honourable gentleman had been pleased to term him; the noble Lord had it not in his power to recal him; some persons, who sat near the honourable gentleman, could tell him, that the noble Lord could not recal him; nay, those who had much more power than the noble Lord ever possessed, found themselves unable to recal Mr. Hastings. That House, they all knew. endeavoured to recal him, and determined that he should be recalled: but even that House, omnipotent as it was, had not been able to effect its purpose. The fact, therefore, undoubtedly was, as Mr. Hastings might boast, and as his friends and representatives might boast, and as the honourable gentleman had boasted for him, Mr. Hastings had repeatedly defired to be recalled; Government had liftened to his requisition, and wished to gratify him; that House had wished to gratify him; nay, they had resolved that he should be gratified, and the Court of Directors had agreed to it: but it so happened, that while Mr. Hastings defired to be recalled, while he infifted on being recalled, while Government and the House of Commons defired that he should be recalled, while the Court of Directors were willing to recal him. his own friends, and his own party, among the Proprietors of the East-India Company, constantly contrived at the General Courts to disoblige Mr. Hastings, to deny him the

the favour he requested, to disappoint Government, to frustrate the resolutions of the House of Commons, to disannul the votes of the Court of Directors, and effectually to prevent his recal.

Mr. N. Smith, Chairman of the Company, rose to vindi-Mr. N. cate the statement of the Directors, on which the Committee Smith. had made so full a Report. His object was to give a complete resultation of the commentaries and conclusions of the Select Committee; and, in order to do this, he went through the Report paragraph by paragraph. He explained various errors, particularly where the Committee afferted, that the charges of collecting the revenues in Bengal appeared to be 500,000l. a year less four years ago than they were at that time; whereas the sact indisputably was, that they were 50,000l.

less now than they were then.

The Committee had also drawn a comparison between the civil charges in Bengal in 1777-8 and 1780-1, and stated, that in the former period they were 466,4771. and in the latter 589,0561.; the natural conclusion of which statement was, an enormous increase of expences, no less than 120,0001. But Mr. Smith stated the fact to be, that the expence of the latter period was less than the former by 10,0001. and the apparent excess was occasioned by the sum of money advanced in March 1781, to Chimnajee Boosla, which was entered among the civil charges of 1780-1. Mr. Smith concluded, from the view which he had taken of the whole, that the circumstances of the Company were extremely promising and satisfactory, and that every aspect in which its present situation could be considered, was calculated to encourage, and not to alarm.

Mr. Eden rose next. He said, that knowing the indispo- Mr. Edea. fition of the House to involve itself in a detail of accounts, however interesting and important the result of those accounts might be, he would leave the Report to the defence of its own accuracy, and to the judgement of all unprejudiced men; if, in so large a variety of matters as were neceffirily comprehended in that enquiry, any errors should be found in the different statements, he should neither be furprifed nor mortified by the discovery: he would say more. he should fincerely rejoice at such a discovery, if it tended to place the affairs of the Company in a more prosperous and more promiting state than they had appeared to the Committee. He had not yet heard of any fuch errors; for, with respect to what had just been stated as such by the worthy Chairman of the Company, he would take some future Aaa2 occation

Digitized by Google

occasion to shew that the inaccuracy was in those who construed the Report, and not in those who framed it; in the mean time it was fully sufficient to observe, that the particulars mentioned, even if erroneous, which he denied, were immaterial to the public confideration, as none of them were brought into the charge in the general estimate of the Company's affairs. But he had other reasons for declining this kind of contest. He waged no war with the Directors: the whole tenor of the Report would prove this; for it gave at least fifty flat contradictions to the Directors' accounts. without a fingle expression tending either to criminate or censure. He waged no war with Mr. Hastings, nor with the representatives of Mr. Hastings, if there were any. With respect to India discussions, it was indifferent to him, whether Mr. Hastings was no better represented than the city of Westminster, or whether he had more representatives in that House than the city of London and the county of Middlesex: fo far as personal confiderations could influence, he was bound to feel a partiality towards Mr. Hastings, who had shewn peculiar kindness to some of his nearest connections. Lastly, he waged no war with the East-India Proprietors: on the contrary, every step which he had taken in this business would in due time be acknowledged by the honest Proprietors of the Company (of which description there was a large proportion) to be most friendly to their real and permanent advantage. He had been actuated through the whole business by a fair solicitude to obtain lights which might prevent a bankruptcy, that would first burst upon the commercial interest, and would afterwards overwhelm the landed interests of the kingdom. In this solicitude there was no idea of despondency; he did not mean to intimate that the Company's affairs were irretrievable, if fully and fairly brought to view: but he would venture to affert, and would rest his character upon the affertion, that if mere palliatives were tried, if Parliament declined the task of examining and probing the disorder to the bottom, if the present measures were merely to skin over the wound, the consequences must be most calamitous to the company and to the Public. this temper and in this persuasion he had entered into this enquiry, and could clearly appeal to those who had affifted and instructed him in that enquiry, for the fairness with which it had been conducted; in the Committee, indeed, he had the advantage of three or four particular friends, men of known and acknowledged talents and integrity: but the majority of the Committee, though composed also of gentlemen equally intitled to fuch attributes, were utterly unconnected with him in the line of public life; and yet he could venture to fay, that there never was an instance in which any Committee had acted, from its beginning to its end, with so mutual and complete a cordiality and unanimity. He could not, therefore, submit to stoop to the task of defending the Report of such a Committee; it was before the House and the Public; let it be judged by the House and the Public; and let it also stand the test of such events as it might be construed to predict.

Mr. Eden next proceeded to make some remarks on what had been fuggested by the Chancellor of the Exchequer, in opening the business - He faid, that he had heard with great surprise the right honourable gentleman's opinion, that if relief should be given in the extent and manner asked by the Company, the Directors would be able for the future to be regular in their receipts and payments. He knew that the right honourable gentleman was a bold statesman, and was willing to pay due homage to an intrepidity well fuited to the difficulties of the times: but he knew also, that the right honourable gentleman possessed singular wisdom and foresight, and could not reconcile to fuch qualities the rifque of an opinion, the fallaciousness of which a few months might demonstrate. -Surely the difference between the state of the Company, as described in the First Report of the Directors, which gave the intended detail of receipts and payments, and the amount of the various' articles which had fince come to light, would shew too much cause to suspect the solidity of fuch an opinion. He would here state to the House, the amount of various articles of charge beyond what was stated by the Directors; the sums dependent on those articles had been hitherto undisputed, and he believed them to be indifputable: the whole amounted to above eight millions sterling. The particulars were; the interest of duties proposed to be postponed for different periods of the next five years, and to which the claim of the Public was not only legal but reasonable; certain articles of duties and damaged goods mentioned in the Directors' Second Report; bills drawn from India, amounting within three months to 1,200,000l. beyond any expectation or forefight of the Directors in drawing their First Report, and, in truth, contrary to their affurances; the price of infurance for which the Directors professed to have made no allowance, though

they had taken credit for the safe arrival and prime cost of all their cargoes for fix years; bills to be accepted from Bencoolen; the fum of near 800,000l, now claimed by the Victualling Office and Pay office; the 130,000l. still due on the renewal of the charter, with the interest of fix years, no allowance having been made by the Directors either for interest or principal; the additional bond debt in India, of which accounts had been received fince the First Report; the interest on the Bombay and Madras bond debt, for which no allowance had been made in the estimates of the Directors; the arrears of Pishcush, which were ordered to be paid, but were not brought to account; the Madras arrear, of which late accounts had been received; treasury notes issued in Bengal in lieu of the investment loan: to these he would add, the amount of the Dutch prizes, the right to which is litigated, though brought to account as the property of the Company; and another article, of which he had no doubt, though it might be matter of opinion, namely, the produce of fales, which had been stated at 3,300,000l a year, from an average of fales taken at a period of former sales. Under that circumstance, and under the orders of the Company respecting the Bengal raw silk, and confidering also the situation of Madras and Bombay, who were unable to furnish their proportion of goods; but more especially considering the increased competition of European vessels in the ports of India and China, for the fatal purpose of bringing home the immense acquisition of the Company's fervants, at the very hour when the Company itself was in the extreme of poverty and diffress, and unable to purchase any investments but from money also furnished by those very servants, he thought it reasonable to deduct 300,000l, a year from that speculation. He had been induced to state these articles to illustrate his doubt, whether the relief prayed in February last would be adequate to the wants of the party in the present month, when in the interim fuch large deficiencies had been ascertained. It would have been easy to swell this catalogue still farther. He abstained from all remark on the supposed revenues and expences of the different territories and fettlements; but it was a wide field of observation, and what opened very melancholy prospects in the present state of India government - He abstained also from all discussion of the Directors' plan of commercial resources, which the

Committee had shewn to be incompatible. Credit had been taken by the Directors for all the effects of a reform from the date of their Report; but the Chairman had just assured the House, that the Directors were "going to order restrenchments." Past experience had shewn, that the orders of the Directors were invariably disregarded, except when they tended to promote expence or protect peculation; but in the present instance, there was not even the decency of a vain endeavour. Lastly, he would lay no stress on this whole sabric of a delusive imagination being erected on the necessity of an uninterrupted peace in India and in Europe to the year 1790; though it was not yet known that it had taken place in India.

The right honourable gentleman had intimated an expectation that, though large bills had been drawn in Bengal, a proportionable increase of goods had been accumulated: he would only remark, that this hope, however reasonable, was not yet verified by any evidence or corre-

spondence.

There had also been much conversation on the degree in which the Public is bound by the authority of Parliament to enable the Company to draw or accept bills—He was not disposed to adopt either opinion in its full extent; but he had no doubt in saying, that if the authority of Parliament was pledged to the bill-holders, Parliament was at least bound to protect them so far as not to permit the Company to divide the money of the bill-holder, and to protect his bill: and this remark would materially apply to a great proportion of the bills at present unaccepted.

Lastly, as some papers from Bengal had been moved for a few days ago, as subverting the whole Report of the Committee, he would make a few remarks on the first of those papers, and would only observe, that the others, though less open to observation, were equally destitute of weight as to the confideration at present before the House. The paper to which he alluded was the letter from Fort William, dated 16th December, 1783. " We have," fays Mr. Hastings, " supported your other presidencies, of not by scanty and ineffectual supplies, but by an anxious 46 anticipation of all their wants:" would not any person who attentively perused that paragraph, reasonably be furprised to hear, that those presidencies, all whose wants were so effectually supplied, had incurred a debt of 3,000,000l, and that their bonds were now at fifty per cent. dif-

discount? Again, "We have affisted the China trade:" the remark here is, that the last letters from Canton complain loudly of the entire want of affiftance - Again, "We ' have provided larger investments from this presidency "than it ever furnished in any given period of the same " length:" this is proved, by bringing within a thirteenmonths period, an account of the exports of more than two years - Again; "In the profecution of these services, " we have fought but little pecuniary affistance from home; " we have avoided drawing on you for supplies." This passage is best illustrated by the account in the Committee's Appendix of three millions of bills from Bengal. On these strange and multiplied incongruities, he would only obferve, that they were the picture painted from the painter's imagination, like the Directors' First Report, in a moment of fanguine expectation and wild enthusiasm, amidst increasing distresses and difficulties.

Mr. Atkin-

Mr. Atkinson began with declaring, that under the difinclination the House had expressed to pursue the debate farther at present, he should not have attempted to take up the time of the House, had not the right honourable gentleman, running in a curfory way through nearly the whole subject, assumed, as uncontradictory and undeniable truths, almost all the points in the Report of the Committee, wherein it differed from the Reports of the Directors -That, very far from acknowledging obligation for the gentle manner in which the right honourable gentleman claimed the credit of having stated his contradictions of the Directors' Report, he laid in his claim to be heard on a future day, when he trusted he should be able to shew, that in every point where the two Reports contradicted each other, the Directors were in the right, and the right honourable gentleman in the wrong; and would not, unless it was their pleasure, trouble the House farther at present. Being called upon to go on, he proceeded to state the neceffity of attending to the order of the House, upon which the Directors were to make their Report, and that it was confined to the degree of relief by postponement of duties and acceptance of bills, which, in the opinion of the Directors, would be fufficient for their affairs. Accordingly, he said, the Directors had stated an estimate of their receipts and payments in England, with as much accuracy, he believed, as the nature of the case admitted; but had

never

never professed accurately to state any estimate of their receipts and payments abroad, which was plainly impossible to be done with any exactness: therefore, under this last head, they had contented themselves with giving a general view of their revenues and resources abroad (independent of what they had computed upon, as applicable to their commercial investments) and of the debts and services to which they were applicable; and had only drawn this general inference, that there was no reason to apprehend that farther bills would be necessary to be drawn in aid of those revenues and resources; seeing, that whilst great difference of opinion might prevail about the degree to which those revenues would be productive, there was no reasonable ground to suppose the revenues, which before the war had produced a very large furplus, would not still produce some furplus; and that whether that furplus discharged the burdens upon it a little sooner, or a little later, was not very material to the propositions upon which the Directors were ordered to state their opinions; that this distinction, fo necessary to the forming a just judgement on the subject, was wholly confounded by the Report of the Committee; who, bringing their observations to one point, but profeffedly leaving any conclusion upon the estimate of receipts and payments out of their discussion, although it was the fole object of the inquiry, had, in a defultory way, thrown out a large mass of animadversions, tending greatly to grounds of general distrust, and had so obscured a plain subject, by confounding Indian receipts and payments with those in England, and presenting lists and appendixes in such variety of forms, that none but those who were tolerably masters of the subject could understand them. He would, he faid, endeavour, as well as his memory would ferve him, thus called upon on the instant, to give a diftinct confutation of each head of objection which the right honourable gentleman had stated in his speech, leaving the rest of the Report to a future discussion.

The Committee had charged the Directors with omitting to bring 172,000l. to the debit of their estimate for interest of the duties to be postponed, which by act of Parliament was payable at six per cent. and had been paid at that rate in former instances stated in their Appendix. He replied, that those instances were before the time that the State became partner with the Company, by taking three.

Vol. XV. Bbb fourth

fourth parts of their income, after payment of their eight per cent. dividend, and therefore, that the precedent did not apply, nor was the equity of the case any longer the fame. That the charge would, to the extent of threefourth parts, now be nugatory, feeing that it would, by just so much, lessen the sum to come to the Public, under the participation; and that, if any thing was due, the fum was much exaggerated, feeing that the act authorifing a claim of fix per cent. interest for duties in arrear, not from the India Company alone, but from every body else, was passed, when the legal interest of the kingdom was at six per cent. which having, by a subsequent act, been reduced to five, the Company, in paying fix fince, had paid it in their own wrong; also, that the computation was made on larger fums of postponement than could be required to be postponed; and that, upon the whole of these and other circumstances, the Directors were justifiable in expecting, that no fuch interest ought to be demanded. That they were also charged with having omitted to charge their estimate with 385,000l. for insurance of their expected imports, at the peace premium of four per cent. To this he replied, that as the Company never infured, they could have no fuch payment to make, and, therefore, could not, without gross impropriety, have made any such charge in a cash estimate; but that it was not true that they had omitted it. They had plainly and clearly stated it in their Report, in the light in which alone it ought to be considered, as a deduction to an amount that could not be afcertained from the stock of goods to remain unfold at the end of the period of their estimate, and that upon an ave. rage of twenty years, the losses had not amounted to four per cent. but only to two one tenth; and the furplus of goods was fo great, as to leave no room to apprehend that fuch loffes could ever extend to the disappointment of their fales.

He observed, that throughout the Report, it was in a vast variety of shapes suggested, that bills had appeared to a great amount, which were not provided for by the Directors' estimate; and that whether the Committee meant, in express terms, to make that affertion or not, they had so treated the subject, as to convey that idea to the reader; he would, however, once for all, answer all those infinuations to the House—There did not, he said, exist, nor was likely to exist, as far as the Directors knew, a single bill

bill not provided for by the estimate, save and except a chance, but he did not think a certainty, that fifty lacks of rupees might be drawn for next year from Bengal; of which transaction the advice had arrived fince the date of the Directors' last Report; and, except that, a sum which had been stated in their last Report, as expected to be drawn from Bombay, and of which the amount could not then be known, was now known to amount to 42,000l. That great doubt was thrown upon the fales producing the fum of 3,300,000l. annually, at which he professed his astonishment; since, even gentlemen of experience in the Company's affairs, who had taken a part against the Company in politics, had, he believed, uniformly concurred in opinion, that no doubt' could be entertained on that head. That it was certain. they should have a vast surplus of goods imported this fummer; that Europe was bare of them; that the quality was unquestionably of late years much improved, and foreigners gave us a preference they had not heretofore done; that the very transaction of fifty lacks, of which the advice was recently received, as above mentioned, went to the depriving foreign markets of goods to that value, which must increase the demand at our sales, and particularly, that the deficiency flated as likely to arise in Bengal raw filk, which, on an average of former periods, had contributed 240,000l. a year to the fales reckoned upon. and of which, it was stated in the Report, that only the value of fifteen lacks was to be imported this feafon, was totally false; for that, besides those fifteen lacks, there was the value of thirty-three lacks on board four ships arrived. or on the voyage, and about five lacks more of an old flock. besides an unknown proportion of thirty lacks laid out in raw filk and filk piece-goods, but not explained how much in each; and, consequently, that so far from a deficiency, there was already a clear supply for the whole period more than equal to the former average.

He next observed, that the Directors were charged with having left out the sum of 100,000l. due to the Public by act of Parliament, which they ought to charge to the debit of their estimate with six years interest — He replied, that they had in their First Report avowed their demand to set against it a much larger sum, which, since the passing that act, a Committee of the House of Commons had recognized to be justly due to the Company from the Public, and which he had never been able to discover any reason

B b b 2

for withholding payment of, but that of the lion in dividing the prey. That he trusted the House would see it right to take the matter into confideration, and do justice-That the Company would never be found tenaciously perfevering against the sense of Parliament; but it was the duty of the Directors to bring forward and defend their just rights till fuch decision. It was farther observed, that great doubt was expressed whether the Company's bonds in England could be kept in circulation to the amount of 2,000,000l. as allowed by law, especially as the plan of the Directors went upon an estimate of decreasing payments, and increasing receipts. He replied, that he had ever thought, decreasing payments and increasing receipts the very foundation of credit. That if the Committee supposed the Company's payments to their tradefmen was the only means of re-iffuing the bonds paid in as cash at the sales, it was a great mistake. There were many other ways, in which they could be fent to market, when it could take them off, and that they knew little of the nature of circulating credit, who supposed that they could be kept in circulation by any mode of forcing them out till it did. That there was every reason to suppose, the unfunded debt of the nation, the enormous amount of which alone created the difficulty, would foon be reduced. That the Company had as yet fustained no loss or inconvenience by their bonds being paid in; and that if it should become an inconvenience before the unfunded debt was reduced, gentlemen should not forget that there was a bank in this country, and -wealthy bankers, to whom the Company might, in case of need, with great propriety, apply for a temporary loan. on fuch fecurity as India bonds, till the natural circulation of them should come round. That in answer to an argument drawn by the Directors, from their fo foon extricating themselves from the embarrassement they were in about the year 1773, the Committee had stated, that they had then more goods in their warehouses than now, by about 2,600,000l. in value; but that, on the other hand, it was true, that their floating and expected property in the present year was much greater than in 1773. On this he observed, that it might have tended to give the House a more just idea of the matter if they had gone on to state, that the expected importation exceeds the former by about 5,200,000l. being double the difference on which, to the discredit of the Directors' argument, they had animadverted. That

That it was afferted, that the Directors had made no provision in their estimate for the expences at Bencoolen, after the first year, which would bring an additional charge of 125,0001. upon the estimate. To this he replied, that they had made provision for it, and in the only way in which it could or ought to be estimated, viz. as a charge on the revenues in India, where they had expressly stated it in their First Report. That it was afferted, that certain treafury orders in Bengal, amounting to hfty lacks of rupees, must be added to the amount of the debt in Bengal. This affertion, he faid, was, no doubt, a flat contradiction to the Directors' Report, but that, fortunately, it was in its turn flatly contradicted by the very evidence adduced by the Committee in one of their Appendixes, by which it appears indispensably that provision is made for their discharge within the current year. That the Company's right to certain goods taken in the Dutch factories was objected to, on the score of claims made upon it by the immediate captors. To this he replied, that the claims had been justly treated by the government of Bengal as frivolous, and extending no farther than the Company's liberality might dictate, and that at any rate the payment, if any, would be a payment in India, and the goods were unquestionably on their way to England.

The claims stated against the Company for victualling His Majesty's fleet were perfectly unintelligible, as the law and the information of facts now flood; nor was it possible to form any judgement what fum was really due, either on this account, or on account of the pay of the army; but that both, when ascertained, must, by law, be paid in India, not in . England, and must fall under the general unliquidated demands on the Company's property there, not of a commercial nature, and on the future revenues, and that they were fo stated in the Directors' Report. That in answer to the ideas thrown out from all sides of the House, that the bills now under discussion were improperly drawn from India, and arose from the vicious system of conduct in that respect, he must beg leave to say, that no such thing was truth -The bills were all drawn for the purchase of cargoes for Europe, and for that purpose only - The war had confumed those resources, which, in common times, had afforded a fund for fuch purpoles, and the cargoes could not, by any human means, be provided, without drawing the bills; the one was of sufficient value to pay the other; and

he observed, that taking the goods, the House was now gravely debating whether they would allow the Company to accept the bills. On this head he appealed to the justice of the House, and represented the extreme injury to individuals and the Company's credit, which arose from keeping the bills above a twelvemonth without any answer, whether they were to be accepted at all or not: and obferved, that this was a much greater hardship to the holders than giving the additional interest and accepting the bills offered. He likewise observed on the mischievous tendency of these representations to create bad impressions on the minds of the bill-holders. He defended the Directors from the imputation of negligence, in not having yet fent out a system of reduction of all the establishments in India. and enforced it by positive orders; and defended the servants abroad from the imputation of systematical disobedience of orders; and appealed to the candour of the House, whether it were possible for the Directors to take up such arrangements to any effect, while their affairs in Parliament remained unsettled: but he said, that he did with confidence maintain, that proper retrenchments would be made. because he knew enough of the sentiments of his colleagues in the direction, to take upon him to fay, that they should be made, as foon as the Directors could to any purpose take them up. He ended with an exhortation to the House, to lose no time in freeing the Company from the restraints imposed upon it, and to treat its affairs with that liberal justice which the important advantages derived to the State through the medium of its institution and commerce, so well deferved.

Mr. Fox.

Mr. Fox observed, that he was astonished to find that the Report of the Committee had this day been combated: he had fondly imagined, that a Report which had been unanimously agreed to by a Committee, the majority of which supported the present Administration, and had differed from him in every question he had proposed relative to India, would not have been combated this day; he imagined it would have been admitted on all hands, that the fallacy of that account must be glaring indeed, when a Committee, consisting of persons who agreed scarcely in any one other thing, unanimously concurred in condemning the account laid before the House by the Directors of the East-India Company. He wished for a moment to enquire what that Company was; and as, while he was in office, he faced the

danger which threatened first, and at last effected his downfal as a Minister: so now, when he had nothing to sear from the Company, it could not be expected that he would hesitate to speak his sentiments freely to that body. Who then were the East-India Company? Not the Directors, for they were avowedly only a representative body. Not the governors and officers abroad, for they were the servants of the Company. Not the Court of Proprietors, for they had, comparatively with the Public, a very small interest in the wealth and property of the Company: their interest went no farther than their dividend, which, at eight per cent. did not exceed 240,000l. a year. This was a small sum, when compared with the interest the Public had in the Company's prosperity; at that moment the Company owed the Public 1,000,000l. and the annual duties paid to the Public on the imports of the Company, were greater than the Company's dividend.

It had been faid, that the Company was the conduit-pipe through which the territorial revenues were brought to England on the account of the Public; but in truth and in fact. it might more properly be called the conduit-pipe through which the wealth of the Company's fervants was brought home: he called them fervants of the Company, in conformity to a mode of speaking which had generally prevailed, though it was well known that they were the mafters, and not the fervants, of the Company: this must have been the case, or a Governor General would never have dared to disobey the orders of the Court of Directors, and give the audacious reason for his disobedience, that it was because it was their order. It had been faid, that orders not only might, but ought, formetimes to be disobeyed; he admitted the truth of the proposition; but he believed that instances of such orders were very rare: but, though a Governor General might disobey an order at one time, there could be no reason to justify him in disobeying it a second time, after his masters had condemned his disobedience in the first instance, and cautioned him not to disobey it a second time. yet this fecond injunction had been treated with as much contempt as the first by Mr. Hastings, in the affair of Mr. Bristow; and when at last the Company thought proper to give up the point, he then did, to please himself, the very thing which he had refused to do in consequence of orders from the Directors. Mr. Hastings had a very bad or imperfect recollection of past transactions, as appeared from his letters, where he said he had not drawn on the Company for

any supplies, pleasing at the same time to forget, there were at this moment bills drawn by him, not yet paid by the Company, to the amount of near 5,000,000l. He was as little inclined to give him any credit for great forelight in his speculations in politics: for though he would have the Public think that peace was as good as concluded, and that Tippoo Saib would never dare to continue the war, he wrote word, that Tippoo had recalled his ambassador from Poonah, and was preparing for war. Gentlemen ought not to take it for granted, that, because France was now at peace with us, and had withdrawn her troops from the fervice of Tippoo Saib, that prince could receive no affishance from them: he should be forry to impute, and he certainly did not mean to impute, to the court of France any intention either to renew the war with us, or to give any underhand affistance to Tippoo Saib: but still it was possible, if it was the intention of that Court, to give affishance in a thousand different ways, without appearing to violate the peace; nay, the very circumstance of a French army being near his dominions, was in itself an affishance. He admitted there might be some probability, that Tippoo Saib would not continue a war which, to all appearances, would be contrary to his interests: but he bid gentlemen beware how they trusted to glosses given to the affairs of a country lying at so great a distance as India does from this kingdom: before any power in Europe declared for the Americans, people thought it probable, that, perhaps, France might interfere, and take part in the quarrel; but every man in England thought it was impossible that Spain should ever enter into that war as an enemy to Great Britain, and consequently as a friend to the independence of America. Spain, however, did that which every man, unacquainted with the great springs which set that monarchy in motion, fondly imagined to be impossible; why, then, might not. Tippeo Saib do what appeared to us improbable that he should do? and which appears improbable, only because we are unacquainted with the disposition of the neighbours of that Prince.

Mr. Fox concluded by expressing his anxiety to see the new bill for new modelling the East-India Company. He still thought his own bill the best: but he recommended it to the right honourable gentleman to take away the powers of mismanagement from the Courts of Directors and Proprietors, and from the servants abroad. The Company was at present a fink of corruption and iniquity; and, let the right honourable gentleman do what he would with the patronage.

tronage, he would be satisfied, if it was taken from the Company: for his part, he had rather neither the Directors nor the Crown had it; but, if of two evils he must chuse one, he had rather see the patronage at the whole disposal of the Crown, than continued in the hands of those who had always most shamefully abused it. He charged the gentlemen, who had acted as the representatives of Mr. Hastings that day, with having exhibited a passion, which he would not name, but which, he said, was something as unlike mo-

desty as possible.

Mr. Dundas said, he would not have risen at that late Mr. Dunhour of the night, had he not been fo particularly called das. upon and alluded to by different gentlemen in the course of the debate; but though he, for that reason, thought it necessary to rife, he did not mean to go into a discussion of the feveral subjects that had been touched upon, to any great extent. He faid, his chief view in rifing was to endeavour. at least, to bring the minds of gentlemen back to the question, which simply was, Whether relief should be given to the East-India Company, as far as regarded the situation of their affairs here at home? This question, he conceived, rested entirely on grounds more immediately within the general comprehension of the House, than the vast variety. of topics that had been stated and discussed, with regard to the fituation of the affairs of the Company abroad, the state of their bond debt in Bengal, the probable investments that would be made for some years to come, and how far their claims upon certain renters in the Carnatic were likely to be fatisfied. The information the House had before them, and which had not only given occasion to the present question's being moved, but which also formed the sole grounds the House could decide upon, arose out of a petition of the East-India Company, asking a farther respite of duties, and a Report of the Directors of that Company, stating the condition of their affairs, together with a Report of a Committee of that House, alledging certain facts as the result of the investigation they had been employed in conducting. Among the other causes of his having been appealed to in the course of the debate, one had been on account of his having acled as a member of the Committee that made the Report, stating certain comments upon the Report of the East-India Directors. With regard to that circumstance, he had little share, indeed, in the drawing of the Report, which was chiefly formed out of materials collected by others, who with great industry, ability, and judgement, VOL. XV. Cac

had made a confiderable progress in digesting the account of the facts flated to the House as the result of their investigation, before he was chosen a member of the Committee. Not that he meant, by faying this, to fhift from off his shoulders any responsibility that might be thought to belong to every individual member of that Committee; he meant merely todisclaim any of the merit that the Reports were entitled to; acknowledging, at the fame time, as it had his complete concurrence, he was chargeable in common with the rest of the Committee with its demerit. The materials of the Report, however, having been, for the chief part, collected before he was upon the Committee, the best way of dischargeing his duty he felt to be, by comparing the facts felected to be reported, with the information and the evidence whence those facts had been adduced; and, upon finding that the one fully bore out the other, he had joined in opinion with the rest of the Committee, that those facts were fit to be reported. The Committee, Mr. Dundas faid, had been unanimous in confining themselves to facts solely, and in not annexing opinions upon those facts; this they had been induced to agree to, from its being evident, that upon the fame facts there might be different opinions; and, therefore, to have gone into opinion would have led to endless contro-Having thus explained what the Committee had limited themselves to in preparing the Report, he said he held himself as free, in consequence of what he had mentioned, to discuss the facts stated in the Report of the Committee, and to reason upon them, as any gentleman who had not been of the Committee. He then entered into a confideration of several of the remarks and animadversions upon the Report, made by Mr. Atkinson, admitting the propriety of fome, and denying that others were founded. After going through this discussion, he said the short question was, Were the House willing, in consideration of what they knew of the state of the East-India Company's affairs. to afford the Company that relief necessary to the carrying on of their trade; or were they, from an idea, that the Company's affairs were in an unpromising condition, ready then to clap hands on the goods in their warehouses, and torecover the money due to the Public for duties, by an immediate fale of fuch of the property of the Company as was then capable of being attached? For one, he declared, he had not the fmallest difficulty in faying, he was not ready to give his confent to any fuch attachment; but he was, on the contrary, perfectly ready to join in affording the Company the relief the exigency of their affairs at home prayed He entered into an explanation of the nature of the relief that the Company had petitioned for, and shewed the reasonableness of the requisition on the part of the Company, and the fafety with which that requifition might be complied with on the part of the Public. The respite of duties was all that was asked; and as a security for that respite, the Public would have the fatisfaction of being certain, that the Company's warehouses were so full of goods, as to contain a sufficient quantity to furnish an annual sale, to full the usual amount of the Company's sales for three years to come; and a prospect, scarcely liable to failure, that those warehouses would be fed with a supply of goods from India. fully equal to the continuance of the Company's fales, for three years longer than the three years immediately com-Add to this, that the fatisfaction would have fo mencing. much folidity in it, that the goods of the Company in their warehouses would, during the whole fix years, be liable to attachment at the fuit of the Public, at any time that the Public should think their safety, as creditors of the Company, required fuch a proceeding.

Mr. Dundas having thus stated the case between the Public and the Company, went into a discussion of the principal reasonings that had been held in the course of the day, on the state of the Company's affairs, and the probable chance, should peace continue, of their emerging speedily from debt,

and growing rapidly into wealth.

In the progress of this discussion, he replied to several of the arguments of Mr. Francis, Mr. Scott, and Mr. Fox, and answered the appeal that had been made to him, relative to the opinions he had formerly delivered on the subject of Mr. Haftings' conduct, in very manly and direct terms. He observed, that he had been charged, on the one hand, with not having carried the declarations of his opinion upon the conduct of Mr. Hastings far enough; and on the other, with having pushed them too far. This was, he faid, precisely the fituation he should be most ardently desirous of standing in with regard to Mr. Haftings, viz. between the two extremes; and to be confidered as neither a harsh censor of that gentleman, nor as too enthufiaflic an eulogist. opinion of Mr. Hastings at that moment was exactly what it ever had been. He acquitted Mr. Hastings most decidedly of having caused the Marattah war; but he thought Mr. Hastings to blame for not having put an end to that war fooner, by means of the treaty of Poorunda. While he Ccc2 paffed

fo much blame on the conduct of Mr. Hastings, it was a debt of justice that he should declare, when the Carnatic was invaded, Mr. Hastings proved himself a man of refources, a man of a vigorous mind, and an able chieftain, by the exertions he made for the relief of Fort St. George. It ought to be remembered, that at the moment when the Carnatic was so unexpectedly invaded, had not the ill conduct of Hyder Ally, his timidity, or want of confidence in his army, or some unknown cause or other, prevented his marching his troops up to the walls of Fort St. Ceorge. Madras must have fallen. At that critical period, when the other Members of the Supreme Council at Bengal met again and again, and parted in despair, without resolving on any measure whatever, Mr. Hastings alone determined on a vigorous effort to retrieve the desperate affairs of the Company in India; and there was not a doubt, but by spiritedly carrying the bold enterprise he determined on. by his famous minute of council, into speedy effect, he faved Madras. Mr. Hastings also, Mr. Dundas said, had all the merit he affumed in his letter of the 16th of December last, of having, on many critical occasions, supported the other Presidencies by a prompt and liberal relief. Having faid this, Mr. Dundas recurred to other topics. Before he concluded, he took notice of what Mr. Fox had faid, relative to the bill intended to be brought in for the regulation of the government of India. He faid, it would not be similar to that brought in by the right honourable gentleman himself before Christmas; but would be a bill, giving energy to the laws already in being, and obliging Government to act upon those laws, and to act upon them with vigour, instead of letting them remain tied up as musty rolls of Parliament, per Setly useless upon the shelves of the office of the Secretary of State.

Sir Gregory
Page Tur-

Sir Gregory Page Turner declared the credit of the country at large, and the credit of the East-India Company, were nearly related; that the latter was count germain to the former, and that both ought to be supported: he hoped, therefore, the House would grant the relief required by the Company. He said, he should support the Bill Mr. Pitt should bring in for the regulation of the government of the East-India Company's affairs, because he declared he had considence in the right honourable gentleman, and was thence persuaded the bill would be a good one. He apologised

apologised to the House for having taken up a moment of their time.

Mr. Dempster said, he had been perfectly astonished at Mr. Dempthe declaration, relative to the Report of the Committee, feet, of which he had been an unworthy member, that had been made by an honourable gentleman, who, though he had spoken with great ingenuity, had, in his judgement, expressed more plausibility than argument. The honourable gentleman had declared, that there was no one part of the Report that was not founded in error, and that he would This promife, however, the honourable gentleman had not kept: and he was not furprifed at it; for a Report framed with more pains, or drawn up in a greater stile of candour, he believed had never been laid upon the table of the house. Every thing that was fair and liberal had marked the conduct of the Committee. They had endeavoured to hold a confultation with some of the Directors, and to learn from them, whether the facts that the Report proceeded upon, were as they understood them or not: but this plan had been prevented by those Directors infifting on having the questions the Committee wished to ask them, stated in writing, and then refusing to answer them, till they had carried them to the other Directors. and taken the opinion of those who formed the Directors' Report of the state of their affairs. This, the House would fee, could not be complied with, because it would have led to fuch a length, and cost so much time, that no Report could have been completed, so as to have been presented that session. Mr. Dempster said farther, that when the Committee were intent on the most important part of their duty, he had been called away to attend an Election Committee. He had no claim, therefore, to the praise due to the framers of the Report; but he was persuaded, they had great claim to the applause of the House and of the country. He reminded the House, that his friend, Mr. Fox, did not mean to refuse the East-India Company the relief they flood in need of, he only wished that the regulation of the government of the Company's affairs might precede the relief. That request was certainly reasonable. There was one circumstance, Mr. Dempster said, that had fallen from his right honourable friend, with which he differed; and he was fure beforehand, that when he did differ from his right honourable friend, he must be in the wrong. The circumstance he alluded to, he said, was,

Mr. Fox's expressing a wish, that the East-India Company was in the hands of Government. He begged leave to deprecate that idea. Rather than see the East-India Company's affairs in the hands of Government, or, in other words, in the hands of the Crown, he wished it to continue where it now was. Much rather should he see it ever to egregiously milmanaged by the Court of Directors, than ever so well managed by the Crown. The moment the Crown got it into its hands, there was, from that moment, an end of the liberties of this country. Perhaps, after all, the idea he had formerly suggested respecting India would be found to be the most reasonable and the most advanta-Abandon all thoughts of adapting any government Give up the sovereignty, and let it remain an independent state, connected with us by ties of commerce -Such a connection would prove infinitely advantageous to Great Britain; while all projects of a British government applied to India were utterly impossible to be carried into effect. It was impracticable for this country, at so great a distance from India, to govern it with any advantage, either to the natives or to the benefit of Great Britain-We might talk of our feelings for the natives of India, of our humanity towards them, and our affection for them, and our tender regard for their interests. Those affertions would be found to be mere words and empty air. It was not in human nature to carry the theory into practice.

At length the question was put for leave to bring in the

bill, and agreed to.

July 5.

faid, that he had fome objections to parts of this bill, which, involving confiderations of fome difficulty, and at the fame time of fome importance, ought in candour to be flated in the prefent stage of the proceeding, that the law officers of the Crown might give them a due attention—He had great doubts as to the propriety, and, indeed, as to the meaning of the words, which extend the powers of fearch and seizure, we ever the four seas; he had asked, both of lawyers and of seamen some explanation of these words, and had not found any two men who concurred in their opinions respecting them; some extending their import into the Atlantic on the one hand, and to the North Pole on the other, and all concurring to give, even in the

most himited sense, such an extent as would be attended with many embariassiments of a very serious nature. He had another objection, which, he hoped, was only a mistake in drawing the bill; but there was a clause which expressly gave a right to seize and examine all vessels of any nation in time of peace, within the aforesaid indefinite description of the four seas.—He objected also to the clause which gave a power of confiscation to one justice, on the oath of one witness; and to that which exempts from the provisions of the act, all vessels coming from America or Africa, and yet does not mention the East-India vessels—He also objected to the impropriety of subjecting trading vessels to expensive licences from the Admiralty (to which Mr. Pitt seemed strongly to assent) He stated other minuter objections, but wished that the more material ones might be considered previous to the commitment.

Mr. Wilberforce objected strongly to the subjecting vessels Mr. Wilto forseiture, because they might be found to have tea or bersorce. spirits aboard, though contrary to the knowledge of the

owners or officers.

Mr. Attorney General affored him, that the cloude of which The Attorney he complained would not have any bad effect.

Mr. Stanhope supported Mr. Wilbersorce's objection. Bill committed for Friday.

July 6.

Major Scott observed, that in looking over the Appendix Maj. Scott to the Report of the Select Committee, he expected to find an account of the supplies sent from Bengal to Madras and Bombay during the war; but as the account was not included, he would move for permission to bring it forward, for two reasons: the one, because it would appear that above 7,290,000l. had been fent for the support of the war, from Bengal, in five years: the other, that it would rend to convince gentlemen, how capable Bengal was of paying off its present incumbrances, and assisting the country if we enjoyed a few years peace; for the Major obferved, it was a fact which did not admit of dispute, that the revenues of Bengal and its investments had been confiderably improved during the war. Major Scott then added, that perhaps what he was going to fay was not frictly regular, but it had been mentioned by a right honourable gentleman, not then in his place, in the last debate, that though

though gentlemen of a certain description in the House might not be remarkable for abilities, they certainly were formidable from their numbers. The first observation he would not presume to dispute: the second he would deny, by an appeal to facts; for though this afferion had been repeated in all the newspapers, in pamphlets, and in one in particular, lately published, intitled, A Representation to His Majesty, he could assure the House, that from a strict examination of the lists of the late and present Parliament, he found that there were sewer of those gentlemen who compose the India members, as they are called, by two in this Parliament, than in the last, at the commencement of the session, as appeared from an accurate list which he then held in his hand.*

Two

* The paper referred to was as follows:

A list of India Directors, Captains of Indiamen, Ships Husbands, in the late and present Parliament.

. LATE.

Richard Barwell. Thomas Farrer. Sir Henry Fletcher, Hon. E. Monckton. Sir Hector Munro, Sir Robert Palk, John Peachey, C. W. B. Rous, Sir Thomas Rumbold, Sir Francis Sykes, John Webb, N. W. Wraxall, H. J. Strachey, Paul Benfield, Robert Gregory, T. Bates Rous, Captain Rumbold. General Smith, J. M. Smith, George Strattan, S. Durand, Sir Richard Hotham, John Macpherson, George Graham, Stephen Lushington, Jacob Wilkinson,

PRESENT.

Richard Barwell, Thamas Farrer, Sir Henry Fletcher. Hon. E. Moneton, Sir Hector Munros Sir Robert Palk, John Peachey, C. W. B Rous, Sir Thomas Rumbold. Sir Francis Sykes, John Webb, N. W. Wraxall, H. J. Strachey, James Amyatt, John Call, Philip Francis, John Hunter. Robert Preston, John Scott, Nathaniel Smith, George Vansittart, D. Walkinton, Eward Cotsford, L. Darell, John Grant, W. Devaynes,

George

Two gentlemen had been elected lately, and fat one on each fide of the House; and at this moment there were precisely the same number of gentlemen who had served the East-India Company in any capacity at home or abroad, in this Parliament as there was in the last.

Mr. Eden did not rise to oppose the wishes of the ho- Mr. Eden. nourable member: if those people who had been in India; and on their return home had been elected members of the House of Commons; were the gentlemen, and no others, whom he nominated India members, his polition, under . fuch restrictions might be admitted: but there were surely other persons intimately connected with the India Company, who did not belong to that description - Would it be believed in the country, that those whom the honourable gentleman had included in the lift, were all who were influenced by the East-India Company? It was furely a delicate matter to talk about; but gentlemen had not shut, their eyes at the late election. They had observed the movements of the Company, and they knew that there. were a good many gentlemen, who, though they had never been in India, and were not by profession connected with the Company, supposed to be friendly in their sentiments, and inclined to ferve them. This was a matter which he was by no means disposed to inquire into; and he should not have risen to say a syllable on the matter, if the honourable gentleman had not thought it necessary to answer the rumours by the statement he had given - If, therefore, the honourable member thought the paper he held in his hand decisive on the point, as essential to his own convictions and those of the House, he would not oppose its being laid upon the table.

Major Scott rose to answer him, and said, it was im- Major Scotts possible for the gentlemen of India to reply to positions

George Johnstone, 'John Townson, Samuel Smith, jun. Sir William James. Richard Atkinson, Francis Baring, Paul Le Mesurier, Samuel Smith, jun.

Lord Clive and his friends are not included in this lift, nor Mr. Townson, who is out of the direction by rotation; however, they are noted by the Major, though in his opinion, they could not be said to belong to what is called the India interest in Parliament.

Vol. XV.

·Dad·

10



so vague and loose as those now thrown out; they could meet, and they were ready to meet, sacks; and he defied the most strenuous man in opposition to contradict the statement hehad given.

The Speaker interfered, and faid, the whole of the conversation was very irregular; and begged that gentlemen would not carry it farther.

The matter dropt here.

END OF THE FIFTEENTH VOLUME.

BOOKS printed for J. DEBRETT, opposite Burlington House, Piccapilly.

Parliament: Containing an accurate, full, and impartial Account of all the Debates of the last Session, collated with the Notes and Papers of

feveral Gentlemen, who very obligingly communicated the fame.

At the defire of feveral Persons of distinguished Abilities and Rank, this Work was undertaken. The favourable Reception it has met with during the whole of the two last Parliaments, not only demands the most grateful Acknowledgements of the Editors, but encourages them to a Continuation of the same through the present Parliament. For this Purpose, and to prevent Misrepresentation, they beg leave again to solicit the Affishance of their former Friends, and every other Gentleman. A strict Attention will be paid to their Commands and Favours; nor will any Assiduity or Care be wanting to preserve that Truth and Accuracy, for which this Work has hitherto been distinguished.

Of whom may be had,

The PARLIAMENTARY REGISTER of the last Parliament, complete, viz. from 1780 to 1784, in Fourteen Volumes. Price 51. 8s. half bound and lettered.

The PARLIAMENTARY REGISTER of the former Parliament, from 1774 to 1780, in seventeen Volumes, Price 61. 68. half bound and lettered.

The REMEMBRANCER; or IMPARTIAL REPOSITORY of PUB-LIC EVENTS. The AMERICAN WAR gave rife to this Work in 1775. Every authentic Paper relative to that War, as also with France and Spain, whether published in ENGLAND or AMERICA, by the BRITISH MINISTRY, or the AMERICAN CONGRESS, are all carefully inferted in this Work. Letters of the feveral Commanding Officers, Addresses, Resolutions of the various Committees, Conventions, &c. To these have been prefixed, at the Defire of many Perfons, a Collection of authentic Papers respecting the Dispute with America before the Commencement of Hostilities. As the Remembrancer gave the best and only Accounts of the Transactions of the War, the principal Encouragers of the Work wished to see preserved and printed, in the fame Size, all those authentic Papers on the various Subjects of Dispute, from the Resolutions which gave rise to the Stamp Act in 1764, to the Battle of Lexington in 1775. This Volume accompanies the Remembrancer, and is called Prior Documents, or a Collection of interesting, authentic Papers, relative to the Dispute between Great Britain and America; shewing the Causes and Progress of that Misunderstanding, from 1764 to 1775. Complete Sets of this valuable and interesting Work may be had of the Publisher in Seventeen Vo-1umes. Price Six Guineas, half bound and lettered.

*** Those Gentlemen who are in want of any particular Numbers to complete their Sets, are earnestly intreated to order them as speedily as possible.

The NEW FOUNDLING HOSPITAL FOR WIT: Being a Collection of fugitive Pieces in Profe and Verse, not in any other Collection. A new Edition, considerably improved and enlarged; in which are inserted several curious Pieces, by Lady Craven, the Marquis of Carmarthen, the Earls of Carlisle, Buchan, Nugent; the Lords Palmerston, Mulgrave, Holland; Sir J. Moore, Right Hon. C. J. Fox, Right Hon. R. Fitzpatrick, Sir W. Jones, Dr. B. Franklin, J. Wilkes, D. Garrick, R. B. Sheridan, Sir Charles Hanbury Williams.

BOOKS PRINTED FOR J. DEBRETT.

Williams, Mr. Gray, Mr. Mason, G. Ellis, R. Cumberland, B. Edwards, Capt. E. Thompson, &c. &c. which were not in the former Edition; together with several Pieces, now first printed from the Authors' Manuscripts. Whole carefully revised, arranged, and corrected, in fix Volumes. Lewed.

The NEW PEERAGE; or, the Ancient and Present State of the Nobility of ENGLAND, SCOTLAND, and IRELAND; containing a genealogical Account of the Peers, whether by Summons or Creation; their Descents and collateral Branches; their Births, Marriages, and Issue: together with their paternal Coats of Arms, Crefts, Supporters, and Mottoes, engraved. added, The Extinct Pecrage, comprehending an authentic Account of the Peers who have ever existed from the earliest Times. A new Edition. In three Volumes Octavo. Price 1 cs. in Boards.

* The Editors profess to have given in these Volumes the clearest, most authentic, and the best digested Account of the noble Families of ENGLAND. SCOTLAND, and IRELAND, hitherto published; and, by omitting unnecessary Digressions, and oftentatious Quotations from Histories and biographical Memoirs, which only serve to increase the Price, have exhibited their Genealogies clear and explicit, so that every collateral Branch is scen with great Facility and Exactness, and few even of the Gentry of England, Scotland, and Ireland, who have intermarried with the Nobility of those Kingdoms, but may here

discover by what Degree of Confanguinity they are allied.

A May Edition of COLLINS's PEERAGE of ENGLAND. In eight Volumes. Enriched with many valuable Additions, and continued to the pre-

fent Time; with all the Arms finely engraved. Price 21. 128. 6d.

OBSERVATIONS on the COMMERCE of the AMERICAN STATES, by JOHN LORD SHEFFIELD. A new Edition, being the Sixth, much enlarged; with an Appendix, containing Tables of the Imports and Exports of Great Britain to and from all Parts; also the Exports of America, &c. with Remarks on those Tables, and on the late Proclamations, &c. With a

Price Six Shillings in Boards... copious Index.

BIOGRAPHIA BRITANNICA; or, the Lives of the most eminent Perfons who have flourished in Great Britain and Ireland, from the earliest Ages to the present Times. Collected from the best Authorities, printed and manufeript, and digested in the Manner of Mr. Bayle's Historical and Critical Dictionary. The fecond Edition, with Corrections, Enlargements, and the Addition of new Lives. By Andrew Kippis, D. D. F. R. S. and S. A. With the Affistance of the Rev. Joseph Towers, LI.. D. and other Gentlemen. lume the Third. Price 11. 118. 6d. in Sheets.

Of whom may be had the first and second Volumes of the above Work, Price

11. 118 6d. each, in Sheets.

* The fourth Volume is in the Press, and will be published with all.

possible Expedition.

BIOGRAPHIA DRAMATICA, an historical and critical Account of the Lives and Writings of British and Irish Dramatic Writers, from the earliest Times to 1784; with Anecdotes and Remarks on every anonymous dramatic Performance. In two large Volumes octavo. Price 128. in Boards.

OLD BALLADS, historical and narrative, with some of modern Datey now first collected and re-printed from rare Copies and Manuscripts, none of which are inferted in Dr. Percy's Collection; to which this Work may be confidered as a proper Supplement. The fecond Edition, elegantly printed, in four Volumes octavo. Price 14s. sewed

Digitized by GOOGLE